



LECTURES ON PATAÑJALI'S MAHĀBHĀSYA

Volume V

(AHNIKAS 15 to 22)

P. S. SUBRAHMANYA SASTRI, M. A., PH. D., (Vidyāratna, Vidyānidhi, Vidyābhūṣaṇa, Vāṇī-trivēṇī-prayāga)



TIRUCHIRAPALLI 1957







For copies, apply to

THE AUTHOR,
23, Pandian Lane,
Andar Street, Teppakulam P. O.,
Tiruchirapalli, (S. India)

Price: Rs. Ten

PREFACE

This volume contains eight $\bar{a}hnikas$ starting with the first $\bar{a}hnika$ of the fourth $p\bar{a}da$ of the first $adhy\bar{a}ya$ and ending with the first $\bar{a}hnika$ of the second $p\bar{a}da$ of the second $adhy\bar{a}ya$.

I am grateful to the Sahitya Akademi for financial assistance in the publication of this volume. I heartily thank the Madras School Book and Literature Society for having made a grant of Rs. 125 and Rs. 165 for vols. 3 & 4 and Kāñcī Kāmakōṭi Muṭṭ, Kumbhakonam, Annamalai University and University of Travancore for having purchased 20, 10, 10 copies of each volume for their libraries.

May Mother Sivakāmasundarī and Lord Naṭarāja enable me cemplete the publication of the remaining seven volumes!

Tiruchirapalli, 20—11—57.

P. S. SUBRAHMANYA SASTRI-

4







For copies, apply to

THE AUTHOR,
23, Pandian Lane,
Andar Street, Teppakulam P. O.,
Tiruchirapalli, (S. India)

Price: Rs. Ten

PREFACE

This volume contains eight $\bar{a}hnikas$ starting with the first $\bar{a}hnika$ of the fourth $p\bar{a}da$ of the first $adhy\bar{a}ya$ and ending with the first $\bar{a}hnika$ of the second $p\bar{a}da$ of the second $adhy\bar{a}ya$.

I am grateful to the Sahitya Akademi for financial assistance in the publication of this volume. I heartily thank the Madras School Book and Literature Society for having made a grant of Rs. 125 and Rs. 165 for vols. 3 & 4 and Kāñcī Kāmakōṭi Muṭṭ, Kumbhakonam, Annamalai University and University of Travancore for having purchased 20, 10, 10 copies of each volume for their libraries.

May Mother Sivakāmašundarī and Lord Naṭarāja enable me cemplete the publication of the remaining seven volumes!

P. S. SUBRAHMANYA SASTRI.

3



॥ श्रीगुरुभ्यो नमः ॥

अष्टाध्यायीप्रणेतारं दाक्षीपुत्रं सुपाणिनिम् । वाक्यकारं वररुचिं भाष्यकारं पतञ्जलिम् ॥ चोक्कनाथं मिलवरं भाष्यरलावलीकृतम् ॥ वेक्कटाध्वरिणिरिशप्यं रामभद्रगुरुं वरम् ॥ नीलकण्ठं यतिवरं क्षेत्रपालिनवासिनम् ॥ अद्वेतमार्गनिष्णातं शब्दशास्त्रमहोदिधम् ॥ वैद्यनाथं द्विजं मित्रं मरूर्यमिनवासिनम् ॥ शब्दशास्त्रप्रकाशं गीताद्यर्थप्रकाशम् ॥ प्रणम्य तान् गुरून् सर्वान् प्रसन्नमनसाद्यना ॥ कुर्व आङ्गलभारत्या महाभाष्यविमर्शनम् ॥



Printed at
The Trichinopoly United Printers Limited,
Tiruchirapalli-2.

CONTENTS

FIFTEENTH ĀHNIKA

	$Sar{u}tra$			PAGE
1.	आकडारादेका संज्ञा (2 topics)	•••	• • •	1-24
2.	विप्रतिषेधे परं कार्यम् (5 topics)		•••	24 - 46
	(• -	_		
	SIXTEENTH	AHNIKA		
1,	यूस्ट्याख्यौ नदी (5 topics)		• • •	47—56
2.	षष्ठियुक्तदछन्दास वा	•••	•••	56— 58
3.	यसात्प्रत्ययविधिस्तदादि प्रत्ययेऽङ्गम	(7 topics)	• • •	58—66
4.	सुप्तिङन्तं पदम्	•••	• • •	67—68
5.	नः कये	•••	• • •	68
6.	खादिष्वसर्वनामस्थाने	• • •		69—70
7.	यचि भम्	•••	* * a	70 - 71
8.	तसी मत्वर्थे	•••	• • •	72
9.	अयसयादीनि छन्दति	•••		72
10.	बहुषु बहुवचनम् (3 topics)		•••	73 - 78
	Seventeen'r	H ĀHNIKA		
1.		H ĀHNIKA	•••	79—88
1. 2.	कारके (4 topics)	HĀHNIKA 		79—88 89—92
		н Āнпіка 		
2.	कारके (4 topics) ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् (3 topics)	н Āнпіка 		89—92
2. 3.	कारके (4 topics) ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् (3 topics) भीत्रार्थानां भयहेतुः	H ĀHNIKA 	•••	89 <u>—</u> 92 92 <u>—</u> 93
2. 3. 4.	कारके (4 topics) ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् (3 topics) भीत्रार्थानां भयहेतुः पराजेरसोढः	H ĀHNIKA	•••	89 <u>—</u> 92 92 <u>—</u> 93 93
2. 3. 4. 5.	कारके (4 topics) ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् (3 topics) भीत्रार्थानां भयहेतुः पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामीप्सितः	H ĀHNIKA	•••	89—92 92—93 93 93—96
 3. 4. 6. 	कारके (4 topics) ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् (3 topics) भीत्रार्थानां भयहेतुः पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामीष्सितः आख्यातोपयोगे जनिकर्तुः प्रकृतिः भुवः प्रभवः			89—92 92—93 93 93—96 96—98 98
 3. 4. 6. 7. 	कारके (4 topics) ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् (3 topics) भीत्रार्थानां भयहेतुः पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामीिष्सतः आख्यातोपयोगे जनिकर्तुः प्रकृतिः भुवः प्रभवः कर्मणा यमभिप्रैति स संप्रदानम् (5			89—92 92—93 93 93—96 96—98 98 98 99—101
 3. 4. 6. 8. 	कारके (4 topics) ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् (3 topics) भीत्रार्थानां भयहेतुः पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामीष्सितः आख्यातोपयोगे जनिकर्तुः प्रकृतिः भुवः प्रभवः			89—92 92—93 93 93—96 96—98 98 98 99—101 101—102
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	कारके (4 topics) ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् (3 topics) भीत्रार्थानां भयहेतुः पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामीिष्सतः आख्यातोपयोगे जनिकर्तुः प्रकृतिः भुवः प्रभवः कर्मणा यमभिप्रैति स संप्रदानम् (5			89—92 92—93 93 93—96 96—98 98 98 99—101 101—102 102—104
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	कारके (4 topics) ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् (3 topics) भीत्रार्थानां भयहेतुः पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामिष्सितः आख्यातोपयोगे जनिकर्तुः प्रकृतिः भुवः प्रभवः कर्मणा यमभिप्रैति स संप्रदानम् (5 क्रुधदुहेर्ष्यास्यार्थानां यं प्रति कोपः साधकतमं करणम् उपान्वध्याङ्वसः	toipcs)		89—92 92—93 93 93—96 96—98 98 98 99—101 101—102 102—104 104
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	कारके (4 topics) ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् (3 topics) भीत्रार्थानां भयहेतुः पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामीिष्सतः आख्यातोपयोगे जिनकर्तुः प्रकृतिः भुवः प्रभवः कर्मणा यमभिप्रैति स संप्रदानम् (5 क्रुधदुहेर्ष्यास्यार्थानां यं प्रति कोपः साधकतमं करणम् उपान्वध्याङ्वसः कर्तुरीिष्सततमं कर्म	toipcs)		89—92 92—93 93 93—96 96—98 98 98 99—101 101—102 102—104 104 105—107
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	कारके (4 topics) ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् (3 topics) भीत्रार्थानां भयहेतुः पराजेरसोढः वारणार्थानामिष्सितः आख्यातोपयोगे जनिकर्तुः प्रकृतिः भुवः प्रभवः कर्मणा यमभिप्रैति स संप्रदानम् (5 क्रुधदुहेर्ष्यास्यार्थानां यं प्रति कोपः साधकतमं करणम् उपान्वध्याङ्वसः	toipes)		89—92 92—93 93 93—96 96—98 98 98 99—101 101—102 102—104 104

	$S ilde{u} i r a$			Page
15.	अकथितं च (5 topics)	***	• • •	109—118
16.	गतिबुद्धिप्रत्यवसानार्थः(2 topics)		118—122
17.	हकोर न्यतरस्याम्			122—123
18.	स्वतन्त्रः कर्ता (2 topics)	# * h		123 - 125
19.	तत्प्रयोजको हेतुश्च	•••		125 - 126
	EIGHTEENTE	a Āhnika		
1.	प्राग्नीश्वरान्निपाताः (2 topics)			127—129
2.	चाद्योऽसत्त्व (2 topics)	• • •	• • •	
3.	प्राद्य उपसर्गाः क्रियायोगे	•••	• • •	
4.	गतिश्च	•••		
5.	ऊर्यादिचिवडाचश्च	• • •	* * *	
6.	•		* * *	
7.	आद्रानाद्रयोः सद्सती	• • •	•••	
8.	अन्तरपरिग्रहे	•••		138
	साक्षात्त्रभृतीनि च	•••		
10.	ते प्राग्धातोः	• • •	* * *	
	कर्मप्रवचनीयाः	• • •	***	143
12.	अनुर्रक्षणे	•••	* * *	143—146
13.	आङ्गर्याद्यचने	* * *		146 - 147
	लक्षणेत्थंभूत	* * *	* * *	147
	अधिपरी अनर्थकौ	***		147—150
	अधिरीश्वरे	• • •	* * *	150
	लः परसौपदम्	•••	* * *	150 - 151
	तिङस्रीणि त्रीणि	•••	• • •	
19.	विभक्तिश्च	• • •	* * *	154 - 155
20. 21.	युष्मद्युषपदे) असाद्युत्तमः { (5 topics)			
21. 22.	असाद्युत्तमः } (5 topics)	•••	* * *	155 - 165
	राष प्रथमः परः सन्निकर्षः संहिता			
	पर सान्नकथ साहता विरामोऽवसानम्	•••	• • •	165—170
1.	ापरामा उपलानम्	•••	***	170—174
	NINETEENTH	ĀHNIKA		•
	समर्थः पद्विधिः (10 topics)	***		175—225

TWENTIETH ĀHNIKA

	$Sar{u}tra$			Page
1.	सुबामन्त्रिते पराङ्गवत्सरे (5 top	ics)	•••	226 - 231
2.	प्राक्कडारात्समासः	•••	• • •	231—2 33
3.	सह सुपा	• • •	• • •	233 - 235
4.	अञ्चर्याभावः		•••	235 - 236
5.	यथासादश्ये	••••		237
6.	सुप्रातिना मात्रार्थं	***		238
7.	अक्षरालाकासङ्ख्याः परिणा	•••	•••	238 - 239
8.	विभाषापपरिवहिरञ्चवः पञ्चम्या			239 - 240
9.	आङ् मर्यादाभिविध्योः	• • •	• • •	240
10.	यस्य चायामः	•••		240 - 241
11.	तिष्टद्भभृतीनि च		•••	241 - 242
12.	पारे मध्ये षष्ठ्या वा	•••		242 - 243
13.	नदीभिश्च	•••		243 - 245
14.	द्विगुश्च	•••		245
15.	द्वितीया श्रितातीत ···	•••	•••	245 - 248
16.	खद्वा क्षेपे	•••		249
17.	अत्यन्तसंयोगे च	•••		249 - 250
18.	तृतीया तत्कृतार्थेन गुणवचनेन (4	topics)	• • •	250-253
19.	पूर्वसद्यः	• • •	• • •	253
20.	कर्तृकरणे कृता बहुलम्	•••	•••	253 - 254
21.	कृत्यैराधिकार्थवचने	•••	• • •	254
22.	अन्नेन व्यञ्जनम्			255—258
	भक्षेण मिश्रीकरणम्	•••	***	
24.	चतुर्थीं तद्रथीर्थः	***	•••	258—265
25 .	पश्चमी भ्येन्	***	•••	265
26.	सप्तमी शौण्डैः	***	•••	265—266
27.	ध्वाङ्क्षेण क्षेपे	• • •	* * 6	266
	क्र्यैर्ऋणे	4 • •	• • •	266
29.	क्षेप	•••	•••	267
30.	पात्रे समिताद्यश्च	•••	* * #	267—278
•	TWENTYFIR	ST ĀHNIKA		
1.	तद्धिताथौंत्तरपदसमाहारे च (6 t	onics)		279—279
2.	तास्तायात्तरपदसमाहार च (०० सङ्ख्यापूर्वो द्विगुः	opics,	•••	279—281
4.	सङ्ख्यापूर्वा । इ.सुः	***	• • •	

	$Sar{u}tra$			P_{AGE}
3.	कुत्सितानि कुत्सनैः	• • •		281
4.	उपमानानि सामान्यवचनैः	•••	•••	281 - 286
5.	उपभितं व्याव्रादिभिः सामान्याप्रयोगे	ì		286 - 287
6.	विशेषणं विशेष्येण बहुलम्	•••	• • •	287 - 289
7.	पूर्वापरप्रथम	• • •		289 - 290
8.	श्रेण्याद्यः कृतादिभिः	•••	• • •	290 - 291
9.	केन निव्वशिष्टेनानव्	***		291-293
10.	युवा खलति	•••	• • •	294
11.	वर्णो वर्णेन (6 topics)	•••		294 - 307
12.	चतुष्पादो गर्भिण्या	•••	***	308
13.	मयूरव्यंसकादयश्च	•••	•••	308
	TWENTYSECON	d Āhnika		
1.	अर्द्ध नपुंसकम्	• 4 6	**•	309
2.	द्वितीयतृतीय	» » •		310—313
3.	प्राप्तापन्ने च द्वितीयया	***	• • •	313-314
4.	कालाः परिमाणिना (4 topics)	•••		314-317
5.	नञ्	• • •		317-324
6.	ईषद् कृता	***	• • •	324
7.	षष्ठी	•••	•••	324—326
8.	न निर्घारणे	•••	• • •	326
9.	पूरणगुणसुहितार्थ	• • •	•••	326 - 329
10.	कर्मणि च	•••	• • •	330332
11.	नित्यं क्रीडाजीविकयोः	4 + 9	* * *	332
12.	कुगतिप्राद्यः	•••	•••	332—335
13.	उप्पदमातिङ् (4 topics)	***	•••	335—339
	अमैवाव्ययेन	• • •	•••	339
15.	रोषो बहुवीहिः	•••	• • •	340
	Corrigenda			342
(i)	Index of $S\bar{u}tras$	•••	• • •	343
(ii)	Do. Vārttikas	•••		344
(iii)	Do. Paribhāṣas, Nyāyas		***	35 0
(iv)	Do. Important Sanskrit	words	• • •	350
(v)	Do. Important English v	vords	• • •	359

LECTURES ON PATAÑJALI'S MAHĀBHĀSYĀ

Volume V

Fifteenth Ahnika

(First $adhy\bar{a}ya$, fourth $p\bar{a}da$, first $\bar{a}hnika$)

आ कडारादेका संज्ञा (1, 4, 1)

Two topics are found here:—(1) Merits and defects in the readings \bar{A} kadārād ēkā samjñā and $Pr\bar{a}k$ kadārāt param kāryam. (2) Benefits of this $s\bar{u}tra$.

[--i

किमर्थिमिदमुच्यते? What purpose is served by this sūtra? अन्यत्र संज्ञासमावेशानियमार्थं वचनम्

This is for the sake of niyama on account of samāvēśa being found elsewhere.

अन्यत संज्ञासमावेशो भवति

There is concourse of different $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ elsewhere.

कान्यत्र? What does anyatra refer to?

लोके ज्याकरणे च Both in the world and in Vyākaraņa.

लोके तावंत - इन्द्रः शकः पुरुह्तः पुरन्दरः, कन्दुः कोष्ठः कुसूलः इति । एकस्य द्रव्यस्य बह्वाः संज्ञा भवन्ति

Firstly in the world:—Indra is called Indra, Śakra, Puruhūtu, Purandara etc. and granary is called kandu, kōṣṭha, kusūla etc. Many $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ are found with reference to a single object.

Note:—Even though the words indra, śakra, puruhūta and purandara have different pravrttinimittas, Mahābhāṣya-kāra has mentioned them here, since people use them to denote Indra without reference to their respective pravrttinimitta.

व्याकरणेऽपि - कर्तव्यं हर्तव्यम् इत्यत्र प्रत्ययक्तरकृत्यसंज्ञानां समावेशो भवति । पाञ्चालः वैदेहः वैदर्भः इत्यत्न प्रत्ययतद्धिततद्राजसंज्ञानां समावेशो भवति ।

In grammar too—there is concourse of the $samj\tilde{n}as$ of pratyaya, krt and krtya with reference to tavya in the words kartavyam

and hartavyam and of pratyaya, taddhita and tadrāja with reference to $a\tilde{n}$ in the words $p\bar{a}\tilde{n}c\bar{a}lah$, $v\bar{a}id\bar{e}hah$ and $v\bar{a}idarbhah$.

अन्यत्र संज्ञासमावेशाद् एतस्मात्कारणाद् आकडारादिष संज्ञानां समावेशः प्राप्तोति । इप्यते चैकैव संज्ञा स्यादिति । तच्चान्तरेण यतं न सिध्यति इति नियमार्थं वचनम् । एवमर्थमिद्मुच्यते

Since there is concourse of $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}s$ elsewhere, there is chance for the same, of $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}s$ found before the $s\tilde{u}tra$ $Kad\tilde{a}r\tilde{a}h$ $karma-dh\tilde{a}ray\bar{e}$ (2, 2, 38); but it is desired that there should be only one $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ and it cannot be accomplished without effort and hence this $s\tilde{u}tra$ is for the sake of niyama. This is the purpose served by this $s\tilde{u}tra$.

अस्ति प्रयोजनम् एतत्? Is this the prayojana?

किं तहींति? What then?

कथं त्वेतत्सूत्रं पठितव्यं, किम् आकडारादेका संज्ञा इति आहोस्वित् प्राक्कडा-रात्परं कार्यम् इति ?

How is this sūtra to be read— \bar{A} kadārād ēkā samj \tilde{n} ā or $Pr\bar{a}k$ kadārāt param kāryam?

कुतः पुनरयं सन्देहः ! What is the source of this doubt ?

उभयथा ह्याचार्येण शिष्याः सूत्रं प्रतिपादिताः, केचिद् आकडारादेका संज्ञा इति, केचित् प्राक्कडारात्वरं कार्यम् इति ।

For, the pupils were taught by the $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ both ways, some the form ' $\bar{A}kad\bar{a}r\bar{a}d\ \bar{e}k\bar{a}\ sa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ ' and others the form ' $Pr\bar{a}k\ kad\bar{a}r\bar{a}t\ para\dot{m}\ k\bar{a}ryam$.'

कश्चात्र विशेषः? What will be the difference here?

तत्रैकसंज्ञाधिकारे तद्वचनम्

If it is ēka-samjñā-adhikāra, need of its mention.

तत्रैकसंज्ञाधिकारे तद् वक्तव्यम्

It should be mentioned, if it is ēka-samjnā-adhikāra.

किम्? What does tad refer to?

एका संज्ञा भवति इति

That there is $\bar{\epsilon}ka$ -sa $mj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$. (i.e.) The expression $\bar{\epsilon}k\bar{a}$ sa $mj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ should be read in the $s\bar{u}tra$, as it is now read.

ननु च यस्यापि परं कार्यत्वं तेनापि परग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्

Oh, the mention of the expression $param\ k\bar{a}ryam$ is necessary even to him who holds it to be $paramk\bar{a}ryatva-adhik\bar{a}ra$. (i.e.) he has to read the $s\bar{u}tra\ \bar{A}$ - $kad\bar{a}r\bar{a}t\ param\ k\bar{a}ryam$.

परार्थं मम भविष्यति 'विश्रतिषेधे च '

It will be used in the next sūtra, so that it may be read Vipratiṣēdhē ca instead of Vipratiṣēdhē param kāryam.

ममापि तर्हि एक प्रहणं परार्थं भविष्यति सरूपाणा मेक रोष एक विभक्तो इति If so, the word $\bar{e}ka$ here will be used also by me in the following sūtra $Sar\bar{u}p\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ $\bar{e}ka$ \$ \bar{e}

Note:—Even though $Sar\bar{u}p\bar{a}n\bar{a}m...(1, 2, 64)$ is read in the second $p\bar{a}da$, $\bar{e}ka$ -sa $min\bar{a}$ -adhik $\bar{a}ra$ - $v\bar{a}din$ says that he will read it here.

संज्ञाधिकारश्चायम् । तत्र किमन्यच्छक्यं विज्ञातुमन्यदतः संज्ञायाः । तत्रैतावद् वाच्यम् 'आ कडारादेका'

This portion deals with $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$. Hence which can be mentioned here other than $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$? So it will suffice if the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read ' $Akad\bar{a}r\bar{a}d\ \bar{e}k\bar{a}$.'

किम्? What does êkā mean?

एका संज्ञा भवति इति – Only one $sainj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ sets in.

अङ्गसंज्ञया भपदसंज्ञयोरसमावेशः

Non-concourse of bha-samjñā and padasamjñā with angasamjñā

अङ्गसंज्ञया भपदसंज्ञयोः समावेशो न प्राप्तोति, सार्पिष्कः, बार्हिष्कः, याजुष्कः, धानुष्कः, बाभ्रव्यः, माण्डव्य इति

There will be no chance for bha-samj $n\bar{a}$ and $padasamj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ to exist together with anga-samj $n\bar{a}$, as in $s\bar{a}rpiskah$, $b\bar{a}rhiskah$, $y\bar{a}juskah$, $dh\bar{a}nuskah$, $b\bar{a}bhravyah$ and $m\bar{a}ndavyah$.

Note:—It is through the aiga-sa $ijn\bar{a}$, the initial vowel of the words sarpis, barhis, yajus etc. has taken vrddhi since they are followed by the taddhitapratyayas thak etc. on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tras$ $Taddhit\bar{e}svac\bar{a}m$ $\bar{a}d\bar{e}h$. (7, 2, 117) and Kiti ca (7, 2, 118).

अनवकाशे भपदसंज्ञे अङ्गसंज्ञां बाधेयाताम्

The $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ bha and pada being $anavak\bar{a}sa$ will set at naught $a\dot{n}ga$ - $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः । यस्य पुनः परंकार्यत्वं नियमा-नुपपत्तेस्तस्योभयोः संज्ञयोभीवः सिद्धः

But, on the other hand, if paravacana is acceded to, both the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ can exist together on account of the absence of niyama. The simultaneous existence of both the $sa\tilde{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ is settled to $para\dot{m}-k\bar{a}ryatva-v\bar{a}din$.

कथम् ? How?

पूर्वे तस्य भपदसंज्ञे, पराङ्गसंज्ञा

The $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ -bha and pada precede the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{u}$ -aiga according to him.

Note:—He has to explain his previous statement since the order of the sūtras in Aṣṭādhyāyī does not agree with it. The order is as follows:—Yasmāt pratyayavidhis tadādipratyayē aṅgam 1, 4, 13), Svādiṣvasarvanāmasthānē (padam) 1, 4, 17) Yaci bham 1, 4, 18).

कथम् ? How?

एवं स वक्ष्यति - यस्मात्त्रत्ययविधिस्तदादि सुप्तिङन्तं पदम्, नः कये, सिति च, स्वादिष्वसर्वनामस्थाने, यचि भम्, तस्यान्ते प्रत्ययेऽङ्गम् इति । तत्र आरम्भ-सामर्थ्याच भपदसंज्ञे, परङ्कार्यत्वाचाङ्गसंज्ञा भविष्यति ।

He will read the sūtras thus:—Yasmāt pratyayavidhis tadādi suptinantam padam, Naḥ kyē, Siti ca, Svādiṣvasarvanāmasthānē, Yaci bham, (at the end) Pratyayēsigam. First the samjñās bha and pada set in, since they are mentioned first. Then comes the anga-samjñā on account of parankāryatva.

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारस्तस्याप्यक्तसंज्ञापूर्विके भपदसंज्ञे

Oh! the samjñās bha and pada are preceded by the angasamjñā even to the $\bar{e}ka$ -samjñādhikāra-vādin.

कथम्? How?

अनुवृत्तिः कियते - Anuvṛtti (of $padasamij\~n\=a$) is done.

पर्यायः पसज्येत । एका संज्ञेति वचनात्रास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

There will be chance for either of the two to operate. Since the $adhik\bar{a}ra$ relates to $\bar{e}ka$ - $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, there is no chance for both to exist simultaneously.

कर्मधारयत्वे तत्प्रवग्रहणम्

Mention of tatpuruşa with reference to karmadhāraya.

कर्मधारयत्वे तत्पुरुपग्रहणं कर्तव्यं तत्पुरुषः समानाधिकरणः कर्मधारय इति Mention should be made of tatpuruṣa with reference to karma-dhāraya thus:—Tatpuruṣaḥ samānādhikaraṇaḥ karmadhārayaḥ (as is done in 1, 2, 42).

एकसंज्ञाधिकार इति चोदितम् । अकियमाणे हि तत्पुरुषप्रहणे ह्यानवकाशा कर्मधारयसंज्ञा तत्पुरुपसंज्ञां बाधत ।

It is said that this section is $\bar{e}ka$ -sa $mj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ -adhi $k\bar{a}ra$. If tatpuru, a is not read, $karmadh\bar{a}rayasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ which is $anavak\bar{a}$ \hat{a} will set at naught tatpuru, $asamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः । यस्य पुनः परंकार्यत्वं नियमानुप-पत्तेस्तस्योभयोः संज्ञयोभीवः सिद्धः

But, on the other hand, both the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ can simultaneously exist according to paravacana, since there is no niyama. Simultaneous existence of both the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ on account of the absence of niyama according to $para\dot{n}k\bar{a}ryatvav\bar{a}din$ is possible.

कथम् ! How ?

पूर्वा तस्य कर्मधारयसंज्ञा, परा तत्पुरुषसंज्ञा

 $Karmadh\bar{a}rayasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, according to him, precedes $tatpurusasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

कथम् ? How?

एवं स वक्ष्यति - पूर्वकालैकसर्वजरतपुराणनवक्रेवलाः समानाधिकरणेन कर्मधारय इति । एवं सर्व कर्मधारयप्रकरणमनुक्रम्य तस्यान्ते श्रितादिभिस्ततपुरुषः इति । तत्रारम्भसामर्थ्याच्च कर्मधारयसंज्ञा, परंकार्यत्वाच्च तत्पुरुषसंज्ञा भविष्यति ।

He will read thus:—Pūrvakālāikasarvajaratpurāṇanavakēvalāḥ samānādhikaraṇēna karmadhārayaḥ. After reading all the sūtras relating to karmadhāraya, he will read at the end Śritā-dibhis ta'puruṣaḥ, Karmadhārayasaṁjñā will set in on account of ārambhasāmarthya and tatpuruṣasaṁjñā on account of paraṅkāryatva,

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारः तस्यापि तत्पुरुपसंज्ञापूर्विका कर्मधारयसंज्ञा Oh! $karmadh\bar{a}rayasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ will be preceded by $tatpurusasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ even according to $\bar{\epsilon}kasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ -adhik $\bar{a}rav\bar{a}din$.

कथम् ? How?

अनुवृत्तिः क्रियते Anuvṛtti is resorted to.

पर्यायः प्रसज्येत । एका संज्ञति वचनान्नास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

There is chance for either of the two to operate. Since the $adhik\bar{a}ra$ relates to $\bar{e}ka$ -sam $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, there is no chance for both to exist simultaneously.

तत्पुरुषे द्विगुचग्रहणम्

Need of reading ca along with dvigu with reference to tatpurusa.

तत्पुरुषे द्विगुचश्रहणं कर्तव्यम् - तत्पुरुषः द्विगुश्च इति चकारः कर्तव्यः

There is need to read ca after dviju with reference to tatpuruṣa. $Cak\bar{a}ra$ is to be read thus:—Tatpuruṣah and Dviguś ca.

Note:—Here it is possible for a doubt to arise. Does the $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$ refer to the $s\bar{u}tra$ Dvigus ca (2, 1, 23) or to the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Sankhy\bar{a}p\bar{u}rv\bar{o}$ dviguh (2, 1, 52)? $K\bar{a}iyata$ answers that he refers to the latter, since the former is unnecessary both to $\bar{e}ka$ - $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ - $v\bar{a}din$ and to $para\dot{n}k\bar{a}ryatva$ - $v\bar{a}din$.

अित्रयमाणे हि चकारेऽनवकाशा द्विगुसंज्ञा तत्पुरुपसंज्ञां बाधेत For, if cakāra is not read, dvigusainjñā which is anavakāśa will set at naught tatpuruṣasainjñā.

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः - यस्य पुनः परंकार्यत्वं नियमानुप-पत्तेस्तस्योभयोः संज्ञयोभावः सिद्धः

But, on the other hand, both the $samj\tilde{n}as$ can simultaneously exist according to $parank\tilde{a}ryatvav\bar{a}din$, since there is no niyama.

कथम्? How?

पूर्वा तस्य द्विगुसंज्ञा परा तत्पुरुषसंज्ञा

According to him $dvigusamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ precedes $tatpurusasamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

कथम्? How?

एवं स वक्ष्यति - तद्धितार्थोत्तरपदसमाहारे च सङ्ख्यापूर्वे द्विगुः इति, एवं सर्वे द्विगुप्रकरणमनुकम्य तस्यान्ते श्रितादिभिस्तत्पुरुषः इति । तल आरम्भसामर्थ्याच द्विगुसंज्ञा, परंकार्यत्वाच तत्पुरुषसंज्ञा भविष्यति ।

He will read thus:—Having commenced dviguprakarana by the sūtra Taddhitārthōttarapadasamāhārē ca sankhyāpūrvō dviguḥ, he will read the sūtra Śritādibhis tatpuruṣaḥ at its end. Dvigusamjñā will appear, in that case, by ārambhasāmarthya and tatpuruṣasamjñā by parankāryatva.

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारस्तस्यापि तत्पुरुषसंज्ञापूर्विका द्विगुसंज्ञा

Oh! dvigusanijnā will be preceded by tatpuruṣasanijnā even according to ēkasanijnādhikāravādin.

कथम् ! How ?

अनुवृतिः क्रियते Anuntti is resorted to.

पर्यायः प्रसज्येत । एका संज्ञेतिवचनात् नास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

There is chance for either of the two to operate. There is no chance for simultaneous operation of both on account of $\bar{e}kasa\dot{m}j^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}tvapaksa$.

गतिदिवःकर्महेतुमत्सु चग्रहणम्

Need to read ca in the sūtras Gatiś ca (1, 4, 60), Divah karma ca (1, 4, 43) and Tatprayōjakō hētuś ca (1, 4, 55.)

गतिदिवःकर्महेतुमत्सु चम्रहणं कर्तव्यम्

Ca should be read in the sūtras Gatiś ca, Divaḥ karma ca and Talprayōjakō hētuś ca.

उपसर्गाः क्रियायोगे, गतिश्च इति चकारः कर्तव्यः

 $Cak\bar{a}ra$ should be read in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Gati \dot{s} ca which follows the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Upasarg\bar{a}h$ $kriy\bar{a}y\bar{o}g\bar{e}$.

अक्रियमाणे हि चकारे अनवकाशोपसर्गसंज्ञा गतिसंज्ञां वाधेत ।

If $cak\bar{a}ra$ is not read, $upasargasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ which is $anavak\bar{a}sa$ will set at naught $gatisamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः । यस्य पुनः परक्कार्यत्वं नियमानुप-पत्तेस्तस्योभयोः संज्ञयोर्भावः सिद्धः

Simultaneous existence of both the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ on account of the absence of niyama in pravacana. The simultaneous existence of both the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ is settled, according to $para\dot{n}k\bar{a}ryatvavadin$, on account of the absence of the niyama.

कथम् ? How ?

पूर्वा तस्योपसर्गसंज्ञा, परा गतिसंज्ञा। तत्र आरम्भसामर्थ्याच उपसर्गसंज्ञां, परंकार्यत्वाच गतिसंज्ञा भविष्यति

 $Upasargasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$, according to him, precedes $gati-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$. $Upasargasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ first appears on account of $\tilde{a}rambha-s\tilde{a}marthya$ and then $gati-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ appears on account of $para\dot{n}k\tilde{a}ryatva$.

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारः तस्याप्युपसर्गसंज्ञापूर्विका गतिसंज्ञा Oh! $gatisamj^{\tilde{n}}\tilde{a}$ is preceded by $upasarga-samj^{\tilde{n}}\tilde{a}$ even according to $\tilde{e}ka-samj^{\tilde{n}}\tilde{a}dhik\bar{a}ra-paksin$.

कथम्? How?

अनुवृत्तिः क्रियते Anuvṛtti is resorted to.

पर्यायः प्रसज्येत, एका संक्षेति वचनान्नास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

There is chance for $pary\bar{a}ya$. Simultaneous existence is not possible on account of the mention of $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ samp $\bar{n}\bar{a}$.

गतिसंज्ञाप्यनवकाशा सा वचनाद्भविष्यति

Gatisa $\dot{m}j^{\tilde{n}}\bar{a}$, too, is $anavak\bar{a}\dot{s}\bar{a}$ and hence it sets in on account of its mention.

सावकाशा गतिसंज्ञा Gatisamiñā is sāvakāśā.

कोऽवकाशः? Where does it operate?

ऊर्यादीन्यवकाशः

It operates with reference to $\bar{u}ry\bar{a}dis$ mentioned in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{U}ry\bar{a}di$ -cvi- $d\bar{a}cas$ ca (1, 4, 61).

प्रादीनां या गतिसंज्ञा सानवकाशा

The gatisamjā with reference to $pr\bar{a}dis$ is anavakāśā.

साधकतमं करणं, दिवः कर्म च इति चकारः कर्तव्यः

Cakāra should be read in the sūtra Sādhakatamam karaṇam.

अक्रियमाणे हि चकारेऽनवकाशा कर्मसंज्ञां बाधेत

If $cak\bar{a}ra$ is not read, $karmasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ which is $anavak\bar{a}\dot{s}a$ will set at naught the $karanasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः । यस्य पुनः परङ्कार्यत्वं नियमानुपपत्ते-स्तस्योभयोः संज्ञयोर्भावः सिद्धः

Both the $samj^{\tilde{n}}\bar{a}s$ can exist on account of the absence of niyama in paravacana. Simultaneous existence of both the

 $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ is settled in $para\dot{n}k\bar{a}ryatvapaksa$ on account of the absence of niyama.

कथम् ? How?

पूर्वा तस्य कर्मसंज्ञा, परा करणसंज्ञा

 $Karma-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, according to him, precedes $karana-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

कथम् ? How?

एवं स वक्ष्यित - दिवः साधकतमं कर्म, ततः करणम् - करणसंज्ञं च भवति साधकतमम् । दिवः इति निवृत्तम् । तत्र आरम्भसामध्यि कर्मसंज्ञा, परङ्कार्यत्वाच करणसंज्ञा भविष्यति

He will read the $s\bar{u}tras$ thus:—Divah $s\bar{a}dhakatamam$ karma, then, the $s\bar{u}tra$ Karanam, which means that $s\bar{a}dhakatamam$ takes $karmasamij\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ too. Then the word divah recedes. According to that reading there is $karmasamij\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on account of $\bar{a}rambha$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$ and $karanasamij\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on account of $parai-k\bar{a}ryatva$.

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारः तस्यापि करणसंज्ञापूर्विका कर्मसंज्ञा । Oh, even according to $\bar{e}kasamij\bar{n}\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}rin$, $karmasamij\bar{n}\bar{a}$ is preceded by $karamasamij\bar{n}\bar{a}$.

कथम् ? How ?

अनुवृत्तिः कियते Anuvriti is resorted to.

पर्यायः प्रसज्येत । एका संज्ञा इति वचनात्रास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

There is chance for $pary\bar{a}ya$. Simultaneous existence is not possible on account of the dietum $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ sain $j^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$.

(हेतुमत्) स्वतन्त्रः कर्ता, तत्त्रयोजको हेतुश्च इति चकारः कर्तव्यः Cakāra should be read (as is found now) in the sūtra Tat-prayōjakō hētuś ca which follows the sūtra Svatantrah kartā (1, 4, 54).

अकियमाणे हि चकारे, अनवकाशा हेतुसंज्ञा कर्तृसंज्ञां वाधेत For, if $cak\bar{a}ra$ is not read. $h\bar{e}tusa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, being $anavak\bar{a}sa$, may set at naught $kartrsa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः । यस्य पुनः परङ्कार्यत्वं नियमानुप-पत्तेस्तस्योभयोः संज्ञयोभीवः सिद्धः । On the mention of paravacana, on the other hand, there is the simultaneous existence of both the samjñās on account of the absence of niyama. The existence of both the samjñās is settled, according to parankāryatrarādin, on account of the absence of niyama.

कथम् ? How ?

पूर्वा तस्य हेतुसंज्ञा, परा कर्तृसंज्ञा

Hētusamjñū, according to him, precedes kartrsamjñā.

कथम् ? How?

एवं स वक्ष्यति – स्वतन्त्रः प्रयोजको हेतुः इति । ततः कर्ता । कर्तृसंज्ञश्च भवति स्वतन्त्रः । प्रयोजक इति निवृत्तम् । तत्र आरम्भसामर्थ्याच हेतुसंज्ञा परंकार्यत्वाच कर्तृसंज्ञा भविष्यति ।

He will read thus:— 'Svalantralı prayojako hētulı'; then Karta which means that it being svalantra takes kartṛṣamɨjñā. The word prayojaka recedes. Then hētusamɨjñā appears on account of ārambhasāmarthya and kartṛṣamɨjñā on account of paramɨkāryatva.

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारः, तस्यापि कर्तृसंज्ञापूर्विका हेतुसंज्ञा Oh, hētusanijā is preceded by kartysanijā even according to ēkasanijāādhikāravādin.

कथम् ? How!

अनुवृत्तिः कियते Anuvrtti is resorted to.

पर्यायः शसज्येत । एका संज्ञा इति वचनान्नास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

There is chance for either to operate. There cannot be simultaneous existence on account of the mention of $\bar{\epsilon}k\bar{a}$ samp $\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

गुरुलघुसंज्ञे नदीघिसंज्ञे

The samjñās guru and laghu may set at naught nadī and ghi respectively.

गुरुलघुसंज्ञे बाधेयाताम्, गार्गीबन्धः वात्सीबन्धः, वेत्रं विविनय्य The samjñās guru and laghu may set at naught the samjñās nadī and ghi so that there will be difficulty in the svara of gārgī-bandhuḥ and vātsībandhuḥ and in the formation of vāinram and vivinayya.

Note:-1. $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that this difficulty will arise only if we consider that the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ ghi and $nad\bar{i}$ relate to only letters; if, on the other hand, they relate to tadanta, it will not arise.

Note:-2. If there is bādha, the sūtra Nadī bandhuni (6, 2, 109) enjoining the udāttatva of nadyanta cannot operate; the sūtra Dvandvē ghi (2, 2, 32) enjoining the pūrvanipāta in vinarāu cannot operate to allow it to take an by the sūtra Igantācca laghupūrvāt (5, 1, 131) to secure the form vāinram (vinrāḥ bhāvaḥ); vivinayya is formed thus:—vinarāu ācaṣṭē iti nici, ṭilāpē ca krtē Lyapi laghupūrvāt (6, 4, 56) iti nēr ayādēśaḥ. If laghusanijāā sets at naught ghi-samjāā, there will be no pūrvanipāta to vi in vinarāu.

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः । यस्य पुनः परंकार्यत्वं नियमानुप-पत्तेस्तस्योभयोः संज्ञयोभीवः सिद्धः

In the paravacanapakṣa, on the other hand, both the samjñās can exist on account of the absence of niyama. The simultaneous existence of both the samjñās is settled, according to parankāryatvavādin, on account of the anupapatti of niyama.

कथन्? How?

पूर्वे तस्य नदीधिसंज्ञे ; परे गुरुलघुसंज्ञे, तत्रारम्भसामर्थ्याच नदीधिसंज्ञे, परंकार्य-त्वाच गुरुलघुसंज्ञे भविष्यतः

According to him the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}as$ $nad\bar{\imath}$ and ghi precede the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}as$ guru and laghu. The $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}as$ $nad\bar{\imath}$ and ghi set in on account of $\bar{a}rambhas\bar{a}marthya$ and guru and laghu set in on account of $para\dot{n}k\bar{a}ryatva$.

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारस्तस्यापि नदाधिसंज्ञापूर्विके गुरुष्ठघुसंज्ञे Oh, even according to ēkasamjñādhikāravādin, the samjñās guru and laghu are preceded by nadī and ghi.

कथम्? How?

अनुवृत्तिः कियते Anuvitti is resorted to.

पर्यायः प्रसज्येत ; एका संज्ञा इति वचनान्नास्ति याँगपद्येन सम्भवः

There is chance for either of the two to operate; there is no chance for simultaneous existence on account of the dictum $\bar{e}k\bar{a} \ sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

परसैपदसंज्ञां पुरुषसंज्ञा

The purusa-samjñā will set at naught the parasmāipada-samjñā.

परसोपदसंज्ञां पुरुषसंज्ञा बाधेत

The puruṣa-samjñā (enjoined by the sūtra Tinas trīṇi trīṇi prathama-madhyamōttamāḥ 1, 4, 101) will set at naught the parasmāipada-samjñā (enjoined by the sūtra Laḥ parasmāipadam 1, 4, 99).

परवचने हि नियमानुपपत्तेरुभयसंज्ञाभावः । यस्य पुनः परंकार्यत्वं नियमानुप-पत्तेस्तस्योभयोः संज्ञयोभीवः सिद्धः

On the mention of paravacana, on the other hand, both the $sainj\tilde{n}as$ can exist on account of the absence of niyama. The simultaneous existence of the two $sainj\tilde{n}as$ is settled, according to $paraik\bar{a}ryatvav\bar{a}din$, on account of the absence of niyama.

कथम्? How?

पूर्वा तस्य पुरुषसंज्ञा, परा परसौपदसंज्ञा

Puruṣasamjñā, according to him, precedes parasmāipada-samjñā.

कथम्? How?

एवं स वक्ष्यति - तिङस्रोणि त्रीणि प्रथममध्यमोत्तमाः इति, एवं सर्वपुरुष-नियममनुक्रम्य तस्यान्ते लः परसैपदम् इति । तलारम्भसामर्थ्याच पुरुषसंज्ञा, परक्कार्य-त्वाच परसैपदसंज्ञा भविष्यति ।

He will read the sūtra thus:—After reading all the sūtras relating to person starting with Tinas trīṇi trīṇi prathama-madhyama-utlamāḥ, the sūtra Laḥ parasmāipadam will be read at the end. Puruṣa-sainjñā appears there on account of ārambhasāmarthya and parasmāipada-sainjñā on account of paraikāryatva.

ननु च यस्याप्येकसंज्ञाधिकारस्तस्यापि परसीपदसंज्ञापूर्विका पुरुषसंज्ञा Oh, even according to ēka-samjñādhikāravādin, puruṣa-samjña is preceded by parasmāipadasamjñā.

कथम्? How?

अनुवृत्तिः कियते Anuvrtti is resorted to.

पर्यायः भसज्येत । एका संज्ञा इति वचनान्नास्ति योगपद्येन सम्भवः There is chance for either of the two to operate. There will be no simultaneous existance on account of the dictum $\bar{e}k\bar{a}-samj^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$.

परसेपदसंज्ञाप्यनवकाशा वचनाद्भविष्यति

 $Parasm\bar{a}ipada-sainj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ too appears there on account of its being $anavak\bar{a}\hat{s}a$.

सावकाशा परसमपदसंज्ञा Parasmāipada-sainjnā is sāvakāśā.

कोऽवकाशः? Where is the avakāśa?

शतृकस् अवकाशः Avakāśa is where śatr and kvasu appear.

I-ii

परवचने सिति पदं भय

On taking the reading $Pr\bar{a}k$ kadārāt param kāryam there is chance for both the padasamjāā and bhasamjāā to operate simultaneously before the pratyaya which has s for its it.

परवचने सिति पदं भसंज्ञमपि प्रामोति अयं ते योनिर्ऋत्वियः (R.V. 3, 29, 10), प्रजां विन्दाम ऋत्वियाम् (R. V.) । आरम्भसामध्याच्च पदसंज्ञा, परंकार्यत्वाच्च भसंज्ञा प्रामोति

On taking the reading $paraink\bar{a}ryam$, there is chance for both the $padasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and $bhasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to operate simultaneouly, so that the $V\bar{e}dic$ forms rtviyah and $rtviy\bar{a}m$ in the $Rgv\bar{e}dic$ sentences Ayam $t\bar{e}$ $y\bar{o}nir$ rtviyah and $Praj\bar{a}m$ $vind\bar{a}ma$ $rtviy\bar{a}m$ cannot be secured. There will be $padasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on account of $\bar{a}rambhas\bar{a}marthya$ and $bhasami\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on account of $parank\bar{a}ryatva$.

Note:—The word rtu takes the pratyaya ghas by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Chandasi ghas (5, 1, 106) and ghas takes the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ iya by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Ayan...iyah phadhakhachaghām pratyayādīnām (7, 1, 2). Rtu+iya becomes rtviya. If bhasainjñā appears there, u will take guṇa by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Or guṇah (6, 4, 146) and consequently the form rtviya cannot be secured.

गतिबुद्धचादीनां ण्यन्तानां कर्म कर्तृसंज्ञम्

The karma in nyanta of the roots gam, budh etc. may chance to take $kartrsamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ too.

1. Yajurvēdasamhitā reads ऋतियात्प्रजां विन्दामहै (2, 5, 1, 5)

गतिबुद्धचादीनां ण्यन्तानां कर्म कर्तृसंज्ञमि शमोति । आरम्भसामर्थ्याच कर्मसंज्ञा परंकार्यत्वाच कर्तृसंज्ञा शमोति ।

The karma in nyanta of the roots gam, budh etc. may chance to take kartṛṣamjṇā too; karma-samjṇā chances to appear through ārambha-sāmarthya and kartṛ-samjṇā through parankāryatva.

Note:—The sūtra Gati-buddhi... is 1, 4, 52 and the sūtra Svatantrah karta is 1, 4, 54.

नैष दोषः । आचार्यप्रवृत्तिक्कापयति न कर्मसंज्ञायां कर्तृसंज्ञा भवतीति, यदयं हुकोरन्यतरस्याम् इत्यन्यतरस्याङ्गहणं करोति ।

This difficulty does not arise. The procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ suggests that $kartrsa\dot{m}j^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$ does not appear where $karma-sa\dot{m}j^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$ exists, since he reads the word anyatarasyām in the sūtra $Hrkr\bar{o}r$ anyatarasyām (1, 4, 53).

शेषवचनं च घिसंज्ञानिवृत्त्यर्थम्

Need to read the word seşa also to avoid ghisanijna.

शेषवचनं च कर्तव्यं - शेषो ध्यसिख

The word $s\bar{e}sa$ has to be read (as is done now in (1, 4, 7).

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why?

विसंज्ञानिवृत्त्यर्थम् - नदीसंज्ञायां विसंज्ञा मा भूदिति - शक्ट्ये पद्धत्ये बुद्धये घेन्वे - इत्रथा हि परक्कार्यत्वाच विसंज्ञा आरम्भसामर्थ्याच ङिति हस्वश्च इति नदीसंज्ञा। To prevent ghisamijñā, so that ghisamijñā may not appear where there is nadīsamijñā to secure the forms śakatyāi, paddhatyāi, buddhyāi and dhēnvāi. Or there will be ghisamijñā through parankāryatva and nadīsamijñā by the sūtra niti hrasvas ca through ārambhasamarthya.

न वासम्भवात् No, it need not be read on account of asambhava.
न वा कर्तव्यम् । No, it need not be read.

नदीसंशायां घिसंशा कस्मान भवति ?

How cannot ghisainjñā appear where nadīsainjñā is?

असम्भवात् On account of asambhava.

कोऽसावसम्भवः?

In what manner is this asambhava to be explained?

हस्वलक्षणा हि नदीसंज्ञा, घिसंज्ञायां च गुणः

 $Nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ has for its basis the short letter and guna is to ghi.

हस्वलक्षणा हि नदीसंज्ञा, घिसंज्ञायां च गुणेन भवितन्यम्

 $Nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is based on hrasvatva and guna takes place where there is ghi-sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

तत्र वचनप्रामाण्यान्नदीसंज्ञायां घिसंज्ञाभावः 1

Non-appearance of ghi-sa $inj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ where there is $nad\tilde{i}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ through the authority of vacana.

तत्र वचनपामाण्यात्रदीसंशायां घिसंशा न भविष्यति

Ghi-samj $\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ will not appear where there is $nad\tilde{\imath}samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ on the authority of the mention of the same by $\tilde{A}c\tilde{a}rya$.

किं कारणम्? Why?

आश्रयाभावात् On account of the absence of āśraya.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says here thus:—If ghi-sa $mij\bar{n}\bar{a}$ has a chance to operate here, it will operate before $nad\bar{i}samij\bar{n}\bar{a}$ operates, so that there will be guna by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Gh\bar{e}r$ niti. Since \bar{e} and \bar{o} are long, the $vidh\bar{u}na$ of $nad\bar{i}samij\bar{n}\bar{a}$ to the stem ending in i and u in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Niti hrasvas ca (1, 4, 6) will be of no use.

आश्रयाभावात्रदीसंज्ञायां घिसंज्ञानिवृत्तिरिति चेद्यणादेशाभावः

If there is $ghisamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ -nivrtti on account of $nad\bar{\imath}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ having no $\bar{a}\acute{s}raya$, there is chance for the absence of $yan\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}\acute{s}a$.

आश्रयाभावात्रदीसंज्ञायां विसंज्ञानिवृत्तिरिति चेद्, एवमुच्यते यणादेशोऽपि न प्रामोति ।

If it is said that ghi-sa $mj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is prevented from operating on account of $nad\bar{\imath}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ not having $\bar{a}\dot{s}raya$, it may then be said that even $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ will have no chance to set in.

नेष दोष: This difficulty does not arise.

नद्याश्रयत्वाद्यणादेशस्य हस्वस्य नदीसंज्ञाभावः

The existence of $nad\bar{\imath}sam\tilde{\imath}j\bar{a}$ to hrasva on account of $yan\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}sa$ depending upon $nad\bar{\imath}samj\tilde{\imath}a$.

1. This is $bh\bar{a}sya$ in some editions.

नद्याश्रयो यगादेशः; यदा नदीसंज्ञया विसंज्ञा बाधिता तत उत्तरकारुं यणादेशेन भवितव्यम् । नद्याश्रयत्वाद् यणादेशस्य हस्वस्य नदीसंज्ञा भविष्यति । Yaṇādēśa depends upon nadīsainjūā; yaṇādēśa takes place after ghi-sainjñā is set at naught by nadīsainjñā. Hrasva takes nadīsainjñā since yaṇādēśa depends upon nadīsainjñā.

बहुत्रीह्यर्थं तु For the sake of bahuvrīhi, then.

बहुत्रीहिप्रतिषेधार्थं तु शेषग्रहणं कर्तन्यं, शेषो बहुत्रीहिः इति

In that case, the word has to be read for the pratisedha of bhahuvrīhi thus:— $\hat{S}\bar{e}s\bar{o}$ bahuvrīhi (as is seen in 2, 2, 23).

किं प्रयोजनम्? What is the prayojana?

प्रयोजनमन्ययीभावोपमानद्विगुकुछोपेषु

Prayojana is seen in ayayībhāva, upamāna, dvigu and krllopa.

अन्ययोभाव - उन्मत्तगङ्गम्, लोहितगङ्गम्; उपमान - शस्त्रीश्यामा, कुमुद-श्येनी; द्विगु - पञ्चगवं, दशगवम्; कुलोपे - निष्कौशाम्बिः निर्वाराणसिः

Examples for avyayībhāva are unmattagangam and löhitagangam, those for upamāna are šastrīśyāmā and kumudaśyēnī, those for dvigu are pañcagavam and daśagavam and those for kṛllōpa are niṣkāuśāmbiḥ and nirvārāṇasiḥ.

Note:—If unmattagaingam takes bahuvrīhitva also, it will, according to Kāiyaṭa, be liable to take pūrvapadaprakṛtisvaratva by the sūtra Bahuvrīhāu prakṛtyā pūrrapadam (6, 2, 1) and the optional kap-pratyaya by the sūtra Śēṣād vibhāṣā (5, 4, 154). Nāgēśabhaṭṭa says that there is no chance for kap, since it is prohibited by the sūtra Na saṃjñāyām (5, 4, 153). Śastrī-śyāmā may take the optional kap, if it becomes a bahuvrīhi. Kāiyaṭa prefers the example pañcapātram to pañcagavam, but Nāgēśa does not agree with him. Niṣkāuśāmbiḥ will optionally take kap, if it is a bahuvrīhi.

तत्र शेषत्रचनाद्दोषः सङ्ख्यासमानाधिकरणनञ्समासेषु बहुत्रीहिप्रतिषेधः The following defect in reading the word sese:—Chance for bahuvrīhipratisēdha with reference to sankhyāsamāsa, samiinā-dhikaraņasamāsa and nañsamāsa.

तत्र शेषवचनाद्दोषो भवति

Difficulty arises on reading the word sese there.

सङ्ख्यासमानाधिकरणनञ्समासेषु बहुत्रीहेः प्रतिषेधः प्राप्नोति

Pratisēdha will chance to appear with reference to the bahū-vrīhi based on saṅkhyāsamāsa, samānādhikaraṇasamāsa and naṃsamāsa.

सङ्ख्या - द्वीरावतीको देशः, त्रीरावतीको देशः । समानाधिकरण - वीरपुरुषको प्रामः । नञ्समासे - अत्राह्मणको देशः, अवृष्ठको देशः

Dvīrāvatīkah and trīrāvātīkah in dvīrāvatīkā dēśah and trīrāvātīkā dēśah are the examples of the bahuvrīhi based on sankhyāsamāsa. Vīrapuruṣakah in vīrapuruṣakā grāmah is the example of the bahuvrīhi based on samānādhikaraṇa-samāsa. Abrāhmaṇakah and avṛṣalakah in abrāhmaṇakā dēśah and avṛṣalakā dēśah are the examples of the bahuvrīhi based on nañsamāsa.

कुछोपे च शेषवचनात्प्रादिभिनं बहुवीहिः

No bahuvrīhi with prādi in krllopa on account of śēṣavacana.

कृहोपे च शेषबचनात् प्रादिभिर्बहुत्रीहिर्न प्रामोति - प्रपतितपर्णः प्रपर्णकः, प्रपतितपलाशः प्रपलाशक इति

On account of the mention of the word $\dot{s}\bar{e}s\bar{e}$, bahuvrīhi with pra etc. when there is the $l\bar{o}pa$ of krt is not possible, so that the forms praparnakah and $prapal\bar{a}\dot{s}akah$ cannot be secured from prapatitavarnah and $prapatitaval\bar{a}\dot{s}ah$.

अथैकसंज्ञाधिकारे कथं सिध्यति ?

How are the above difficulties solved in the ēkasamjāādhikārapakṣa?

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here:— $Ekasainj\tilde{n}av\bar{a}d\tilde{\imath}$ tu śēṣagrahaṇam na karōti. Nāgēśabhatṭa reads:—Padatah śēṣārtham na karōti, trikatah śēṣārtham tu kariṣyati.

एकसंज्ञाधिकारे विप्रतिषेधाद् बहुवीहिः

Bahuvrīhi through the dictum $Vipratis\bar{e}dh\bar{e}...$ in the $\hat{e}kasa\dot{m}jn\bar{a}$ - $dhik\bar{a}rapaksa$.

एकसंज्ञाधिकारे विश्रतिषेधाद् बहुब्रीहिर्भविष्यति

Bahuvrīhi sets in through the dictum $Vipratis\bar{e}dh\bar{e}...$ in the $\bar{e}kasa\dot{m}j^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}rapaksa.$

एकसंज्ञाधिकारे विप्रतिषेधाद् बहुव्रीहिरिति चेत् क्तार्थे प्रतिषेधः

If it is said E kasa $inj\bar{n}\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ $vipratis\bar{e}dh\bar{a}t$ $bahuvr\bar{i}hih$, there is need for $pratis\bar{e}dha$ in $kt\bar{a}rtha$.

एकसंज्ञाधिकारे विप्रतिपेधाद् बहुत्रीहिरिति चेत् क्तार्थे प्रतिपेधो वक्तव्यः । निष्कौशाम्बिः, निर्वाराणसिः

If it is said that, in $\bar{e}kasamj^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$, $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ sets in through the dictum $Vipratis\bar{e}dh\bar{e}$, there is need to prohibit $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ when $kt\bar{a}rtha$ is $v\bar{a}cya$, so that the forms $nisk\bar{a}us\bar{a}mbih$ and $nirv\bar{a}r\bar{a}nasih$ may be secured.

तत्पुरुषोऽत्र बाधको भविष्यति Tatpuruşa, here, sets it at naught. तत्पुरुप इति चेदन्यत्र क्तार्थात्प्रतिषेधः

If tatpuruşa is said to be bādhaka, need for pratiṣēdha in places other than ktārtha.

तत्पुरुष इति चेदन्यत्र कार्थात्प्रतिपेधो वक्तव्यः, प्रपतितवर्णः प्रपर्णकः, प्रपतितप्रशासः प्रपर्णकः,

If tatpuruṣa is taken to be bādhaka, there is need to mention pratiṣēdha in places other than ktārtha, for the sake of securing the forms praparṇakaḥ and prapalāśakaḥ from prapatitavarṇaḥ and prapatitapalāśaḥ.

सिद्धं तु प्रादीनां क्तार्थे तत्पुरुपवचनात्

But it is achieved by reading pralisēdha with reference to prādis in ktārtha.

सिद्धमेतत् This is achieved.

कथम्? How?

प्रादीनां कार्थे तत्पुरुषो भवतीति वक्तव्यम्

There is the need to mention that tatpuruṣa sets in with reference to prādis in ktārtha.

Note:— 1. From the above discussion it is clear that the sūtras in $Astadhyāy\bar{\imath}$ had two recensions, one set of sūtras suited to the reading $Akad\bar{a}r\bar{a}d\ \bar{e}k\bar{a}\ sa\dot{m}j^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$ and the other suited to $Pr\bar{a}k\ kad\bar{a}r\bar{a}t\ paran\ k\bar{a}ryam$. Since it was found easier to answer the objections raised against the former than to answer those raised against the latter, it seems that the former

recension was adopted by the later scholars and the latter died.

Note:—2. Nāgēśabhaṭṭa reads at the end:-Unmattagangam ityatra tu dōṣaḥ sthita ēva iti param kāryam iti pāṭhō dūṣitō bhagavatā, rtviyē dōṣācca iti bōdhyam.

ΙI

कानि पुनरस्य योगस्य प्रयोजनानि ?

What are the benefits accrued from this sūtra?

प्रयोजनं हस्वसंज्ञां दीर्घप्छतौ

Benefit is that the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ $d\bar{\imath}rgha$ and plu/a set at naught the $hrasvasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

ह्रस्वसंज्ञां दीर्घण्छतसंज्ञे बाघेते

The samjāās dīrgha and pluta set at naught the samjāā hrasva.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaṭa$ reads here: $-Uk\bar{a}l\delta j$ hrasvasamju \bar{a} ityuktam. $Uk\bar{a}ra\acute{s}$ ca antv $\bar{a}d$ dvim $\bar{a}tra$ -trim $\bar{a}tray\delta r$ gr $\bar{a}haka$ iti tay $\bar{b}r$ api hrasvasamj $\bar{n}\bar{a}$ pr $\bar{a}pt\bar{a}$ d $\bar{a}rghapluta$ samj $\bar{n}\bar{a}bhy\bar{a}m$ b $\bar{a}dhyat\bar{e}$.

तिङ्सार्वधातुकं लिङ्लिटोरार्घधातुकम्

The $\bar{a}rdhadh\bar{a}tukasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ of $li\dot{n}$ and lit sets at naught the $s\bar{a}rvadh\bar{a}tukasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ of $ti\dot{n}$.

तिङ्सार्वधातुकसंज्ञां लिङ्लिटोरार्धधातुकसंज्ञा बाधते

The $\bar{a}rdhadh\bar{a}tukasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}a$ of $li\dot{n}$ and lit sets at naught the $s\bar{a}rvadh\bar{a}tukasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ of $ti\dot{n}$.

अपत्यं चृद्धं युवा

 $Yuvasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ in $apaty\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$ sets at naught the $g\tilde{o}tra$ -sam $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

अपत्यं वृद्धं युवसंज्ञा बाधते

The $yuvasa\dot{m}j^{\tilde{n}}\bar{a}$, in $apaty\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$, sets at naught the $g\bar{o}trasa\dot{m}j^{\tilde{n}}\bar{a}$.

धिं नदी Nadīsamjñā sets at naught ghi.

घिसंजा नदीसंजा बाधते Nadisainj $\tilde{n}a$ sets at naught ghi-sainj $\tilde{n}a$.

लघु गुरु Guru-samjñā sets at naught laghusamjñā.

लघुसंजां गुरुसंजा बाधते

Guru-sa $\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ sets at naught laghusa $\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$.

पदं भम् Bha-samjñā sets at naught pada-samjñā.

पद्संज्ञां भसंज्ञा बाधते Bha sainjñā sets at naught pada-sainjñā. अपादानमुत्तराणि

The $k\bar{a}rakas$ mentioned later set at naught $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$.

अपादानसंज्ञामुत्तराणि कारकाणि बाधन्ते

The $k\bar{a}rakas$ mentioned later set at naught $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$.

Note:—The sūtra Dhruvam apāyē apādānam (1, 4, 24) immediately follows the sūtra $K\bar{a}rak\bar{e}$ and all the other $k\bar{a}rakas$ are dealt with later.

क ? Where?

धनुषा विध्यति, कंसपाच्यां भुङ्क्ते, गां दोग्धि, धनुर्विध्यति In dhanuṣā vidhyati, kamsapātryām bhunktē, gām dōgdhi and dhanur vidhyati.

घटुषा विध्यति इति, अपाययुक्तत्वाच ध्रुत्रमपायेऽपादानम् इत्यपादानसंज्ञा प्राप्तोति, साधकतमं करणम् इति करणसंज्ञा ; करणसंज्ञा परा, सा भवति With reference to dhanuṣā vidhyati, there is chance for dhanus to take $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{n}j^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$ on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra$ Dhruvam $ap\bar{a}y\bar{e}$ $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam$ (1, 4, 24), since there is separation and to take $karaṇasa\dot{n}j^{\bar{n}}\bar{a}$ on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra$ $S\bar{a}dhakatamain$ karaṇam (1, 4, 42). The latter being $par\bar{a}$ supersedes the former.

कंसपाच्यां भुङ्क्ते इत्यत्र अपाययुक्तत्वाच ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् इत्यपादानसंज्ञा प्राप्तोति ; आधारोऽधिकरणम् इति च अधिकरणसंज्ञा । सा परा भवति । 1 With reference to $ka\dot{m}sap\bar{a}try\bar{a}m\ bhu\dot{n}kt\bar{e}$, there is chance for $ka\dot{m}sap\bar{a}tr\bar{\imath}$ to take $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra\ Dhruvam\ ap\bar{a}y\bar{e}\ ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam\ (1, 4, 24)$, since there is separation and to take $adhikaranasa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ on the stength of the $s\bar{u}tra\ Adh\bar{a}r\bar{o}sdhikaranam\ (1, 4, 45)$. The latter supersedes the former, since it is $par\bar{a}$.

गां दोग्धि इत्यत्र अपाययुक्तत्वाच ध्रुवमगायेऽपादानम् इत्यपादानसंज्ञा प्रामोति, कर्तुरीप्सिततमं कर्म इति कर्मसंज्ञा । परा सा भवति । "

- 1. अधिकरणसंज्ञा परा सा भवति is another reading.
- 2. कर्मसंज्ञा परा सा भवति is another reading.

With reference to $g\bar{a}m\ d\bar{o}gdhi$, there is chance for $g\bar{o}$ to take $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on the stength of the $s\bar{u}tra\ Dhruvam\ ap\bar{a}y\bar{e}$ $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam\ (1,4,24)$ since there is separation and to take $karma-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra\ Kartur\ \bar{\imath}psitatama\dot{m}$ $karma\ (1,4,49)$. The latter being $par\bar{a}$ supersedes the former.

धनुर्विध्यति इत्यत्न अपाययुक्तत्वाच अपादानसंज्ञा प्राप्नोति, स्वतन्त्रः कर्ता इति कर्तृसंज्ञा । सा परा भवति 1

With reference to dhanur vidhyati, there is chance for dhanus to take $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, since there is separation and to take $kartrsa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra$ Svatantrah $kart\bar{a}$ (1, 4, 54). The latter being $par\bar{a}$ supersedes the former.

Note:—Nāgōjibhaṭṭa says that, with reference to the samijñās in this adhikāra, yathōddēśapakṣa alone holds good.

क्रुधदुहोरुपसृष्टयोः कर्म सम्प्रदानम्

Karma supersedes $samprad\bar{a}na$ with reference to that which qualifies the roots krudh and druh preceded by prepositions.

क्रुधदुहोरुपसृष्टयोः कर्मसंज्ञा सम्प्रदानसंज्ञां बाधते

 $Karmasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ sets at naught $samprad\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to that which qualifies the roots krudh and druh preceded by prepositions.

करणं पराणि Karanasam jñā is superseded by those that follow.

करणसंज्ञां पराणि कारकाणि बाधन्ते

Those that follow $karaṇasanij\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ set it at naught.

新! Where is it so?

धनुर्विध्यति, असिच्छिनत्ति इति

In the words dhanus and asi in the sentences dhanur vidhyati and asicchinatti.

Note:—Since the sūtra Svatantrah kartā is para to Sādha-katamam karanam, dhanus and asi are used as kartā.

अधिकरणं कर्म

Karmasainjñā sets at naught adhikaranasainjñā.

1. कर्तृसंज्ञा परा सा भवति is another reading.

अधिकरणसंज्ञां कर्मसंज्ञा बाधते

Karmasaminā sets at naught adhikaranasaminā.

事? Where is it so?

गेहं प्रविशति इति

In the word gēha in the sentence gēham praviśati.

अधिकरणं कर्ता Kartṛsamiñā supersedes adhikaraṇasamiñā.

अधिकरणसंज्ञां कर्तृसंज्ञा बाधते

Kartrsamjñā sets at naught adhikaranasamjñā.

新? Where?

स्थाली पचति In the word sthālī in the sentence sthālī pacati.

अध्युपसृष्टं कर्म

 $Karmasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ supersedes $adhikaramasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to roots ($\tilde{s}\tilde{\imath}$ etc.) preceded by the preposition adhi.

अध्युपसृष्टं कर्म अधिकरणसंज्ञां बाधते

The karma supersedes $adhikaranasamin\bar{n}a$ when it qualifies roots like $\tilde{s}\bar{i}$ preceded by the preposition adhi.

गत्यपसर्गसंज्ञे कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा

Karmapravacanīya-samij $\bar{n}\bar{a}$ supersedes gati-samij $\bar{n}\bar{a}$ and upasarga-samij $\bar{n}\bar{a}$.

गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञे कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा बाधते

Karmapravacanīya-samj $\tilde{n}a$ sets at naught the gati-samj $\tilde{n}a$ and the upasarga-samj $\tilde{n}a$.

परसीपदमात्मनेपदम् Atmanēpada supersedes parasmāipada,

परसैपदसंज्ञामात्मनेपदसंज्ञा बाधते

Atmanēpadasamjnā sets at naught parasmāipadasamjnā.

समाससंज्ञाश्र Samāsasainjñās too.

समाससंज्ञाश्च या याः परा अनवकाशाश्च तास्ताः पूर्वाः सावकाशाश्च बाघन्ते Among samāsasainjñās, those that are parā and anavakāšā supersede those that are pūrvā and sāvakāšā.

अर्थवत्रातिपदिकम्

That which has meaning takes prātipadika-samjñā.

अर्थवत्प्रातिपदिकसंज्ञं भवति

That which has artha takes $pr\bar{a}tipadikasa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$.

गुणवचनं च Guṇavacana-samijñā too.

गुणवचनसंज्ञं च भवत्यर्थवत्

Arthavat takes gunavacana-samj $\bar{n}a$ also.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}$ śabhaṭṭa reads here:— $\bar{E}vam$ ca atra śāstrē guṇuvacanaśubdēna jātisamjñā - avyaya - kṛḍantataddhitānta - samasta - sarvanāma - sankhyā śabdātirikṭaḥ śabdō gṛhyata iti bōdhyam.

समासंकृत्तद्विताव्ययसर्वनामासर्विलङ्गा जातिः

Mention of $sam\bar{a}sa$, krt, taddhita, avyaya and $sarvan\bar{a}ma$ and $j\bar{a}ti$ to be $asarvaliny\bar{a}$.

समास - समाससंज्ञा च वक्तव्या | कृत् - कृत्संज्ञा च वक्तव्या | तद्धित -तद्धितसंज्ञा च वक्तव्या | अव्यय - अव्ययसंज्ञा च वक्तव्या | सर्वनाम - सर्वनाम-संज्ञा च वक्तव्या | असर्विलिङ्गा जातिरिति, एतच्च वक्तव्यम्

 $Sam\bar{a}sasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ too should be read; $krtsamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ too should be read; $taddhitasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ too should be read; $avyayasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ too should be read; too should be read; too should be read; this too that $j\bar{a}ti$ is $asarvaling\bar{a}$ should be mentioned.

सङ्ख्या Reading of sankhyâ.

सङ्घासंज्ञा च वक्तव्या Sankhyā sam jñā, too, should be read.

इच Reading of du too.

डुसंज्ञा च वक्तज्या $Du sam j \tilde{n} \tilde{a}$ too should be read.

का पुनर्डु संज्ञा? What is meant by dusamjña?

षट्संज्ञा ? Satsainjñā.

एकद्रव्योपनिवेशिनी संज्ञा Sam jñā is ēka-dravya upanivēśinī.

एकद्रव्योपनिवेशिनी संज्ञा इत्येतच वक्तव्यम्

This too has to be mentioned— $Ekadravy\bar{o}paniv\bar{e}\sin\bar{i}$ sa $inj\bar{n}\bar{a}$.

किमर्थमिदमुच्यते, यथान्यास एव भ्यिष्ठाः संज्ञाः कियन्ते ?

Since many of the $sam j\tilde{n}as$ are enjoined in their respective place, why is this $-sam j\tilde{n}as$ from gunavacana to $\tilde{\epsilon}kadravy\tilde{\epsilon}paniv\tilde{\epsilon}sin\tilde{\iota}$ —said here?

सन्ति चैवात्र काश्चिदपूर्वाः संज्ञाः । अपि च एतेनैवानुपूर्व्येण सन्निविष्टानां वाधनं यथा स्यात् । गुणवचनसंज्ञायाश्च एताभिः संज्ञाभिर्वाधनं यथा स्याद् इति । There are here certain new sainjñās. Besides they are mentioned to show that those that are in this order are superseded, one by another. The gunavacanasainjñā is set at naught by the sainjñās that follow it.

विप्रतिपेधे परं कार्यम् (1, 4, 2)

Five topics are dealt with here:—(1) The connotation and the denotation of vipratisēdha, (2) The meaning of para, (3) The need or otherwise of reading Parād anturaṅgam balīyaḥ. (4) The defects and their answer in the paribhāṣā Asiddham bahiraṅgalakṣaṇam antaraṅgalakṣaṇē (5) Need to enjoin luk to be stronger than lōpa, yaṇ etc.

1

विश्रतिषेध इति, कोऽयं शब्दः? What is this word-vipratis्रतीत ? विश्रतिपूर्वात् सिधेः कर्मव्यतिहारे घञ्

It is derived from the root sidh preceded by the prepositions vi and prati by the addition of the pratyaya $gha\tilde{n}$ in the sense of $bh\bar{a}va$ where $karmavyatih\bar{a}ra$ or parasparakaranam is the of $viś\bar{e}$ sana of sidh.

इतरेतरमतिषेधो विमतिषेधः, अन्योन्यमतिषेधो विमतिषेधः

Vipratiṣēdha means itarētarapratiṣēdhaḥ or anyōnyapratiṣēdhaḥ.

Note:—Both the words itarētarapratisēdhah and anyōnya-pratiṣēdhah are taken to be synonyms. If not, pratiṣēdha in the former is taken to refer to pākṣikapratiṣēdha and that in the latter to ātyantika-pratiṣēdha.

कः पुनर्विप्रतिपेधः ?

What, then, is the denotation of vipratisēdha?

द्रौ प्रसङ्गावन्यार्थावेकस्मिन्स विप्रतिषेधः

Vipratiṣēdha is that where two vidhis which can independently operate elsewhere chance to operate at the same place.

द्री प्रसङ्गी यदा अन्यार्थी भवत एकस्मिश्च युगपत् प्राप्तुतः स विप्रतिषेधः lf two vidhis which can operate independently elsewhere have a chance to operate at the same place, it is vipratisēdha.

क पुनरन्यार्थी, क चैकस्सिन् युगपत् प्राप्तुतः ?

Where are the two vidhis sāvakāśa and where do they chance to operate simultaneously?

वृक्षाभ्यां वृक्षेषु इत्यन्यार्थी, वृक्षेभ्य इत्यत युगपत् प्राप्नुतः

[The sūtras Supi ca (7, 3, 102) and Bahuvacanē ihalyēt (7, 3, 103)] are respectively sāvakāśa with reference to vrkṣābhyām and vrkṣēṣu and chance to operate simultaneously on vrkṣēbhyāh.

किं च स्यात्? What will happen then?

एकस्मिन्युगपदसम्भवात् पूर्वपरप्राप्तेरुभयप्रसङ्गः

Chance for both to operate, the $p\bar{u}rva$ or the para, on account of both the $k\bar{a}ryas$ being unable to take place simultaneously at the same laksya.

एकस्मिन् युगपदसम्भवात् पूर्वस्याश्च परस्याश्च प्राप्तेः उभयपसङ्गः

Since both the $p\bar{u}rva$ and the $para\ vidhi$ are competent to operate and since both the $k\bar{a}ryas$ cannot happen simultaneously at the same target, there is chance for both.

इदं विपतिषिद्धं, यदुच्यते - एकसिन् युगपदसम्भवात् पूर्वपरप्राप्तेरुभयप्रसङ्ग इति । कथं ह्येकसिश्च नाम युगपदसम्भवः स्थात् पूर्वस्थाश्च परस्याश्च प्राप्तेरुभयप्रसङ्गश्च स्थात्! This is self contradictory that there is chance for both the pūrva and the para to operate, since both cannot operate simultaneously.

नैतद्विप्रतिषिद्धं यदुच्यते एकस्मिन्युगपदसम्भवादिति, कार्ययोर्युगपदसम्भवः, शास्त्रयोरुभयपसङ्गः

The statement $Ekasmin\ yugapad\ asambhavad$...is not self contradictory; for $yugapad\ asambhavah$ is with reference to the $k\bar{a}ryas$ and ubhayaprasangah is with reference to the $s\bar{u}tras$.

तृजादिभिस्तुल्यम् It is similar to trjādis.

तृजादिभिस्तुल्यं पर्यायः प्राप्तोति । तद्यथा तृजादयः पर्यायेण भवन्ति Each will have chance to operate in turn similar to $trj\bar{a}dis$. $Trj\bar{a}dis$ operate in turn.

Note:—Nvul and tre are enjoined to $dh\bar{a}tu$ in kartrartha in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Nvultre $\bar{a}u$ (3, 1, 133).

किं पुनः कारणं तृजादयः पर्यायेण भवन्ति ? Why are trjādis suffixed in turn?

अनवयवप्रसङ्गात्प्रातिपदं विधेश्र

On account of the śāstra for all vyaktis and on account of the injunction to words individually.

अनवयवेन प्रसज्यन्ते, प्रतिपदं च विधीयन्ते

The vidhis chance to operate on all vyaklis and they are enjoined individually.

अप्रतिपत्तिर्वोभयोस्तुल्यबलत्वात्

Or there will apravrtti for both the śastras, on account of tulyabalatva.

अपतिपत्तिर्वा पुनरुभयोः शास्त्रयोः स्थात् Or apravrtti may chance for both.

किं कारणम् श Why?

तुल्यबल्दवात् - तुल्यबले ह्युमे शास्त्रे

On account of tulyabalatva. For the two sastras are of the same capacity.

तद्यथा – द्वयोस्तुल्यबलयोरेकः भेष्यो भवति स तयोः पर्यायेण कार्यं करोति । यदा तु तमुभौ युगपत्पेषयतः, नाना दिक्षु च कार्ये भवतः, तदा यद्यसावविरोधार्थां भवति तत उभयोने करोति ।

This may be illustrated thus:—The servant who is common to two masters of the same rank does their work in turn. If both of them bid him to do their work at the same time and if the works are to be executed in different directions, the servant, if he wants to be just, does not do the works entrusted by both.

किं पुनः कारणमुभयोर्न करोति ?

Why does he not do the works of both?

¹ यौगपद्यासम्भवात्

On account of the impossibility of doing them at the same time.

नास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

It is not possible to do them simultaneously.

² तत्र प्रतिपत्त्यर्थं वचनम्

The need for this $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ is to enable one of the vidhis to operate.

1. & 2. These two are bhāṣya in the opinion of some.

तत्र प्रतिपत्त्यर्थमिदं वक्तव्यम्

This has to be read to enable one of them to operate.

तच्यदादीनां त्वप्रसिद्धिः

Non-accomplishment of $k\bar{a}rya$ with reference to $tavyad\bar{a}dis$.

तव्यदादीनां तु कार्यस्याप्रसिद्धिः

Non-accomplishment of $k\bar{a}rya$ with reference to $tavyad\bar{a}dis$.

न हि किञ्चित्तव्यदादिषु नियमकारि शास्त्रमारभ्यते येन तव्यदादयः स्युः

There is no $\pm \bar{a}stra$ restricting the application of any one among the $tavyad\bar{a}dis$.

Note:— $Tavyad\bar{a}dis$ are found in the $s\bar{u}tra\ Tavyat-tavya-an\bar{\imath}yarah$ (3, 1, 96),

यश्च भवता हेतुर्व्यपदिष्टः अप्रतिपत्तिर्या उभयोस्तुल्यबलत्वात् इति, तुल्यः स तन्यदादिषु

The $h\bar{e}tu$ mentioned by you—apratipattir $v\bar{a}$ ubhayōḥ tulyabalat $v\bar{a}d$ —is seen in $tavyad\bar{a}di$.

नेष दोष: । अनवकाशास्तव्यदादयः, उच्यन्ते च, ते वचनात् भविष्यन्ति This defect does not arise. $Tavyad\bar{a}dis$ are $anavak\bar{a}sa$; they are read; they set in on account of their being enjoined in the $s\bar{u}tra$.

यश्च भवता हेतुर्वपदिष्टः तृजादिभिस्तुल्यं पर्यायः प्रामोति इति, तुल्यः स तव्यदादिषु

The hētu mentioned by you—trjādibhis tulyam paryāyaḥ prāpnōti—is seen in tavyadādis.

एताविदह सूत्रं विश्रतिषेधे परम् इति । पठिष्यति हि आचार्यः सक्टद्भतौ विश्रतिषेधे यद्वाधितं तद् बाधितमेव । पुनश्च पठिष्यति पुनः प्रसङ्गविज्ञानात् सिद्धम् इति ।

The sūtra here reads only so far—Vipratisēdhē param. Ācārya (Vārttikakāra) is going to read—Sakrt-gatāu viļratiśēdhē yad bādhitam tad bādhitam ēva (when two rules conflict with each other, the one, defeated after the first operation, is defeated for ever). He then extends it thus:—Punah prasanga-vijñānāt siddham. (It is settled that it regains its life if there is a chance for it.)

किं पुनरियता सूत्रेणोभयं लभ्यम् ?

Is it possible for both to be secured by this sūtra alone?

लभ्यम् इत्याह 'It is possible to secure both,' says he.

कथम् ? How?

इह भवता द्वौ हेतू व्यपदिष्टौ - तृजादिभिस्तुल्यं पर्यायः प्रामोति इति च, अप्रतिपत्तिर्वोभयोस्तुल्यबल्दवाद् इति च । तद्यदा तावदेप हेतुः तृजादिभिस्तुल्यं पर्यायः प्रामोति इति तदा विप्रतिषेधे परम् इत्यनेन किं कियते ?

Here were two courses mentioned by you:—that they may come in turn as $trj\bar{a}dis$ or that both of them cannot operate on account of tulyabalatva. If the first course - $Trj\bar{a}dibhis$ $tulyam\ pary\bar{a}yah\ pr\bar{a}pn\bar{o}ti$ - is adopted, what is done by this $s\bar{u}tra$?

नियमः, विप्रतिषेधे परमेव भवति इति - तदेतद् उपपन्नं भवति सक्द्रतौ विप्रतिषेधे यद्वाधितं तद् बाधितम् एव

Niyama. If there is vipratiṣēdha, only the sūtra which is para operates. In that case the paribhāṣā - Sakṛd gatāu vipratiṣēdhē yad bādhitam tad bādhitam ēva - suits it.

यदा त्वेष हेतुः अपितपत्तिरुभयोस्तुल्यबल्यत्वाद् इति, तदा विप्रतिषेधे परम् इत्यनेन किं क्रियते?

If, on the other hand, the other course - Apratipattir ubhayōs tulyabalatvāt - is adopted, what is done by this sūtra?

द्वारम् । विश्रतिषेधे परं तावद्भवति, तस्मिन् कृते यदि पूर्वमिष प्रामोति तदिष भवति, तदैतदुपपन्नं भवति – पुनः प्रसङ्गविज्ञानात् सिद्धम् इति

It serves as an $up\bar{a}ya$. If there is $vipratis\bar{e}dha$, that which is para first operates. If, after its operation, that which is $p\bar{u}rva$ has a chance to operate, it too operates. In that case the $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ - $Punah\ prasanga-vijn\bar{a}n\bar{a}t\ siddham$ - suits it.

II

विप्रतिषेधे परमित्युक्तवा अङ्गाधिकारे पूर्वम्

Vipratişēdhē param being said here, vipratişēdhē pūrvam should be enjoined in aṅgādhikāra.

विप्रतिषेधे परमित्युक्त्वा अङ्गाधिकारे पूर्वमिति वक्तव्यम्

Vipratisēdhē param being said here, vipratisēdhē pūrvam should be enjoined with reference to the sūtras in angādhikāra (i.e. the sūtras following Angasya (6-4-1).

किं कृतं भवति ? What is achieved from it?

पूर्वविप्रतिषेधा न पठितव्या भवन्ति - गुणवृद्धग्रौत्वतृज्वद्भावेभ्यो नुम्पूर्वविप्रति-षिद्धम् , नुमचिरतृज्वद्भावेभ्यो नुड् इति ।

The vārttikas Guṇa-vṛddhi-āutva-tṛivadbhāvēbhyō num pūrva-vipratiṣiddham and Num-aciratṛjvadbhāvēbhyō nuṭ (under the sūtraTṛjvat krōṣṭuḥ (7-1-95) relating to pūrvavipratiṣēdha need not be read.

कथं ये परवित्रतिषेधाः इत्त्वोत्त्वाभ्यां गुणवृद्धी भवतो वित्रतिषेधेन इति ?
How are the paravipratisēdhas (in aṅgādhikāra mentioned in the vārttika Ittvēttvābhyām guṇavṛddhī bhavatē vipratiṣēdhēna (under the sūtra Udēṣṭhyapūrvasya 7-1-102) to be had?

स्त्रं च भिद्यते Sūtra, then, has to undergo modification.

यथान्यासमेवास्त Let the sūtra remain as it is.

कथं ये पूर्वविप्रतिषेधाः ?

How are we to arrive at results where $p\bar{u}rva$ -vipratisedha applies?

विप्रतिषेधे परम् इत्येव सिद्धम्

They are achieved by this sūtra Vipratisēdhē param kāryam itself.

कथम् ? How ?

परशब्दोऽयं बह्वर्थः । अस्त्येव व्यवस्थायां वर्तते, तद्यथा परपुत्रः परभार्या अन्यपुत्रः अन्यभार्या इति गम्यते ; अस्ति भधाने वर्तते, तद्यथा परमिदं ब्राह्मण्यमस्मिन् कुटुम्बे, प्रधानमिति गम्यते ; अस्ति इष्टवाची परशब्दः, तद्यथा, परं धाम गतः, इष्टं धाम गत इति गम्यते । तद्य इष्टवाची परशब्दः तस्येदं ग्रहणं विप्रतिषेधे परं - यदिष्टं - तद् भवति इति ।

This word para has many meanings:—it means $vyavasth\bar{a}$ (limit) as in the usage $p\bar{u}rvah$ and parah; it means another as in the words paraputrah and $parabh\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$, which respectively mean

1. प्राधान्यम् is another reading.

another's son and another's wife; it means pradhāna (prominent) as in param idam brāhmanyam asmin kuṭumbē, where param means pradhānam; it means iṣṭa (the desired one), as in param dhāma gataḥ, which means he has reached the desired goal. The word param in this sūtra Vipratiṣēdhē param kāryam is taken to mean the desired one.

Note:—It is clear that $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$ took the word para only in the sense that which follows and hence read the above mentioned $v\bar{a}rttikas$. But $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$ takes it in the sense the desired one and hence has stated that the $v\bar{a}rttikas$ relating to $p\bar{u}rvavipratis\bar{e}dha$ and $paravipratis\bar{e}dha$ are unnecessary.

III

अन्तरङ्गं च Anlaranga too.

अन्तरक्षं च बलीयो भवतीति वक्तव्यम्

It must be mentioned that antaranga is stronger than para.

किं प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit?

प्रयोजनं यणेकादेशेच्वोच्वानि गुणवृद्धिद्विवचनास्त्रोपस्वरेभ्यः

The benefit is that yan, $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$, ittva and uttva operate in preference to guna, vrddhi, dvirvacana, $all\bar{o}pa$ and svara.

गुणाद्यणादेशः - स्थानः, स्थाना । गुणश्च प्रामाति यणादेशश्च । परत्वाद् गुणः स्यात् । यणादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

 $Yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ wins over guna in the forms $sy\bar{o}nah$ and $sy\bar{o}n\bar{a}$. Both guna and $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ have a chance to operate. Guna may operate on account of its being para; but $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ operates on account of its being antaranga.

Note:—The root siv takes āuṇādikapratyaya na after it. Guṇa is through the sūtra Pugantalaghūpadhasya ca (7, 3, 86) and yaṇ is through Ikō yaṇ aci (6, 1, 77). The other details may be found in the sūtra Yēna vidhis tadantasya (1, 1, 72) in Vol. 111 pp. 254-256.

वृद्धेर्यणादेशः - चौकामिः, स्यौकाभिः । वृद्धिश्च प्राप्नोति यणादेशश्च । परत्याद्वृद्धिः स्यात् । यणादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः । $Yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$ wins over vrddhi in the forms $dy\bar{a}uk\bar{a}mih$ and $sy\bar{a}uk\bar{a}mih$. Both vrddhi and $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$ have a chance to operate. Vrddhi may operate on account of its being para; but $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$ operates on account of its being antaranga.

Note: $Dvi+k\bar{a}ma+i$ becomes $di-u-k\bar{a}ma+i$ (by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Diva\ ut\ (6,1,131)$. The i after d may take vrddhi by Taddhi- $t\bar{e}svac\bar{a}m\ \bar{a}d\bar{e}h\ (7,2,117)$ or $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ by $Ik\bar{o}\ yan\ aci\ (6,1,77)$.

द्विवचनाद्यणादेशः दुद्यूषित, सुस्यूषित । द्विवचनं च प्राप्नोति यणादेशश्च । नित्यत्वाद् द्विवचनं स्थात् । यणादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः

Yaṇādēśa wins over dvirvacana in the forms dudyūṣati and susyūṣati. Both dvirvacana and yaṇādēśa have a chance to operate. Dvirvacana may operate on account of its being nitya; but yaṇādēśa operates on account of its being antaraṅga.

Note:—The root div when followed by san takes $\bar{u}th$ by the $s\bar{u}/ra$ $Cchv\bar{o}h$ $s\bar{u}d$ $anun\bar{a}sik\bar{e}$ ca (6, 4, 19) and dvitva by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Sanyah\bar{o}h$ (6, 1, 9). $Yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ by $Ik\bar{o}$ yan aci (6, 1, 77) between i and \bar{u} precedes dvirvacana by $Sanyah\bar{o}h$. It deserves to be noted that here $antarahgas\bar{a}stra$ wins over $nityas\bar{a}stra$ and not $\mu aras\bar{a}stra$.

अल्लोपस्य च यणादेशस्य च नास्ति सम्प्रधारणा

There is no room for discussion between allopa and yaṇādēśa with reference to Parād antaraṅgam balīyaḥ.

स्वराद्यणादेशः द्यौकांमिः स्यौकांमिः । स्वरश्च प्रामोति, यणादेशश्च । परत्वात् स्वरः स्साद्, यणादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

Yaṇādēśa wins over svara in the forms $dy\bar{a}u-k\bar{a}mi\hbar$ and $sy\bar{a}u/\bar{a}mi\hbar$. Both svara and $yaṇ\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$ have a chance to operate. Svara, being para, may operate first; but $yaṇ\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$ being antaraṅga operates first.

Note:—If the svaraśāstra \tilde{N} nityādir nityam (6, 1, 197) operates first, the initial syllable has to be $ud\bar{a}tta$ and $\bar{a}u$ has to be svarita by the $s\bar{u}tra$. $Ud\bar{a}tta$ -svaritayōr yaṇaḥ svaritōsnu-dāttasya 8, 2, 4); But $Ik\bar{o}$ yaṇ aci operates first, so, that $\bar{a}u$ is $ud\bar{a}tta$.

गुणादेकादेशः - काद्रवेयो मन्त्रमपश्यत् । गुणश्च प्राप्तोति, एकादेशश्च । परत्वाद् गुणः स्यात् । एकादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

 $Ek\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ wing over guṇa in the form $k\bar{a}drav\bar{e}yah$ in the sentence $k\bar{a}drav\bar{e}y\bar{o}$ mantram apa $\hat{s}yat$. Both guṇa and $ek\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ have a chance to operate. Guṇa, being para, may operate first; but $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$, being antaraiga operates first.

Note:—Guna is by the sūtra ör gunah (6, 4, 146) and ēkādēśa by Akah savarnē dīrghah (6, 1, 101) in kadru-ū-ēya.

वृद्धेरेकादेशः - वैक्षमाणिः साँत्थितिः । वृद्धिश्च प्रामोति, एकादेशश्च । प्रत्वादु वृद्धिः स्यात् । एकादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

Ēkādēśa wins over vrddhi in the forms vāikṣamāṇiḥ and Sāutthitiḥ. Both vrddhi and ēkādēśa have a chance to operate. Vrddhi, being para may operate first; but ēkādēśa, being antaranga, operates first.

Note: —Vrddhi of i and u in vi-īkṣamāṇa-i and su-utthitai is by the sūtra Taddhitēṣvacām ādēḥ (7, 2, 117) and ēkādēśa by the sūtra Akaḥ savarṇē dīrghaḥ (6, 1, 101.)

द्विवचनादेकादेशः - ज्ञाया ओदनो ज्ञादनः ; ज्ञोदनम् इच्छति ज्ञादनीयति । ज्ञोदनीयतेः सन् - जुज्ञोदनीयिषति । द्विवचनं च प्रामोति, एकादेशश्च । नित्यत्वादः द्विवचनं स्यात् । एकादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

Ekādēśa wins over dvirvacana, in the form jujňāudanīyiṣati which is the sannanta of jñāudanīyati which means jñāudanam icchati where jñāudana is split into jñāyā ōdanah. Both dvirvacana and ēkādēśa have a chance to operate. Dvirvacana, being nitya, may operate first; but ēkādēśa, being antaranga first operates.

Note:—Ekādēsa is by the sūtra Vrddhir ēci (6, 1, 88) and dvirvacana by the sūtra Sanyanōh (6, 1, 9.)

अल्लोपादेकादेशः - शुनी, शुनी । अल्लोपश्च, प्राप्तीति, एकादेशश्च । परत्वा-दल्लोपः स्यात् । एकादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

 $\bar{E}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ wins over all $\bar{e}pa$ in the forms $sun\bar{a}$ and $sun\bar{e}$. Both all $\bar{e}pa$ and $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ have a chance to operate. $L\bar{e}pa$, being para, may operate first; but $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$, being antaranga first operates.

Note:—Śvan takes samprasāraņa by the sūtra Śvayuva-maghōnām atadhitē (6, 4, 133) a of an takes pūrvarūpa by the sūtra Samprasāraņācca (6, 1, 108) and a/lōpa by Allōpōsnaḥ (6, 4, 134).

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम् । नास्त्यत्र विशेषोऽछोपेन वा निवृत्तौ सत्यां पूर्वत्वेन वा This may not serve as a prayōjana; for there is no difference in the finished form whether a disappears by the sūtra Allōpōsnalı or by samprasūranāc ca.

अयमस्ति विशेषोऽछोपेन निवृत्तौ सत्यामुदात्तनिवृत्तिस्वरः प्रसज्येत

There is this difference that, if it disappears by allopa, there is chance for udattanivittisvara by the sūtra Anudāttasya ca yatrō-dūttalōpaḥ (6, 1, 161).

नात्रोदात्तनिवृत्तिस्वरः प्राम्नोति

There is no chance for udattanivrttisvara here.

किं कारणम् श Why?

न गोश्वनसाववर्णेति प्रतिपेधात्

By the pratisēdha-sūtra Na gēsvan sāvavarņa...(6, 1, 182).

नेप उदाचनिवृत्तिस्वरस्य प्रतिषेधः

This sūtra does not prohibit udātta-nivṛtti-svara.

कह्य ति ? Which does it, then, prohibit?

तृतीयादिस्वरस्य

(It prohibits) trtīyādisvara (enjoined by the sūtra Sāvēkācah:... (6, 1, 168).

यत्र तर्हि तृतीयादिस्वरो नास्ति - शुनः पश्य इति

What will be the case, where there is no trtīyādisvara as in sunah in the sentence sunah pasya?

Note:—Nägēśabhaṭṭa here reads:-Yatra tarhi ityādigranthō granthacchāyayā pratyākhyānavādina iti bhāti tattu na yuktam.

एवं तर्हि न लाक्षणिकस्य प्रतिपेधं शिप्मः

If so, we do not prohibit that which is enjoined to $trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}di$ - $\dot{s}abdavi\dot{s}ista$.

किं तर्हि ? What then?

येन केनचिल्लक्षणेन प्राप्तस्य विभक्तिस्वरस्य प्रतिषेधः

It is the pratisēdha enjoined by any sūtra which allows the anuvrtti of vibhakti (from the sūtra Sāvēkācas tṛtīyādir vibhaktiḥ.)

यत्र तर्हि विभक्तिर्नास्ति बहुशुनी इति

What will happen where there is no vibhakti as in bahusunī? यदि पुनरयमुदात्तनिवृत्तिस्वरस्यापि प्रतिपेधो विज्ञायेत?

What will happen if it prohibits the udāttanivittisvara also?

नैवं शक्यम् । इहापि प्रसज्येत कुमारी इति

No, it is not possible. It will chance to operate here also in $kum\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$. (So that $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}p$ may not take $ud\bar{a}tta$.)

एवं तर्हि आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित नोदात्तिनृतिस्वरः शुन्यवतरित इति, यदयं श्वन्शवदं गौरादिषु पठित । अन्तोदात्तर्थं यत्नं करोति ।

If so, the procedure of $Ac\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that $ud\bar{a}ttanivrttisvara$ does not happen with reference to svan, since he reads it under $g\bar{a}ur\bar{a}di$ (in the fourth chapter of the $ganap\bar{a}tha$). He makes an attempt so that it may take $ant\bar{o}d\bar{a}tta$.

सिद्धं हि स्यान्ङीपैव

For the object will be achieved by $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}p$ itself.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ and $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\dot{s}abhatta$ state that $bahu\dot{s}v\bar{a}$ is the form preferred by $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$ and not $bahu\dot{s}un\bar{\imath}$.

स्वरादेकादेशः - सौत्थितिः, वैक्षमाणिः । स्वरश्च प्राप्तोति, एकादेशश्च ; परत्वात् स्वरः स्यात् । एकादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

 $\vec{E}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$ wins over svara in the forms $s\bar{a}utthitih$ and $v\bar{a}iksam\bar{a}nih$. Both svara and $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$ have a chance to operate. Svara, being para, may operate first; but $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$, being antaranga, takes the precedence.

Note:—If u of su in su-utthita-i takes $ud\bar{a}tta$ first, it may optionally become svarita by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Svarit\bar{o}$ $v\bar{a}nud\bar{a}tt\bar{e}$ $pad\bar{a}d\bar{a}u$ (8, 2, 6). If $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$, takes the precedence, it will always be $ud\bar{a}tta$.

गुणस्य चेत्त्वौत्त्वयोश्च नाहित सम्प्रधारणा

There is no room for discussion between ittva and guna and uttva and guna.

वृद्धेरित्त्वोत्त्वे - स्तैर्णिः, पौर्तिः । वृद्धिश्च प्रामोति, इत्त्वोत्त्वे च । पर्त्वाद्गृद्धि स्यात् । इत्त्वोत्त्वे भवतोऽन्तरङ्गतः । Ittva and uttva win over vrddhi in the forms $sth\bar{a}irnih$ and $p\bar{a}urtih$. Both vrddhi and ittva or uttva have a chance to operate. Vrddhi, being para, may operate first; but ittva or uttva takes the precedence on account of being antaranga.

Note:—Ittva is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{R}ta$ $iddh\bar{a}t\bar{o}h$ (7, 1, 100) and uttva by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Ud $\bar{o}sthyap\bar{u}rvasya$ (7, 1, 102) and vrddhi is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Taddhit\bar{e}svac\bar{a}m$ $\bar{a}d\bar{e}h$ (7, 2, 117).

द्विवचनादित्त्वोत्त्वे - आतेस्तीर्यते आपोपूर्यते । द्विवचनं च प्रामोति, इत्त्वोत्त्वे च । नित्यत्वाद् द्विवचनं स्यात् । इत्त्वोत्त्वे भवतोऽन्तरङ्गतः ।

Ittva and uttva win over dvirvacana in the forms ātēstīryatē and āpōpūryatē. Both dvirvacana and ittva or uttva have a chance to operate. Dvirvacana being nitya, may operate first; but ittva or uttva which are antaraiga takes the precedence.

Note: Dvirvacana is by the sūtra Sanyanōh.

अल्लोपस्य च इत्त्रोत्त्वयोश्च नाहित सम्प्रधारणा

There is no room for discussion between ittva and $all \bar{o}pa$ and uttva and $all \bar{o}pa$.

स्वरे नास्ति विशेषः

There is no difference with respect to svara (i. e.) whether $ittva-s\bar{a}stra$ and $uttvas\bar{a}stra$ operate first or $svaras\bar{a}stra$ operates first.

इण्ङिशीनामादुणः सवर्णदीर्घत्वात्

Ad gunah wins over savarnadīrgha with reference to it, hi and śi.

इण्डिशीनामाद्भुणः सवर्णदीर्घत्वात् प्रयोजनम् - अयज इन्द्रम्, अवप इन्द्रम्; वृक्ष इन्द्रं, प्रक्ष इन्द्रम्; य इन्द्रं, त इन्द्रम् । आद्भुणश्च प्राप्नोति सवर्णदीर्घत्वं च । परत्वात् सवर्णदीर्घत्वं स्यात् । आद्भुणो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः

This is the prayojana that \$\bar{A}d\$ guṇaḥ (6, 1, 87) wins over \$Akaḥ savarṇē dīrghaḥ (6, 1, 101) with reference to \$it\$ (1st pers. sing. termination, \$\bar{a}tmanēpada\$), \$\bar{n}i\$ (7th case singular suffix) and \$\bar{s}i\$ (1st case plural suffix) in the following cases \$a-yaj-a-i+indram\$, \$a-vap-a-i+indram\$; \$vrkṣa-i+indram\$, \$plakṣa-i+indram\$; \$ya-i+indram\$, \$ta-i+indram\$. Both \$Ad\$ guṇaḥ and \$Akaḥ savarṇē dīrghaḥ have a chance to operate. \$Akaḥ savarṇē dīrghaḥ\$, being para\$, chances to operate first; but \$Ad\$ guṇaḥ\$, being antaraṅga\$, operates first.

न वा सवर्णदीर्घत्वस्यानवकाशात्वात्

No, on account of savarnadīrgha being anavakāśa.

न वैतद् अन्तरङ्गेणापि सिध्यति

No, it cannot be made to operate even on account of its being antaranga.

किं कारणम्? Why?

सवर्णदिधित्वस्थानवकाशत्वात् । अनवकाशं सवर्णदिधित्वमाद्भुणं बाधेत On account of anovakāśatva of Akalp savarņē dīrghalp, being anavakāśo, will set at naught Ad guņalp.

न वा एतदन्तरङ्गेऽस्ति - अनवकाशं परिमति । इहापि स्योनः स्योनिति शक्यं वक्तुं - न वा परत्वादुणस्य ।

Anavakāśatva and paratva have no capacity to supersede antarangatva. Otherwise it may be said that yan does not operate before guna in syōnah and $sy\bar{o}n\bar{a}$, since the latter is para.

Note: $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\hat{s}abhatta$ here reads: $Sva\text{-}sam\bar{a}na\text{-}nimittak\bar{e}na$ sva-sam $\bar{a}na\text{-}sth\bar{a}nik\bar{e}n\bar{a}iva$ ca y $\bar{e}na$ n $\bar{a}pr\bar{a}ptiny\bar{a}y\bar{o}$, na tu vibhinna-sth $\bar{a}ninimittak\bar{e}n\bar{a}pi$.

ऊङापोरेकादेश ईन्वलोपाभ्याम्

 $Ek\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ wins over $\bar{\imath}ttva$ and $l\bar{o}pa$ with reference to $\bar{u}\dot{n}$ and $\bar{a}p$.

ऊङापोरेकादेश ईत्वलोपाभ्यां भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः प्रयोजनम् ।

Prayōjana is that $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ wins over $\bar{i}tlva$ and $l\bar{o}pa$ with reference to $\bar{u}\dot{n}$ and $\bar{a}p$ through antarangatva,

ईत्वादेकादेशः - खट्वींयति, मालीयति । ईत्त्वं च प्राप्तोत्येकादेशश्च । परत्वादीत्त्वं स्यात् । एकादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः

 $Ek\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ wins over $\bar{\imath}ttra$ in the forms $khatr\bar{\imath}yati$, and $m\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}yati$. Both $\bar{\imath}ttva$, and $\bar{e}/c\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ have a chance to operate. $\bar{I}ttva$, being para, may operate first; but $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ operates first on account of its being antaranga.

Note:—In khalva- \bar{a} -y-ti, \bar{a} , is changed to $\bar{\imath}$ by the sutra Kyaci ca (7, 4, 33). Ekādēśa between a and \bar{a} is by Akah savarņē dīrghaḥ (6, 1, 101.)

लोपादेकादेशः - कामण्डलेयः, भाद्रवाहेयः । लोपश्च प्राप्तोति, एकादेशश्च । परत्वाल्लोपः स्यात् । एकादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः । Ēkādēśa wins over lōpa in kāmaṇḍalēyaḥ and bhādrabāhēyaḥ. Both lōpa and ēkādēśa have a chance to operate. Lōpa, being para, may operate first; but ēkādēśa takes precedence through antaraigatva.

Note:—In $bhadrab\bar{a}hu$ - \bar{u} - $\bar{e}ya$, \bar{u} comes in by $B\bar{a}hvant\bar{a}t$ $sa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ (4, 1, 67), $\bar{e}ya$ by $Str\bar{i}bhy\bar{o}$ dhak (4, 1, 120) and \bar{u} is dropped by $ph\bar{e}$ $l\bar{o}p\bar{o}skadrv\bar{a}h$ (6, 4, 147).

अथ किमर्थम् ईत्त्वलोपाभ्याम् इत्युच्यते, न लोपेत्त्वाभ्याम् इत्येवोच्येत ? Why is it that $\bar{\imath}ttval\bar{o}p\bar{a}bhy\bar{a}m$ is read and not $l\bar{o}p\bar{e}ttv\bar{a}bhy\bar{a}m$?

सङ्ख्यातानुदेशो मा भूदिति

So that they may not be taken in the respective order.

आपोप्येकादेशो लोपे प्रयोजयति - चौडिः, वालाकिः

 $Ek\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ wins over $l\bar{o}pa$ even with reference to $\bar{a}p$ in $c\bar{a}udih$ and $b\bar{a}l\bar{a}kih$.

आन्त्रनपुंसकोपसर्जनहस्वत्वान्ययवायावेकादेशतुग्विधिभ्यः

 $\bar{A}ttva$ and napumsaka-upasarjana-hrasvatva, operate before $s\bar{u}tras$ enjoining ay, av, $\bar{a}v$, $\bar{e}k\bar{u}d\bar{e}s\bar{a}$ and tuk.

आत्वनपुंसकोपसर्जनहस्वत्वानि अयवायावेकादेशतुग्विधिभ्यो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः वेञ् - वानीयम् ; शो - शानीयम् ; ग्छै - ग्लानीयम् ; ग्छै - ग्लानीयम् ; ग्लाच्छलम् , म्लाच्छत्रम् । आत्वं च प्रामोति, एते च विधयः । परत्वादेते विधयः स्युः । आत्वं भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

Āttva and napumsaka—upasarjana—hrasvatva, being antaranga operate before the sūtras enjoining ay, av, $\bar{a}y$, $\bar{a}v$, $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ and tuk, in the forms $v\bar{a}n\bar{i}yam$ ($v\bar{e}+an\bar{i}ya$), $s\bar{a}n\bar{i}yam$ (from the root $s\bar{o}$), $s\bar{o}$ 0), $s\bar{o}$ 1), $s\bar{o}$ 2), $s\bar{o}$ 3), $s\bar{o}$ 4), $s\bar{o}$ 4), $s\bar{o}$ 5), $s\bar{o}$ 6), $s\bar{o}$ 6), $s\bar{o}$ 6), $s\bar{o}$ 7), $s\bar{o}$ 8), $s\bar{o}$ 9), $s\bar{$

नपुंसकोपसर्जनहस्वत्वं च प्रयोजनम् - अतिर्ध्वत्र, अतिन्वत्र, अतिरिच्छत्नम्, अतिरुच्छत्रम्, आराशस्रीदं, धानाशष्कुलीदं, निष्कोशाम्बीदं, निर्वाराणसीदम्, निष्कोशाम्बिच्छत्रं, निर्वाराणसिच्छत्रम् । नपुंसकोपसर्जनहस्वत्वं च प्राप्तोत्येते च विधयः । परत्वादेते च विधयः स्युः । नपुंसकोपसर्जनहस्वत्वं भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

Prayōjana is the napumsaka-upasarjana-hrasvatva in the forms atiryyatra, atinvatra, atiricchatram, atinucchatram, ārāśastrīdam, dhānāśaṣkulīdam, niṣkāuśāmbīdam, nirvārāṇasīdam, niṣkāuśāmbicchatram and nirvārāṇasicchatram. Both napumsakōpasarjana-hrasvatva and one of the other vidhis have a chance to operate. These vidhis, being para, begin to operate; but napumsakōpasarjanatva, being antaranga has the precedence.

Note:—If in $atir\bar{a}i+atra$, $atin\bar{a}u+atra$, $\bar{a}i$ and $\bar{a}u$ first take the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}as$ $\bar{a}y$ and $\bar{a}v$, there will be no chance for hrasvatva. If, in $\bar{a}r\bar{a}\dot{s}astr\bar{i}+idam$, $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}atva$ between \bar{i} and i first takes place, and then hrasvatva, the form would be $\bar{a}r\bar{a}\dot{s}astridam$, which is not current in the world.

तुग्यणेकादेशगुणवृद्ध चौन्त्रद्धिन्त्रमुमेन्त्ररीविधिभ्यः

Tuk wins over the vidhis enjoining, yan, $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$, guna, vrddhi, $\bar{a}utva$, $d\bar{v}rgha$, ittva, mum, $\bar{e}ttva$ and $r\bar{v}$.

यणेकादेशगुणवृद्धचौत्त्वदीर्घत्त्वेत्त्वमुमेत्त्वरीविधिभ्यस्तुग् भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः । यणा-देशात् - अभिचिदत्र, सोमसुदत्र; एकादेशात् - अभिचिदिदम्, सोमसुदुदकम्; गुणात् - अभिचिते, सोमसुते ; वृद्धेः - प्रऋच्छकः प्राच्छेकः ; औत्त्वात् - अभिचिति ; सोमसुति ; दीर्घत्वात् - जगच्चां, जनगच्चां ; ईत्त्वात् - जगत्यिति, जनगत्यिति ; मुमः - अभिचिन्मन्यः, सोमसुन्मन्यः ; एत्त्वात् - जगच्चः, जनगच्चः ; रीविधः -सुकृत्यिति, पापकृत्यिति । तुक् प्राभोति, एते विधयश्च । परत्वाद् एते विधयः स्युः । तुग् भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

Tuk, being antaranga, operates before the vidhis enjoining yan, ēkādēśa, guņa, vrddhi, āutva, dīryha, ittva, mum, ēttva and rī, in the forms agnicidatra and sōmasudatra (over yaṇādēśa), agnicididam and sōmasududakam (over ēkādēśa), agnicitē and sōmasutē (over guṇa), pra rcchakaḥ=prārcchakaḥ (over vrddhi), agniciti and sōmasuti (over āutva), jagadbhyām and janagadbhyām (over dīrghatva), jagatyati and janagatyati (over īttva), agnicinmanyaḥ and sōmasunmanyaḥ (over mum), jagadbhyaḥ and janagadbhyaḥ (over ēttva) and sukrtyati and pāpakrtyati (over rīvidhi). Both tuk and one of the other vidhis have a chance to operate. These other vidhis, being para chance to operate first; but tugvidhi, being antaranga wins over them.

Note:-Tuk is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Hrasvasya piti krti tuk (6, 1, 71). In agni-ci-kvip-su+atra where kvip is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Agnau $c\bar{c}h$ $(^{2}, 2, 91)$, tuk precedes yan by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Ik\bar{o}$ yan aci (6, 1, 77); kvip in $s\bar{o}$ nasut is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $S\bar{o}m\bar{e}$ $su\tilde{n}ah$ $(^{2}, 2, 90)$. $Ek\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ in agnici+idam is Akah $savarn\bar{e}$ $d\bar{i}rghah$ (6, 1, 101). Guna in agnici+ $in\bar{e}$ is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Gh\bar{e}r$ niti (7, 2, 111). Vrddhi in pra rechakah is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Upasargad rti $dh\bar{a}t\bar{a}u$ (6, 1, 91). Autva in agnici-i (seventh case singular suffix) is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Acca $gh\bar{e}h$ (7, 3, 119). M of jagam before kvip is dropped by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Gamah $kv\bar{a}u$ (6, 4, 40) and lengthening in jaga+ $bhy\bar{a}m$ is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Supi ca (7, 3, 10?). It in jagi-y-ti is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Kyaci ca (7, 4, 33). $Mum\bar{a}gama$ is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Arurdvi; ada ajantasya mum (6, 3, 67). Ettva is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Bahuvacan\bar{e}$ $jhaly\bar{e}t$ (7, 3, 103). $R\bar{i}nvidhi$ is $R\bar{i}n$ rtah (7, 4, 27).

अनङानङ्भ्यां च Tuk wins over anaii and \bar{a} naii.

अनङानङ्भ्यां च इति वक्तत्र्यम् - अनङ्, सुकृत्, आनङ् सुकृदुष्कृतौ । तुक् प्राप्तोति, एते च विधयः । परत्वादेते विधयः स्युः । तुम्भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः । It must be mentioned that tuk wins over anan and ānan, the former in the word sukrt and the latter in sukrd-duskrtāu. Tuk and one of these vidhis, both have a chance to operate. These vidhis, being para, try to operate; but tuk wins over them on account of its being antaraiga.

NOTE:—Anan is by the sūtra Rd-uśanas... (7, 1, 94) and \bar{a} nan by Aptrn... (6, 4, 11).

इयङादेशो गुणात् Iyanādēśa wins over guņa.

इयङादेशो गुणाद्भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः प्रयोजनम् - धियति, रियति । इयङादेशश्च प्राप्तोति गुणश्च । परत्वाद्भणः स्यात् । इयङादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः

Prayōjana is that iyanādēśa takes precedence over guṇa on account of antarangatva, in the forms dhiyati and riyati. Both iyanādēśa and guṇa have a chance to operate. Guṇa may operate first on account of paratva; but iyanādēśa, being antaranga operates first.

1. This $v\bar{a}rttika$ is said to be absent in manuscripts. But the word vaktavyam in the $Bh\bar{a}sya$ seems to suggest that this is the supplement by $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$.

Note:—Iyan ādēśa is by the sūtra Aci śnu-dhātu-bhruvām yvār iyan-uvanāu (6, 4, 77) and guṇa is by the sūtra Puganta-laghūpadhasya ca (7, 3, 86). Dhi and ri are the roots of 6th conjugation.

उनकादेशश्व Uvain-ādēśa too.

उवङादेशश्चेति वक्तन्यम् - प्रादुद्भवत् , प्रासुसुवत्

It must be said that uvanādēśa too wins over guņa, in the forms prādudruvat and prāsusruvat.

Note:—It is doubtful whether uvanādēśaś ca was read by the Vārttikakāra, since Mahābhāṣyakāra reads vaktavyam and Guruprasāda Śāstri says that it is not found in manuscripts.

श्वेः सम्प्रसारणपूर्वत्वं यणादेशात्

Samprasāranapūrvatra wins over yanādēsa in svi.

श्वेः सम्प्रसारणपूर्वत्वं यणादेशाद् भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः प्रयोजनम् - शुशुवतुः शुशुवुः । पूर्वत्वं च प्राप्तोति यणादेशश्च । परत्वाद् यणादेशः स्यात् । पूर्वत्वं भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः । Prayōjana is that samprasāraņapūrvatva wins over yaṇādēśa in the root śvi, so that the forms śuśuvatuh and śaśuvuh may be secured. Both samprasāraṇapūrvatva and yaṇādēśa have a chance to operate. Yaṇādēśa, being para, may operate first; but pūrvatva, being antaranga, operates first.

Note -Samprasāraņa is by the sūtra Vibhūṣā śvēḥ (6, 1, 30) and guṇa by Er anēkācōssanyōgapūrvasya (6, 4, 82).

ह्व आकारलोपात

Samprasāraņapūrvatva wins over ākāralopa in hvē.

ह आकारलोपात् पूर्वत्वं भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः प्रयोजनम् - जुहुवतुः जुहुवुः । पूर्वत्वं च प्राप्तोति, आकारलोपश्च । परत्वाद् आकारलोपः स्यात् । पूर्वत्वं भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः । Prayōjana is that samprasāruņapūrvata wins over ākāralōpa in the root hvē, so that the forms juhuvatuļi and juhuvuļi are secured. Both samprasāraņapūrvatva and ākāralōpa have a chance to operate. Ākāralōpa, being para, may operate; but samprasāraņapūrvatva, being antaraiga operates first.

Note:—Samprasāraņa is by the sūtra Abhyastasya ca (6, 1, 33) and ūkāra and ūkaralāpa are by the sūtras Ād ēca upadēšēsšiti (6, 1, 45) and Ātō lōpa iţi ca (6, 4, 64.)

स्वरे। लोपात् Svara wins over lõpa.

स्वरो छोपाद् भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः प्रयोजनम्, - औपगवी, सौदामनी । स्वरश्च प्रामोति छोपश्च । परत्वाछोपः स्यात् । स्वरो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

Prayōjana is that svara, being antaraṅga, takes precedence over lōpa in the forms āupagavī and sāudāmanī. Both svara and lōpa have a chance to operate. Lōpa, being para, may operate first; but svara, being antaraṅga, operates first.

Note:—In $upagu+an+n\bar{\imath}p$, if a is first dropped by $Yasy\bar{\epsilon}ti\ ca\ (6,\ 4,\ 148)$, $\bar{\imath}$ cannot take the $ud\bar{a}ttanivrttisvara$.

प्रत्ययिविधिरेकादेशात् Pratyayavidhi wins over ēkādēśa.

प्रत्यविधिश्च प्राप्तोति, एकादेशश्च । परत्वादेकादेशः स्यात् । प्रत्यविधिभवत्यन्तरङ्गतः । Prayōjana is that pratyayavidhi, being antaraṅga, wins over ēkādēśa, in the forms agnir-indraḥ and vāyur-udakam. Both pratyayavidhi and ēkādēśa have a chance to operate. $Ek\bar{a}d\bar{e}$ śa, being para, may operate first; but pratyayavidhi, being antaraṅga, operates first.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here:—Yadyapi pratyayarahitāyāḥ prakṛtēḥ prayōgō nāsti, tathāpi vākyasya vibhajya anvākhyānē kriyamāṇē ayam vicāraḥ kriyatē.

यणादेशाच (Pratyayavidhi wins over) yaṇādēśa too.

यणादेशाचेति वक्तव्यम् - अभिरत्न वायुरत्र

It must be said that it wins over yanadeśa too, in the forms agnir-atra and $v\bar{a}yur-atra$.

लादेशो वर्णिविधेः Lādēśa wins over varṇa-vidhi.

लादेशो वर्णविधेभवत्यन्तरङ्गतः प्रयोजनम् । पचत्वत्र, पठत्वत्र । लादेशश्च प्राप्तोति, यणादेशश्च । परत्वाद्यणादेशः स्यात् । लादेशो भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः । Benefit is that lādēśa, being antaranga, wins over varṇavidhi, in the forms pacatvatra and paṭhatvatra. Both lādēśa and yaṇādēśa have a chance to operate. Yaṇādēśa, being para, may operate first; but lādēśa, being antaranga, operates first.

Note:—The $l\bar{a}d\ddot{e}\dot{s}a$ is with reference to tu in place of ti by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Er $u\dot{h}$ (3, 4, 85) and $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Ik\bar{o}$ yan aci (6, 1, 77).

तत्पुरुपान्तोदात्तत्वं पूर्वपद्प्रकृतिस्वरात्

Tatpurusantodattatva wins over pūrvapadaprakrtisvaratva.

तत्पुरुषान्तोदात्तत्वं पूर्वेषदप्रकृतिस्वराद् भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः प्रयोजनम् - पूर्वशाला-प्रियः, अपरशालापियः । तत्पुरुषान्तोदात्तत्वं च प्राप्तोति, पूर्वपदप्रकृतिस्वरत्वं च । परत्वात् पूर्वपदप्रकृतिस्वरत्वं स्यात् । तत्पुरुषान्तोदात्तत्वं भवत्यन्तरङ्गतः ।

That tatpuruṣāntōdāttatva, being antaraṅga, wins over pūrvapadaprakṛtisvaratva is the prayōjana and it is seen in the forms
pūrvaṣālāpriyaḥ and aparaṣālāpriyaḥ. Both tatpuruṣa-antōdāttatva and pūrvapada-prakṛti-svaratva have a chance to
operate. Pūrvapadaprakṛtisvaratva, being para, may operate
first, but tatpuruṣa-antōdāttatva, being antaraṅga, operates first.

NOTE:—1. Antōdāttatva is by the sūtra Samāsasya (6,1,223) and pūrvapadaprakrtisvaratva is by the sūtra Bahuvrīhūu prakrtyā pūrvapadam (6, 2, 1).

Note:—? Kāiyata reads here:—Pūrvā šālā priya asya iti tripadē bahuvrīhāu kṛtē, Taddhitārtha...(2, 1, 51) iti dvayōh padayōs tatpuruṣah. Tatra tri-pada-āśraya-bahuvrīhi-nimittaḥ pūrvapadaprakṛtisvarō bahirangatvān na pravartatē. Tēna tatpuruṣasamāsāśrayam śālāśabdasya antōdāttatvam bhavati.

एतान्येतस्याः परिभाषायाः प्रयोजनानि यद्श्रेमेषा परिभाषा कर्तव्या These are the benefits of the $paribh\bar{a}$ s \bar{a} , on account of which the $paribh\bar{a}$ s \bar{a} is to be read.

यदि सन्ति प्रयोजनानि इत्येषा परिभाषा कियते, ननु चेयमि कर्तव्या असिद्धं बहिरङ्गरुक्षणमन्तरङ्गरुक्षणे इति

If this paribhāṣā is read on the basis that there are benefits, this too—Asiddham bahirangalakṣaṇam antaraṇgalakṣaṇā—has to be read.

किं पयोजनम् ? What is the benefit?

पचावेदं, पचामेदम् ; असिद्धत्वाद् बहिरङ्गलक्षणगुणस्य अन्तरङ्गलक्षणमैत्वं मा भूद् इति ।

So that in pacāvēdam and pacāmēdam, ūi which is antaranga may not replace ē, the bahirangalaksana-guna, on account of its being asiddha.

Note:—In $pac\bar{a}va+idam$, there is guna between a of the former word and i of the latter word, so that we get the form $pac\bar{a}v\bar{e}dam$. Since \bar{e} may be considered the final of the verb on account of $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}^{\dot{s}}a$, there is chance for \bar{e} to be replaced by $\bar{a}i$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{E}ta$ $\bar{a}i$ (3, 4, 93). The $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{E}ta$ $\bar{a}i$ is antaranga and it should not be allowed to operate here. This is possible only if the $k\bar{a}rya$ of the $bahiranga-s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{A}d$ gunah is considered asiddha or non-existent.

उमे तर्हि कर्तव्ये Both, then, have to be read.

नेत्याह "No, it need not be read," says he.

अन्यैव सिद्धम् । इहापि स्योनः स्योना इति, असिद्धत्वाद् बहिरङ्गलक्षणस्य गुणस्य अन्तरङ्गलक्षणो यणादेशो भविष्यति ।

It is decided by this itself:-in syōnah and syōnā, yanādēśā which is antaranga operates setting aside guṇa which is bahiranga, on account of its being asiddha.

यदि असिद्धं बहिरङ्गलक्षणमन्तरङ्गलक्षणे इत्युच्यते, अक्षद्यः हिरण्यद्यः - असिद्ध-त्वाद् बहिरङ्गलक्षणस्य ऊठः अन्तरङ्गलक्षणो यणादेशो न प्राप्नोति

If the paribhāṣā Asiddham bahiraṅgalakṣaṇam antaraṅgalakṣaṇē is read, yaṇādēśa which is antaraṅga cannot operate in akṣadyūḥ and hiraṇyadyūḥ since ūṭḥ is bahiraṅga and hence asiddha.

Note:—Uth in akṣadyūḥ is by the sūtra $Cchv\bar{o}h$ śūḍ anu- $n\bar{a}sik\bar{e}$ ca (6, 4, 19).

नैष दोषः । असिद्धं बहिरङ्गलक्षणमन्तरङ्गलक्षणे इत्युक्त्वा ततो वश्यामि नाजानन्तर्ये बहिष्ट्वप्रक्लिसिः इति

This difficulty does not arise. The paribhāṣa Nājānantaryē bahiṣṭvapraklptiḥ will be read after Asiddham bahiraṅgalakṣaṇam antaraṅgalakṣaṇē.

सा तर्हि एपा परिभाषा कर्तञ्या Then this paribhāṣā-Antaraṅgam ca balīyaḥ—has to be read.

न कर्तव्या; No, it need not be read.

आचार्यप्रवृत्तिज्ञापयित भवत्येषा परिभाषा इति, यदयं षत्वतुकोरसिद्धः इत्याह The procedure of $Ac\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests the existence of this $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$, since he reads the $s\bar{u}tra$ Şatvatukōr asiddhaḥ (6, 1, 86.)

इयं तर्हि परिभाषा कर्तव्या असिद्धं बिहरङ्गलक्षणमन्तरङ्गलक्षणे This paribhāṣā-Asiddham bahirangalakṣaṇam antarangalakṣaṇē—is, then, to be read.

एषा च न कर्तव्या । आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित भवत्येषा परिभाषा यदयं वाह ऊठ् इत्यूठं शास्ति

This too need not be read. The procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}tra-k\bar{a}ra$) suggests the existence of this $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$, since he enjoins $\bar{u}th$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $V\bar{a}ha$ $\bar{u}th$ (6, 4, 132.)

IV

तस्य दोषः पूर्वपदोत्तरपदयोर्द्वद्विस्वरावेकादेशात्

Its defect:—Non-accomplishment of $p\bar{u}rvapad\bar{o}ttara-vrddhi$ and the needed svara on account of $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}^{\dot{s}}a$.

तस्यैतस्य लक्षणस्य दोषः - पूर्वीत्तरपदयोर्वृद्धिस्वरौ एकादेशादन्तरङ्गतोऽभि-निर्वृत्तान्न प्राप्नुतः - पूर्वेषुकामशमः, अपरेषुकामशमः । गुडोदकं तिलोदकम्, उदकेऽ-केवले इति पूर्वीत्तरपदयोर्व्यपवर्गीभावान्न स्यात्

The defect in that paribhāṣā - Asiddham bahiraṅgalakṣaṇam antaraṅgalakṣaṇē is this - Pūrvōttarapada-vṛddhi (by the sūtra Prācām grāma...7, 3, 14) and the needed svara cannot be secured on account of the operation of the ēkādēśa which is antaraṅga in the words pūrvāiṣukāmaśamaḥ and aparāiṣukāmaśamaḥ and the antōdāttatva of the pūrvapada in guḍōdakam and tilōdakam by the sūtra Udakēskēvalē (6, 2, 96) cannot be secured since the two words have coalesced together.

NOTE:—The svara in pūrvāisukāmaśamah is pūrvapadāntōdāttatva by the sūtra Uttarapadavrddhāu sarvam ca (6, 2, 105).

नैष दोषः । आचार्यभवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयिति पूर्वोत्तरपदयोस्तावत् कार्यं भवति नैकादेशः इति, यदयं नेन्द्रस्य परस्य इति प्रतिषेधं शास्ति ।

This defect does not arise. The procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that $p\bar{u}rv\bar{o}ttarapadak\bar{a}rya$ is preferred to $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$, since he reads the $pratis\bar{e}dha$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $N\bar{e}ndrasya$ parasya (7, 3, 22).

कथं कृत्वा ज्ञापकम्! How does it become the jñāpaka?

इन्द्रे द्वावचौ ; तत्र एको यस्येति च इति लोपेनापिह्यते, अपर एकादेशेन, ततोऽनच्क इन्द्रः सम्पन्नः । तत्र कः प्रसङ्गो वृद्धेः ? पश्यित त्वाचार्यः पूर्वपदोत्तर-पदयोस्तावत्कार्य भवति, नैकादेशः इति, ततो नेन्द्रस्य परस्य इति प्रतिषेधं शास्ति ।

There are two vowels in the word Indra; of them, one is elided by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Yasy\bar{e}ti$ ca (6, 4, 148) and the other by $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ and the word indra has no vowel in it. Then where is the room for vrddhi? $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ sees that $p\bar{u}rvapad\bar{o}ttarapadak\bar{a}rya$ operates in preference to $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ and hence reads the $pratis\bar{e}dha$ $N\bar{e}ndrasya$ parasya.

यणादेशादियुवौ

The defect:—iy and uv cannot be secured on account of $yan-\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$.

यणादेशाद् इयुवी अन्तरङ्गतोऽभिनिर्वृत्तात्र प्रामुतः - वैयाकरणः सौवश्व इति । लक्षणं हि भवति य्वोरचि वृद्धिप्रसङ्गे इयुवी भवतः इति

Iy and uv cannot be secured on account of the operation of $yan\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}a$, which is $antara\dot{n}ga$, in the words $v\bar{a}iy\bar{a}karanah$ and $s\bar{a}uva\acute{s}va\dot{h}$. There is the $s\bar{u}tra$ too $Yv\bar{o}r$ $vrddhipraso\dot{n}g\bar{e}$ $iyuv\bar{a}u$ $bhavata\dot{h}$.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the above $s\bar{u}tra$ is not $P\bar{a}nin\bar{v}yan$.

नैष दोषः । अनवकाशौ इयुवौ, अचीत्युच्यते

This difficulty does not arise. Iy and uv are $anavak\bar{a}\hat{s}a$ and the word aci is read.

किं पुनः कारणमचीत्युच्यते? Why is the word aci read?

इह मा भूताम् - ऐतिकायनः औपगव इति

So that it may not occur in āitikāyana and āupagava.

स्ताम् अत्र इयुवी, लोपो व्योर्विल इति लोपो भविष्यति

Let iy and uv come there and y and v are elided by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $L\bar{o}p\bar{o}$ $vy\bar{o}r$ vali.

यत्र तर्हि लोपो नास्ति - शैयमेधः शैयक्रवः इति?

(What is to be done) where elision cannot take place as in $pr\bar{a}iyam\bar{e}dhah$ and $pr\bar{a}iyangavah$?

उसि पररूपाच

Iy cannot take place before us on account of pararūpa.

टिस परह्मपाचान्तरङ्गतोऽभिनिर्श्वचाद् इयादेशो न प्राप्तोति - पचेयुः, यजेयुः Iyādēśa, in pacēyuḥ and yajēyuḥ cannot be secured before us on account of the operation of pararūpa which is antaraṅga.

नैष दोषः । नैवं विज्ञायते, या इत्येतस्य इय् भवतीति

This difficulty does not arise. It is not stated that $y\bar{a}$ is replaced by iy.

कथं तिर्हे ? How then ? यासित्येतस्य इय् भवतीति - $Y\bar{a}s$ is replaced by iy.

छुक् लोपयणयवायावेकादेशेभ्यः

Need to say that luk is stronger than $l\bar{o}pa$, yan, ay, ay, $\bar{a}y$, $\bar{a}v$ and $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$.

लोपयणयवायावेकादेशेभ्यो छक् बलीयान् इति वक्तव्यम्

It must be said that luk is stronger than $l\bar{o}pa$, yan, ay, ay, $\bar{a}y$, $\bar{a}v$ and $\bar{e}k\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$.

Note:—Luk is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Sup\bar{o}$ $dh\bar{u}tupr\bar{u}tipadikay\bar{o}h$ (2, 4, 71).

लोपात् - गोमान् भियो यस्य गोमत्भियः ; गोमानिव आचरति गोमत्यते ; यवमत्यते Luk should be stronger than lõpa so that gōmatpriyaḥ whose vigrahavākya is gōmān priyō yasya and gōmatyatē whose derivation is gōmān iva ācarati and yavamatyatē can be secured.

Note: -Lōpa is by the sūtra Samyōgāntasya lōpaḥ (8, 2, 23)

यणादेशात् - मामण्यः कुलं मामणिकुलम्; सेनान्यः कुलं सेनानिकुलम् Luk should be stronger than yaṇādēśa to secure the forms grāmaṇikulam and sēnānikulam whose vigrahavākayas are grāmaṇyaḥ kulam and sēnānyaḥ kulam.

अयवायावेकादेशेभ्यः - गवे हितं गोहितम्; रायः कुलम् रैकुलम्; नावः कुलं नौकुलम्; वृकाद् भयं वृकभयम्

Luk should be stronger than ay, av, āy, āv and ēkādēśa so that the forms gōhitam, rāikulam, nāukulam and vṛkabhayam whose vigrahavākyas are gavē hitam, rāyaḥ kulam, nāvaḥ kulam and vṛkād bhayam may be secured.

छुक् च प्रामोति, एते विधयश्च । परत्वादेते विधयः स्युः । छुग् बलीयान् इति वक्तव्यम्, छुक् यथा स्यात् ।

Both *luk* and one of these *vidhis* have a chance to operate; these *vidhis*, being *para*, may operate first. It must be said that *luk* is stronger than they so that *luk* may take precedence.

FIFTEENTH AHNIKA ENDS.

(First adhyāya, fourth pāda, first āhnika ends.)

Sixteenth Ahnika

(First adhyāya, fourth $p\bar{a}da$, second $\bar{a}hnika$)

यू रुच्याख्यौ नदी (1, 4, 3)

There are five topics here:—(1) What is the need for the word $y\bar{u}$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$? (2) Does it refer to \bar{i} and \bar{u} or i and u?

(3) Does the word $stry\bar{a}khy\bar{a}u$ conform to the rules of grammar?

(4) What is the need for the word $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}$? (5) Is there need for adding the adjunct prathamalinge?

1

यू इति किमर्थम्? What is the need for the word $y\bar{u}$? खट्टा, माला

So that $nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ cannot reach $khatv\bar{a}$ and $m\bar{a}l\bar{a}$.

किं च स्वात ? What will happen if they get it?

खट्टाबन्धुः मालाबन्धुः – नदी बन्धुनि इत्येष खरः प्रसज्येत । इह च बहु-खट्टकः इति नद्युतश्च इति नित्यः कप् प्रसज्येत ।

Pūrvapadāntōdāttatva will have a chance to take place in the words khaṭvābandhuḥ and mālābandhuḥ by the sūtra Nadī bandhuni (6, 2, 109), (though it is desired that they should take pūrvapadaprakṛtisvara-ādyudātta.) Besides kap will have a chance to take place permanently in the word bahukhaṭvaḥ by the sūtra Nadyrtaś ca (5, 4, 153.)

नैष दोषः । आचार्यपवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित नापो नदीसंज्ञा भवति इति यदयं ङेराम्न-चाम्नीभ्यः इति पृथगाब्यहणं करोति

This difficulty does not arise. The procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that $\bar{a}banta$ does not get $nad\bar{i}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, since he reads $\bar{a}p$ separately in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\dot{N}\bar{e}r$ $\bar{a}m$ $nady\bar{a}mn\bar{i}bhyah$ (7, 3, 116.)

इह तर्हि मात्रे मातुः इति आण्नद्याः इत्याट् प्रसज्येत If so, $\bar{a}t$ will have a chance to appear in $m\bar{a}tr\bar{e}$ and $m\bar{a}tuh$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{A}n$ $nady\bar{a}h$ (7, 3, 112).

11

कि पुनरिदं दीर्घयोर्घहणमाहोस्विद्धस्वयोः? Does this $(y\bar{u})$ refer to $\bar{\imath}$ and \bar{u} or i and u?

कि चातः? What does it matter if it refers to either?

यदि दीर्घयोमेहणं यू इति निर्देशो नोपपद्यते । दीर्घाद्धि पूर्वसवर्णदीर्घः प्रतिपिध्यते । उत्तरत्र च संज्ञिविशेषणं न प्रकल्पेत - यू हस्वौ इति । यदि यू, न हस्वौ; अथ हस्वौ, न यू; यू हस्वौ चेति विप्रतिपिद्धम् ।

If it refers to $\bar{\imath}$ and \bar{u} , the form $y\bar{u}$ does not conform to the rules of grammar, since $p\bar{u}rvasavarnad\bar{\imath}rgha$ is prohibited after the stems ending in \bar{u} . Besides it cannot be the $viś\bar{e}sana$ to $hrasv\bar{a}u$. If they are $\bar{\imath}$ and \bar{u} , they cannot be $hrasv\bar{a}u$; if they are $hrasv\bar{a}u$, they cannot be $\bar{\imath}$ and \bar{u} . Mention of $y\bar{u}$ and $hrasv\bar{u}u$ contradicts each other.

अथ ह्स्वयोः हे शकटे अत्रापि प्रसज्येत

If $y\bar{u}$ refers to i and u, $nad\bar{i}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ will chance to go to $\hat{s}akati$ and $\hat{s}akat\bar{e}$ in the vocative singular will be replaced by $\hat{s}akati$.

नैष दोपः । अवश्यमत्र विभाषा नदीसंज्ञा एपितव्या, उमयं हीप्यते - हे शकटि, हे शकटे इति च

This difficulty cannot arise. Since both the forms $\dot{s}akati$ and $\dot{s}akat$ are found in the vocative singular, $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ has necessarily to be sought for.

इह तर्हि शकटिंबन्धुः इति नदी बन्धुनि इत्येष स्वरः प्रसज्येत । इह च बहु-शकटिः इति नद्यतश्च इति कप् प्रसज्येत ।

Pūrvapadāntēdāttatva will, then, chance to set, in the word śakaṭibandhuḥ by the sūtra Nadī bandhuni. Besides the pratyaya kap will have a chance in the word bahuśakaṭiḥ by the sūtra Nadyrtaś ca.

नैष दोपः । ङिति हस्वश्च इत्ययं नियमार्थी भविष्यति - ङित्येव यू हस्वी नदीसंज्ञी भवतो नान्यत्नेति ।

This difficulty cannot arise; for the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\dot{N}iti$ hrasvas ca is taken as a $niyama-s\bar{u}tra$, so that it means the short $y\bar{u}$ takes $nad\bar{v}sam\bar{v}j\bar{u}$ only before the case-suffixes which are $\dot{u}it$ and nowhere else,

कैमर्थक्यान्नियमो भवति?

Is not the answer niyamārtha appropriate only if there is the question kimartha!! ?

विधेयं नास्तीति कृत्वा Taking that there is no vidhēya here. इह चास्ति विधेयम् Oh! there is the vidhēya here.

किम्? What?

नित्या नदीसंज्ञा प्राप्ता, सा विभाषा विषेया

The $nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ which is permanently secured has to be made optional.

तत्र अपूर्वी विधिरस्तु नियमो वा अस्तु इति Is it to be apūrva-vidhi or niyamavidhi?

अपूर्व एव विधिर्मविष्यति, न नियमः

It can be only apūrvavidhi and not niyama.

अथायं नित्यो योगः स्यात् प्रकरुपेत नियमः?

Is not niyama possible only if this $s\bar{u}tra$ is $ap\bar{u}rvavidhi$?

बाढं पकल्पेत Certainly, it is possible only then.

नित्यस्तर्हि भविष्यति It may, then, be taken as nitya-vidhi.

तत्कथम् ? How is it possible?

योगविभागः करिष्यते । इदमस्ति - यू स्व्याख्यो नदी, नेयङुवङ्खानावस्नी, वामि; ततो, ङिति, ङिति चेयङुवङ्खानौ यू वा अस्नी नदीसंज्ञो न भवतः । ततो, हस्तो, हस्तो च यू स्व्याख्यो ङिति नदीसंज्ञो भवतः । इयङुवङ्खानौ वा नेति निवृत्तम् ॥ The $s\bar{u}tra$ $\dot{n}iti$ hrasva s ca is split into two. Now, after reading the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Y\bar{u}$ $stry\bar{a}/.hy\bar{a}u$ $nad\bar{i}$, $N\bar{e}yan$ - $uva\dot{n}$ - $sth\bar{a}n\bar{a}vastr\bar{i}$ and $V\bar{a}mi$, $\dot{n}iti$ alone is taken as a separate $s\bar{u}tra$, meaning that $y\bar{u}$, other than $str\bar{i}$, which is capable of taking $iya\dot{n}$ or $uva\dot{n}$ optionally does not take $nad\bar{i}sa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ before case-suffixes which are $\dot{n}it$. Then $Hrasv\bar{a}u$ is read as a separate $s\bar{u}tra$ meaning that the short $y\bar{u}$ takes $nad\bar{i}sa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ before $\dot{n}it$; there is no anuvrtti to $iya\dot{n}uva\dot{n}sth\bar{a}n\bar{a}u$, $v\bar{a}$ and na.

यदेवं शकटये, अत्र गुणो न प्राप्तोति

If so, there is no chance for guna and hence the form śakatayē cannot be secured (since ghitva is prohibited by nadītva.)

द्वितीयो योगविभागः करिष्यते । शेषप्रहणं न करिष्यते । The second $s\bar{u}tra-split$ is taken recourse to. The word $s\bar{e}sa$ is not read (in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $S\bar{e}s\bar{o}ghyasakhi$.)

कथम् ? How?

इदमस्ति, यू स्व्याख्यो नदी, नेयङुवङ्खानावस्त्री, वामि । ततो ङिति, ङिति चेयङुवङ्खानो यू वास्त्री नदीसंज्ञो न भवतः । ततः इस्वो, इस्वो च यू स्व्याख्यो ङिति नदीसंज्ञो भवतः ; इयङुवङ्खानो, वा, न इति निवृत्तम् । ततो, घिसंज्ञो च भवतः स्व्याख्यो यू इस्वो ङिति । ततो, असिख, सिखवर्जितौ च यू इस्वो घिसंज्ञो भवतः ; स्व्याख्यो, ङिति इति च निवृत्तम् ।

Now this is the situation: --First the sūtras—Yū stryākhyāu nadī, Nēyaṅ-uvaṅ-sthānāvastrī and Vāmi are read. Then Niti which means niti ca iyaṅ-uvaṅ-sthānāu yū vāstrī nadīsaṅijñāu na bhavataḥ is read. Then is read IIrasvāu, which means hrasvāu ca yū stryākhyāu niti nadīsaṅijñāu bhavataḥ. There will be no anuvrtti for iyaṅ-uvaṅ-sthānāu, vā and na. Then the sūtra Ghi is read, which means that the short i and u which are nityastrīlinga take ghi-sanijñā. Then the sūtra Asakhi is read, which means those that end in short i and u other than sakhi take ghi-sanijñā. There will be no anuvrtti for stryākhyāu and niti.

यदि तर्हि शेषप्रहणं न कियते नार्थ एकेनापि योगविभागेन । अविशेषेण नदीसंज्ञा उत्सर्गः, तस्या ह्रस्वयोधिसंज्ञा बाधिका तस्यां नित्यायां प्राप्तायामियं ङिति विभाषा आरभ्यते

If the word $\dot{s}\bar{e}sa$ is not read in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\dot{S}\bar{e}s\bar{o}sghyasakhi$, no purpose is served even by one $y\bar{o}gavibh\bar{a}ga$. $Nad\bar{i}sainj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ to i and u whether short or long is the general rule. Short i and u taking $ghisamj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ is its exception; when there is a chance for $ghisamj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ to be nitya, it is said that it is optional before $\dot{n}it$.

अथवा पुनरस्तु दीर्घयोः Or let it refer to $\bar{\imath}$ and \bar{u} .

ननु चोक्तं निर्देशो नोपपद्यते इति, दीर्घाद्धि पूर्वसवर्णदीर्धः भतिषिध्यते इति Oh, the defects Nirdēśō nōpapadyalē and Dīrghāddhi pūrvasavārņadīrghah pratisidhyatē, we pointed out.

वा छन्दिस इत्येवं भविष्यति

The form may be sanctioned by Va chandasi.

छन्दिस इत्युच्यते, न चेदं छन्दः

The word chandasi is read there; but this is not chandas.

छन्दोवत्सूत्राणि भवन्ति इति

It may be said that sūtras may be treated like chandas.

यद्प्युच्यते उत्तरत्र विशेषणं न प्रकल्पेत यू हस्वाविति, यदि यू न हस्वो, अथ हस्वो न यू, हस्वो इति च विप्रतिषिद्धम् इति; नैतद्विप्रतिषिद्धम्, आहायं यू हस्वो इति, यदि यू न हस्वो, अथ हस्वो न यू, त एवं विज्ञास्यामः य्वोयों हस्वो इति।

With reference to the other defect—uttaratra viśēṣaṇam na prakalpēta, yū hrasvāu iti, yadi yū na hrasvāu, atha hrasvāu na yū, yū hrasvāu iti ca vipratiṣiddham, yū and hrasvāu do not contradict each other. He says "yū hrasvāu." If yū cannot be hrasvāu and hrasvāu cannot be yū, it is interpreted thus:— $Yv\bar{o}r\ y\bar{a}u\ hrasv\bar{a}u$ (the hrasvas of i and u).

को च उनोईस्नो ? What are the hrasvas of i and u? सवणीं The two like letters.

III

अथ स्च्याख्यो इति कोऽयं शब्दः श What is this word stryākhyāu? स्थियमाचक्षाते स्च्याख्यो

Striyākhyāu is derived thus:—Striyam ācakṣātē.

यद्येवं स्वयाख्यायाँ इति प्राप्ताति; अनुपसर्गे हि को विधायते । If so, its form should be $stry\bar{a}khy\bar{a}y\bar{a}u$; for ka is enjoined to $khy\bar{a}$ only when it is not preceded by a preposition.

न तर्हि इदानीमिदं भवति

यस्मिन्दश सहस्राणि पुत्रे जाते गवां ददौ । ब्राह्मणेभ्यः प्रियाख्येभ्यः सोऽयमुञ्छेन जीवति ॥?

Cannot it be taken to be correct from the usage of $priy\bar{a}khy\bar{e}-bhyah$ in the verse

Yasmin daśa sahasrāṇi putrē jātē gavām dadāu ı Brāhmaṇēbhyaḥ priyākhyēbhyaḥ sōsyam uñchēna jīvati ॥?

छन्दोवत्कवयः कुर्वन्ति । न ह्येषेष्टिः

Poets take licence like $V\bar{e}das$. But there is no licence allowed here.

एवं तर्हि कर्मसाधनो भविष्यति स्त्रियामाख्यायेते स्व्याख्यों If so, stryākhyāu is derived thus:—striyām ākhyāyētē (śāstrēṇa) (those two read by śāstra in feminine).

यदि कर्मसाधनः कृत्स्त्रिया घातुस्त्रियाश्च न सिध्यति, तन्त्र्ये, लक्ष्म्ये, श्रिये, श्रुवे । If it is karmasādhana, it cannot apply to the feminine nouns whose stems end in kṛtpratyaya and dhātu.

एवं तर्हि बहुत्रीहिभीविष्यति - स्त्रियां आख्या अनयोः स्त्र्याख्यौ If so, stryākhyāu is taken to be bahuvrīhi thus:—striyām ākhyā anayōḥ.

एवमिप कृत्स्त्रिया घातुस्त्रियाश्च न सिध्यति - तन्त्र्ये, लक्ष्ये, श्लिये, श्लुवे Even then, it cannot hold to the feminine stems ending in krt and $dh\bar{a}tu$.

एवं तर्हि विज्भविष्यति If so, let the pratyaya be vij.

Note:—The sūtra Ātō manin-kvanib-vanipas ca (3, 2, 74) enjoins vij to ādantadhātus.

अथ वा पुनरस्तु क एव, स्त्रियमाचक्षाते स्ट्याख्यो इति
Or let it be taken to be ka-pralyaya and the derivation of stryākhyāu to be striyam ācakṣātē.

ननु चोक्तं स्व्याख्यायों इति प्रामोति, अनुपसर्गे हि को विधायते इति
Oh, it was said that the form should be stryākhyāyāu, on account of ka being enjoined only when khyā is not preceded by any preposition!

मूलविभुजादिपाठात्को भविष्यति । एवं च कृत्वा सोऽष्यदोषो भवति, यदुक्तं यस्मिन्दश सहस्राणि पुत्रे जाते गवां ददौ ।

ब्राह्मणेभ्यः भियाख्येभ्यः सोऽयमुञ्छेन जीवति ॥ इति

Ka-pratyaya appears there on the strength of the vārttika Kaprakaraņē mūlavibhujādibhya upasahkhyānam under 3, 2, 5. On that authority the word priyākhyēbhyah in the verse 'Yasmin daśa sahasrāni...' conforms to the rules of grammar.

IV

अथ आख्याग्रहणं किमर्थम्? What for is the word ākhyā read? नदीसंज्ञायामाख्याग्रहणं स्त्रीविषयार्थम् Mention of the word ākhyā in the lakṣaṇa of nadī is concerned

with words denoting strī.

नदीसंज्ञायामाख्याग्रहणं कियते स्त्रीविषयार्थम् । स्त्रीविषयावेव यो नित्यं तयोरेव नदीसंज्ञा यथा स्यात्, इह मा भूत् ग्रामण्ये सेनान्ये स्त्रिये इति । The word $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}$ is read in $nad\bar{i}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}-vidh\bar{a}yaka-s\bar{u}tra$ for the sake of words denoting $str\bar{i}$, so that those alone which are permanently feminine may take $nad\bar{i}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and not words $gr\bar{a}man\bar{i}$ and $s\bar{e}n\bar{a}n\bar{i}$ though they may be adjectives to $str\bar{i}$, as in $gr\bar{a}many\bar{e}$ $s\bar{e}n\bar{a}ny\bar{e}$ $striy\bar{a}i$.

∇

प्रथमलिङ्गग्रहणम् च Mention of the word prathamalinga too.

प्रथमलिङ्गग्रहणं च कर्तव्यम् । प्रथमलिङ्गे यो स्व्याख्यो इति वक्तव्यम् । Mention should be made of prathama-linga too. The word prathamalingē should be read in the sūtra (so that it may mean that yū which is nityastrīlinga in prathamalinga takes nadīsamjñā).

किं प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit?

प्रयोजनं किब्छप्समासाः

Benefit is to get the $nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ where there is kvip, lup or $sam\bar{a}sa$.

किप् - कुमार्ये ब्राह्मणाय

So that $nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{\alpha}$ may be secured to $kum\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ in $kum\bar{a}ry\bar{a}i$ $br\bar{a}man\bar{a}ya$ (to the brahmin who wishes to have a $kum\bar{a}ri$ or behaves like a $kum\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$.)

Note: $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here: $Kum\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}m$ icchaty $\bar{a}tmanah$ -kum $\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}yati$, Tatah kartari kvip. Atha v \bar{a} kum $\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ v \bar{a} $\bar{a}carati$ $\bar{a}c\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ kvipam vidh $\bar{a}ya$ kartari kvib vidh $\bar{e}yah$.

छुप् - खरकुट्ये ब्राह्मणाय

So that $nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ may be secured to $kharakut\bar{\imath}i$ in $kharakut\bar{\imath}ii$ $br\bar{a}hman\bar{a}ya$ (to the brahman who is like a stable of asses or a barber's shop),

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyala$ reads here: $-Kharakul\bar{\imath}va$ iti iv $\bar{a}rth\bar{e}$ samj $\bar{n}\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ (5, 3, 97) iti kan \bar{o} 'Lummanuşy \bar{e} (5, 3, 98) iti lup.

समास - अतितन्त्रये ब्राह्मगाय, अतिलक्ष्मये ब्राह्मणाय

So that $nad\bar{\imath}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ may be secured to $atitantr\bar{\imath}$ and $atilaksm\bar{\imath}$ in $atitantry\bar{a}i\ br\bar{a}hman\bar{a}ya$ (to the brahman who has excelled

stringed instrument) and in $\bar{a}tilak smy \bar{a}i \ br \bar{a}h man \bar{a}ya$ (to the brahmin who has excelled $Lak sm \bar{\imath}$.)

Note: $-Tantr\bar{\imath}m \ atikr\bar{a}ntali$ compound into $atitantr\bar{\imath}$.

तत्तर्हि वक्तव्यम् lt, then, must be read.

न वक्तव्यम् No, it need not be read.

अवयवस्त्रीविषयत्वात् सिद्धम्

It is accomplished on account of the avayava being strīviṣaya.

अवयवोऽत्र स्नीविषयः । तदाश्रया नदीसंज्ञा भविष्यति

The avayava, here, is strīviṣaya. Nadīsamjñā, based upon it, is secured.

अवयवस्त्रीविषयत्वात्सिद्धमिति चेद् इयङ्गबङ्स्थानप्रतिषेधप्रसङ्गे यण्स्थानप्रति-षेधप्रसङ्गोऽवयवस्येयङ्गबङ्स्थानत्वात्

If it is said that it is accomplished through avayavastrīviṣaya, there is chance for yaṇ-sthāna-pratiṣēdha where there is chance for iyaṇ-uvaṇ-sthāna-pratiṣēdha on account of avayava being iyaṇ-uvaṇ-sthāna.

अवयवस्त्रीविषयत्वात् सिद्धमिति चेद् इयङुवङ्स्थानप्रतिषेधप्रसङ्गे यण्स्थानयोरिष य्वोः प्रतिषेधः प्रसज्येत - आध्ये ¹ ब्राह्मण्ये

If it is said that the object is achieved since its avayava is $str\bar{\imath}visaya$, there is chance for $pratis\bar{e}dha$ of $\bar{\imath}$ and $\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}$ which are $yan\text{-}sth\bar{a}na$ where there is chance for the $pratis\bar{e}dha$ of iyan and uvan, so that the form $\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}i$ in $\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}i$ $br\bar{a}hmany\bar{a}i$ cannot be secured.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

अवयवस्य इयङ्स्थानत्वात् - अवयवः अत्र इयङ्स्थानः

On account of the avayava being iyansthāna. Avayava, here, is iyansthāna.

सिद्धं त्वङ्गरूपग्रहणाद्यसाङ्गस्येयुवौ तत्प्रतिपेधात्

It is achieved since anga is taken into account here and since there is pratisēdha to the iy and uv which happen to the anga.

सिद्धमेतत् This is accomplished.

1. Śri Guruprasādaśāstri's edition reads $\bar{a}dhy\bar{e}$, $pradhy\bar{e}$ in place of $\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}i$.

कथम ? How?

अङ्गरूपं गृद्यते । यस्याङ्गस्य इयुवी भवतः, तस्येदं श्रहणं, न चैतस्याङ्गस्य इयुवी भवतः

 $A\dot{n}ga$ is taken into account here. It is only that $a\dot{n}ga$ to which iy and uv are possible to appear is taken here and this $a\dot{n}ga$ is not capable of taking iy or uv.

ह्स्वेयुव्स्थानप्रवृत्तौ च स्त्रीवचने

I and u which are capable of taking the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}as$ iy and uv take $nad\bar{i}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ only in the feminine gender when they are used as adjuncts to other words.

ह्रस्वी च इयुव्स्थानी च प्रवृत्ती च प्राक् प्रवृत्तावेव स्त्रीवचनावेव नदीसंज्ञी भवत इति वक्तव्यम् - शकट्ये अतिशकट्ये ब्राह्मण्ये

It must be said that short i and u which are capable of taking the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}as$ iy and uv and which are $arth\bar{a}ntarasa\dot{n}karamita$ take $nad\bar{i}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ only when they denote feminine gender in the same way as before $arth\bar{a}ntarasa\dot{n}krama$, as in $\dot{s}akaty\bar{a}i$ of $atisakaty\bar{a}i$ $br\bar{a}hmany\bar{a}i$.

क मा भूत्? Where should it not get the nadīsamjñā? शकटये, अतिशकटये ब्राह्मणाय

In śakatayē of atiśakatayē brāhmanāya.

घेन्वे, अतिघेन्वे ब्राह्मण्ये

It should get it in dhēnvāi of atidhēnvāi brāhmaṇyāi.

क मा भूत? Where should it not be?

धेन्वे अतिधेन्वे ब्राह्मणाय In dhēnavē of atidhēnavē brāhmaṇāya.

श्रिय, अतिश्रिय ब्राह्मण्ये

It should get it in śtriyāi of atistriyāi brāhmaņyāi.

क मा भूत? Where should it not be?

श्रिये अतिश्रिये ब्राह्मणाय In śriyē of atistriyē brāhmaņāya.

भुवै अतिभुवै ब्राह्मण्यै

It should get it in bhruvāi of atibhruvāi brāhmanyāi.

क मा भूत ? Where should it not be?

भुवे, अतिभुवे ब्राह्मणाय In bhruvē of atibhruvē brāhmaņāya.

अपर आह Another says:—

ह्रस्वेयुव्स्थानप्रवृत्तौ स्नीवचन

Short i and u which are capable of taking iy and uv take $nad\bar{\imath}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ only if they denote feminine gender when they are $arth\bar{a}ntarasa\dot{n}kramita$.

हस्वो चेयुव्स्थानो च प्रवृत्ताविष स्नीवचनाविव नदीसंज्ञो भवत इति वक्तव्यम्
It must be said that the short i and u which are capable of taking the ādēśas iy and uv and which are arthāntarasainkramita take nadīsainjā only when they denote feminine.

किं भयोजनम्? What is the benefit?

शकट्ये अतिशकट्ये ब्राह्मण्ये । क मा भूत्? शकटये अतिशकटये ब्राह्मणाय । धेन्वे अतिधेन्वे ब्राह्मण्ये । क मा भृत्? धेनवे अतिधेनवे ब्राह्मणाय । श्रिये अति-श्रिये ब्राह्मण्ये । क मा भूत्? श्रिये अतिश्रिये ब्राह्मणाय । श्रुवे अतिश्रुवे ब्राह्मण्ये । क मा भूत्? श्रिये अतिश्रिये ब्राह्मणाय । श्रुवे अतिश्रुवे ब्राह्मण्ये । क मा भूत्? श्रुवे अतिश्रुवे ब्राह्मणाय

In śakatyāi of atiśakatyāi brāhmanyāi etc. See above for the translation of the rest.

किमर्थ पुनरिद्मुच्ते? What for is this said?

प्रथमलिङ्गप्रहणं चोदितं, तद्वेष्यं विज्ञानीयात् सर्वमेतद् विकल्पते इति, तदाचार्यः सहद् भृत्वा अन्वाचष्टे हस्वौ चेयुव्स्थानौ च पवृत्तौ च प्राक् च प्रवृत्तेः स्त्रीवचनावेव इति Prathamalinga-grahanam was first read. Fearing that, on that authority, vikalpa may happen whether i and u are short or long and consequently harm will set in, Ācārya (Vārttikakāra), being our benefactor has read after it the vārttika II rasvāu cēyuvsthānāu ca pravṛttāu ca prūk ca pravṛttēḥ strīvacanāvēva.

पष्टीयुक्त इछन्दिस वा (1, 4, 9)

योगिवभागः Need for sūtra-split.

योगविभागः कर्तव्यः The sūtra should be split into two.

पष्टीयुक्तरछन्दिस - पष्टीयुक्तः पतिशब्दः छन्दिसि विसंज्ञो भवति ।

One is Saṣṭhīyuktaḥ chandasi, which means that the word pati qualified by a word in the sixth case takes ghisamjñā in Vēdās.

ततो वा - वा छन्दिस सर्वे विधयो भवन्ति । सुपां व्यत्ययः, तिङां व्यत्ययः, वर्णव्यत्ययः, लिङ्गव्यत्ययः, कालव्यत्ययः, पुरुपव्यत्ययः, आत्मनेपद्व्यत्ययः, परसोपद्व्यत्ययः The other is $V\bar{a}$, which means that all $s\bar{u}tras$ are only optional in $V\bar{e}das$:—the use of one case-suffix for another, one verbal termination for another, one letter for another, one gender for another, one tense for another, one person for another, $\bar{a}tman\bar{e}$ -pada for $parasm\bar{a}ipada$ and $vice\ versa$ is allowed.

सुपां व्यत्ययः - युक्ता मातासी द्भिर दक्षिणायाः - दक्षिणायाम् इति प्राप्ते One case-suffix is found in place of another in yuktā mātāsīd-dhuri dakṣiṇāyāḥ (R. V. I, 165, 9). Here dakṣiṇāyāḥ is found in place of dakṣināyām.

तिङां व्यत्ययः - चषालं ये अश्वयूपाय तक्षति (ते. सं. 4, 6, 8, 6) - तक्षन्तीति प्राप्ते

One verbal termination is found in place of another in $caṣ\bar{a}lam$ $y\bar{e}$ $aśvay\bar{u}p\bar{a}ya$ takṣati—Here takṣati is found in place of takṣati.

वर्णव्यत्ययः - त्रिष्टुमोजः शुमितमुत्रवीरम् (ते. सं 4, 4, 12) - सुहितिमिति प्राप्ते One letter is found in place of another in $Tristub\bar{a}ujah$ subhitam $ugrav\bar{\imath}ram$ — Here s and bh are found in the word subhita in place of s and h of suhitam.

लिङ्गञ्यत्ययः - मधोर्गृह्णाति, मधोस्तृप्ता इवासते - मधुन इति प्राप्ते One gender is found in place of another in Madhōr gṛḥṇāti, madhōs atṛptā ivāsatë - Here madhōs is used as masculine in place of the neuter madhunaḥ.

Note: Madhor ghrtasya is found in Tai. S. 3, 2, 8.

कालव्यत्ययः - श्वोऽम्नीनाधास्यमानेन, श्वः सोमेन यक्ष्यमाणेन - (तै. ब्रा. 1, 1, 9)

श्वः आधाता, श्वो यष्टा इति प्राप्ते

One tense is used for another in $\hat{S}_l\bar{o}$ agn \bar{i} n $\bar{a}dh\bar{a}syam\bar{a}n\bar{e}na$ and $\hat{s}vah$ $\hat{s}\bar{o}m\bar{e}na$ $yak\bar{s}yam\bar{a}n\bar{e}na$ - Here $\bar{a}dh\bar{a}syam\bar{a}na$ and $yak\bar{s}yam\bar{a}na$ are used in place of $\bar{a}Jh\bar{a}t\bar{a}$ and $ya\bar{s}t\bar{a}$.

पुरुषव्यत्ययः - अधा स वीरैर्द्शिभिर्वियूयाः (R. V. 7, 104, 15) - वियूयाद्

The use of one person is found for another in $Adh\bar{a}$ sa $v\bar{i}r\bar{a}ir$ $da\acute{s}abhir\ viy\bar{u}y\bar{a}h$. Here $viy\bar{u}y\bar{a}h$ is found in place of $viy\bar{u}y\bar{a}t$.

आत्मनेपदव्यत्ययः - ब्रह्मचारिणमिच्छते - इच्छतीति प्राप्ते
The use of ātmanēpada for parasmāipada is found in — Brahmacāriṇam icchatē - Here icchatē is used for icchati.

Note: -Icchata iva hyēsah Tāi. Br. 6, 3, 6.

परस्मैपदव्यत्ययः - प्रतीपमन्य ऊर्मिधुध्यति, अन्वीपमन्य ऊर्मिधुध्यति - युध्यते इति प्राप्ते

The use of parasmāipada for ātmanēpada is found in Pratīpam anya ūrmir yudhyati, Anvīpam anya ūrmir yudhyati. Here yudhyati is used for yudhyatē.

Note:—1. $K\bar{a}iyata$ says here that the above $y\bar{o}gavibh\bar{a}ga$ enables one to dispense with bahulam chandasi read many times in the $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$.

Note: -2. The above quotations, viewed from a historical standpoint, reveal that the *Vedic* Sanskrit was in a more fluid condition than classical Sanskrit.

यसात्त्रत्ययविधिस्तदादि प्रत्ययेऽङ्गम् (1, 4, 13)

The prayojanas of the words yasmāt, pratyaya, vidhi, tadādi and pratyayē and the need or otherwise of the paribhāṣās Pratyayagrahaṇē yasmāt sa pratyayō vihitas tadādēs tadantasya ca grahaṇam and Kṛdgrahaṇē gatikārakapūrvasyāpi are the seven topics dealt with here.

I

अथ यसाद्रहणं किमर्थम् ? What for is the word yasmāt? यस्मादिति व्यपदेशाय

The word $yasm\bar{a}t$ is used for the determination (of the $samj\tilde{n}in$.)

II

अथ प्रत्यंयग्रहणं किमर्थम्? What for is the word pratyaya?

यसाद्विधिस्तदादि प्रत्ययेऽङ्गम् इतीयति उच्यमाने स्त्री इयती स्त्रीयती इत्यत्रापि प्रसज्येत । प्रत्ययप्रहणे पुनः कियमाणे न दोषो भवति ।

If the sūtra is read yasmād vidhis tadādi pratyayē anyam without the word pratyaya, angasamjnā may chance to strī in strī iyati which becomes strīyati. If the word pratyaya is read, this defect will not arise.

Note:—Since there is vidhi for the $l\bar{o}pa$ of su, the nominative singular suffix after $str\bar{\imath}$, there will be $l\bar{o}pa$ of $\bar{\imath}$ by the $s\bar{u}tra\ Yusy\bar{\imath}ti\ ca\ (6, 4, 148)$.

III

अथ विधिम्रहणं किमर्थम् ! What for is the word vidhi ?

यसात् प्रत्ययस्तदादिप्रत्ययेऽङ्गम् इतीयत्युच्यमाने दिधि - अधुना, मधु - अधुना, अत्रापि प्रसज्येत । विधिष्रहणे पुनः क्रियमाणे न दोषो भवति

If the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read $yasm\bar{a}t$ pratyayas $tad\bar{a}di$ $pratyay\bar{e}sngam$ without the word vidhi, $angasamjn\bar{a}$ and $bhasamjn\bar{a}$ will chance to appear in dadhi-adhun \bar{a} and madhu-adhun \bar{a} since the pratyaya $adhun\bar{a}$ follows dadhi and madhu. If the word vidhi is read, this defect will not arise.

तदेतत्त्रत्ययग्रहणेन विधिग्रहणेन च समुदितेन किं कियते?
Hence what is done conjointly by the words pratyaya and vidhi?

सिन्नयोगः । यसाद्यः प्रत्ययो विधीयते तदादि तिसिन्नङ्गसंज्ञं भवति Conjoint injunction. That which commences with a group of letters after which a pratyaya is enjoined takes aṅgasaṅjñā in the presence of that pratyaya.

IV

अथ तदादिग्रहणं किमधेम् ? What for is the reading of tadādi? अङ्गसंज्ञायां तदादिग्रहणं स्यादिनुमर्थम्

Reading of $tud\bar{a}di$ in the lakṣaṇa of anga is for the sake of sya etc. and num.

अङ्गसंज्ञायां तदादिग्रहणं कियते स्याद्यर्थं नुमर्थं च

 $Tad\bar{a}di$ is read in the lakṣaṇa of anga for the sake of sya etc. and num.

स्याद्यर्थे तावत् - करिष्यावः, करिष्यामः

Firstly for the sake of sya etc., as in kariṣyāvaḥ and kariṣyāmaḥ.

Note:—Only when kariṣya takes aigasamjñā, a after y can be lengthened in kariṣyāvah and kariṣyāmah by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $At\bar{o}\ d\bar{\imath}rgh\bar{o}\ yañi$ (7, 1, 3).

नुमर्थं - कुण्डानि वनानि

For the sake of num as in kuṇḍāni and vanāni.

Note:— $Num\bar{a}gama$ is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Napumsakasya jhalacah (7, 1, 72) and the $d\bar{v}rgha$ by Supi ca (7, 3, 102).

मित्सुटोरुपसङ्ख्यानम् Need to add mit and sut.

मित्वतः सुड्वतश्चोपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् । मित्वतः - भिनत्ति, छिनत्ति, अभिनत् अच्छिनत् । सुड्वः - संचस्करतुः संचस्करः

There is need to mention that $tad\bar{a}di$ is meant for mitvat and sudvat, so that the forms abhinat and acchinat which are mitvat and the forms $sa\dot{m}caskaratu\dot{h}$ and $sa\dot{m}caskaru\dot{h}$ which are sudvat may be formed.

किं पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति ? Why cannot they be formed?

मुटो बहिरङ्गत्वात् । बहिरङ्ग सुट्, अन्तरङ्गो गुणः, असिद्धं बहिरङ्गमन्तरङ्गे । On account of sut being bahiranga. Sut is bahiranga, guṇa is antaranga and bahiranga is asiddha when antaranga-śāstra is to operate.

Note:—1. In the imperfect of bhid and chid, the seventh conjugational sign snam being nitya is first added to them, so that they become bhinad and chinad. Unless they are taken as anga, adagama by the sūtra Lun-lan-lrnkṣu ad udāttaḥ (6, 4, 71) cannot set in.

Note:—2. Kāiyaṭa reads here:—Samcaskaratuḥ—Dvirvacanē kṛtē aḍ-abhyāsavyavāyēpi (6, 1, 136) iti suṭi cānaṅgatvāt Ṣtaśca saṃyōgādēr iti guṇō na syāt.

वक्ष्यत्येतत् - संयोगादेर्गुणविधाने संयोगोपधग्रहणं कृजर्थम्

He (Vārttikakāra) is going to say (under 7, 4, 10) the vārttika Samyōgādēr guṇavidhānē samyōgōpadhagrahaṇam krñartham (samyōgōpadha too should be added in the sūtra Rtaśca samyō-gādēr guṇaḥ.)

यदि संयोगोपधमहणं क्रियते, नार्थः संयोगादिमहणेन । इहापि सस्वरतुः सस्वरुः इति संयोगोपधस्य इत्येव सिद्धम् ।

If $sa\dot{m}y\bar{o}g\bar{o}padhasya$ is read, no purpose is served by $sa\dot{m}y\bar{o}g\bar{a}-d\bar{e}h$; for the guna will take place in the forms sasvaratuh and sasvaruh also on the strength of $sa\dot{m}y\bar{o}g\bar{o}padhasya$.

भवेदेवमर्थेन नार्थः, इदं तु न सिध्यति - संचस्करतुः संचस्करः इति
It is true that no purpose is served by it with reference to sasvaratuh and sasvaruh, but the forms samcaskaratuh and samcaskaruh cannot be secured.

किं पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति ? Why cannot they be secured ? इह तस्य वा श्रहणं भवति तदादेवीं, न चेदं तत्, नापि तदादि

The $s\bar{u}tra$ should refer to either $sa\dot{n}y\bar{o}g\bar{o}padha$ or $sa\dot{n}y\bar{o}g\bar{a}di$, but this is neither this nor that (on account of the $bahira\dot{n}gatva$ of sut).

सिद्धं तु तदाद्यादिवचनात्

The object is accomplished by reading tadādyādi.

सिद्धमेतत् This is accomplished?

कथम्? How?

तदाद्याद्यक्तरं इं भवतीति वक्तव्यम्

It must be said that $tad\bar{o}dy\bar{a}di$ takes $aigasainj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

किमिदं तदाचादीति? What is this word tādādyādi?

तस्य आदि: तदादि:, तदादि: आदि: यस्य तद् इदं तदाद्यादि इति $Tad\bar{a}dy\bar{a}di$ is the $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ compound made up of $tad\bar{a}dis$ and $\bar{a}di$, where $tad\bar{a}dis$ is the tatpurusa compound of tad and $\bar{a}dis$.

स तर्हि तथा निर्देशः कर्तव्यः

It should, then, be read in that manner.

न कर्तव्यः । उत्तरपदलोपोऽल द्रष्टव्यः । तद्यथा - उष्ट्मुखमिव मुखमस्य उष्ट्रमुखः, खरमुखः । एवं तदाद्यादि तदादि इति

No, it need not be read. It may be considered that there is $l\bar{o}pa$ for the uttarapada here, as in the words ustramukhah and kharamukhah where the $vigrahav\bar{a}kya$ of the former is ustramukham iva mukham asya. So also $tad\bar{a}dy\bar{a}di$ remains as $tad\bar{a}di$ here.

तदेकदेशविज्ञानाद्वा सिद्धम्

Or the object is achieved by taking that the part denotes the whole.

तदेकदेशिवज्ञानाद्वा सिद्धमेतत् । तदेकदेशमूतं तद्वहणेन गृह्यते । तद्यथा गङ्गा, यमुना, देवदत्ता इति । अनेका नदी गङ्गां यमुनां प्रविष्टा गङ्गायमुनाप्रहणेन गृह्यते । तथा देवदत्तास्थो गर्भो देवदत्ताप्रहणेन गृह्यते

Or the object is achieved by taking that the part denotes the whole. That of which tad is a part is denoted by the word tad, as the words $Gang\bar{a}$, $Yamun\bar{a}$ and $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}$, where the

former two refers to many rivers which have fallen in each and Dēvadattā refers to her along with garbha.

विषम उपन्यासः । इह केचित् शब्दा अक्तपरिमाणानाम् अर्थानां वाचका भवन्ति - य एते सङ्ख्याशब्दाः परिमाणशब्दाश्च - पञ्च सप्त इति । त एकेनाप्यपाये न भवन्ति । द्रोणः खारी आढकम् इति । नैवाधिके भवन्ति न च न्यूने । केचिद् यावदेव तद्भवति तावदेवाहः - यथैते जातिशब्दा गुणशब्दाश्च । तैलं घृतम् इति खार्यामपि भवति, द्रोणेऽपि । शुक्को नीलः कृष्ण इति हिमवत्यपि भवति वटकणिका-मालेऽपि द्रव्ये । अङ्गर्भंज्ञा चाप्यक्तपरिमाणानां कियते, सा केनाधिकस्य स्यात्? The argument is not sound. Some words, here, are restricted in their application, as the words denoting number and measure. The words five and seven cannot denote the desired object even if one is removed from the group. The words drona, khārī and āḍhaka cannot denote its meaning if it is either more or less. There are certain words, like jātišabdas and guņaśabdas which are extensive in their application. The words $t\bar{a}ila$, ghrta etc. denote whether its measure is $kh\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ or $dr\bar{\imath}na$. The words śukla, nīla, krsna etc. are applied to objects having that quality whether it is Himalayas or banian seed. Anga $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ too is given to those which are $aktaparim\tilde{a}nas$. how can it be taken to refer to that which is outside its range?

एवं तर्हि आचार्यपृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित तदेकदेशभूतं तद्गहणेन गृह्यते इति, यदयं नेद्मदसोरकोः इति सककारयोः प्रतिषेधं शास्ति ।

If so, the procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that part denotes the whole, since he prohibits, in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $N\bar{e}damadas\bar{o}r$ $ak\bar{o}h$ (7, 1, 11), the $\bar{a}is$ - $bh\bar{a}va$ to idam and adas which has no $kak\bar{a}ra$.

कथं कृत्वा ज्ञापकम् ? Under what circumstances is it $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}paka$?

इदमदसोः कार्यमुच्यमानं कः प्रसङ्गो यत्सककारयोः स्यात् । पश्यति त्वाचार्यः तदेकदेशभूतं तद्रहणेन गृह्यत इति । ततः सककारयोः प्रतिषेधं शास्ति

Where is the chance for idam and adas with $kak\bar{a}ra$ to take the $k\bar{a}rya$ of idam and adas? $Ac\bar{a}rya$ sees that part may refer to the whole and hence enjoins the prohibition to idam and adas with $kak\bar{a}ra$.

V

अथ द्वितीयं प्रत्ययग्रहणं किमर्थम् ?

What is the need to read the word pratyaya once again?

प्रत्ययग्रहणं पदादावप्रसङ्गार्थम्

Reading of pratyaya (the second time) is to prohibit the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ when the $\bar{a}di$ of the next pada follows.

प्रत्ययग्रहणं कियते, पदादौ अङ्गसंज्ञा मा भूद इति

The word pratyaya is read, so that $angasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ may not set in when $pad\bar{a}di$ is para.

किं च स्यात्? What will be the harm if it sets in?

स्चयर्थ, श्रयर्थ, भ्वर्थ, अङ्गस्येयङ्गवङौ स्याताम्

Iyan and uvan which are concerned with anga will have a chance in stryartham, śryartham and bhvartham in place of yan. परिमाणार्थं च For the sake of parimāna too.

परिमाणार्थं च द्वितीयं प्रत्ययग्रहणं कियते । यसात् प्रत्ययविधिस्तदाद्यक्रम् इतीयत्युच्यमाने दाशतयस्याप्यक्रसंज्ञा प्रसज्येत ।

The word pratyaya is read the second time to fix the limit. If the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read $Yasm\bar{a}t$ pratyayavidhis $tad\bar{a}dya\bar{n}gam$ without the word $pratyay\bar{e}$, $angasamjn\bar{a}$ will chance to appear in the word $d\bar{a}\acute{s}ataya$.

VΙ

तत्ति कर्तन्यम् In that case the sūtra has to be read.

न कर्तव्यम् No, it need not be read.

केनेदानीम् अङ्गकायं भविष्यति?

What will, then, be the sādhana for angakārya?

प्रत्यय इति प्रकृत्या अङ्गकार्यमध्येष्ये

I learn that there is $angak\bar{a}rya$ to the prakrti by the mention of the word pratyaya.

यदि प्रत्येय इति प्रकृत्याङ्गकार्यमधीषे प्राकरोत् उपैहिष्ट उपसर्गात् पूर्वी अडाटौ प्राप्ततः

If you learn that there is $angak\bar{a}rya$ to the prakrti by the mention of the word pratyaya, the $\bar{a}gamas$ at and $\bar{a}t$ will have a chance to precede the upasarga in $pr\bar{a}kar\bar{o}t$ and $up\bar{a}ihista$.

सिद्धं तु प्रत्ययग्रहणे यस्मात्स तदादितदन्तिवज्ञानात्

It is achieved that, on the mention of pratyaya, it should be taken that the $a\dot{n}gak\bar{a}rya$ is to $tad\bar{a}di$ after which the pratyaya is enjoined and to that which ends with it.

सिद्धमेतत् - It is accomplished.

कथम्? How?

प्रत्ययग्रहणे यसात् स प्रत्ययो विहितस्तदादेस्तदन्तस्य च ग्रहणं भवति इत्येषा परिभाषा कर्तञ्या

The paribhāṣā Pratyayagrahaṇē yasmāt sa pratyayō vihitas tadādēs tadantasya ca grahaṇam is to be read.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads here:—Yatra pūrvaḥ kāryitvēna āśrīyatē tatra tadādēḥ kāryam bhavati; yatra tu pratyayāntaḥ saṅghāta āśrīyatē tatra tadādēḥ pratyayāntasya grahaṇam bhavati ityarthaḥ.

कः पुनरत्र विशेषः, एषा परिभाषा कियेत प्रत्ययग्रहणं वा?

What is the difference here whether we read this $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ or the $aigasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s\bar{u}tra$?

Note:-- $K\tilde{a}iyata$ arrives at the meaning of anga-sa $inj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ - $s\bar{u}tra$ to the word pratyayagrahanam through the derivation $pratyay\tilde{o}$ $grhyat\tilde{e}$ yasmin $s\bar{u}tr\tilde{e}$ tat.

अवश्यमेषा परिभाषा कर्तव्या

This $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ must be read even though $angasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}-s\bar{u}tra$ is read.

बहून्येतस्याः परिभाषायाः प्रयोजनानि

Many are the benefits accrued from this paribhāṣā.

प्रयोजनं धातुप्रातिपदिकप्रत्ययसमासतद्वितविधिखराः

Benefit is with reference to dhātu, prātipadika, pratyaya, samāsa, taddhitavidhi and svara.

धातु - देवदत्तश्चिकीपीति, सङ्घातस्य धातुसंज्ञा प्रामोति

With reference to $dh\bar{a}tu$, there is chance, (in the absence of this $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$) for the group consisting of $D\bar{e}vadattah$ and $cik\bar{i}rsati$ to get $dh\bar{a}tu$ -sam $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

Note:—The result will be the loss of sup by the sūtra Supō dhātuprātipadikayōh.

प्रातिपदिक - देवदत्तो गार्ग्यः, सङ्घातस्य प्रातिपदिकसंज्ञा प्राप्तोति With reference to $pr\bar{a}tipadika$, the $saigh\bar{a}ta$ $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{o}$ $g\bar{a}ryyah$ may chance to get $pr\bar{a}tipadikasainj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ (in the absence of this $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$).

प्रत्यय - महान्तं पुत्रमिच्छति, सङ्घातात् प्रत्ययोत्पत्तिः प्राप्नोति With reference to pratyaya, there is chance for sanpratyaya after the sanghāta mahāntam putram.

समास - ऋद्धस्य राज्ञः पुरुषः, सङ्घातस्य समाससंज्ञा प्राप्तोति With reference to samāsa, there is chance for samāsasamjñā to the sanghāta rddhasya rājñah puruṣah.

तद्धितविधि - देवदत्तो गाःयायणः, सङ्घातात् तद्धितोत्पत्तिः प्राप्नोति With reference to taddhita-vidhi, there is chance for the taddhita-pratyaya after the saṅghāta Dēvadattō gārgyāyaṇaḥ.

स्वर - देवदत्तो गार्ग्यः, सङ्घातस्य ञ्नित्यादिर्नित्यम् इति आद्युदात्तत्वं प्राप्तोति With reference to svara, ādyudātta may chance to appear in the saṅghāta Dēvadattō gārgyaḥ by the sūtra Nnityādir nityam (6, 1, 197).

प्रत्ययग्रहणे यसात्स विहितस्तदादेस्तदन्तस्य ग्रहणं भवति इति न दोषो भवति If the paribhāṣā Pratyayagrahaṇē yasmāt sa vihitas tadādēs tadantasya grahaṇam bhavati is taken recourse to, the above difficulties will not arise.

सा तर्हि एषा परिभाषा कर्तव्या If so, that paribhāṣā has to be read.

न कर्तन्या । एवं वक्ष्यामि "यसात् प्रत्ययविधिस्तदादि प्रत्यये" गृह्यमाणे गृह्यते । ततः अङ्गम् - अङ्गसंज्ञं च भवति यसात् प्रत्ययविधिस्तदादि प्रत्यये No, it need not be read. Thus shall I split the sūtra into two, of which the former is:—Yasmāt pratyayavidhis tadādi pratyayē after which the expression grhyamānē grhyatē is supplied; and the latter is Aṅgam where there is anuvrtti to Yasmāt pratyayavidhis tadādi pratyayē.

यदि प्रत्ययमहणे यसात् स विहितः तदादेप्रहणं भवति इत्युच्यते, अवतसेन-कुरुस्थितं त एतत् उदकेविशिणं त एतत् सगतिकेन सनकुरुन च समासो न प्राप्नोति । If pratyayagrahanē yasmāt sa vihitah tadādēr grahanam bhavati is read, there will be no chance for samāsa between avataptē and nakulasthitam and udakē and viśīrnam.

एवं तर्हि प्रत्ययग्रहणे यसात् स तदादेर्घहणं भवति इत्युक्त्वा ततो वक्ष्यामि कुद्रहणे गतिकारकपूर्वस्यापि

If so, I shall read Krdgrahanē gatikārakapūrvasyāpi after Pratyayagrahanē yasmāt sa tadādēr grahanam bhavati.

कुद्गहणे गतिकारकपूर्वस्थापि प्रहणं भवति इत्येषा परिभाषा कर्तव्या Then there is need to read the paribhāṣā Krd-grohaṇē gati- $k\bar{a}$ raka-pūrvasyāpi grahaṇam bhavati.

कान्येतस्याः परिभाषायाः प्रयोजनानि ?

What are the benefits of this $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$?

प्रयोजनं समासतद्वितविधिखराः

Prayojana is with reference to samūsa, taddhitavidhi and svara.

समास - अवतसेनकुलिश्वतं त एतत्, उदकेविशीण त एतत् । सगतिकेन सनकुलेन च समासः सिद्धो भवति

With reference to samāsa, samāsa will be accomplished between avatoptē which is sagatika and nakulasthitam and between udakē and višīrņam.

तद्भितविधि, साङ्कूटिनं, व्यावकोशी, सङ्घातात् तद्भितोत्पत्तिः सिद्धा भवति With reference to taddhitavidhi, taddhita-pratyaya appears after the saṅghāta, saṅkūṭa and vyāvakrōśa.

स्वर, दूराद् आगतः दूरादागत इति, अन्तः, थाथवञ्क्ताजिवत्रकाणाम् इत्येष स्वरः सिद्धो भवति । 1

Antōdāttatva is accomplished in $d\bar{u}r\bar{a}d$ - $\bar{a}gatah$ formed from $d\bar{u}r\bar{a}d$ and $\bar{a}gatah$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Tha-atha-gha \tilde{n} -kta-aj-ab-itra-k $\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ (6, 2, 144) after the $adhik\bar{a}ra$ -s $\bar{u}tra$ Antah (6, 2, 143).

सा तर्हि एषा परिभाषा कर्तव्या

That $paribh\bar{a}$ \$\bar{a}\$ must, then, be read.

न कर्तव्या । आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित भवत्येषा परिभाषा इति यद्यं गितरनन्तरः इत्यनन्तरग्रहणं करोति

No, it need not be read. The procedure of $Ac\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests this $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$, since he reads the word anantarah in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Gatir-anantarah (6, 2, 49).

1. After this 'Kṛdgrahanē gatikārakapūrvasyāpi grahaṇam bhavati' is read in the editions to denote that that topic is closed, which I have omitted. Na dōṣō bhavati which is added to it in Sri Guruprasādaśāstri's edition is misprint.

Note:—1. $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$ clearly explains under 6, 2, 49 how the word anattarah suggests the $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$.

Note:—2. According to $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$, the two paribhāṣās may be read and the $s\bar{u}tra$ may be dispensed with. According to $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$, the $s\bar{u}tra$ may be kept on, but it may be split into two. The purpose served by the first $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ is served by the former $s\bar{u}tra$ and that served by the second $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ is served by $jn\bar{a}paka$ from the word anantara in 6, 2, 49. Hence the two $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}s$ need not be read.

सुप्तिङन्तं पद्म् (1, 4, 14)

अन्तग्रहणं किमर्थम्? न सुप्तिङ् पदम् इत्येवोच्येत?

What is the need for the word anta in the sūtra? Will not Suptin padam do?

केनेदानीं तदन्तानां भविष्यति ?

On what authority will the $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ reach those that end in sup and $ti\dot{n}$?

तदन्तविधिना

On the authority of that which enjoins tadanta-vidhi.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads here: $-Pratyayagrahan\bar{e}$ yasmāt sa vihita ityanantar $\bar{o}ktay\bar{a}$ paribh \bar{a} say \bar{a} tadantavidh $\bar{e}r$ labdhatv $\bar{a}d$ iti pra $\hat{s}nah$.

अत उत्तरं पठित He (Vārtlikakāra) answers this.

पद्संज्ञायामन्तग्रहणमन्यत्र संज्ञाविधौ अत्ययग्रहणे तद्नतविधिप्रतिषेधार्थम्

Reading of the word anta in pada-samj $\tilde{n}a$ -vidhi is to prohibit tadanta-vidhi with reference to pratyaya in other $samj\tilde{n}a$ vidhis.

पदसंज्ञायामन्तप्रहणं कियते ज्ञापकार्थम्

The word anta is read in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Suplinantam padam for the sake of $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}paka$.

किं ज्ञाप्यम्? What is suggested?

एतद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्यः अन्यत्र संज्ञाविधौ प्रत्ययग्रहणे तदन्तविधिर्न भवति इति $Ac\bar{a}rya$ suggests this—that there is no tadantavidhi if pratyaya is read in $sanij\tilde{n}avidhis$ other than this.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit of this $j \tilde{n} \tilde{a} pana$?

तरप्तमपो घ , तरप्तमबन्तस्य घसंज्ञा न भवति Gha-samjñā enjoined in the sūtra Tarap-tamupāu ghaḥ, cannot go to tarabanta and tamabanta.

कि च स्यात्? What will happen, if it goes to them?
कुमारी गौरितरा । घादिपु नद्या हस्बो भवति इति हस्वत्वं प्रसज्येत
When kumārī and gāuritarā form a compound, ī of kumārī
will be shortened by the sūtra Gharūpa...nyōsnēkācō hrasvaḥ
(6, 3, 43).

Note: When they form a compound, the resultant form is $kum\bar{a}ra$ - $g\bar{a}uritar\bar{a}$.

यद्येतद् ज्ञाप्यते सनाद्यन्ता धातवः इत्यन्तग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्, कृत्तिद्धितसमासाश्च -इत्यन्तग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्, इदं तृतीयं ज्ञापकार्थम्

If there is this $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}pana$, the word anta should be read in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $San\bar{a}dyant\bar{a}$ $dh\bar{a}tavah$ (3, 1, 32), the word anta should be read in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Krt-tuddhita-sam $\bar{a}s\bar{a}sca$ (1, 2, 46) and this, the third (has it) for the sake of $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}paka$.

द्वे तावत् कियेते न्यास एव । यद्प्युच्यते कृत्तद्वितसमासाश्च इति अन्तग्रहणं कर्तव्यमिति, न कर्तव्यम्; अर्थवद् इति वर्तते, कृत्तद्वितान्तं चैवार्थवन्न केवलाः कृतस्तद्विता वा

Two anta's are read in the respective sūtra. As regards the statement that anta should be read in the sūtra Krt-taddhita-samāsāśca, it need not be read; its purpose is served by the anuvrtti of the word arthavat, since krt-taddhitāntam alone is arthavad and not pure krts and taddhitas.

नः कये (1, 4, 15)

किमर्थमिदमुच्यते? न सुबन्तं पदम् इत्येव सिद्धम्?

What for is this sūlra read? Is not its purpose accomplished by Subantam padam?

ियमार्थोऽयमारम्भः, नान्तमेव क्ये पदसंज्ञं भवति नान्यत् This sūtra is for the sake of niyama, so that only nānta, when followed by the pratyaya kya, can take the padasamjñā.

क मा भूत? Where should not the samjñā overlap? वाच्यति, सुच्यति In vācyati and srucyati.

खादिष्वसर्वनामस्थाने (1, 4, 17)

असर्वनामस्थान इत्युच्यते, तत्र ते - राजा तक्षा - असर्वनामस्थान इति पदसंज्ञायाः प्रतिषेधः प्रसज्येत

The word $asarvan\bar{a}masth\bar{a}n\bar{e}$ is read here. According to you the $padasainj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ in $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ and $taks\bar{a}$ is set at naught by the word $asarvan\bar{a}masth\bar{a}n\bar{e}$.

नाप्रतिषेधात् No, on account of its being non-pratisēdha,

नायं प्रसज्यपतिषेधः सर्वनामस्थाने न इति

It is not prasajya-pratisēdha giving the sense sarvanāmasthānē na.

कि तर्हि? What then?

पर्युदासोऽयं यदन्यत् सर्वनामस्थानादिति । सर्वनामस्थाने अव्यापारः । यदि केन चिंत् प्राप्नाति तेन भविष्यति । पूर्वेण च प्राप्नोति ।

It is paryudāsa giving the sense sarvanāmasthānāt anyat. It does not operate with reference to sarvanāmasthāna. If it gets it by any other sūtra, it will get it. It gets it by the foregoing sūtra (Suptinantam padam).

अप्राप्तेर्वा Or on account of aprāpti.

अथ वा अनन्तरा या प्राप्तिः सा प्रतिषिध्यते

Or the prāpti which is anantarā is set at naught.

कृत एतत्? Why is it so?

अनन्तरस्य विधिर्या भवति प्रतिगेधो वा इति

By the dictum Anantarasya vidhir vā bhavati pratisēdhō vā.

पूर्वा प्राप्तिरप्रतिषिद्धा, तया भविष्यति

Pūrvā prāpti is not set at naught and hence it gets it.

नन चेयं प्राप्तिः पूर्वां प्राप्ति बाधते

Oh, the prāpti by this sūtra sets at naught pūrvā prāpti.

नोत्सहते प्रतिपिद्धा सती बाधितुम्

It, being set at naught, cannot set another at naught.

योगविभागाइ। Or by altering the form of the sūtras.

अथ वा योगविभागः करिष्यते — स्वादिषु, पूर्वं पदसंज्ञं भवति । ततः सर्वनामस्थानेऽयचि, पूर्वं पदसंज्ञं भवति । ततो, भम्, असंज्ञं च भवति यजादा- वसर्वनामस्थान इति ।

Or $y\bar{o}gavibh\bar{a}ga$ will be done. First $Sv\bar{a}disu$ is read and it means that which is followed by $sv\bar{a}dis$ gets the $pada-sainj\bar{n}\bar{a}$. Then $Sarvan\bar{a}masth\bar{a}n\bar{e}syaci$ is read and it means that which is followed by $sarvan\bar{a}math\bar{a}na$ which is not yac gets the $padasainj\bar{n}\bar{a}$. Then Bham is read and it means that which is followed by $asarvan\bar{a}masth\bar{a}na$ which is $yaj\bar{a}di$ gets $bhasainj\bar{n}\bar{a}$.

यदि तर्हि साविष पदं भवति, एचः प्छतिविकारे पदान्तग्रहणं चोदितम् । इह मा भूत् - भदं करोषि गौरिति । तस्मिन् कियमाणेऽपि प्राप्तोति । If there is padasamijñā even before su, that which is mentioned with reference to plutavikāra of ēc (in the sūtra Ēcōs pragrhyasya adūrāddhūtē pūrvasyārdhasya ad ultarasyēdutāu 8, 2, 107) may happen here in gāuḥ in the sentence Bhadram karōṣi gāuḥ, but it should not.

वाक्यपद्योरन्त्यस्य इत्येवं तत्

It is with reference to the final of $v\bar{a}kya$ and pada.

Note:—The word $g\bar{a}uh$ is mentioned here as $v\bar{a}kya$.

भुवद्वज्ञचो धारयद्वज्ञचः पदसंज्ञा

Need to read pada-samjñā with reference to bhuvadvadbhyah and dhārayadvadbhyah.

एतयोः पदसंज्ञा वक्तव्या

There is need to mention padasamjñā with reference to these two.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here:— $Tas\bar{a}u$ matuarth \bar{e} iti bhasamj $n\bar{a}pr\bar{a}pt\bar{a}u$ padasamj $n\bar{a}vidhih$.

यचि भम् (1, 4, 18)

भसंज्ञायामुत्तरपदलोपे षषः प्रतिषेधः

Need for $pratis\bar{e}dha$ of $bhasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to sas when there is $l\bar{o}pa$ in the following word.

भसंज्ञायामुत्तरपदलोपे षषः प्रतिषेघो वक्तव्यः, अनुकम्पितः षडङ्कालिः पडिकः There is need to prohibit bhasamijñā from operating on the word sas when there is elision in the following word—viz. sadikah , meaning anukampitah sadangulih.

1. The word sadikah is used to denote a man of six fingers in a sympathising tone. Sas+anguli+ika, where ika is by the $s\bar{u}tras$ $Bahvac\bar{v}$ manusyanāmnas thajvā (5, 3, 78) and Thasyēkah (7, 3, 50). Nguli is dropped by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Th\bar{a}j\bar{a}d\bar{a}v\bar{u}rdhvam$ $dvitiv\bar{a}d$ acah (5, 3, 83) and a is dropped by Yasyēti ca (6, 4, 48) Since ika commences with a vowel, sas takes $bhasvinjiv\bar{a}$ and hence there is no chance for jastva.

सिद्धमचः स्थानिवन्वात्

The object is achieved by the sthānivatīva of ac.

सिद्धमेतत् This is achieved.

कथम्? How?

अचः स्थानिवद्भावाद् भसंज्ञा प्राप्नोति

There is no chance for $bhasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on account of the $sth\bar{a}nivad-bh\bar{a}va$ of a.

इहापि तर्हि प्रामोति - वागाशीर्दतः वाचिक इति

It $(ja\acute{s}tva)$ will then chance to appear here also in the word $v\bar{a}cikah$.

वक्ष्यत्येतत् सिद्धमेकाक्षरपूर्वपदानामुत्तरपदलोपवचनात्

He (Vārttikakāra) is going to say (under 5, 3, 84) the vārttika Siddham ēkākṣarapūrvapadānām uttarapadalōpavacanāt. (The following word will be completely elided after a single-syllabled word).

इहापि तर्हि प्रामोति - पडङ्ग्रालेः षडिकः

It will chance to be applied even here - in sadikah which is formed from sadanguli.

वक्ष्यत्येतत् षषष्ठाजादिवचनात्सिद्धम् इति

He is going to read the vārttika Ṣaṣaḥ ṭhājādivacanāt siddham (under 5, 3, 84).

नभोऽङ्गिरोमनुषां वत्युपसङ्ख्यानम्

Upasankhyāna of bhatva to nabhas, angiras and manus when followed by vat.

नभोऽङ्गिरोमनुषां वत्युपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - नभस्वत् अङ्गिरस्वत् मनुष्वत् It must be said that nabhas, angiras and manus take bhasaṃjñā before vat (i.e.) in nabhasvat, aṅgirasvat and manusvat.

वृषण्वस्वश्वयोः

(Upasankhyāna of bhatva) to vrsan before vasu and aśva.

वृषणित्येतस्य वस्वश्वयोभसंज्ञा वक्तव्या - वृषण्वसुः, वृषणश्वस्य यच्छिरः, वृषणश्वस्य मेने ।

Bha-samjñā must be enjoined to vraṣan before vasu and aśva (i.e.) in vrṣanvasuḥ and vrṣanaśva found in vrṣanaśvasya yacchiraḥ and vrṣanaśvasya mēnē.

तसौ मत्त्रथें (1, 4, 19)

अर्थप्रहणं किमर्थम् १ न तसी मती इत्येवोच्येत ?

What for is artha read? Will not Tasāu matāu do?

तसौ मतौ इतीयत्युच्यमाने इहैव स्यात्, पयस्वान् यशस्वान्; इह न स्यात् पयस्वी यशस्वी; अर्थग्रहणे पुनः कियमाणे मतुपि च सिद्धं भवति, यश्चान्यः तेन समानार्थः तस्मिश्च ।

If the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read $Tas\bar{a}u$ $mat\bar{a}u$ without the word artha, it will operate only with reference to $payasv\bar{a}n$ and $ya\acute{s}asv\bar{a}n$ and not with reference to $payasv\bar{\imath}$ and $ya\acute{s}asv\bar{\imath}$; if, on the other hand, artha is read, it will have within its range not only matup but also any other one which has its meaning.

यद्यर्थप्रहणं कियते, पयस्वान् अल न प्राप्तोति If the word artha is read, the $s\bar{u}tra$ cannot have within its range $payasv\bar{a}n$.

किं कारणम्? Why?

न हि मतुब् मतुबर्थे वर्तते

For matup cannot have the purpose of matup.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaṭa$ reads here: -Upalakṣaṇasya anyōpalakṣaṇē caritārthatvāt svataḥ kāryam pratinimittatvāvagamābhāvāt.

मतुबिप मतुबिधे वर्तते - तद्यथा देवदत्तशालायां ब्राह्मणा आनीयन्ताम् इत्युक्ते यदि देवदत्तोऽपि ब्राह्मणो भवति सोऽप्यानीयते ।

Matup can serve the purpose of matup. This may be illustrated thus:—If it is said that the brahmans put up at the quarters of Dēvadatta may be brought, Dēvadatta, too is brought if he is a brahman.

अयस्मयादीनि छन्दिस (1, 4, 20)

उभयसंज्ञान्यपि 1 Ayasmayādis have both the samijñās.

डभयसंज्ञान्यपीति वक्तन्यम् । स छुष्टुमा स ऋक्वेता गणेने (R. V. 4, 50, 5) It must be said that they have both the samijnās (pada and bha). For instance the word rkvatā in the Vēdic sentence Sa suṣṭubhā sa rkvatā gaṇēna, there is padasamjñā with reference to kutva and there is bhasamjñā with reference to the absence of jaśtva.

बहुषु बहुवचनम् (1, 4, 21)

There are three topics here:—(1) Whose bahutva is taken into account? (2) Can $gunapradh\bar{a}nat\bar{a}$ exist without $bh\bar{a}vapratyaya$? (3) What is the purpose served by this $s\bar{u}tra$?

I

बहुषु बहुवचनमित्युच्यते । केषु बहुषु ?

The sūtra is read Bahuşu bahuvacanam. Whose bahutva is taken into account?

अर्थेषु (Bahutva) with reference to the objects denoted.

यद्येवं, वृक्षः, प्रक्षः अत्रापि प्रामोति । बहवस्तेऽर्थाः - मूलं, स्कन्धः, फलं, पलाशम् इति

If so, bahuvacana chances to happen in vrksa and plaksa, since many are the objects found there:-root, trunk, fruit, petal etc.

एवं तर्हि एकवचनं द्विवचनं बहुवचनमिति शब्दसंज्ञा एताः - येप्वर्थेषु स्वादयो विधीयन्ते तेषु बहुषु

If so, $\bar{e}kavacana$, dvivacana and bahuvacana are $\hat{s}abdasamj\bar{n}\bar{a}s$. Bahuvacana is used if those are many to denote which $su-\bar{a}dis$ (case-suffixes) are enjoined.

केषु चार्थेषु स्वादयो विधीयन्ते?

In which arthas are svādis enjoined?

कर्नादिषु In karma (kartur īpsitatamam) etc.

न वै कर्मादयो विभक्त्यर्थाः

Oh, karmādis are not the meanings of cases.

के तर्हि? What then?

एकत्वाद्यः Ekatva etc.

एकत्वादिष्विप वै विभक्त्यर्थेषु अवङ्यं कर्मादयो निमित्तत्वेनोपादेयाः, कर्मणः एकत्वे, कर्मणो द्वित्वे, कर्मणो बहुत्वे इति ।

Even though ēkatva etc. are vibhaktyarthas, karmādis must, necessarily, be taken to be nimitta thus:—when karma is one, when karmas are two or when karmas are many.

स तर्हि तथा निर्देशः कर्तव्यः It should, then, be so read.

II

न ह्यन्तरेण भावप्रत्ययं गुणप्रधानो भवति निर्देशः

Word without $bh\bar{a}vapratyaya$ (like tvam) does not prominently connote quality.

इह च इत्येके मन्यन्ते, तदेके मन्यन्ते इति परत्वादेकवचनं श्रामाति

Besides there is chance for the singular to be used instead of the plural in the expressions $Iti\ \bar{e}k\bar{e}\ manyant\bar{e}$ and $Tad\ \bar{e}k\bar{e}\ manyant\bar{e}$ since the $s\bar{u}tra\ Dvy\bar{e}kay\bar{o}r\ dvivacan\bar{a}ikavacan\bar{e}\ (1,4,22)$ follows this.

Note:—This question arises on the assumption that the $pr\bar{a}tipadika$ in $\bar{e}k\bar{e}$ is $\bar{e}ka$ which means one.

बहुषु बहुवचनम् इत्येष योगः परः करिष्यते

This sūtra Bahuşu bahuvacanam will be read after the sūtra Dvyēkayōḥ....

सूत्रविपर्यासः कृतो भवति

The order of the $s\bar{u}tras$ adopted by the $S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$ is changed.

इह च बहुरोदनः, बहुः सूपः इति परत्वाह्रहुवचनं प्राप्नोति

Besides there will be chance for the use of plural instead of singular in bahuh ōdanah and bahuh sūpah, since Bahuṣu bahuvacanam will become para.

नैष दोषः । यत्तावदुच्यते न ह्यन्तरेण भावप्रत्ययं गुणप्रधानो भवति निर्देश इति तन्न । अन्तरेणापि भावप्रत्ययं गुणप्रधानो भवति निर्देशः

This difficulty does not arise. The first objection raised that word without $bh\bar{a}vapratyaya$ does not prominently connote quality cannot stand, since word even without $bh\bar{a}vapratyaya$ prominently connotes quality.

कथम्? How?

इह कदाचिद् गुणो गुणिविशेषको भवति, तद्यथा पटः शुक्क इति; कदाचिच्च गुणिना गुणो व्यपदिश्यते पटस्य शुक्क इति

Sometimes in usage quality is the predicate and the object is the subject, as in patah śuklah and sometimes the quality is qualified by the object, as in patasya śuklah.

तद्यदा तावद् गुणो गुणिविशेषको भवति पटः शुक्क इति, तदा सामानाधि-करण्यं गुणगुणिनोः, तदा नान्तरेण भावपत्ययं गुणप्रधानो भवति निर्देशः । यदा तु गुणिना गुणो व्यपदिश्यते पटस्य शुक्क इति, स्वप्रधानस्तदा गुणो भवति, तदा द्रव्ये षष्ठी, तदा अन्तरेण भावप्रत्ययं गुणप्रधानो भवति निर्देशः ।

When guṇa is the vidhēya of guṇin, as in paṭaḥ śuklaḥ, there is apposition between guṇa and guṇin and there the word primarily connotes quality without bhāvapratyaya. When guṇa is qualified by guṇin, as in paṭasy i śuklaḥ, guṇa becomes prominent since sixth case is used along with the word denoting guṇin and hence the word prominently denotes quality without bhāvapratyaya.

न चेह वयमेकत्वादिभिः कर्मादीन् विशेषयिष्यामः

We are not going to take the $\tilde{e}katv\bar{a}dis$ here to be the adjuncts of $karm\bar{a}dis$.

किं तर्हि ? What then ?

कर्मादिभिरेकत्वादीन् विशेषिथण्यामः

We are going to take karmādis to be the adjuncts of ēkatvādis. कथम ? How?

एकसिन्नेकवचनम् । कस्यैकसिन्? कर्पणः

There is singular suffix when oneness is to be connoted. Whose oneness? Of the karma.

द्वयोद्विवचनम् । क्योद्वयोः ? कर्मणोः

There is dual suffix when duality is to be connoted. Whose duality? Of the karmas.

बहुषु बहुवचनम् । केषां बहुषु ? कर्मणाम् इति

There is plural suffix when plurality is to be connoted. Whose plurality? Of the karmas.

कथं बहुषु बहुवचनमिति ?

How is bahuşu used in Bahuşu bahuvacanam (and not bahāu since bahutva is one)?

एतदेव ज्ञापयत्याचार्यो नानाधिकरणवाची यो बहुशठदः तस्येदं महणं न वैपुल्यवाचिनः इति

 $Ac\bar{a}rya$ suggests this that the word bahutva connotes the state of existing in many places and not extensiveness.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम् ?

What is the purpose served by this $j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}pana$?

यदुक्तं बहुरोदनः बहुः सूपः इति परत्वाद् बहुवचनं प्राप्तोति इति न स दोषो भवति ।

The defect that was raised that bahuvacana may, the $s\bar{u}tra$ Bahusu bahuvacanam being para, find its place in bahur $\bar{o}danah$, bahuh $s\bar{u}pah$ cannot stand.

यदप्युच्यते इत्येके मन्यन्ते तदेके मन्यन्ते इति परत्वाद् एकवचनं प्राप्तोति इति, नैष दोषः । एकशब्दोऽयं बहुर्थः - अस्त्येव सङ्ख्यावाची तद्यथा एको, द्वौ बहुव इति; अस्ति असहायवाची तद्यथा एकाग्नयः, एकहलानि, एकािकिभिः क्षुद्रकैर्जितम् इति; अस्त्यन्यार्थे वर्तते तद्यथा सधमादो द्युन्न एकास्ताः अन्याः इत्यर्थः । तद्योऽ-न्यार्थे वर्तते तस्यैष प्रयोगः ।

The other defect too that was raised that singular suffix will chance to appear in $\bar{e}k\bar{e}$ in Iti $\bar{e}k\bar{e}$ manyante, Tad $\bar{e}k\bar{e}$ manyante on account of the $s\hat{u}tra$ $Dvy\bar{e}kay\bar{o}h...$ being para cannot stand. This word $\bar{e}ka$ has many meanings. It is a numeral in $\bar{e}kah$, $dv\bar{a}u$, bahavah etc. It means one without a companion in $\bar{e}k\bar{a}gnayah$, $\bar{e}kahal\bar{a}ni$ and $\bar{e}k\bar{a}kibhih$ ksudrak $\bar{a}ir$ jitam. It means another in Sadham $\bar{a}d\bar{o}$ dyumna $\bar{e}k\bar{a}st\bar{a}h$, where $\bar{e}k\bar{a}h$ means $any\bar{a}h$. Hence this is a $pray\bar{o}ga$ where the word $\bar{e}ka$ is used in the sense of anya.

IlI

किमर्थ पुनरिदमुच्यते ?

What is the purpose served by this sūtra?

सुप्तिङामविशेषविधानाद् दृष्टविप्रयोगाच नियमार्थं वचनम्

The sūtra is for the sake of niyama on account of sup and tin being enjoined generally to the prātipadika and on account of the existence of viruddhaprayōga.

सुपोऽविशेषेण पातिपदिकमात्राद्विधीयन्ते, तिङोऽविशेषेण धातुमात्राद् विधीयन्ते. The case-suffixes are enjoined to prātipadikas in general and the verbal terminations to roots in general.

तत्रैतत् स्याद् यद्यविशेषेण विधियन्ते नैव विषयोगो लक्ष्यते इति
This point may arise there that, though they are enjoined to the prātipadika in general, there is no incorrect usage.

दृष्टिविषयोगाच - दृश्यते खल्विप विषयोगः । तद्यथा - अक्षाणि मे दर्शनीयानि, पादा मे सुकुमारा इति

On account of dṛṣṭaviprayōga. Incorrect usage also is found – viz. akṣīṇi mē darśanīyāni, pādā mē sukumārāh.

Note:--Even though a man has two eyes and two feet, the bahuvacana is used here.

सुप्तिङोरिवशेषविधानाद् दृष्टविषयोगाच व्यतिकरः प्राप्तोति, इप्यते चाव्यतिकरः स्यादिति, तचान्तरेण यतं न सिध्यति इति नियमार्थं वचनम् । एवमर्थमिद्मुच्यते On account of sup and tin being enjoined in a general way and on account of usage against what is seen in nature, one may be used in place of another; but it is desired that every one is used correctly and this is not possible without effort. Hence is this sūtra for niyama. This is read only for this.

अथैतस्मिन्नियमार्थे सित किं पुनरयं प्रत्ययिनयमः एकस्मिन्नेव एकवचनं द्वयोरेव द्विवचनं बहुप्वेव बहुवचनम् इति, आहोस्विद् अर्थनियमः एकस्मिन् एकवचनमेव द्वयोद्विवचनमेव बहुषु बहुवचनमेव इति ?

If it is, then, intended for niyama, is there pratyaya-niyama that ēkavacana is used when one-ness alone is connoted, that dvivacaca is used when duality alone is connoted and that bahuvacana is used when plurality alone is connoted or is there arthaniyama that ēkavacana alone connotes oneness, dvivacana alone connotes duality and bahuvacana alone connotes plurality?

कश्चात्र विशेषः? What is the difference?

तत्र प्रत्ययनियमेऽच्ययानां पद्संज्ञाभावोऽसुबन्तत्वात

If it is pratyaya-niyama there, avyayas cannot get padasamj $n\bar{o}$, since they are not subanta.

तत्र प्रत्ययनियमे अञ्ययानां पदसंज्ञा न प्राप्तोति, उच्चैः, नीचैः इति If it is pratyayaniyama there, avyayas cannot get pada-samjñā. viz. uccāiḥ and nīcāiḥ.

किं कारणम्? Why?

असुबन्तत्वात् Since they are not subanta.

अर्थनियमे सिद्धम् It is achieved if it is arthaniyama.

अर्थानियमे सिद्धम् भवति

The object is achieved if it is arthaniyama.

अस्त्वर्शनियमः Let there be artha-niyama.

अथवा पुनरस्तु प्रत्ययनियमः Or let it be pratyaya-niyama.

ननु चोक्तं तत्र प्रत्ययनियमे अन्ययानां पदसंज्ञाभावोऽसुबन्तत्वादिति! Oh, it was said that, if it is pratyaya-niyama, avyayas do not get pada-samjñā on account of their not being subanta!

नैष दोष: This difficulty does not arise.

सपां कमीदयोऽप्यथीः सङ्ख्या चैव तथा तिङाम्

Karma etc. too are the meanings of case-suffixes along with sankhyā and the same is with verbal terminations too.

सुपां सङ्ख्या चैवार्थः कर्माद्यश्च । तथा तिङां $Sainkhy\bar{a}$ and $karm\bar{a}dis$ are the meanings of the case-suffixes and the same is with verbal terminations.

प्रसिद्धो नियमस्तत्र The niyama (among the arthas) is well known.

प्रसिद्धस्तत्र नियमः

The niyama (among the arthas) is well known.

नियमः प्रकृतेषु

Niyama among those of the same class in the context.

अथवा प्रकृतार्थानपेक्ष्य नियमः

Or niyama has within its range the objects of the same class in the context.

के च प्रकृताः? What are prakrtas?

एकत्वादयः $\bar{E}katva$ etc.

एकस्मिन्नेवैकवचनं न द्वयोने बहुषु । द्वयोरेव द्विवचनं नैकस्मिन् न बहुषु । बहुष्वेव बहुवचनं, न द्वयोनेकस्मिन् इति

Singular number is used to denote only one object of a class and not either two of them or many of them. Dual number is used to denote only two objects and not either one of them or many of them. Plural number is used to denote only many of them and not either one of them or two of them.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here:— $Tulyaj\bar{a}t\bar{\iota}yasya$ niyamēna $ry\bar{a}vrttih$ kriyatē iti avyayēbhyah sv $\bar{a}d\bar{\iota}n\bar{a}m$ avy $\bar{a}vrttih$.

अथवा आचार्यपृष्टतिर्ज्ञापयति उत्पद्यन्तेऽव्ययेभ्यः स्वाद्यः इति, यद्यम् अव्ययादाप्युपः इत्यव्ययाल्छकं शास्ति ।

Or the procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ suggests that $sv\bar{a}dis$ are attached even to avyayas, since he enjoins the elision of $\bar{a}p$ and sup after them in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Avyay\bar{a}d$ $\bar{a}psupah$ (2, 4, 32).

SIXTEENTH ÄHNIKA ENDS.

(First $adhy\bar{a}ya$, fourth $p\bar{a}da$, second $\bar{a}hnika$ ends).

SEVENTEENTH AHNIKA

(First adhyāya, fourth pāda, third āhnika)

कारके (1, 4, 23)

There are four topics dealt with here:—(1) $K\bar{a}raka$ is $sanij\bar{n}\bar{a}-nird\bar{e}\acute{s}a$. (2) Is the mention of $samij\bar{n}in$ necessary or no? (3) If $k\bar{a}raka$ is $anvarthasamij\bar{n}\bar{a}$, how can it be applied to karana, adhikarana etc? (4) $K\bar{a}rak\bar{e}$ may mean $kriy\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$.

T

किमिदं कारके इति? What is this word kārakē?

संज्ञानिर्देशः It is samijñā.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the seventh case is used for the first case.

ाकें वक्तव्यमेतत् ? Is this to be stated so?

न हि No, it need not.

कथमनुच्यमानं गंस्यते ?

How is it to be so understood if it is not so stated?

इह हि व्याकरणे ये वैते छोके प्रतीतपदार्थकाः शब्दास्तैर्निदेशाः क्रियन्ते, पशुः अपत्यं देवता इति, या वैता कृत्रिमाः टि - घु - भादि - संज्ञाः

Here, in grammar, ideas are expressed through words like $pa\acute{s}uh$, apatyam, $D\bar{e}vat\bar{a}$ etc. whose meaning is definitely understood from the usage in the word or through technical expressions like ti, ghu, bha etc.

न चायं छोके ध्रुवादीनां प्रतीतपदार्थकः शब्दः; न खल्विप कृत्रिमा संज्ञा, अन्यत्राविधानात्

The word dhruva etc. found here is not generally used in that sense in the world, nor is it a technical term on account of its not being used elsewhere in $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$.

संज्ञाधिकारश्चायम् । किमन्यच्छवयं विज्ञातुमन्यदतः संज्ञायाः?

This section deals with $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$. Therefore in what way other than $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ can it be interpreted?

II

कारक इति संज्ञानिर्देशश्रेत् संज्ञिनो निर्देशः

If $k\bar{a}raka$ is $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, there is need for the mention of $samj\tilde{n}in$.

कारक इति संज्ञानिर्देशश्चेत् संज्ञिनोऽपि निर्देशः कर्तव्यः । साधकं निर्वर्तकं कारकसंज्ञं भवतीति वक्तव्यम्

If the word $k\bar{a}raka$ is $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, there is need to mention the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}in$ also thus: $-S\bar{a}dhakam$ (which means nirvartakam) (of the $kriy\bar{a}$) is $k\bar{a}rakasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

इतरथा ह्यानिष्टप्रसङ्गो प्रामस्य समीपादागच्छतीत्यकारकस्य

Otherwise there is chance for a usage which is not accepted, as the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to $gr\bar{a}ma$ which is $ak\bar{a}raka$ in the sentence $gr\bar{a}masya\ sam\bar{i}p\bar{a}d\ \bar{a}gaccati$.

इतरथा हि अनिष्टं प्रसज्येत

For, if the $sainj\tilde{n}in$ is not stated, there is chance for a usage which is not accepted.

अकारकस्यापि अपादानसंज्ञा प्रसज्येत

There is chance for $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to that which is not a $k\bar{a}raka$.

事? Where?

श्रामस्य समीपादागच्छति इति

In the sentence Grāmasya samīpād āgacchati.

नैष दोषः । नात्र प्रामोऽपाययुक्तः

This difficulty cannot arise; for $gr\bar{a}ma$, here, does not have separation.

किं तर्हि ? What then?

समीपम् - Samīpa (the adjoining place.)

यदा च श्रामोऽपाययुक्तो भवति, भवति तदा अपादानसंज्ञा । तद्यथा -श्रामाद् आगच्छति इति

When $gr\bar{a}ma$ is the place wherefrom separation happens, it takes $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, as in $gr\bar{a}m\bar{a}d$ $\bar{a}gacchati$.

कर्मसंज्ञात्रसङ्गोऽकथितस्य, ब्राह्मणस्य पुत्रं पन्थानं पृच्छति इति

There is chance for $karma-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to akathita in the sentence $Br\bar{a}hmanasya$ putram panthānam prechati.

कर्मसंज्ञा च प्राप्तोत्यकथितस्य

There is chance for karma-samjñā to akathita.

事? where?

ब्राह्मगस्य पुत्रं पन्थानं पृच्छति इति

(To brāhmaṇa) in the sentence Brāhmaṇasya putram panthānam prechati.

नैष दोषः । अयमकथितशब्दोऽस्त्येवासङ्गीर्तिते वर्तते, तद्यथा कश्चित् कञ्चित् संचक्ष्य आह - असावत्राकथितः असङ्गीर्तित इति गम्यते ।

This difficulty does not arise, since the word akathita here means asankīrtita (omitted). Some one failing to mention the name of a person says, 'Asāu atra akathitaḥ,' where akatithaḥ suggests the sense of asankīrtitaḥ.

अस्त्यप्राधान्ये वर्तते । तद्यथा - अकथितोऽसौ मामे, अकथितोऽसौ नगरे इत्युच्यते, यो यत्राप्रधानो भवति

The word akathita is used to denote aprādhānya. This may be illustrated thus:—One who is not prominent in a village or a city is mentioned thus:—This man is akathita in the village; this man is akathita in the city.

तद्यदा अप्राधान्ये अकथितशब्दो वर्तते तदेप दोपः - कर्मसंज्ञापसङ्गोऽकथितस्य ब्राह्मणस्य पुत्रं पन्थानं प्रच्छति इति ।

It is only when akathita means apradhāna, the defect 'karma-samjnā-prasangōzkathitasya brāhmaņasya putram panthānam prechati' arises.

Note: $-Apr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nyam$ here means $pradh\bar{a}nabhinnatvam$.

अपादानं च वृक्षस्य पर्णं पतित इति

Chance for $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to vrksu in the sentence Vrksasya parmam putati (the leaf of the tree falls).

अपादानसंज्ञा च प्राप्तोति There is chance for apādānasamjītā.

新? Where?

वृक्षस्य पर्ण पतति, कुड्यस्य भिण्डः पतित इति

(To vrkṣa) in vrkṣasya parṇam patati and to kudya in kudyasya piṇḍaḥ patati (a mass of wall's plaster falls).

न वा अपायस्याविवक्षितस्वात्

No, on account of the separation not being taken into account.

न वा एप दोष: This defect cannot stand.

किं कारणम्? Why?

अपायस्याविवक्षितत्वात् Since there is no vivaks् \bar{a} for $ap\bar{a}ya$.

नात्र अपायो विवक्षितः

The apāyatva is not taken into account.

किं तर्हि What then?

सम्बन्धः The relationship.

यदा चापायो विविक्षितो भवति, भवति तदा अपादानसंज्ञा । तद्यथा - वृक्षात् पण पतिति इति । सम्बन्धस्तु तदा न विविक्षितो भवति, न ज्ञायते कङ्कस्य वा कुररस्य वेति ।

When there is $vivak \not = \bar{a}$ for $ap\bar{a}ya$, it gets $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, as in $vrk \not = \bar{a}t$ parnam patati (leaf falls from the tree). There is no $vivak \not = \bar{a}$ for sambandha in that case, whether parna (leaf) belongs to kanka a kind of mango or parna (wing) belongs to kurara (osprey)

अयं तर्हि दोषः कर्मसंज्ञाप्रसङ्गश्चाकथितस्य ब्राह्मणस्य पुत्नं पन्थानं पृच्छति इति This defect, then, karma-samjñā-prasangaś ca akathitasya brāhmaņasya putram prechati, stands.

नेष दोष: । कारक इति महती संज्ञा कियते, संज्ञा च नाम यतो न छघीय: This defect does not stand. A long $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ in the form $k\bar{a}raka$ is given here and $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is always in a form than which nothing is shorter.

कृत एतत् ? What is the source for saying so?

लध्वश हि संज्ञाकरणम्

For, giving $samj\tilde{n}a$ is only for ease of $\hat{s}astrapravrtti$.

तत्र महत्याः संज्ञायाः करणे एतत्प्रयोजनम् - अन्वर्थसंज्ञा यथा विज्ञायेत करोति इति कारकम् इति

The benefit of giving a long $samj\tilde{n}a$ there is that it should be taken to be anvartha (i.e.) its meaning should adhere to its derivation. $K\bar{a}raka$ is so called since it does.

IlI

अन्वर्थमिति चेदकर्तरि कर्तृशब्दानुपपत्तिः

If it is anvartha, inappropriateness of calling one a $k\bar{a}raka$ which is not $kart\bar{a}$ (doer of the action.)

अन्वर्थमिति चेद् अकर्तरि कर्तृशब्दो नोपपद्यते, करणं कारकम्, अधिकरणं कारकम् इति

If it is an vartha, the word $k\bar{a}raka$ is inappropriate if it is used with reference to that which is not $kart\bar{a}$ like karanam $k\bar{a}rakam$ and adhikaranam $k\bar{a}rakam$.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads:— $Kartr\hat{s}abda$ iti— $kartrv\bar{a}c\bar{\imath}$ $k\bar{a}raka$ - $\hat{s}abda$ ityarthah.

सिद्धं तु प्रतिकारकं क्रियाभेदात् पचादीनां करणाधिकरणयोः कर्तृभावः

The kartrtva of karana and adhikarana is achieved on account of every $k\bar{a}raka$ having different $kriy\bar{a}$ with reference to cooking etc.

सिद्धः करणाधिकरणयोः कर्तृभावः

The kartriva of karana and adhikarana is accomplished.

कुतः! How?

प्रतिकारकं कियाभेदात् पचादीनाम् - पचादीनां हि प्रतिकारकं किया भिद्यते | Since every $k\bar{a}raka$ has a different $kriy\bar{a}$ with reference to $p\bar{a}ka$ etc. For, among the $kriy\bar{a}s$ which go to make up $pacikriy\bar{a}$, etc. the $kriy\bar{a}$ pertaining to each $k\bar{a}raka$ is different.

किमिदं प्रतिकारकम् इति? What is this - pratikārakam? कारकं कारकं प्रति प्रतिकारकम्

The word $pratik\bar{a}rakam$ is derived thus:— $K\bar{a}rakam$ $k\bar{a}rakam$ prati.

कोऽसौ प्रतिकारकं क्रियाभेदः पचादीनाम्?

What is it that each $k\bar{a}raka$ has a different $kriy\bar{a}$ with reference to $p\bar{a}kakriy\bar{a}$ etc.?

अधिश्रयणोदकासेचनतण्डुलावपनैधोपकर्षणक्रियाः प्रधानस्य कर्तुः पाकः

The $p\bar{a}ka$ of the $pradh\bar{a}na-kart\bar{a}$ consists in heating the pot, pouring water into it, throwing rice into it and lastly removing the fuel.

अधिश्रयणोदकासेचनतण्डुलावपनैधोपकर्षणादिकियाः कुर्वन्नेव देवदत्तः पचित इंत्युच्यते । तत्र तदा पचिर्वर्तते । एषः प्रधानकर्तुः पाकः । एतत्प्रधानकर्तुः कर्तृत्वम् Dēvadatta, when he heats the pot, pours water into it, throws rice into it, removes the fuel etc., is said that he cooks. The $p\bar{a}kakriy\bar{a}$ exists there. This is the $p\bar{a}ka$ of the $pradh\bar{a}na-kart\bar{a}$. This is the kartrtva of $pradh\bar{a}na-kart\bar{a}$.

द्रोणं पचत्यादकं पचतीति सम्भवनिक्रया धारणिक्रया चाधिकरणस्य पाकः

The $p\bar{a}ka$ of adhikarana is the capacity to hold and to keep it on, seen in the expressions $dr\bar{o}nam$ pacali and $\bar{a}dhakam$ pacali.

द्रोणं पचित आढकं पचित इति सम्भवनिकयां धारणिकयां च कुर्वती स्थाली पचितित्युच्यते । तत्र तदा पचिर्वर्तते । एषोऽधिकरणस्य पाकः । एतद्धिकरणस्य कर्तृत्वम् ।

The cooking pot holding a $dr\bar{o}na$ measure of corn or $\bar{a}dhaka$ measure of corn and keeping it on till the cooking is finished is said to cook $dr\bar{o}na$ or $\bar{a}dhaka$. The $p\bar{a}ka$ - $kriy\bar{a}$ exists then and there. This is the $p\bar{a}ka$ of adhikarana. This is the kartrtva of adhikarana.

एधाः पक्ष्यन्त्याविक्कित्तेर्ज्विष्यन्तीति ज्वलनक्रिया करणस्य पाकः

The $p\bar{a}ka$ of karana is the continuity of burning till the corn is completely boiled as in $\bar{e}dh\bar{a}h$ pak syanti (fuel will cook).

एधाः पक्ष्यन्ति आ विक्कितेर्ज्बिल्यन्तिति ज्वलनिक्रयां कुर्वन्ति काष्ठानि पचन्तित्युच्यन्ते । तत्र तदा पचिर्वर्तते । एष करणस्य पाकः । एतत्करणस्य कर्तृत्वम् । The fuel is said to cook with the idea that it will burn till the corn is completely boiled. The $p\bar{a}ka-kriy\bar{a}$ exists then and there. This is the $p\bar{a}ka$ of karana. This is the kartrtva of karana.

उद्यमननिपातनानि कर्तुर्चिछदिकिया

Raising and beating is the *chēdana-kriyā* (the act of cutting) of the $kart\bar{a}$.

उद्यमननिपातनानि कुर्वन्नेव देवदत्तिश्चनित्ति इत्युच्यते । तत्र तदा छिदिर्वर्तते । एष प्रधानकर्तुश्छेदः । एतत्प्रधानकर्तुः कर्तृत्वम् ।

Dēvadatto, while raising and beating, is said to cut. The cutting exists then and there. This is the chēda of the pradhāna-kartā. This is the kartrtva of the pradhāna-kartā.

यत्तन तृणेन तत्परशोच्छेदनम्

The cutting of an axe is not that of a grass.

यत्तत् समाने उद्यमने निपातने च परशुना छिद्यते, न तृणेन, तत् परशोच्छेदनम्

Even though raising and beating are the same whether one is cut with an axe or with grass, the cutting of the axe is that done with the axe, but not with grass.

अवश्यं चैतदेवं विज्ञेयम्

This is certainly to be understood in this way.

इतरथा हि असितृणयो इछेद्ने ऽविशेषः स्यात्

For, otherwise there will be no difference between the cutting with an axe and that with grass.

यो हि मन्यते उद्यमननिपातनादेवैतद्भवति छिनतीति असितृणयोश्छेदने न तस्य विशेषः स्याद् यद्सिना छिद्यते तृणेनापि तत् छिद्येत ।

There will be no difference between the cutting with an axe and that with grass for him who holds the view that cutting is nothing but raising and beating. That which is cut with an axe may, according to him, be cut with grass.

अपादानादीनां त्वप्रसिद्धिः

But the kartriva of apādānādis is not well-known.

अपादानादीनां तु कर्तृत्वस्यापसिद्धिः । यथा हि भवता करणादीनां कर्तृत्वं निद्शितं, न तथा अपादानादीनां निद्र्यते

There is a prasid dhi of kartrtva of a pādānādis. The kartrtva of a pādānādis is not demonstrated by you in the same way as that of karanādis.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{o}jibhatta$ reads here:— $\bar{A}din\bar{a}$ samprad $\bar{a}nam$. Bahuvacanam tu pray $\bar{o}ga$ - $b\bar{u}hulya$ -abhipr $\bar{a}y\bar{e}na$.

न वा स्वतन्त्रपरतन्त्रत्वात्तयोः पर्यायेण वचनं, वचनाश्रया च संज्ञा

No; $vivak s\bar{a}$ depends upon $sv\bar{a}tantrya$ (independence) or $p\bar{a}ratantrya$ (dependence) which alternates with each other and $sanij n\bar{a}$ depends upon $vivak s\bar{a}$.

न वैप दोषः This difficulty does not arise.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

स्वतन्त्रपरतन्त्रत्वात् - सर्वत्रैवात्र स्वातन्त्र्यं पारतन्त्रयं च विवक्षितम्

On account of being svatantra and paratantra. Attention is here paid in all cases to $sv\bar{a}tantrya$ and $p\bar{a}ratantrya$.

तयोः पर्यायेण वचनम् - तयोः स्वातन्त्रयपारतन्त्रयोः पर्यायेण वचनं भविष्यति । वचनाश्रया च संज्ञा भविष्यति

Alternately is their $vivak s\bar{a}$. $Vivak s\bar{a}$ alternates on account of independence and dependence. $Sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ depends upon $vivak s\bar{a}$.

तद्यथा - वलाहकाद् विद्योतते विद्युत्, वलाहके विद्योतते, वलाहको विद्योतते इति

This may be illustrated thus:—Lightning shines from the cloud, lightning shines in the cloud and cloud flashes with lightning.

किं तर्हि उच्यते अपादानादीनां त्वप्रसिद्धिः इति ?

Why is it, then, the $v\bar{a}rttika$ $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}n\bar{a}d\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$ tvaprasiddhih commented upon thus:- $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}n\bar{a}d\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$ tu kartrtvasya aprasiddhih?

एवं तर्हि न ब्रूमः अपादानादीनां कर्तृत्वस्याप्रसिद्धिः इति । पर्याप्तं करणाधि-करणयोः कर्तृत्वं निद्शितमपादानादीनां कर्तृत्वनिद्शिनाय, पर्याप्तो हि एकः पुलाकः स्थाल्या निद्शेनाय ।

If so, we do not explain it thus:— $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}n\bar{a}d\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$ kartrivasya aprasiddhih. The demonstration how karana and adhikarana can be $kart\bar{a}$ is quite sufficient to prove the kartriva of $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$ etc. One grain of boiled rice is sufficient to tell us the boiled state of rice in the whole pot.

ार्क ताहें! Whose aprasiddhi then?

संज्ञाया अप्रसिद्धिः । यावता सर्वत्रैवात्र स्वातन्त्र्यं विद्यते पारतन्त्र्यं च । तत्र परत्वात्कर्तृसंज्ञैव प्रामोति ।

Aprasiddhi of the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ ($ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$ etc.) Since both independence and dependence are seen everywhere, $kartrsa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ alone may chance to be had on account of the $s\bar{u}tra$ enjoining it being para.

अतापि न वा स्वतन्त्रपरतन्त्रत्वात्तयोः पर्यायेण वचनं, वचनाश्रया च संज्ञा इत्येव । Even here the answer is the same:— No, $vivak \c same$ depends upon independence or dependence which alternates with each other and $samij\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ depends upon $vivak \c sample samij\tilde{n}$.

यथा पुनिरदं भवता स्थाल्याः कर्तृत्वं निद्धितं, सम्भवनिक्रयां च घारणिकयां च कुर्वती स्थाली स्वतन्त्रेति, केदानीं परतन्त्रता स्यात् ?

Since the kartrtva of the $sth\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}$ was demonstrated by you that it is svatantra with respect to the holding of rice and retaining it, where is it then, possible for it to be paratantra?

यत्तत्प्रक्षालनं परिवर्तनं वा

(It is paratantra) with reference to washing it or inverting it.

न वा एवमर्थं स्थाल्युपादीयते प्रक्षालनं परिवर्तनं च करिप्यामि इति

Pot is not brought in that it should be washed or inverted.

किं तिहें! What then?

सम्भवनिकयां धारणिकयां च करिष्यतीति

(It is brought in) that it will hold and retain.

तत्र चासौ स्वतन्त्रा There is it svatantra.

केदानीं परतन्त्रा Where is it, then, paratantra?

एवं तर्हि स्थालीस्थे यते कथ्यमाने स्थाली स्वतन्त्रा, कर्तृस्थे यते कथ्यमाने परतन्त्रा

If so, $sth\bar{a}l\bar{\iota}$ is independent with reference to action in it and dependent with reference to action in the $kart\bar{a}$.

ननु च भोः कर्तृस्थेऽि यत्ने कथ्यमाने स्थाली सम्भवनिकयां धारणिकयां च करोति तत्रासी स्वतन्त्रा । केदानीं परतन्त्रा स्थात्?

Oh! sir, even when the action of the $kart\bar{a}$ is stated, $sth\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}$ does the act of holding and retaining it and hence it is svatantra. When, then, is it paratantra?

एवं तिहं प्रधानेन समवाये स्थाली परतन्त्रा, व्यवाये स्वतन्त्रा । तद्यथा -अमात्यानां राज्ञा सह समवाये पारतन्त्रयं, व्यवाये स्वातन्त्रयम् ।

If, so, $sth\bar{a}l\bar{i}$ is dependent when it is in association with the $kart\bar{a}$ and it is independent when it is not in association with the $kart\bar{a}$. This may be illustrated thus:—There is $p\bar{a}ratantrya$ to ministers if they are in association with the king and $sv\bar{a}tantrya$ in his absence.

किं पुनः प्रधानम् ? Which is pradhāna?

कर्ता The doer.

कथं पुनर्ज्ञायते कर्ता प्रधानमिति ?

How is it known that kartā is pradhāna?

यत्सर्वेषु साधनेषु सन्निहितेषु कर्ता प्रवर्तियता भवति Since he makes all the sādhanas which are ready at hand do their work.

ननु च मो: प्रधानेनापि वै समवाये स्थाल्या अनेनार्थः अधिकरणं कारकिमिति Oh, sir, even when $sth\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}$ is in association with $pradh\bar{a}na$, purpose is served only by this $adhikaranam\ k\bar{a}rakam$.

न हि कारकमित्यनेन अधिकरणत्वमुक्तम् अधिकरणमिति वा कारकत्वम् । उभौ चान्योन्यविशेषकौ भवतः।

For the word $k\bar{a}raka$ does not connote adhikaranatva, nor does adhikarana connote $k\bar{a}rakatva$. Both of them restrict the application of each other.

कथम् ? How?

एकद्रव्यसमवायित्वात्

Since both exist in the same dravya with samavāya-sambandha.

तद्यथा गार्ग्यो देवदत्त इति । न हि गार्ग्य इत्यनेन देवदत्तत्वमुक्तं, देवदत्त-इत्यनेन वा गार्ग्यत्वम् । उभौ चान्योन्यशिपकौ भवत एकद्रव्यसमवायित्वात् । This is like Gārgyō Dāvadattaḥ. The word Gārgya does not connote Dēvadattvam, nor does the word Dēvadatta connote Gārgyatvam. Both restrict the application of each other, since both exist in the same person with samavāya-sambandha.

एवं तर्हि सामान्यभूता किया वर्तते । तस्या निवर्तकं कारकम् If so, $k\bar{a}raka$ is that which does the $pradh\bar{a}nakriy\bar{a}$ or $kriy\bar{a}$ in general.

IV

अथ वा यावद् ब्र्यात् कियायाम् इति तावत् कारके इति । एवं च कृत्वा निर्देश उपपन्नो भवति कारके इति । इतरथा हि कारकेषु इति ब्र्यात्

Or $k\bar{a}rak\bar{e}$ may be interpreted as $kriy\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ where the $saptam\bar{i}$ denotes visayatva. Only then the use of $k\bar{a}rak\bar{e}$ will be correct. Or he would have read $k\bar{a}rak\bar{e}su$.

Note:—Or means if, on the other hand; it is nirdhāraṇa-saptamī.

धुवमपायेऽपादानम् (1, 4, 24)

There are three topics here:-(1) The need of the word dhruvam in the $s\bar{u}tra$. (2) The need or otherwise of the upasankhyān of jugupsā etc. and (3) The appropriateness or otherwise of $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to words denoting objects in motion.

1

ध्रुवग्रहणं किमर्थम् ? What for is the word dhruva in the sūtra? प्रामादागच्छति शकटेन

Fearing that $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasainj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ may be applied to $\dot{s}akata$ in the sentence $Gr\bar{a}m\bar{a}d$ $\bar{a}gacchati$ $\dot{s}akat\tilde{e}na$ (since $\dot{s}akata$ too is the $s\bar{a}dhana$ for $ap\bar{a}ya$).

नैतदस्ति । करणसंज्ञाऽत्र बाधिका भविष्यति

No, it is not; $karaṇasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ sets it at naught here.

इदं तर्हि मामादागचछन्कंसपाच्यां पाणिनौदनं भुङ्के इति ।

If so, it may be applied to $ka\dot{m}sa$ - $p\bar{a}tr\bar{i}$ in the sentence $Gram\bar{a}d$ $\bar{a}gacchan\ ka\dot{m}sa$ - $p\bar{a}try\bar{a}m\ p\bar{a}nin\bar{a}\ \bar{o}danam\ bhuikt\bar{e}$.

अत्राप्यधिकरणसंज्ञा बाधिका भविष्यति

Even here $adhikaraṇa-saṁj\~n\=a$ sets it at naught.

इदं तर्हि वृक्षस्य पण पतित, कुड्यस्य पिण्डः पतित इति

If so, it may be applied to vrkṣa and kudya in the sentences vrkṣasya parnam patati and kudyasya pindah patati.

TT

जुगुप्साविरामप्रमादार्थानामुपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need for the addition of $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to words denoting objects of $jugups\bar{a}$, $vir\bar{a}ma$ and $pram\bar{a}da$.

जुगुप्साविरामप्रमादार्थानामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम्

Addition of $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to words denoting objects of $jugups\bar{a}, vir\bar{a}ma$ and $pram\bar{a}da$ should be made.

जुगुप्सा - अधर्माज्जुगुप्सते, अधर्माद्धीभत्सते । विराम - धर्माद् विरमति, धर्मान्निवर्तते । प्रमाद - धर्मात्प्रमाद्यति, धर्मान्मुद्यति

With reference to jugupsā—Adharmāj jugupsatē, and adharmād bībhatsatē. With reference to virāma—Dharmād viramati and

dharmān nivartatē. With reference to pramāda—Dharmāt pramādyati and dharmān muhyati.

इदं चोपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् — साङ्काश्यकेभ्यः पाटलिपुत्रका अभिरूपतराः इति ।

This too should be added: $-S\bar{a}\dot{n}k\bar{a}\acute{s}yak\bar{e}bhyah$ $P\bar{a}taliputrak\bar{a}$ $abhir\bar{u}patar\bar{a}h$.

तत्ति इदं बहु वक्तव्यम् ? If so, is it that much has to be said? न वक्तव्यम् No, it need not.

इह तावद् अधर्माज्जुगुप्सते अधर्माद्वीभत्सते इति, य एष मनुष्यः प्रेक्षापूर्व-कारी भवति, स पश्यति दुःखोऽधर्मी नानेन कृत्यमस्तीति । स बुद्ध्या सम्प्राप्य निवर्तते । तत्र ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् इत्येव सिद्धम् ।

Firstly here in $adarm\bar{a}j$ $jugupsat\bar{e}$ and $adharm\bar{a}d$ $b\bar{\imath}bhatsat\bar{e}$, a man of foresight sees that adharma is the source of grief and hence it should not be done and having thus come in association with it in his mind he recedes. Hence it can be secured by the $s\bar{\imath}tra$ Dhruvam $ap\bar{a}y\bar{e}$ $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam$ itself.

इह धर्माद्विरमित धर्मानिवर्तते इति, धर्मात्त्रमाद्यति धर्मान्मुद्यतीति, इह य एष मनुष्यः सम्भिन्नबुद्धिभवति स पश्यति नेदं किञ्चिद्धमी नाम नैनं करिष्यामीति स बुद्ध्या सम्प्राप्य निवर्तते । तत्र ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् इत्येव सिद्धम्

Here in dharmād viramati (he recedes from dharma) dharmān nivartatē, dharmāt pramādyati (he slips away from dharma) and dharmān muhyati, a man of analytical mind sees that he will not do it since it is not dharma and having thus come in association with it in his mind he recedes. Hence these can come under the sūtra Dhruvam apāyē apādānam.

इह च साङ्काश्यकेभ्यः पाटलिपुलका अभिरूपतरा इति, यस्तैः साम्यं गतवा-न्भवति स एतत् प्रयुङ्क्ते ।

With reference to $S\bar{a}\dot{n}k\bar{a}\dot{s}yak\bar{e}bhyah$ $P\bar{a}taliputrak\bar{a}$ abhir $\bar{u}patar\bar{a}h$, he who originally began to think that both were of the same beautiful feature says this.

III

गतियुक्तेष्वपादानसंज्ञा नोपपद्यतेऽध्रुवत्वात्

 $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to the objects in motion is not appropriate on account of their not being dhruva.

गतियुक्तेष्वपादानसंज्ञा नोपपद्यते - रथात्प्रवीतात्पतितः अश्वात्रस्तात्पतितः, सार्थाद्गच्छतो हीनः इति

 $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is not appropriate with reference to objects in motion, as in $Rath\bar{a}t$ $prav\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}t$ patitah (he fell down from the chariot in motion), $A\dot{s}v\bar{a}t$ $trast\bar{a}t$ patitah (he fell down from the horse which was excited with fear), $S\bar{a}rth\bar{a}d$ $gacchat\bar{o}$ $h\bar{\imath}nah$ (he strayed from the caravan which was going).

किं कारणम् ! Why?

अध्वयत्वात् On account of the presence of adhrāuvya.

न वाऽभ्रोव्यस्याविवक्षितत्वात्

No, on account of adhrāu ya not being vivakṣita.

न वैष दोषः This defect does not stand.

किं कारणम्? Why?

अधौव्यस्याविवक्षितत्वात् - नात अधौव्यं विवक्षितम्

On account of adhrāuvya not being vivakṣita. The state of being in motion is not here taken into account.

कि तीह ! What then is taken to account?

भौज्यम्! The state of being not in motion.

इह तावद् अश्वात् त्रस्तात् पितत इति, यत्तदश्चे अश्वत्वम् आशुगामित्वं, तद् ध्रुवं, तच्च विविक्षितम् । रथात् प्रवीतात् पितत इति, यत्तद् रथे रथत्वं - रमन्ते असिन् रथ इति, तद् ध्रुवं, तच्च विविक्षितम् । सार्थाद् गच्छतो हीन इति, यत्तत् सार्थे सार्थत्वं - सहार्थीभावः - तद् ध्रुवं, तच्च विविक्षितम् ।

Firstly in the sentence $A \pm v\bar{a}t$ trastāt patitah, the pravṛttinimitta of a\u00e1va, which is \u00e1\u00e3ug\u00e1mittva is dhruva and it is taken
to account (and not the state of excitement on account of
fear). In the sentence $Rath\bar{a}t$ pravītāt patitah, the pravṛttinimitta of ratha which is $raman\bar{a}dhikaranatva$ is dhruva and it
is taken into account (and not the state of being in motion.)
In the sentence $s\bar{a}rth\bar{a}d$ gacchatō $h\bar{v}nah$, the pravṛttinimitta of $s\bar{a}rtha$ which is the state being together in a crowd is dhruva

and it is taken into account (and not the state of moving from one place to another).

यद्यपि तावद्त्रैतच्छक्यते वक्तुं, ये त्वेतेऽत्यन्तं गतियुक्ताः तत्र कथं, धावतः पतितः, त्वरमाणात्पतितः इति ?

Even though it is possible to say so here, how is it possible to say so where the object is always in motion, as in $Dh\bar{a}vatah$ patitah and $Tvaram\bar{a}n\bar{a}t$ patitah?

अत्रापि, न वाष्ट्रीव्यस्याविवक्षितत्वाद् इत्येव सिद्धम् ?

Even here cannot we have that the object is accomplished by the $avivaks\bar{a}$ of $adhr\bar{a}uvya$?

कथं पुनः सतो नाम अविवक्षा स्यात्?

How is it possible not to take into account that which actually exists?

सतोऽप्यविवक्षा भवति । तद्यथा - अलोमिकैडका, अनुदरा कन्या इति । असतश्च विवक्षा भवति, समुद्रः कुण्डिका, विन्ध्यो वर्द्धितकम् इति ।

There is $avivak \bar{s}\bar{a}$ even for that which exists, as in $Al\bar{o}mik\bar{a}$ $\bar{e}dak\bar{a}$ (Ram has no wool) and $Anudar\bar{a}$ $kany\bar{a}$ (the girl has no stomach). Similarly there is $vivak \bar{s}\bar{a}$ for that which does not exist, as in Samudrah $kundik\bar{a}$ (ocean is a small pitcher) and $Vindhy\bar{o}$ varddhitakam (Vindhya is pyramid-shaped ball of rice).

भीत्रार्थानां भयहेतुः (1, 4, 25)

अयं योगः शक्योऽनक्तुम् This sūlra may not have been read.

कथं वृक्तेभ्यो विभोति दस्युभ्यो विभेति, चोरेभ्यास्त्रायते दस्युभ्यस्त्रायत इति ? What will be the authority for the apādānatva of vṛka, dasyu, cōra and dasyu in the following sentences vṛkēbhyō bibhēti, dasyubhyō bibhēti, cōrēbhyas trāyatē and dasyubhyas trāyatē?

इह तावद् वृकेभ्यो बिभेति दस्युभ्यो बिभेति इति, य एष मनुष्यः प्रेक्षापूर्वकारी भवति स पश्यति - यदि मां वृकाः पश्यन्ति ध्रुवो मे मृत्युः इति । स बुद्ध्या सम्प्राप्य निवर्तते, तल ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् इत्येव सिद्धम् । इह चोरेभ्य-स्नायते दस्युभ्यस्नायत इति, य एष मनुष्यः प्रेक्षापूर्वकारी सुहृद् भवति स पश्यति - यदि इमं चोराः पश्यन्ति ध्रुवमस्य वधवन्धनादिपरिक्नेशाः इति । स बुद्ध्या संप्राप्य निवर्तयति । तत्र ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् इत्येव सिद्धम्

Firstly with reference to $vrk\bar{e}bhy\bar{o}$ bibhēti (he fears the wolf) and $dasyubhy\bar{o}$ bibhēti (he fears dasyus), a foresighted man sees that, if wolves see him, death is certain and thus having in contact with it in his mind he draws himself away from it. $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}natva$ is secured there from the $s\bar{u}tra$ Dhruvam $ap\bar{a}y\bar{e}$ $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam$. With reference to $c\bar{o}r\bar{e}bhyas$ $tr\bar{a}y$ $at\bar{e}$ (he saves him from thieves) and dasyubhyas $tr\bar{a}y$ $at\bar{e}$ (he saves him, he is sure to meet with death, capture etc. and having thus enabled him to come in contact with them in his mind, he draws him away from them. The object is achieved there by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Dhruvam $ap\bar{a}y\bar{e}$ $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam$.

Note: —Kāiyaṭa reads here: – Sūtrārambhapakṣē tu kārakaśēṣatvūt ṣaṣṭhyām prāptāyām idam vacanam.

पराजेरसोटः (1, 4, 26)

अयमपि योगः शक्योऽवक्तुम्

Even this sūtra may not have been read.

कथमध्ययनात् पराजयते ?

How can apādānatva be secured to adhyayana in Adhyayanāt parājayatē.

य एष मनुष्यः प्रेक्षापूर्वकारी भवति स पश्यति दुःखमध्ययनं, दुर्धरं च, गुरवश्च दुरुपचारा इति, स बुद्ध्या संप्राप्य निवर्तते । तत्र श्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् इत्येव सिद्धम् ।

A man of foresight sees that it is difficult to study the $V\bar{e}das$, to retain them and to serve the teachers to their heart's content and hence comes into association with them in his mind and draws himself away from it. The object is achieved there by the $s\bar{u}tra\ Dhruvam\ ap\bar{a}y\bar{e}\ ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam$.

वारणार्थानामीप्सितः (1, 1, 27)

किमुदाहरणम्? What is the example (here)?

माषेभ्यो गा वारयति

The word $m\bar{a}sa$ (bean) in the sentence $m\bar{a}s\bar{e}bhy\bar{o} g\bar{a} v\bar{a}rayati$ (he prevents cows from eating $m\bar{a}sa$).

भवेद्यस्य मापाः न गावः, तस्य मापा ईप्सिताः स्युः; यस्य तु खळु गावो न माषाः, कथं तस्य ईप्सिताः स्युः ?

 $M\bar{a}$ sa will be the object of interest to one who owns only $m\bar{a}$ sa and not cows. How can it be the object of interest to one who owns only cows and not $m\bar{a}$ sa?

तस्यापि मापा एवेप्सिताः । आतश्चेप्सिताः, यदेभ्यो गा वारयति । $M\bar{a}$ sa alone is the object of interest to him too. Since he prevents cows from eating it, it is certainly the object of interest to him.

इह कूपादन्धं वारयति इति, कूपे अपादानसंज्ञा न प्राप्तोति, न हि तस्य कूप ईप्सितः

 $Ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ has no chance to appear in $k\bar{u}pa$ in the sentence $k\bar{u}p\bar{a}d$ and $ham\ v\bar{a}rayati$ since $k\bar{u}pa$ is not an object of interest to him.

कस्तर्हि ? Who is, then, the object of interest?

अन्धः The blind man.

तस्यापि कूप एवे। दितः, पश्यत्ययं अन्धः कूपं मा प्रापद् इति $K\bar{u}pa$ (well) alone is the $\bar{i}psita$ even to him, since he is careful that the blind man does not fall into the well.

अथवा यथैवास्य अन्यत्र अपश्यत ईप्सा, एवं क्र्पेऽपि Or there is $\bar{\imath} \rho s \bar{a}$ to the blind man to go to the $k \bar{\imath} \rho a$ as to go elsewhere.

इहामेर्माणवकं वारयति, माणवके अपादानसंज्ञा प्राप्तोति Apādānasainjñā may reach mānavaka (pupil) in the sentence Agnēr māṇavakam vārayati.

कर्मसंज्ञात्र बाधिका भविष्यति Karma-samjñā will set it at naught.

Note:—Since $m\bar{a}$ navaka is $\bar{\imath}$ psitatama, karma-sami $n\bar{\imath}$ will set in by the $s\bar{\imath}$ tra Kartur $\bar{\imath}$ psitatamam karma.

अमाविष तर्हि बाधिका स्यात्

It may set at naught the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasainj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ even with reference to agni.

Note: -Kāiyatā reads here: -Kārakādhikārē prakarṣō na vivakṣyatē iti atantrum tumanirdēśam manyatē.

तसाद्वक्तव्यं कर्मणो यदीप्सितम् इति, ईप्सितेप्सितम् इति वा

Hence either $karman\bar{o}$ yad $\bar{\imath}psitam$ or $\bar{\imath}psit\bar{e}psitam$ is to be read in the $s\bar{u}tra$.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaṭa$ reads here: -Talra karmasaṁjñā nimittam ēva apādānasaṁjñāyāḥ iti māṇavakē pravarttatē. Tataḥ tasya īpsitasya agnēḥ apādānasaṁjñā ityarthaḥ. Īpsitēpsitam iti—vārayatuḥ yad īpsitam karma tasya yad īpsitam ityarthaḥ.

वारणार्थेषु कर्मग्रहणानर्थक्यं कर्तुरीप्तितमं कर्म इति वचनात्

Mention of karmaṇaḥ with reference to vāraṇārtha is unnecessary, since the sūtra Kartur īpsitatamam karma is read.

वारणार्थेषु कर्मग्रहणमनर्थकम्

No purpose is served by reading karmanah with reference to $v\bar{a}ran\bar{a}rtha$.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

कर्तुरीप्सिततमं कर्मित वचनात् — कर्तुरीप्सिततमं कर्म इत्येव सिद्धम् By the sūtra of Kartur īpsitatamam karma. The object is achieved by the sūtra Kartur īpsitatamam karma.

अयमपि योगः शक्योऽवक्तम

This sūtra, too, may not have been read.

कथं माषेभ्यो गा वारयति इति ?

What will be the authority for the usage $M\bar{a}$ $\bar{s}\bar{e}bhy\bar{o}$ $g\bar{a}h$ - $v\bar{a}rayati$?

पश्यत्ययं यदीमा गावस्तत्र गच्छिन्ति ध्रुवः सस्यविनाशः, सस्यविनाशेऽधर्मश्चेव, राजभयं च । स बुद्ध्या सम्प्राप्य निवर्तयित, तत्र ध्रुवमपायेऽपादानम् इत्येव सिद्धम् ।

He sees that, if these cows go there, the destruction of corn is certain and consequently there will be adharma and royal punishment and having thus come in association with them in his mind, he drives them and hence this usage too will come within the purview of the sūtra Dhruvam apāyē apādānam.

अन्तद्धौं येनादर्शनिमच्छति (1, 4, 28)

अयमपि योगः शक्योऽत्रक्तुम्

This sūtra, too, may not have been read,

कथम् उपाध्यायादन्तर्धत्ते इति?

What is the sanction for the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}natva$ of $up\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}ya$ in the sentence $Up\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{a}d$ antardhattē.

पर्यत्ययं यदि मामुपाध्यायः पर्यति, ध्रुवं मे धेषणमुपालम्भो वा इति, स बुद्ध्या सम्प्राप्य निवर्तते, तत्र ध्रुवमपाये अपादानम् इत्येव सिद्धम् ।

He sees that, if the teacher sees him, he is certainly to be sent on errand or to be admonished and having come in association with it in his mind, he withdraws and hence it comes within the purview of the $s\bar{u}tra$ Dhruvam $ap\bar{a}y\bar{e}$ $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam$.

आख्यातोपयोगे (1, 4, 29)

उपयोग इति किमर्थम्? What for is the word upayoga? नटस्य श्रुगोति, श्रन्थिकस्य श्रुगोति

So that $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}natva$ may not appear to nata and granthika in the sentences Natasya śrnōti and Granthikasya śrnōti.

उपयोग इत्युच्यमानेऽप्यत्र प्रामोति । एषोऽपि ह्युपयोगः । आतश्चोपयोगो यदारमका रङ्गं गच्छन्ति नटस्य श्रोष्यामः प्रन्थिकस्य श्रोष्यामः इति ।

Even if upayōga is read, apādānatva may set in here (in naṭa and granthika). Even this is upayōga. Since the beginners go to the place of learning saying Naṭasya śrōṣyāmaḥ (we shall listen to the naṭa), Granthikasya śrōṣyāmaḥ (we shall listen to the atrologer), it is upayōga

एवं तर्हि उपयोग इत्युच्यते, सर्वश्चोपयोगः, तत्र प्रकर्षगतिर्विज्ञास्यते साधीयो य उपयोगः इति

If so, upayōga is read and all are upayōgas. Hence it is taken to mean prakṛṣṭōpayōga.

कश्च साधीयः ? Which is superior upayōga?

यः प्रन्थार्थयोः

That which is used to learn both the text and the sense.

अथ चोपयोगः को भवितुमहिति ?

Or which deserves to be the connotation of upayoga?

यो नियमपूर्वकः । तद्यथा, उपयुक्ता माणवका इत्युच्यन्ते, य एते नियम-पूर्वकमधीतवन्तो भवन्ति That which is accompanied with niyama. For the pupils who study with niyama are stated as up yuktā māṇavakāḥ.

किं पुनराख्याता अनुपयोगे कारकम्, आहोस्विद् अकारकम्? If there is no niyamapūrvakādhyayana, is ākhyāta kāraka or not?

कश्चात्र विशेषः? What is the difference bere?

आख्यातानुपयोगे कारकमिति चेद् अकथितत्वात् कर्मसंज्ञाप्रसङ्गः

If it is said that $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}ta$ is $k\bar{a}raka$ in $anupuy\bar{o}ga$, there is chance for $karmasaj\dot{m}\bar{n}a$, since it is akathita.

आल्यातानुपयोगे कारकमिति चेद् अकथितत्वात् कर्मसंज्ञा प्राप्तोति । If it is said that $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}ta$ is $k\bar{a}raka$ in $anupay\bar{o}ga$ there is chance for $karmasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, since it is akathita.

अस्तु तर्हि अकारकम् If so, let it be akāraka.

अकारकामिति चेदुपयोगत्रचनानर्थक्यम्

If it is $ak\bar{a}raka$, the use of the word $upay\bar{o}g$ serves no purpose.

यद्यकारकम्, उपयोगवचनमनर्थकम्

If it is $ak\bar{a}raka$, the use of the word $upay\bar{o}ga$ serves no purpose.

अस्तु तर्हि कारकम् If so, let it be kāraka.

ननु चोक्तम् - आख्यातानुपयोगे कारकमिति चेदकथितत्वात् कर्मसंज्ञापसङ्ग इति Oh, it was said that, if it is said that $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}t\bar{a}$ is $k\bar{a}raku$ in $anupay\bar{o}ga$, there is chance for $karmasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, since it is akathita.

नैष दोष:, परिगणनं तत्र क्रियते - दुहियाचिरुधिपच्छिभिक्षिचिञाम् इति । This defect does not arise, since pariganana is made thus:- Duhi-yāci-rudhi-pracchi-bhikṣi-ciñām.

अयमपि योगः शक्योऽवक्तुम्

This sūtra too may not have been read.

कथमुपाध्यायादधीत इति ?

What will be the authority for the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}natva$ of $up\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}ya$ in the sentence $Up\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{a}d$ $adh\bar{\imath}t\bar{e}$?

अपकामित तसादध्ययनम् Words of instruction get out of him-यद्यपकामित, किं नात्यन्तायापकामित ? If they get out of him, why do they not get out of him completely?

सन्ततत्वात्

On account of the ideas coming out of him in quick succession in the form of sounds.

अथ वा ज्योतिर्वज्ज्ञानानि भवन्ति

Or the ideas are like the rays emanating from a luminous body.

जनिकर्तुः प्रकृतिः (1, 4, 30)

अयमपि योगः शक्यतेऽवक्तुम्

This sūtra too may not have been read.

कथं गोमयाद् वृश्चिको जायते, गोलोमाविलोमभ्यो दूर्वा जायन्त इति ? What is the authority of apādānatva of gōmaya and gōlōmā-vilōma in the sentences gōmayād vṛścikō jāyatē (scorpion springs from cow-dung) and gōlōmāvilōmabhyō dūrvā jāyantē (panic grass is produced from the hair of cows and wool of sheep).

अपकामन्ति तास्तेभ्यः They come out from them.

यद्यपकामन्ति किं नात्यन्तायापकामन्ति

If they come out, who do they not come out all at once?

सन्ततत्वात् Since they are coming out in quick succession.

अथ वा अन्याश्च अन्याश्च प्रादुर्भवन्ति

Or they come out in different forms.

सुवः प्रभवः (1, 4, 31)

अयमि योगः शक्यतेऽवक्तुम्

This sūtra, too, may not have been read.

कथं हिमवतो गङ्गा प्रभवतीति ?

What will be the authority for the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}natva$ of $Himav\bar{a}n$ in the sentence $Himavat\bar{o}$ $Ga\dot{n}g\bar{a}$ prabhavati?

अपकामन्ति तास्त्रसादापः The waters get out of it.

यद्यपकामन्ति किं नात्यन्तायापकामन्ति ?

If they come out of it, why do they not come out all at once? सन्ततत्वात् Since they come in quick succession. अथ वा अन्याश्च अन्याश्च प्रादुर्भवन्ति Or they come out in different forms.

कर्मणा यमिभेषेति स सम्प्रदानम् (1, 4, 32)

Five topics are dealt with here:— The prayōjana of the word $karman\bar{a}$, of the pronouns yam and sah and of the two prepositions abhi and pra form the first three. (4) The need or otherwise of adding the word $kriy\bar{a}$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$. (5) The need of the $v\bar{a}rttika$ Karmanah $karanasamjn\bar{a}$ $samprad\bar{a}nasya$ ca $karmasamjn\bar{a}$.

ſ

कर्मग्रहणं किमर्थम् ? What for is the word $karman\bar{a}$?

यमिभैति स सम्प्रदानम् इतीयत्युच्यमाने कर्मण एव सम्प्रदानसंज्ञा प्रसज्येत; कर्मग्रहणे पुनः क्रियमाणे न दोषो भवति, कर्म निमित्तत्वेनाश्रीयते ।

If the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read Yam abhipraiti sa sampradanam without the word $karman\bar{a}$, karma will chance to take $sampradana-samjn\bar{a}$; but, on the other hand, if the word $karman\bar{a}$ is read, there will be no difficulty and karma will be taken as the nimitta for sampradanatva.

II

अथ यंसप्रहणं किमधेम् ?

What for are the pronouns yam and sah read?

कर्मणाभिनेति सम्प्रदानम् इतीयत्युच्यमाने आभिप्रयत एव सम्प्रदानसंज्ञा प्रसज्येत; यंसप्रहणे पुनः क्रियमाणे न दोषो भवति, यंसप्रहणाद् अभिप्रयतः संप्रदानसंज्ञा निर्भेज्यये ।

If the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read $Karman\bar{a}$ abhipr $\bar{a}iti$ samprad $\bar{a}nam$, samprad $\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ will chance to go to $kart\bar{a}$; but, on the other hand, if yam and sah are read, there will be no difficulty and the $samprad\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ for $kart\bar{a}$ will be broken.

III

अभिप्रग्रहणं किमर्थम् ?

What is the purpose served by the prepositions abhi and pra?
कमणा यमेति स सम्प्रदानम् इतीयत्युच्यभाने यमेव संप्रति एति तत्नैव स्याद्
उपाध्यायाय गां ददाति इति, इह न स्याद् उपाध्यायाय गामदात्, उपाध्यायाय

गां दास्यति इति ; अभिप्रमहणे पुनः क्रियमाणे न दोषो भवति, अभिराभिमुख्ये वर्तते, प्रशब्द आदिकर्मणि । तेन यं चाभिप्रेति, यं च अभिप्रैष्यति, यं च अभिप्रागात् आभिमुख्यमात्रे सर्वत्र सिद्धं भवति ।

If the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read $Karman\bar{a}$ yam $\bar{e}ti$ sa $samprad\bar{a}nam$ without abhi and pra, $samprad\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ will happen to him whom he now gives, as in $Up\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{a}ya$ $g\bar{a}m$ $dad\bar{a}ti$ and will not happen to him whom he gave or will give, as in $Up\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{a}ya$ $g\bar{a}m$ $ad\bar{a}t$ and $Up\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{a}ya$ $g\bar{a}m$ $d\bar{a}syati$. If abhi and pra are read, there will be no difficulty; abhi connotes $\bar{a}bhimukhya$ and pra, $\bar{a}ditva$. Hence $samprad\bar{a}natva$ is achieved to him whom he directly gives, whom he will directly give and whom he directly gave.

IV

क्रियाग्रहणम् Reading the word kriyā in the sūtra.

क्रियाग्रहणमपि कर्तन्यम्, इहापि यथा स्यात्, श्राद्धाय विगर्हते, युद्धाय सन्नद्धते, पत्ये शेते इति

The word kriyā, too, should be read in the sūtra so that sampradānatva may resort to śrāddha, yuddha and pati in the sentences śrāddhāya nigarhatē (he ridicules over śrāddha), yuddhāya sannahyatē (he armours himself for battle) and patyē śētē (she sleeps near her husband).

तत्तर्हि वक्तञ्यम् It must, then, be read.

न वक्तव्यम् No, it need not.

कथम् ? How will its purpose be served?

कियां हि नाम लोके कर्नेत्युपचरन्ति – कां कियां करिष्यसि – किं कर्ने करिष्यसि इति

For people in the world use the word karma to denote secondarily $kriy\bar{a}$, as in the sentence $ki\dot{m}$ karma karisyasi in the sense of what $kriy\bar{a}$ are you doing.

एवमपि कर्तञ्यम् - कृत्रिमाकृत्रिमयोः कृत्रिमे संप्रत्ययो भवति । Even then it should be read, since, of krtrima and akrtrima, only krtrima should be taken into account.

क्रियापि कृत्रिमं कर्म Even kriyā is kṛtrima-karma.

SEVENTEENTH ÄHNIKA-KRUDHA... YAM PRATI KÖPAH 101

न सिध्यति । कर्तुरीप्सिततमं कर्म इत्युच्यते, कथं च नाम क्रियया क्रियेप्सित-तमा स्यात्?

No, it cannot be. The $s\bar{u}tra$ Kartur $\bar{\imath}psitatamam$ karma is read. How can $kriy\bar{a}$ become $\bar{\imath}psitatam\bar{a}$ through $kriy\bar{a}$?

क्रियापि क्रियया ईप्सिततमा भवति

Even $kriy\bar{a}$ becomes $\bar{\imath}psitatam\bar{a}$ through $kriy\bar{a}$.

कया कियया ? By which $kriy\bar{a}$?

सन्दर्शनिकयया, प्रार्थयतिकियया अध्यवस्यतिकियया च । इह य एष मनुष्यः प्रेक्षापूर्वकारी भवति संबुद्धचा तावत् कश्चिद् अर्थे सम्पश्यति, सन्दृष्टे प्रार्थना, प्रार्थनायामध्यवसायः, अध्यवसाय आरम्भः, आरम्भे निर्वृत्तिः, निर्वृत्तौ फलावासिः । एवं कियापि कृत्रिमं कर्म ।

By the $kriy\bar{a}$ of seeing, by the $kriy\bar{a}$ of wishing and by the $kriy\bar{a}$ of determining. A man of foresight, here, clearly sees the whole through his mind's eye; after comprehension, there is wish; after wish there is determination; after determination there is attempt; through attempt there is the finishing touch; thro' the finishing touch, there is the reach of the fruit. Hence $kriy\bar{a}$ too is krtrima-karma.

एवमपि - Even then,

कर्मणः करणसंज्ञा संप्रदानस्य च कर्मसंज्ञा

There is need to give $karaṇasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to karma and karma $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to $samprad\bar{a}na$.

कर्मणः करणसंज्ञा वक्तव्या संप्रदानस्य च कर्मसंज्ञा - पशुना रुद्रं यजते, पशुं रुद्राय ददाति इत्यर्थः । अभौ किल पशुः प्रक्षिप्यते तद्भुद्रायोपिह्रयत इति । $Karaṇasamj\~n\=a$ has to be given to karma and $karma-samj\~n\=a$ to $samprad\=ana$. Viz., $Paśun\=a$ Rudram $yajat\=e$. It means he gives paśu to Rudra. Paśu is thrown in fire and it is taken as an offering to Rudra.

Note:—It is only the $vap\bar{a}$ and the different organs that are thrown into fire and hence $pa\dot{s}u$ here refers to its parts.

क्रुधद्रुहेर्ष्यास्यार्थानां यं प्रति कोपः (1, 4, 37)

किमेते एकार्थाः आहोस्विन्नानार्थाः ?

Do these have the same meaning or different meanings?

कि चातः? What is gained whether it is this or that?

यद्येकार्थाः किमर्थं पृथङ् निर्दिक्यते ? अथ नानार्थाः, कथं कुपिना शक्यन्ते विशेषियतुम् ?

If they are synonyms, why should they be read separately? If they have different meanings, how should each be qualified by kup?

एवं तर्हि नानार्थाः, कुपौ त्वेषां सामान्यमस्ति । न हि अकुपितः कुध्यति न वा अकुपितो दुद्धाति, न वा अकुपित ईर्ष्यति, न वा अकुपितो असूयति । If so, they have different meanings; but each of them springs from anger. For one without anger does not feel irritated:

from anger. For one without anger does not feel irritated; one without anger does not feel irritated; anger does not feel jealous; and one without anger does not belittle others.

साधकतमं करणम् (1, 4, 42)

तमग्रहणं किमर्थं ? न साधकं करणम् इंत्येवोच्येत ?

What for is tama read? Why is not the sūtra read Sādhakam karanam?

साधकं करणम् इतीयत्युच्यमाने सर्वेषामेव कारकाणां करणसंज्ञा प्रसज्येत । सर्वाणि हि कारकाणि साधकानि । तमग्रहणे पुनः कियमाणे न दोषो भवति । If the sūtra is read Sādhakam karaṇam without tama-pratyaya, karaṇa-samjñā may chance to take hold of all kārakas; for all kārakas are sādhakas. If tama-pratyaya is read, there will be no defect.

नैतदस्ति प्रयोजनम् । पूर्वास्तावत् अग्वादत्वाद् बाधिका भविष्यन्ति, पराः परत्वाद् अनवकाशत्वाच

This is not the $pray\bar{o}jana$. Those that precede set this at naught by being $apav\bar{a}da$ and those that follow set this at naught by being utkrsta (i. e.) $anavak\bar{a}sa$.

इह तर्हि धनुषा विध्यति, अपाययुक्तत्वाच अपादानसंज्ञा, साधकत्वाच करण-संज्ञा प्राप्नोति । तमप्रहणे पुनः कियमाणे न दोषो भवति ।

Here, then, in dhanuṣā vidhyati, there is chance for $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$ samjñā to dhanus on account of the existence of $ap\bar{a}ya$ and

 $karanasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ on account of its being $s\bar{a}dhaka$. This defect will be removed, if tama-pratyaya is read.

एवं तर्हि लोकत एतत् सिद्धम् । तद्यथा लोके 'अभिरूपाय उदकपानेयम्', 'अभिरूपाय कन्या देया ' इति, न च अनभिरूपे प्रवृत्तिरस्ति, तत्र अभिरूपतमाय इति गम्यते । एवमिहापि साधकं करणम् इत्युच्यते । सर्वाणि च कारकाणि साधकानि, न च असाधके प्रशृत्तिरस्ति, तत्र साधकतमम् इति विज्ञास्यते ।

If so, this is so decided from what is found in the world. For instance it is said in the world, "Let water be brought to wash the feet of abhirūpa (the deserving bridegroom)", "Let the $kany\bar{a}$ be given in marriage to the $abhir\bar{u}pa$." Nobody thinks of the undeserving bridegroom and hence abhirūpāya evidently means to the most deserving. So also here it is said. 'Sādhakē karuṇam.' All kārakas are sādhakas and nobody thinks of asādhakas and hence sādhaka is taken to refer only to sādhakatama.

एवं तर्हि सिद्धे सति यत्तमग्रहणं करोति तज्ज्ञापयत्याचार्यः कारकसंज्ञायां तरतमयोगो न भवति इति

If so, Acarya reads tama-pratyaya even though its purpose is otherwise served. Hence he suggests that there is no taratama-yōga (choice of the better of the two or the best of many) with reference to $k\bar{a}raka$ -sa $mj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने श्योजनम्? What is the benefit of this $j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}pana$? ¹ अपायमाचार्यः किं न्याय्यं मन्यते ?

Which does Acarya think to be the legitimate apadana? यत्र सम्प्राप्य निवृत्तिः

Where there is separation after association.

तेनेहैव स्यात् प्रामाद् आगच्छति, नगराद् आगच्छति इति । साङ्कारयकेभ्यः पाटलिपुत्रका अभिरूपतराः इत्यत्र न स्यात् ।

If so, it will operate only here in $-Gr\bar{a}m\bar{a}d$ $\bar{a}gacchati$, and Nagarād āgacchati and not in Sānkāsyakēbhyah Pāṭaliputrakā abhirūpatarāh.

^{1.} अपादानम् is another reading.

कारकसंज्ञायां तरतमयोगो न भवति इत्यत्रापि सिद्धं भवति It is secured even here by the dictum $K\bar{a}rakasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}y\bar{a}m\ tara-$

tamayōgō na bhavati.

तथा आधारमाचार्यः किं न्याय्यं मन्यते १

Which does $Ac\bar{a}rya$ think, in the same way, to be the legitimate $\bar{a}dh\bar{a}ra$?

यत्र कृत्स्व आधारात्मा व्याप्तो भवित

Where $\bar{a}dh\bar{a}ratva$ pervades the whole space occupied by $\bar{a}dh\bar{e}yatva$.

तेन इहैव स्यात् - तिलेषु तैलम्, दिध्न सिर्पः इति । गङ्गायां गावः, कूपे गर्गकुलम् इत्यत्र न स्यात् ।

If so, it will operate only here in Tilēṣu tāilam and Dadhni sarpiḥ and not in Gaṅgāyām gāvaḥ and Kūpē gargakulam.

कारक संज्ञायां तरतमयोगों न भवति इति अत्रापि सिद्धं भवति ।

It is secured even here by the dictum $K\bar{a}rakasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ $tara-tama-y\bar{o}g\bar{o}$ na bhavati.

उपान्वध्याङ्गसः (1, 4, 48)

वसेरइयर्थस्य प्रतिषेधः Need to prohibit vas in the sense of fasting.

वसेरश्यर्थस्य प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः, ग्रामे उपवसति इति ।

There is need to prohibit $karmasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to vas in the sense of to fast, so that there can be only this usage $Gr\bar{a}m\bar{e}\ upavasati$.

स तर्हि वक्तव्यः It must, then, be read.

न वक्तव्यः । नात्र उपपूर्वस्य वसेर्ग्रामोऽधिकरणम्

No, it need not be read. $Gr\bar{a}ma$ is not the adhikarana with reference to vas with upa.

कस्य तर्हि ? To which then?

अनुपसर्गस्य । ग्रामे असौ वसंस्निरात्रमुपवसति ।

 $Gr\bar{a}ma$ is adhikaraṇa only to vas preceded by no preposition, as in $Gr\bar{a}m\bar{e}$ $as\bar{a}u$ vasan $trir\bar{a}tram$ upavasati (he living in the village fasts three days).

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the relationship of $k\bar{a}la$ and upavasana is antaranga and that of $gr\bar{a}ma$ and upavasana is bahiranga.

कर्तुरीप्सिततमं कर्म (1, 4, 49)

तमग्रहणं किमर्थम् ? What for is tama read?

कर्तुरीप्सितं कर्म इतीयत्युच्यमाने इह अग्नेर्माणवकं वार्यति इति माणवकेऽ-पादानसंज्ञा प्रसज्येत

If the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read $Kartur\ \bar{\imath}psitam\ karma$ without tama, there is chance for $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}natva$ in $m\bar{a}navaka$ in the sentence $Agn^{\bar{e}r}$ $m\bar{a}navaka\dot{n}\ v\bar{a}rayati$ (by the $s\bar{u}tra\ V\bar{a}ran\bar{a}rth\bar{a}n\bar{a}m\ \bar{\imath}psitah$).

नैष दोषः । कर्मसंज्ञात्र बाधिका भविष्यति

This defect does not arise; $karmasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ (by this $s\bar{u}tra$ which is para) sets it at naught.

अग्नाविप तिह बाधिका स्यात्

If so, it may set at naught the apādānatva in agni too.

इह पुनस्तमग्रहणे क्रियमाणे तदुपपन्नं भर्वाते यदुक्तं वारणार्थेषु कर्मग्रहणानर्थक्यं कर्तुरीप्सिततमं कर्मेति वचनाद इति

If tama is read here, the vārttika Vāraņārthēṣu karmagrahaṇānarthakyam kartur īpsitatamam karmēti vacanāt will be appropriate.

इहोच्यते - ओदनं पचित इति, यद्योदनः पच्येत द्रव्यान्तरम् अभिनिर्वर्तेत The expression Ödanam pacati is current in the world and the śāstra. If ödana is cooked, it should transform itself into another.

Note:—Since the word $\bar{o}dana$ refers to cooked food, the $p\bar{u}rvapak$; in thinks that the expression $\bar{o}danam$ pacati is incorrect.

नैष दोष: । तादर्थाताच्छाट्यं भविष्यति ओदनार्थास्तण्डुला ओदन इति
This difficulty cannot stand. The word ōdana refers to rice which is intended to become ōdana, the word denoting effect being used to denote the cause.

अथ इह कथं भवितव्यम् - तण्डुलानोदनं पचित इति आहोस्वित् तण्डुलाना-मोदनं पचित इति ?

Of the following two usages here:—Taṇḍulān ōdanam pacati (he cooks rice into food) and Taṇḍulānām ōdanam pacati (he cooks the food of rice), which is correct?

उभयथापि भवितन्यम् Usage may be both ways. कथम्? How?

इह हि तण्डुलानोदनं पचित इति, द्यर्थः पिचः, तण्डुलान् पचन्नोदनं निर्वर्तयति । इह इदानीं तण्डुलानामोदनं पचित इति द्यर्थश्चेव पिचिर्विकारयोगे षष्ठी, तण्डुलिकारमोदनं निर्वर्तयति ।

Here in the sentence $Tandul\bar{a}n\ \bar{o}danam\ pacati$, of the two parts of the meaning of pac, $vikl\bar{e}dana$ (transforming into soft material) and nirvartana (obtaining the food), the former takes tandula for its object and the latter $\bar{o}dana$ for its object, so that the sentence means $tandul\bar{a}n\ pacan\ \bar{o}danam\ nirvartayati$ Here, then, in the sentence $Tandul\bar{a}n\bar{a}m\ \bar{o}danam\ pacati$, of the two parts $vikl\bar{e}dana$ and nirvartana the former becomes the $vis\bar{e}sana$ of $\bar{o}dana$ and $\bar{o}dana$ becomes the object of nirvartana, so that the sentence means $tandulavik\bar{a}ram\ \bar{o}danam\ nirvartayati$.

इह कश्चित् कञ्चिद् आमन्त्रयते सिद्धं भुज्यताम् इति । स आमन्त्रयमाण आह प्रभूतं भुक्तमसाभिः इति ।

One says, here to another, "Please take food." He replies, "I have already taken plenty."

आमन्त्र्यमाण आह दिध - खिळु भविष्यति, पयः खिळु भविष्यति
The former again says, "There will be curds too and there will be milk too."

आमन्च्यमाण आह - दथ्ना खलु भुज्ञीय पयसा खलु भुज्ञीय इति
The latter says, "I shall certainly take the food with curds;
I shall certainly take the food with milk."

अत्र कर्मसंज्ञा प्रामोति, तद्धि तस्येप्सिततमं भवति

Here in dadhi and payas - there is chance for $karmasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, since each of them is $\bar{\imath}psitatama$.

तस्याप्योदन एवेप्सिततमो न तु गुणेष्वस्यानुरोधः, तद्यथा भुझीयाहमोदनं यदि मृदुर्विशदः स्याद् इति । एविमहापि दिधगुणमोदनं भुझीय, पयोगुणमोदनं भुझीय इति ।

Even to him it is odana that is ipsitatama, since he has no adara in the accessories alone, as in the statement Bhuñjīya

aham ōdanam yadi mṛdur viśadaḥ syāt (I shall eat the food if it is soft and fresh). So also it means here dadhiguṇam ōdanam bhuñjīya (I shall eat food mixed with curds) and payōguṇam ōdanam bhuñjīya (I shall eat food mixed with milk.)

ईप्सितस्य कर्मसंज्ञायां निर्वृत्तस्य कारकत्वे कर्मसंज्ञाप्रसङ्गः क्रियेप्सितत्वात्

If $\bar{\imath}psita$ gets $karmasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, there is no chance for the object of an action to get it on account of the action being $\bar{\imath}psita$

ईप्सितस्य कर्मसंज्ञायां निर्वृत्तस्य कारकत्वे कर्मसंज्ञा न प्राप्तोति, गुडं भक्षयति If $\bar{\imath}psita$ gets $karma-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, the object of an action does not get it, as $gu\dot{q}a$ in $Gu\dot{q}a\bar{m}$ bhaksayati.

किं कारणम्? Why?

क्रियेप्सितत्वातः क्रिया तस्य ईप्सिता

Since the $kriy\bar{a}$ is the $\bar{\imath}psita$. It is the $kriy\bar{a}$ that is his $\bar{\imath}psita$.

न वोभयेप्सितत्वात् No, on account of both being īpsita.

न वैष दोषः This defect cannot arise.

किं कारणम्? Why?

उभयेप्सितत्वात् । उभयं हि तस्येप्सितम् । आतश्चोभयं यस्य हि गुडभक्षणे बुद्धिः प्रसक्ता भवति नासौ लोष्टं भक्षयित्वा कृती भवति

On account of both being $\bar{\imath}psita$. For both are his $\bar{\imath}psita$. Since he who thinks of swallowing jaggery is not satisfied if he swallows a piece of stone, both are his $\bar{\imath}psita$.

यद्यपि तावदत्रैतच्छक्यते वक्तुं, ये त्वेते राजकर्मिणो मनुष्यास्तेषां कश्चित् किञ्चदाह कटं कुरु इति । स आह नाहं कटं करिष्यामि, घटो मया आहत इति ; तस्य क्रियामात्रमीप्सितम् ।

Even though it may thus be answered here, the $kriy\bar{a}$ alone is the $\bar{\imath}psita$ to him, who, among the servants of the king, answers "I will not make a mat; pot has been brought by me" to the request "Make a mat" made by another servant of the king.

यद्यपि तस्य क्रियामात्रमीप्सितं, यस्त्वसौ प्रेषयति तस्योभयमीप्सितम् इति Even though $kriy\bar{a}$ alone is $\bar{\imath}psita$ to him, both are $\bar{\imath}psita$ to him who sends him to fetch it.

तथा युक्तं चानीप्सितम् (1, 4, 50)

किमुदाहरणम् ? What is the example?

विषं भक्षयति इति Visam in the sentence Visam bhaksayati.

नैतद्स्तः पूर्वेणाप्येतत् सिध्यति

No, it is not; it can be secured even from the previous sūtra.

न सिध्यति । कर्तुरीप्सिततमं कर्म इत्युच्यते, कस्य च नाम विषभक्षण-मीप्सितम् स्यात् ?

No, it cannot be secured. The previous $s\bar{u}tra$ reads Kartur $\bar{\imath}psitatamam\ karma$. To whom will the taking of poison be $\bar{\imath}psita$?

विषभक्षणमपि कस्यचिदीप्सितं भवति

Even vişa-bhakşana becomes īpsita to some one.

कथम् ? How?

इह य एष मनुष्यो दुःखार्तो भवति सोऽन्यानि दुःखानि अनुनिशम्य विष-भक्षणमेव ज्यायो मन्यते । आतश्च ईप्सितं यत्तद् भक्षयति ।

A man here who suffers from misery, arguing within himself the relative strength of other miseries feels that it is better to take poison. Hence whatever he eats is $\bar{\imath}psita$.

यत्ति अन्यत् करिष्याभीति अन्यत् करोति, तदुदाहरणम् If so, that becomes the $ud\bar{a}haran$ which one does even though he wanted to do another.

किं पुनस्तत्? What is it?

प्रामान्तरमयं गच्छन् चोरान् पश्यति, अहिं लङ्घयति, कण्टकान् मुद्गाति इति One who goes to another village sees thieves, transgresses serpents, walks over thorns etc.

इह ईप्सितस्यापि कर्मसंज्ञा आरभ्यते अनीप्सितस्यापि । यदिदानीं नैबेप्सितं नाप्यनीप्सितं तत्र कथं भवितव्यं - प्रामान्तरमयं गच्छन् वृक्षमूलान्युपसर्पति कुड्य-मूलान्युपसर्पति इति

 $Karma-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is, here, enjoined to the $\bar{\imath}psita$ and to the $an-\bar{\imath}psita$. What should be the lot of one which is neither $\bar{\imath}psita$ nor $an-\bar{\imath}psita$, as $vrksam\bar{\imath}la$ and $kudyam\bar{\imath}la$ in the

sentence Grāmāntaram ayam gacchan vṛkṣamūlāni upasarpati and kudyamūlāni upasarpati.

अत्रापि सिद्धम् It is secured even here.

कथम्? How?

अनीप्सितम् इति नायं प्रसज्यप्रतिषेधः ईप्सितं न इति

The word an- $\bar{\imath}psitam$ is not prasajya-pratisēdha in the sense $\bar{\imath}psitam$ na.

किं तर्हि ? What then?

पर्युदासोऽयं यदन्यद् ईप्सितात् तद् अनीष्सितम् इति । अन्यच एतद् ईप्सिताद् यन्नैवेप्सितं नाप्यनीष्सितम् इति ।

This is $paryud\bar{a}sa$ and hence it means that which is other than $\bar{\imath}psita$. That which is neither $\bar{\imath}psita$ nor $an-\bar{\imath}psita$ is one other than $\bar{\imath}psita$.

अकथितं च (1, 4, 51)

¹ Ten ślōkavārttikas are discussed here. They deal with five topics:—(1) Enumeration of roots with reference to which akathita takes karma-samjñā (2) Do lakārārtha, krtyārtha etc. have reference to kathita or akathita? (3) Which roots are dvikarmakas? (4) Which take karma-samjñā with reference to akarmakadhātus? (5) Are the preceding two sūtras necessary or not?

1

केन अकथितम् ? By which is it avivakṣita?

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads here:- Kartari kuraṇē vā atra tṛtīyā. Nāgōjibhaṭṭa supplements it thus:-Karaṇasyāpi kartrtvēna vivakṣām abhiprētya āha kartarīti.

अपादानादिभिर्विशेषकथाभिः

By the special kārakas like apādāna which have been mentioned.

किमुदाहरणम्? What is the udāharaṇa?

1. All of them are published as $v\bar{a}rttikas$ in Guruprasada Sastri's edition. But five or six found in the middle are published as $v\bar{a}rttikas$ and the rest as $bh\bar{a}sya$ in Panduranga Javaji's edition.

दुहियाचिरुधिप्रच्छिभिक्षिचित्रामुपयोगनिमित्तमपूर्वविधौ । ब्रुविशासिगुणेन च यत्सचते तदकीर्तितमाचरितं कविना ॥

The nimitta of the phala, when they do not take the special $k\bar{a}raka$ by the previous $s\bar{u}tras$, with reference to the roots duh, $y\bar{a}c$, rudh, pracch, bhiks and ci and that which is associated with the $pradh\bar{a}na$ -karma of $br\bar{u}$ and $s\bar{a}s$ are considered to be akathitas by the seer ($\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ $P\bar{a}nini$).

Note:—The word kavi is used here in the sense found in the Vēdas. Cf. Kavikratuḥ in the first sūkta of the Ŗgvēdasamhitā.

दुहि - गां दोग्धि पयः

The word $g\bar{a}m$ in the sentence $G\bar{a}m$ $d\bar{o}gdhi$ payah is an example.

नैतदस्ति । कथिताऽत्र पूर्वा अपादानसंज्ञा

No, this is not; the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, which precedes, has been enjoined to it.

Note:—Nāgōjibhaṭṭa reads here:—Payō-niṣṭha-vibhagaja-saṃyōgānukūla - vyāpārasyāiva dhātvarthatvē idam bhaṣyam tattvam. Ēvam agrēspi. Ēvam-rūpē arthē apādānatva-avivak-ṣābhiprāyēṇa siddhāntinā udāharaṇa-dānam, tad ajānataḥ pūrvapakṣiṇaḥ khaṇḍanam ityēva sāram.

याचि - इदं तर्हि पौरवं गां याचते

If so, this - the word $p\bar{a}uravam$ in $P\bar{a}uravam$ $g\bar{a}m$ $y\bar{a}cat\bar{e}$ is an example.

-नैतदस्ति । कथितात्र पूर्वा अपादानसंज्ञा

No, this is not; the $\bar{a}p\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasumj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$, which precedes, has been enjoined to it.

न याचनादेव अपायो भवति । याचितोऽसौ यदि ददाति ततोऽपायेन युज्यते । Separation does not take place merely from yācana; only if one who is begged gives, there is separation.

रुधि - अन्ववरुणद्धि गां त्रजम्

The word vrajam in Anvavarunaddhi gām vrajam is an example.

नैतदस्ति । कथितात्र पूर्वा अधिकरणसंज्ञा

No, this is not; the $adhikaranasamj\tilde{n}a$ which precedes has been enjoined to it.

प्रचिछ - माणवकं पन्थानं पृच्छति

The word $m\bar{a}navakam$ in $M\bar{a}navakam$ panth $\bar{a}nam$ prechati is an example.

नैतदस्ति । कथितात्र पूर्वा अपादानसंज्ञा

No, this is not; the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, which precedes, has been enjoined to it.

न प्रशादेव अपायो भवति, पृष्ठोऽसो यद्याचष्टे ततोऽपायेन युज्यते । Separation does not spring only from the question; only when one who is questioned answers, he is associated with $ap\bar{a}ya$

भिक्षि - पौरवं गां भिक्षते

The word pāuravam in Pāuravam gām bhikṣatē is an example.

नैतद्स्ति । कथितात्र पूर्वा अपादानसंज्ञा

No, this is not; the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, which precedes, has been enjoined to it.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here:-Atha $y\bar{a}ci$ -bhik $sy\bar{o}r$ $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rtha$ - $tv\bar{a}t$ kimartham $ubhay\bar{o}r$ $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}nam$? $Ucyat\bar{e}$ - $anunay\bar{a}rthasy\bar{a}pi$ $y\bar{a}c\bar{e}r$ $grahan\bar{a}rtham$.

न भिक्षणादेव अपायो भवति, भिक्षितोऽसौ यदि ददाति ततोऽपायेन युज्यते Separation does not take place only from bhikṣaṇa; only when the person begged gives, he is associated with apāya.

चिञ् - वृक्षमवचिनोति फलानि

The word vrkṣam in the sentence Vrkṣam avacinōti phalāni is an example.

नैतदस्ति । कथिता अत्र पूर्वा अपादानसंज्ञा

No, this is not; the $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, which precedes, has been enjoined to it.

ब्रुविशासि -1

ब्रुविशासिगुणेन च यत्सचते - सम्बध्यते - तचादाहरणम्

That which is associated with the $pradh\bar{a}na.karma$ of $br\bar{u}$ and $s\bar{a}s$ is an example.

1. The whole line is printed in all editions. Since it is only a pratīka bruvi-śāsi alone is mentioned here,

कि पुनस्तत्? What is it?

पुत्रं ब्रुते धर्म, पुत्रमनुशास्ति धर्मम् इति

Putra in the sentences Putram brūtē dharmam, and Putram anuśāsti dharmam.

नैतद्स्ति । कथितात्र पूर्वा सम्प्रदानसंज्ञा

No, it is not. $Samprad\bar{a}na$ -sam $j\tilde{n}a$, which precedes, has been enjoined to it.

तसात् त्रीण्येव उदाहरणानि - पौरवं गां याचते, माणवकं पन्थानं पृच्छति, पौरवं गां भिक्षते इति

Hence only three— $p\bar{a}uravam$, $m\bar{a}navakam$ and $p\bar{a}uravam$ in the sentences $P\bar{a}uravam$ $g\bar{a}m$ $y\bar{a}cat\bar{e}$, $M\bar{a}navakam$ $panth\bar{a}nam$ prechati and $P\bar{a}uravam$ $g\bar{a}m$ bhik $sat\bar{e}$ serve as examples.

11

अथ ये धातूनां द्विकर्मकाः, तेषां किं कथिते छादयो भवन्ति आहोस्विद् अकथिते

Are lādis used with kathita or akathita with reference to the roots which are dvikarmakas?

Note:—1. Lādis are $la-krtya-kta-khalarth\bar{a}h$.

Note:—?. Kathitah means pradhānah (īpsitatamah).

कृथिते लाद्यः $L\bar{a}dis$ are used with kathita.

कथिते लादिभिरभिहिते गुणकर्मणि का कर्तव्या?

If kathita is abhihita by $l\bar{a}dis$, what $k\bar{a}raku$ should be used with $apradh\bar{a}na-karmu$ (i.e.) if the nominative case is used with the $pradh\bar{a}na-karmu$ in the passive voice, what case should be used with the $apradh\bar{a}na-karmu$?

कथिते लादयश्वेत्स्युः पष्टीं कुर्यात्तदा गुणे

If $l\bar{a}dis$ are used with kathita, sixth case should be used with the $apradh\bar{a}na$ -kurma.

कथिते लादयश्चेत् स्युः षष्ठी गुणकर्मणि तदा कर्तव्या दुद्धते गोः पयः, याच्यते पौरवस्य कम्बल इति

lf lādis are used with kathita, sixth case should be used with a pradhāna-karma. Viz., Duhyatē gōḥ payaḥ; yācyatē pāuravasya kambalaḥ.

कथम्? Why?

अकारकं ह्यकथितात्, कारकं चेतु नाकथा

It is akāraka on account of akathitatva and if it is kāraka, it cannot be akathita.

अकारकं होतद् भवति This is akāraka.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

अकथितत्वात् On account of its being akathita.

अथ कारकं नाकथितम् If it is kāraka, it is not akathita.

अथ कारके सति का कर्तव्या ?

If it is $k\bar{a}raka$, what should be done?

कारकं चेद्विजानीयाद्यां यां मन्येत सा भवेत्

If it is taken as a $k\bar{a}raka$, that which is considered suitable may be used.

कारकं चेद् विजानीयाद् या या प्राप्तोति सा सा कर्तव्या । दुह्यते गोः पयः, याच्यते पौरवात् कम्बलः इति

If it is taken as a $k\bar{a}raka$, whatever is found suitable may be used. Viz. $Duhyat\bar{e}\ g\bar{o}h\ payah$; $y\bar{a}cyat\bar{e}\ p\bar{a}urav\bar{a}t\ kambalah$.

कथितेऽभिहिते त्वविधिस्त्वमतिर्गुणकर्माण लादिविधिः सपरे

Your opinion stands when kathita is abhihita; but, in the opinion of others, there is $l\bar{a}dividhi$ in the apradhānakarma and also with reference to those found in the following two $s\bar{u}tras$.

कथिते लादिभिरभिहिते त्वविधिरेष भवति

When $pr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}na$ -karma is suggested by $l\bar{a}dis$, your opinion stands.

किमिदं त्वविधिः ! What does tva-vidhi mean ?

तव विधिः त्वविधिः Tva-vidhih means tava vidhih.

Note:—The derivation of tva-vidhih is evidently tvasya vidhih where tva means some one as in the Rk Uta tvah paśyan na dadarśa vācam mentioned in Paspaśāhnika. But here it refers to the pūrvapakṣin in a light way.

त्वमतिः Your considered opinion.

किमिदं त्वमतिरिति? What is mean by tva-matih? तव मतिस्त्वमतिरिति Tvamatih means tava matih. नैवमन्ये मन्यन्ते Others do not consider so. कथं तर्द्धन्ये मन्यन्ते ? How do then others consider?

गुणकर्मणि लादिविधिः सपरे - गुणकर्मणि लादिविधयो भवन्ति सह परेण योगेन - गतिबुद्धिपत्यवसानार्थशब्दकर्माकर्मकाणामणि स णौ

 $L\bar{a}dividhi$ is with reference to guṇakarma here along with those mentioned in the following $s\bar{u}tra~Gati$ -buddhi-pratyav \bar{a} - $s\bar{a}n\bar{a}rtha$ -sabdakarma- $akarmak\bar{a}n\bar{a}m~ani~kart\bar{a}~sa~n\bar{a}u$.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\tilde{o}jibhatta$ says that $sapar\bar{e}$ refers to both the $s\bar{u}tras\ Gatibuddhi...$ and $Hrkr\tilde{o}r...$

धुवचेष्टितयुक्तिषु चाप्यगुणे तदनल्पमतेर्वचनं सारत

Remember the words of the man of great wisdom that $l\bar{a}dis$ occur to $pradh\bar{a}nakarm\imath$ with reference to akarmakas and gatyarthas.

ध्रुत्रयुक्तिषु चेष्टितयुक्तिषु चाप्यगुणे कर्मणि लादयो भवन्तीत्यतदनल्पमते-राचार्यस्य वचनं सार्यताम्

Let the words of the $Ac\bar{a}rya$ of great wisdom be remembered that $l\bar{a}dis$ occur to aguna-karma with reference to akarmakas and gatyarthas.

अपर आह Another says.

प्रधानकर्मण्याख्येये लादीनाहुर्द्विकर्मणाम्

Lādis occur to pradhana-karma with reference to roots taking two objects.

प्रधानकर्मण्यभिधेये द्विकर्मणां धातूनां कर्मणि लादयो भवन्तीति वक्तव्यम् - अजां नयति प्रामम्, अजां नीयते प्रामम्, अजां नीता प्रामम् इति
It must be said that lādis occur to the pradhāna-karma with reference to roots taking two objects. Viz., Ajām nayati grāmam, ajā nīyatē grāmam, ajā nītā grāmam.

अप्रधाने दुहादीनां, ण्यन्ते कर्तुश्च कर्मणः

1. लाद्य: is another reading.

 $L\bar{a}dis$ occur to $apradh\bar{a}na$ with reference to $duh\bar{a}dis$ and to $pradh\bar{a}na$ in nyanta.

अप्रधाने दुहादीनां कर्मणि लादयो भवन्तीति वक्तव्यम् - दुह्यते गौः पयः इति । ण्यन्ते कर्तुश्च कर्मणो लादयो भवन्ति इति वक्तव्यम् - गम्यते यज्ञदत्तो प्रामं देवदत्तेन ।

It must be stated that $l\bar{a}dis$ occur to $apradh\bar{a}na$ -karma with reference to $duh\bar{a}dis$. Viz., $Duhyat\bar{e}$ $g\bar{a}uh$ payah, $Dugdh\bar{a}$ $g\bar{a}uh$ payah. It must be stated that $l\bar{a}dis$ occur to $pradh\bar{a}na$ -karma with reference to causal roots. Viz. $Gamyat\bar{e}$ $yaj\bar{n}a$ - $datt\bar{o}$ $gr\bar{a}mam$ $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{e}na$.

111

के पुनर्धातूनां द्विकर्मकाः ?

Which, among roots, take two objects after them?

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{o}jibhatta$ reads here:- $Idam\ vy\bar{a}p\bar{a}radvay\bar{a}r$ -thakadhāthûpalakṣaṇam.

नीवह्योर्हरतेश्वापि गत्यर्थानां तथैव च । द्विकर्मकेषु ग्रहणम् द्रष्टव्यमिति निश्वयः ॥

It is the decided opinion that, among dvikarmakas, the roots $n\bar{\imath}$, vah, hr, and gatyarthas should be taken.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here: $-Cak\bar{a}r\bar{e}na$ jayaty $\bar{a}dayah$ samucciyant \bar{e} (the roots $j\bar{\imath}$, mus and dand).

अजां नयति प्रामम्, भारं वहति प्रामम्, भारं हरति प्रामम्, (गत्यर्थानां) गमयति देवदत्तं प्रामम्, यापयति देवदत्तं प्रामम् ।

The examples are: $-Aj\bar{a}m$ nayati grāmam, $Bh\bar{a}ram$ vahati grāmam, $Bh\bar{a}ram$ harati grāmam, Gamayati $D\bar{e}vadattam$ grāmam, $Y\bar{a}payati$ $D\bar{e}vadattam$ grāmam.

सिद्धं वाप्यन्यकर्मणः

It is achieved by taking one to be the karma of another dhātu (which is understood).

सिद्धं वा पुनरेतद्भवति Or this object is achieved.

कुतः How?

अन्यकर्मणः - अन्यस्यात्र अजा कर्म, अन्यस्य ग्रामः - अजाम् असौ गृहीत्वा ग्रामं नयति By taking one to be the object of another verb that is understood. Here $aj\bar{a}$ is the object of one and $gr\bar{a}ma$ is the object of another. It means that he, having taken $aj\bar{a}$, went to $gr\bar{a}ma$.

अन्यकर्मेति चेहूया छादीनामविधिर्भवेत्

If it is said to be the karma of another, $l\bar{a}dis$ cannot be used with reference to them.

अन्यकर्मेति चेद् ब्र्याद् लादीनामविधिरयं भवेत् - अजा नीयते प्रामम् इति-परसाधन उत्पद्यमानेन लेन अजाया अभिधानं न प्रामोति ।

If it is said that it is the karma of another, $l\bar{a}dis$ used with reference to the other $(gr\bar{a}ma)$ cannot be applied to it, so that the sentence $Aj\bar{a}$ $n\bar{i}yat\bar{e}$ $gr\bar{a}mam$ cannot be accomplished, since $karmanilak\bar{a}ra$ of $n\bar{i}$ having $gr\bar{a}ma$ for its karma cannot denote the karmatva of $aj\bar{a}$.

कालभावाध्वगन्तव्याः कर्मसंज्ञा ह्यकर्मणाम्

Time, duration and the distance to be travalled take $karma-sa\bar{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to $akarmaka-dh\bar{a}tus$.

कालभावाध्वगन्तव्या अकर्मकाणां धातूनां कर्मसंज्ञा भवन्तीति वक्तव्यम् -(काल) - मासमास्ते, मासं स्विपिति; (भाव) गोदोहमास्ते, गोदोहं स्विपिति; (अध्वगन्तव्य) कोशमास्ते, कोशं स्विपिति ।

It must be stated that time, duration and the distance to be travelled take $karma-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to intransitive roots. Viz. (Time) - $M\bar{a}sam\ \bar{a}st\bar{e}$ and $m\bar{a}sam\ svapiti$; (Duration) $G\bar{o}d\bar{o}ham\ \bar{a}st\bar{e}$ (he stays the time taken for milking the cow) and $g\bar{o}d\bar{o}ham\ svapiti$; (Distance to be travelled) - $Kr\bar{o}sam\ \bar{a}st\bar{e}$ and $kr\bar{o}sam\ svapiti$.

देशश्राकर्मणाम् 1 Country too with reference to akarmakas.

देशश्चाकर्मकाणां कर्मसंज्ञा भवतीति वक्तव्यम् - कुरून् स्विपिति, पञ्चालान् स्विपिति ।

^{1.} This is the reading given in Sri Guruprasadasastri's edition; but, on considering the following $v\bar{a}rttika$, it may read $D\bar{e}\hat{s}a\hat{s}c\bar{a}karmak\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ hi. Panduranga Javaji's edition reads $D\bar{e}\hat{s}a\hat{s}$ ca.

It must be stated that country too take $karma-sa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to intransitive verbs. Viz. $Kur\bar{u}n$ svapiti and $Pa\tilde{n}c\bar{a}l\bar{a}n$ svapiti.

देशश्राकर्मकाणां हि कर्मसंज्ञो भवेद्यदि 1 । विपरीतं तु यत्कर्म तत्करम कवयो विदुः ॥

Learned men call it kalma which is other than those mentioned in the three $s\bar{u}tras$ above (i.e.) the $k\bar{a}la$, $bh\bar{a}va$, adhva-gantavya and $d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ used as karma of akarmakas.

किमिदं कल्मेति? What is this - kalma?

अपरिसमाप्तं कर्म कल्म । न वा अस्मिन् सर्वाणि कर्मकार्याणि क्रियन्ते । Kalma is incomplete karma; for all the $karma-k\bar{a}ryas$ are not operated here (i.e. la, krtya, kta etc.)

कि तर्हि ! Which karma-kārya does it take then?

द्वितीयैव Only the second case.

यसिंमस्तु कर्मण्युपजायतेऽन्यद्धात्वर्थयोगापि च यत्र षष्ठी । तत्कर्म कल्मेति च, कल्म नोक्तं धातोहिं वृत्तिन रलत्वतोऽस्ति

Kalma is that karma in the presence of which the $pradh\bar{a}na-karma$ is produced and which takes the sixth case when it qualifies the $pradh\bar{a}na-karma$ and hence it is not separately mentioned, since there is no change in the meaning of the word simply at the interchange of r and l.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says $aparisam\bar{a}ptam$ karma kalma to be tuccha-pakṣa. The second line alone is read as $bh\bar{a}ṣya$ in the Bombay edition.

एतेन कर्मसंज्ञा सर्वा सिद्धा भवत्यकथितेन । तत्रेप्सितस्य किं स्यात्प्रयोजनं कर्मसंज्ञायाः ॥

All karma-samjñās are achieved by the sūtra Akathitam ca. Hence what purpose is served by the sūtra Kartur īpsitatamam karma?

यतु कथितं पुरस्तादीप्सितयुक्तं च तस्य सिध्यर्थम् । ईप्सितमेव तु यत्स्थात्तस्य भविष्यत्यकथितेन ॥

1. Sri Guruprasadasastri's edition says that this line is found in the $V\bar{a}rttika$ manuscripts.

The $s\bar{u}tra$ Kartur $\bar{\imath}psitatamam$ karma is intended for achieving $karmasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to that which gets $ap\bar{a}d\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $V\bar{a}ra\bar{n}arth\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ $\bar{\imath}psita\dot{n}$. The $s\bar{u}tra$ Akathitam ca is intended when $\bar{\imath}psitattva$ alone is wished for and not $\bar{\imath}psitatamatva$.

अथेह कथं भवितव्यं नेताश्वस्य स्नुन्नम् इति, आहोस्वित् नेता अश्वस्य स्नुन्नस्य इति ?

Of these two, Nētā aśvasya Snughnam, Nētā aśvasya Snughnasya, which is correct?

उभयथा गोणिकापुत्रः 1

Both are correct, says Gönikäputra.

गतिबुद्धित्रत्यवसानार्थशब्दकर्माकर्मकाणामणि कर्ता स णौ (1, 4, 52)
There are two topics dealt with here:-(1) The meaning of the word karma in śabdakarma (2) Additions to, and alterations in, the sūtra.

1

शब्दकर्म इति कथमिदं विज्ञायते, शब्दो येषां किया इति, आहोस्वित् शब्दो येषां कर्म इति?

With reference to the compound word śabdakarma, is it to be understood in the sense śabdaḥ yēṣām kriyā or śabdaḥ yēṣām karma (i.e.) is the word karma used here in the sense of kriyā or karmakāraka?

कश्चात्र विशेषः ? What is the difference here?

शब्दकर्मनिर्देशे शब्दिकयाणामिति चेत् ह्वयत्यादीनां प्रतिषेधः

If the word śabdakarma refers to roots which mean to make noise, there is need for the pratisēdha of the roots hvē etc.

शब्दकर्मनिर्देशे शब्दिकयाणामिति चेत् ह्र्यत्यादीनां प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः । If the word śabdakarma refers to roots which mean to make noise, there is need to enjoin the pratisēdha of hvayatyādis.

के पुनर्ह्वयत्यादयः? Which are hvayalyādis?

ह्रवयति, ऋन्दति, शब्दायते । ह्रयति देवदत्तः, ह्राययति देवदत्तेन ; ऋन्दति देवदत्तः, ऋन्दयति देवदत्तेन ; शब्दायते देवदत्तः, शब्दाययति देवदत्तेन ।

1. With reference to Gönikāputra Nāgōjibhaṭṭa says, Bhāsyākāra ityāhuḥ. Cf. Vol. I Preface p. XLIX (Ist Edn.)

The roots hvē, krand and śabda (nominal root). Viz., Hvayati Dēvadattaḥ, hvāyayati Dēvadattēna; krandati Dēvadattaḥ, krandayati Dēvadattēna; śabdāyatē (śabdam karōti) Dēvadattaḥ, śabdāyayati Dēvadattēna.

शृणोत्यादीनाश्चोपसङ्ख्यानमशब्दक्रियत्वात्

Need for the $upasankhy\bar{a}na$ of $srn\bar{o}ty\bar{a}dis$ on account of their not being $sabdakriy\bar{a}$.

शृणोत्यादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम्

There is need to add to the list the roots sr etc.

के पुनः शृणोत्यादयः ? What are srnotyādis?

शृणोति, विजानाति, उपलमते । शृणोति देवदत्तः; श्रावयति देवदत्तम् । विजानाति देवदत्तः, विज्ञापयति देवदत्तम् । उपलमते देवदत्तः, उपलम्भयति देवदत्तम्

The roots śr, jñā with vi and labh with upa. Viz., śrnöti Dēvadattaḥ, śrāvayati Dēvadattam; vijānāti Dēvadattaḥ, vijñāpapayati Dēvadattam; upalabhatē Dēvadattaḥ, upalambhayati Dēvadattam.

कि पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति ? Why are not these forms secured? अशब्दिकियत्वात् On account of their being not śabdakriya अस्तु ति शब्दो येषां कर्म इति ।

If so, let it be understood in the sense śabdaḥ yēṣām karma.

शब्दकर्मण इति चेत् जल्पतिप्रभृतीनामुपसङ्ख्यानम्

If it is taken in the sense of śabdakarma, there is need for the upasankhyāna of jalpatyādis.

शब्दकर्मण इति चेत् जल्पतिप्रभृतीनामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् । If it is taken in the sense of śabdakarma, there is need for the upasankhyāna of the roots jalp etc.

के पुनर्जल्पतिप्रमृतयः! Which are jalpatyādis?

जल्पति, विरुपति, आभाषते । जल्पति देवदत्तः, जल्पयति देवदत्तम्; विरुपति देवदत्तः, विरुपति देवदत्तम् । विरुपति देवदत्तः, विरुपयति देवदत्तम् । The roots jalp, lap with vi and bhāṣ with ā. Viz. Jalpati Dēvadattaḥ, jalpayati Dēvadattam; vilapati Dēvadattaḥ, vilāpayati Dēvadattaḥ, ābhāṣayati Dēvadattam.

सर्वत्र हरोः 1

Need for the upasankhyāna of dṛś in both the interpretations.

हशेः सर्वत्रोपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - पश्यति रूपतर्कः कार्षापणं, दर्शयति रूपतर्क कार्षापणम् ।

There is need for the upasankhyāna of $dr\acute{s}$ in both the interpretations. Viz. Paśyati rūpatarkan kārṣāpaṇam, darśayati rūpatarkam kārṣāpaṇam.

II

अदिखादिनीवहीनां प्रतिषेधः

There is need for the pratisedha of ad, khād, nī and vah.

अदिखादिनीवहीनां प्रतिपेघो वक्तज्यः - अत्ति देवदत्तः, आदयते देवदत्तेन । There is need to enjoin the pratisedha of ad, khād, nī and vah. Viz. Atti Dēvadattaḥ, ādayatē Dēvadattena.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here: $-Adi-kh\bar{a}dy\bar{o}h$ pratyavasā-nārthatvāt prāptih, nī-vahyōr gatyarthatvāt.

अपर आह - सर्वमेव प्रत्यवसानकार्यमदेः न भवतीति वक्तव्यं, परसौपदमपि इदमेकमिष्यते - क्तोऽधिकरणे च घ्रोव्यगतिष्रत्यवसानार्थभ्यः, इदमेषां जग्धम् Another says that ad does not take all the kārva, of pratua-

Another says that ad does not take all the $k\bar{a}rya$ of pratyavasāna and parasmāipada except the operation of the rule $Kt\bar{c}$ sdhikaraņē ca dhrāuvya-gatipratyavasānārthēbhyaḥ (3, 4, 76) so that the prayōga Idam ēṣām jagdham is secured.

खादि - खादित देवदत्तः, खादयित देवदत्तेन (नी - नयित देवदत्तः, नाययित देवदत्तेन

The examples with reference to the roots $kh\bar{a}d$ and $n\bar{\imath}$ are:- $Kh\bar{a}dati\ D\bar{e}vadattah$, $kh\bar{a}dayati\ D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{e}na$; $nayati\ D\bar{e}vadattah$, $n\bar{a}yayati\ D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{e}na$.

वहेरनियन्तृकतृकस्य

Vah should be restricted with reference to one who is not $niyantrkart\tilde{a}$.

वहेरनियन्तृककर्तृकस्य इति वक्तव्यम् - वहति भारं देवदत्तः, वाहयति भारं देवदत्तः, वाहयति भारं

1. इशेः सर्वत्र is another reading.

It must be said that vah should be restricted with reference to one who is not controlled by another.

अनियन्तृककर्तृकस्य इति किमर्थम्?

What for is the restriction aniyantrkakartrkasya?

वहन्ति बलीवर्दा यवान्, वाहयति बलीवर्दान् यवान् So that it should not operate here:-Vahanti balīvardā yavān, vāhayati balīvardān yavān.

भक्षेरहिंसार्थस्य

Bhaks should be restricted to the meanings other than to destroy.

भक्षेरहिंसार्थस्येति वक्तन्यम् - भक्षयति िण्डीं देवदत्तः, भक्षयति पिण्डीं देवदत्तेन There is need to restrict the root bhaks to denote meanings other than to destroy. Viz. Bhaksayati piṇḍīm Dēvadattaḥ (Dēvadatta eats the ball of food), bhaksayati piṇḍīm Dēvadattēna (he makes the ball of food eaten by Dēvadatta).

अहिंसार्थस्य इति किमर्थम्?

What for is the mention of ahimsārthasya?

भक्षयन्ति यवान् बलीवर्दाः, भक्षयति यलीवर्दान् यवान्

So that $karmasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ may come here - to $bal\bar{i}varda$ in bhakṣa-yati $bal\bar{i}varda\bar{n}$ $yav\bar{a}n$ corresponding to bhakṣayanti $yav\bar{a}n$ $bal\bar{i}vard\bar{a}h$ (oxen destroy yava).

अकर्मकग्रहणे कालकर्मणामुपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need to add kālakarmanām after akarmaka in the sūtra.

अकर्मकग्रहणे कालकर्मणामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - मासम् आस्ते देवदत्तः, मासमासयति देवदत्तम्; मासं शेते देवदत्तः, मासं शाययति देवदत्तम् ।

There is need to add $k\bar{a}lakarman\bar{a}m$ after akarmaka in the $s\bar{u}tra$, so that $karmasa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ may come to $D\bar{e}vadatta$ in the following cases: $-M\bar{a}sam$ $\bar{a}sayati$ $D\bar{e}vadattam$; $m\bar{a}sam$ $s\bar{a}yayati$ $D\bar{e}vadattam$, Corresponding to $m\bar{a}sam$ $\bar{a}st\bar{e}$ $D\bar{e}vadattah$, $m\bar{a}sam$ $s\bar{e}t\bar{e}$ $D\bar{e}vadattah$.

सिद्धं तु कालकर्मणामकर्मकवद्वचनात्

It is achieved by stating that kālakarmakas are like akarmakas. सिद्धमेतत् This is achieved.

कथम्? How?

कालकर्मका अकर्मकवद्भवन्तीति वक्तव्यम्

It must be stated that kālakarmakas behave like akarmakas.

तत्ति वक्तव्यम् It, then should be read.

न वक्तव्यम् । अकर्मकाणामित्युच्यते । न च केचित् कालभावाध्वभिरकर्मकाः, त एवं विज्ञास्यामः कचिदो अकर्मका इति ।

No, it need not be read. Mention is made of $akarmak\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$. There is no root which cannot take time, state or distance as its object. Hence $akarmak\bar{a}h$ means those which do not take anything other than those three (i.e.) say dravya for their objects.

अथ वा येन कर्मणा सकर्मकाश्च अकर्मकाश्च भवन्ति तेन अकर्मकाणाम् । न चैतेन कर्मणा कश्चिदप्यकर्मकः ।

Or $akarmak\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ refers to those roots which become akarmakas by the same karma (i. e.) $k\bar{a}la$ etc. No root is akarmaka by this karma (i. e.) $k\bar{a}la$ etc.

अथ वा यत् कर्म भवति, न च भवति, तेनाकर्मकाणाम् । न चैतत्कर्म कचिदपि न भवति ।

Or $akarmak\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ refers to roots which do not take that as karma which becomes karma elsewhere. There is no place where this $k\bar{a}la$ etc. does not become karma.

हक्रोरन्यतरस्थाम् (1, 4, 53)

हुक्रोवीवचनेऽभिवादिदशोरात्मनेपद उपसङ्ख्यानम्

Abhivādi-drśōr ūtmanēpadē is to be added to the sūtra.

हकोर्वावचनेऽभिवादिदृशोरात्मनेपद् उपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - अभिवद्ति गुरुं देवदत्तः, अिवादयते गुरुं देवदत्तम्, अभिवादयते गुरुं देवदत्तेन; पश्यन्ति भृत्या राजानम्, दर्शयते भृत्यान् राजा, दर्शयते भृत्ये राजा ।

There is need to add Abhivādi-dršōr ātmanēpadē after hrkrōh Viz., Abhivadati gurum Dēvadattah, abhivādayatē gurum Dēvadattam, abhivādayatē gurum Dēvadattēnu; Paśyanti bhrtyā rājānam, darśayatē bhrtyān rājā, darśaya'ē bhrtyāi rājā.

कथं चात्र आत्मनेपदम् ?

What is the authority for ātmanēpada here?

एकस्य णेरणौ इति, अपरस्य णिचश्च इति ।

The sūtra Nēr aṇāu...(1, 3, 67) is for one and Nicaś ca (1, 3, 74) is for the other.

स्वतन्त्रः कर्ता (1, 4, 54)

There are two topics here: (1) The meaning of the word svatantra. (2) Need or otherwise of adding prayōjyaś ca.

T

किं यस्य स्वं तन्त्रं स स्वतन्त्रः ?

Does svatantra mean he who has warp?

ाक चातः? What if?

तन्तुवाये प्रामोति

The $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ will chance to reach weaver (alone).

नैष दोषः । अयं तन्त्रशब्दोऽस्त्येव विताने वर्तते । तद्यथा - आस्तीर्णं तन्त्रं, प्रोतं तन्त्रम् इति । वितानमिति गम्यते । अस्ति प्राधान्ये वर्तते । तद्यथा -स्वतन्त्रोऽसौ ब्राह्मण इत्युच्यते, स्वप्रधान इति गम्यते । तद्यः प्राधान्ये वर्तते तन्त्रशब्दः तस्येदं प्रहणम् ।

This difficulty does not arise. This word tantra means extension, as in $\bar{A}st\bar{i}rnam$ tantram and $pr\bar{o}tam$ tantram and it means $pr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$, as in $Svatantr\bar{o}s\bar{a}u$ $br\bar{a}hmanah$. Here it should be taken to mean $pr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$.

\mathbf{II}

स्ततन्त्रस्य कर्तृसंज्ञायां हेतुमत्युपसङ्ख्यानमस्वतन्त्रत्वात्

If $kartrsamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ is to svatantra, there is need to add $pray\bar{o}jya$ to get the $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ since he is asvatantra.

स्वतन्त्रस्य कर्तृसंज्ञाय। हेतुमत्युपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - पाचयत्योदनं देवदत्तो यज्ञदत्तेन इति

If $kartrsamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is to svatantra, there is need to add $pray\tilde{o}jya$ so that third case may be used along with $Yaj\tilde{n}adatta$ in the sentence $P\bar{a}cayati\ \tilde{o}danam\ D\tilde{e}vadatt\tilde{o}\ Yaj\tilde{n}adatt\tilde{e}na$.

कि पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति ? Why is it not secured ? अस्वतन्त्रत्वात् On account of his not being svatantra.

न वा स्वातन्त्र्यात्, इतरथा ह्यकुर्वत्यापे कारयतीति स्थात्

No, it need not be on account of svātantrya; otherwise there is chance for the prayōja-kārayati even with reference to one who does not do the action.

न वा कर्तव्यम् No, it need not be read.

किं कारणम्? Why?

स्वातन्त्र्यात् - स्वतन्त्रोऽसौ भवति

On account of svatantrya. He is svatantra.

इतरथा ह्यकुर्वत्यिप कारयतीति स्यात् - यो हि मन्यते नासौ स्वतन्त्रः अकुर्वत्यिप तस्य कारयति इत्येतत् स्यात् ।

Otherwise there will be the usage $k\bar{a}rayati$ even with reference to one who does not do the karma. There is chance, for the use of the term $k\bar{a}rayati$ even with reference to one who does not do the karma in his opinion who thinks that the $pray\bar{o}jya-kart\bar{a}$ is not svatantra.

नाकुर्वतीति चेत् खतन्त्रः

If it is said that the term is not used with reference to one who does not do the karma, he is svalantra.

न चेदकुर्वति तस्मिन् कारयति इत्येतद् भवति, स्वतन्त्रोऽसौ भवति । If it is said that the term $k\bar{a}rayati$ is not used with reference to one who does not do, he becomes svatantra.

शक्यं तावद् अनेनोपसङ्ख्यानं कुर्वता वक्तुं कुर्वन् स्वतन्त्रः, अकुर्वन्न इति । It is then possible for one who favours upasankhyāna to say that he who does is svatantra and that he who does not do is not svatantra.

साधीयो ज्ञापकं भवति । प्रेषिते च किल अयं क्रियां च अक्रियां च हृष्ट्रा अध्यवस्यति, कुर्वन् स्वतन्त्रः अकुर्वन्न इति । यदि च प्रेषित्रोऽसौ न करोति स्वतन्त्रोऽसौ भवतीति ।

The inference is better. One decides that he who does is svatantra and that he who does not do is not svatantra only after seeing the prayojya doing it or not doing it. Even when the prayojya does not do it, he is svatantra (to choose not to do it).

तत्प्रयोजको हेतुश्र (1, 4, 55)

प्रैषेSस्वतन्त्रप्रयोजकत्वाद्वेतु<mark>सं</mark>ज्ञाप्रसिद्धिः

Direction being in the range of the prērakatva of asvatantra, there is no chance for $h\bar{e}tusamjn\bar{a}$ to take $kartrsamjn\bar{a}$.

पेषे अस्वतन्त्रपयोजकत्वात् हेतुसंज्ञाया अशिसद्धः शामोति । स्वतन्त्रपयोजको हेतुसंज्ञो भवतीत्युच्यते न चासौ स्वतन्त्रं प्रयोजयित ।

Since direction is within the range of the $pr\bar{e}raktva$ of asvatantra, there is no chance for $h\bar{e}tusamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to take $kartrsamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$. The $s\bar{u}tra$ says that the $h\bar{e}tu$ which is the $pray\bar{o}jaka$ of svatantra takes $katrsamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$; but this is not the $pray\bar{o}jaka$ of svatantra.

स्वतन्त्रत्वात् सिद्धम्

The object is achieved on account of svatantratva.

सिद्धमेतत् This (the object) is achieved.

कथम् ! How ?

स्वतन्त्रत्वात् । स्वतन्त्रमसौ प्रयोजयति

On account of svatantratva (of the prayōjya). He serves as the prayōjaka of the svatantra.

स्वतन्त्रत्वात् सिद्धमिति चेत् स्वतन्त्रपरतन्त्रत्वं विप्रतिषिद्धम्

If it is said Svatantratvād siddham, there is conflict in saying svatantra and paratantra.

यदि स्वतन्त्रो न प्रयोज्यः, अथ प्रयोज्यो न स्वतन्त्रः, प्रयोज्यः स्वतन्त्रश्चेति विप्रतिषिद्धम्

If he is svatantra, he cannot be prayōjya and if he is prayōjya, he cannot be svatantra; hence it is contradictory to say that one is both prayōjya and svatantra.

उक्तं वि It has been answered.

किमुक्तम्? How has it been answered?

एकं तावदुक्तम् न वा स्वातन्त्र्यादितस्था ह्यक्रवित्यपि कारयतीत्येतत्स्याद् इति Firstly it was said in the previous sūtra "Na vā svātantryād itarathā hyakurvatyapi kārayatītyētat syāt."

अपरमुक्तं न वा सामान्यकृतःवाद्धेतुतो ह्याविशिष्टम् । स्वतन्त्रपयोजकत्वाद् अप्रयोजक इति चेन्मुक्तसंशयेन तुल्यम् इति ।

Another argument has been said (by Vārttikakāra under Hētumati ca 3, 1, 26) "Na vā sāmānyakṛtatvāt hētutō hyavišiṣṭam; Svatantraprayōjakatvād aprayōjaka iti cēn muktasamśayēna tulyam."

SEVENTEENTH AHNIKA ENDS

Eighteenth Ahnika

(First $adhy\bar{a}ya$, fourth $p\bar{a}da$, fourth $\bar{a}hnika$)

प्राग्रीश्वरात्रिपाताः (1, 4, 56)

There are two topics here:-(1) Why is $r\bar{\imath} \dot{\imath} var\bar{a}d$ read instead of $\bar{\imath}\dot{\imath}var\bar{a}d$? (2) What is the purpose of reading $pr\bar{a}k$ in the $s\bar{\imath}tra$?

T

किमर्थ रेफाधिक ईश्वरशब्दो गृह्यते ?

Why is rīsvara read in place of īśvara?

रीश्वराद्वश्विरान्मा भूत्

So that the $nip\bar{a}ta$ -sa $nij\bar{n}\bar{a}$ may not extend to the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{I}svar\bar{e}$ $t\bar{o}sun$ - $kasun\bar{a}u$ (3, 4, 13) which follows $\hat{S}aki$ $namulkamul\bar{a}u$, but may stop with $Adhir\bar{i}svar\bar{e}$ (1, 4, 97).

रीश्वराद् इत्युच्यते वीश्वरान् मा भूदिति - शिकणमुल्कमुलावीश्वरे तोसुन्कसुनौ इति

 $R\bar{\imath}\acute{s}var\bar{a}d$ is read so that $v\bar{\imath}\acute{s}var\bar{a}d$ found in $\acute{S}aki$ -namul- $kumal\bar{a}$ - $v\bar{\imath}\acute{s}var\bar{\epsilon}$ $t\bar{o}sun$ - $kasun\bar{a}u$ ($samhit\bar{a}$ - $p\bar{a}tha$) may not be taken.

Note: Definiteness is aimed at though with incorrect expression.

नैतद्क्ति प्रयोजनम् । आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित अनन्तरो य ईश्वरशब्दस्तस्य प्रहणम् इति, यदयं क्रुन्मेजन्तः इति कृतो मान्तस्यैजन्तस्याव्ययसंज्ञां शास्ति । No, this cannot be the prayōjana. Since Ācārya (Sūtrakāra) enjoins in the sūtra Kṛnmējantaḥ (1, 1, 39) that mānta and ējanta get avyayasamijñā (by being nipāta), his procedure suggests that the word īśvara which immediately follows should be taken into account.

कृन्मेजन्तः परोऽपि सः There is kṛnmējanta even after it

परोऽप्येतस्मात् कृन्मेजन्तश्चास्ति तद्रथमेतत् स्यात्

There is $m\bar{a}nta$ and $\bar{e}janta$ even after the second $\bar{\imath}svara$ and it is intended for it.

Note:—The ējanta is in the sūtra Kṛtyārthē tavāikēnkēnyatvanaḥ which immediately follows the sūtra Iśvarē tōsun-

If so, since $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) enjoins $avyayasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to $avyay\bar{\imath}-bh\bar{a}va$, he suggests that the word $\bar{\imath}\dot{s}vara$ which immediately follows should be taken into account.

NOTE:—If the second $\bar{\imath} \dot{s} vara$ is taken into account, the $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ mentioned in the second $adhy\bar{a}ya$ will take $nip\bar{a}ta-samin\bar{a}$ and consequently $avyaya-samjn\bar{a}$ since the former is in the fourth $p\bar{a}d\iota$ of the third chapter.

समासेष्वव्ययीभावः

To suggest that $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ alone takes $avyayasainj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ among $sam\bar{a}sas$.

समासस्यैतज्ज्ञापकं स्यात् - अव्ययीभाव एव समासोऽव्ययसंज्ञो अवित नान्य इति ।

It becomes $j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}paka$ only to $sam\tilde{a}sa$ (i.e.) among $sam\tilde{a}sas$, $avyay\tilde{b}h\tilde{a}va$ alone gets the $avyayasamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

एवं तर्हि, लोकत एतिसद्भम् । तद्यथा लोके आ वनान्ताद् आ उदकान्तात् प्रियं पान्थमनुव्रजेत् इति । य एव प्रथमो वनान्त उदकान्तश्च ततोऽनुव्रजन्ति । If so, it is decided from what is seen in the world. It is said that one should wish his friend bon-voyage after accompanying him as far as thick bush or water. They accompany him only as far as the vana or the first udakāśaya which is nearest.

लौकिकं चातिवर्तते

One even transgresses that rule found in the world.

द्वितीयं च तृतीयं च वनान्तमुद्कान्तं च अनुव्रजन्ति

They accompany him even as far as the second or the third vana or udaka which is one more remote or two.

तसाद्रेफाधिक ईश्वरशब्दो महीतव्यः

Hence the word rīśvarāt alone has to be read in the sūlra.

11

अथ प्राग्वचनं किमर्थम्? What for is the word prāk read?

प्राग्वचनं संज्ञानिवृत्त्यर्थम्

The word $pr\bar{a}k$ is read not to allow the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to recede.

प्राग्वचनं कियते निपातसंज्ञाचा अनिवृत्तिर्यथा स्यात् । अकियमाणे हि प्राग्वचने अनवकाशा गत्युपसर्गकर्मप्रचनीयसंज्ञा निपातसंज्ञां बाधेरन्; ताः मा बाधिषत इति प्राग्वचनं कियते ।

The word $pr\bar{a}k$ is read so that there may be no nivrti to the $nip\bar{a}tasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$. If the word $pr\bar{a}k$ is not read, the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ gati, upasarga and $karmapravacan\bar{i}ya$ which are $anavak\bar{a}sa$ may set at naught the $nip\bar{a}tasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$; in order that they may not do it, the word $pr\bar{a}k$ is read.

अथ कियमाणेऽपि शाग्वचने यावता अनवकाशा एताः संज्ञाः कस्मादेव न बाधन्ते ?

How do these $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$, if $anavik\bar{a}sas$, not set it at naught, even though the word $pr\bar{a}k$ is read?

कियमाणे हि प्राग्वचने सत्यां निपातसंज्ञायामेता अवयवसंज्ञा आरभ्यन्ते, तत्र वचनात् समावेशो भवति ।

These avayavasamij \tilde{n} as are enjoined only when $nip\bar{a}tasamij\tilde{n}$ a is there on account of the reading of $pr\bar{a}k$ and hence, on its strength all exist together.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here:- $K\bar{e}vol\bar{e}$ tu nipātaļi ityadhikārē kriyamāņē satyām api pratiyōgam anuvṛttāu ēkasamjñādhikārāt paryāyaḥ syāt, na tu samāvēśaḥ syāt.

चादयोऽसन्वे (1, 4, 57)

There are two topics here:—(1) What is the meaning of sattva?
(2) Is asattvē paryudāsa or prasajya-pratiṣēdha?

अयं सत्त्वश्राहरेत्येव द्रव्यपदार्थकः - तद्यथाः सत्त्वमयं ब्राह्मणः; सत्त्विमयं ब्राह्मणाः इति । अस्ति कियापदार्थकः - सद्भावः सत्त्वम् इति । कस्येदं प्रहणम्? This word sattva means dravya, as in sattvam ayam brāhmaṇaḥ and sattvam iyam brāhmaṇā. It means kriyā; sattvam is sadbhāva or sattā. In which meaning is this word used here?

द्रव्यपदार्थकस्य In the meaning of dravya.

कुत एतत् ? Why is it so?

एवं हि कृत्वा विधिश्च सिद्धो भवति, प्रतिपेधश्च

Only on this interpretation, vidhi and pratisēdha are possible.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads here: -Yadi sattvaśabdēna sattā ucyatē, tadā pratiṣēdhōsnarthakaļ syāt. Na hi cādiṣu sattāvācī kaścit śabdōssti yadarthō niṣēdhaḥ syāt.

 Π

कि पुनरयं पर्युदासः - यदन्यत् सत्त्ववचनादिति, आहोस्वित् प्रसज्यायं प्रतिषेधः - सत्त्ववचने न इति ?

ls it paryudāsa meaning that which is other than sattva or prasajya-pratiṣēdha meaning but not in sattva.

किं चातः ? What is the difference?

यदि पर्युदासः, वित्र इत्यत्रापि प्रामोति । कियाद्रव्यवचनोऽयं सङ्घातः । द्रव्यादन्यश्च विधिना आश्रीयते । अस्ति च प्रादिभिः सामान्यमिति कृत्वा तदन्त-विधिना निपातसंज्ञा प्रामोति । अथ प्रसज्यप्रतिषेधो न दोषो भवति ।

If it is paryudāsa, nipātasamjñā will chance to come to the word vipra. It denotes kriyōpasarjana-dravyatva and hence it is other than dravya and so the word gets the samjñā through this sūtra. Since the word is considered similar to prādīs, nipātasamjñā sets in on account of the operation of tadantavidhi. If, on the other hand, it is taken as prasajyapratiṣēdha, there is no difficulty.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ reads: $-Vipr\bar{a}ti$ iti viprah.

यथा न दोषः तथास्तु

Let it be taken in that way which will give no room for any difficulty.

प्रादय उपसर्गाः क्रियायोगे (1. 4, 58-59)

प्रादय इति योगविभागः

Prādayaḥ is split as a separate sūtra.

प्रादय इति योगविभागः कर्तव्यः प्रादयोऽसत्त्ववचना निपातसंज्ञा भवन्ति । तत उपसर्गाः कियायोगे इति । There is need to read $Pr\bar{a}dayah$ as a separate $s\bar{u}tra$, so that $pr\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}s$ which do not denote dravya take $nip\bar{a}tasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$. Then $Upasarg\bar{a}h\ kriy\bar{a}y\bar{o}g\bar{e}$ is to be read as a $s\bar{u}tra$.

किमर्थो योगविभागः? What for is the yōgavibhāga?

निपातसंज्ञार्थः So that prādis may get nipātasainjñā.

निपातसंज्ञा यथा स्यात्

So that nipātasamjñā may take hold of them.

एकयोगे हि निपातसंज्ञाभावः

For, there is no chance for $nip\bar{a}tasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ if the whole is taken as one $s\bar{u}tra$.

एकयोगे हि सति निपातसंज्ञाया अभावः स्यात् । यस्मिन्नेव विशेषे गत्युपसर्ग-कर्मशवचनीयसंज्ञास्तस्मिन्नेव विशेषे निपातसंज्ञा स्यात्

If it is taken as one $s\bar{u}tra$, there is no chance for them to get $nip\bar{a}tasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ as a general case. The $nip\bar{a}tasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ will take hold of them only on such occasions as will enable them get $gatisa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, $upasargasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ or $karmapravacan\bar{i}yasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

मरुच्छ**ब्**दस्योपसङ्ख्यानम्

The word marut should be added to the list.

मरुच्छब्दस्योपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - मरुद्दत्तो मरुत्तः - अच उपसर्गाद् इति तत्त्वं यथा स्यात्

There is need to add marut to the list, so that by the operation of the sūtra Aca upasargāt taḥ (7, 4, 47) Marud dattaḥ may become maruttaḥ.

श्रच्छब्दस्योपसङ्ख्यानम् The word śrat should be added to the list.

श्रच्छब्दस्योपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यं - श्रद्धा

There is need to add śrat to the list, so that the word $śraddh\bar{a}$ may be secured.

गतिश्व (1, 4, 60)

कारिकाशब्दस्य Need to add the word kārikā.

कारिकाशब्दस्योपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - कारिकाकृत्य

There is need to add the word $k\bar{a}rik\bar{a}$ to the list, so that the form $k\bar{a}rik\bar{a}krtya$ may be secured.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here:- $K\bar{a}rik\bar{a}$ $kriy\bar{a}$, $mary\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ sthitih ityarthah. Yatna $ityapar\bar{e}$.

पुनश्रनसौ छन्दसि

Need to add punar and can as with reference to the usage in $V\bar{e}das$.

पुनश्चनसौ छन्दिस गतिसंज्ञौ भवत इति वक्तव्यम् - पुनरूत्स्यृतं वासो देयम्, पुनर्निष्कृतो रथः, उशिग्दूतश्चनोहितः इति

It must be said that punar and canas take gatisainjāā in Vēdas, for the sake of samāsa in punarutsyūtam and punarniṣkṛtaḥ in the Vēdic sentences Punar-utsyūtam vāsō dēyam and punar-niṣkṛtō rathaḥ and for the sake of prakṛtisvara in canōhitaḥ in the Vēdic sentence Uśidūtaś canōhitaḥ.

गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञाः क्रियायोगे यत्क्रियायुक्तास्तं प्रतीति वचनम्

Need to enjoin that there are $gatisainj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ and $upasargasainj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ only with reference to the $kriy\tilde{a}$ with which they are associated.

गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञाः कियायोगे यत्कियायुक्तास्तं प्रति गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञा भवन्तीति वक्तव्यम्

It must be enjoined that those that get $gatisa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and $upasargasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ have them only with reference to the $kriy\bar{a}$ with which they are associated.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? What is the benefit?

प्रयोजनं घञ् षत्वणत्वे

Benefit is with reference to ghañ, satva and natva.

घञ् - प्रवृद्धो भावः - प्रभावः, अनुपसर्गे इति प्रतिषेधो मा भूत्

Ghañ - So that the pratisēdha of ghañ, by the sūtra Śrīnibhuvō-nupasargē (3, 3, 24) may not take place in the formation of the word prabhāvaḥ whose vigraha is pravrddhō bhāvaḥ.

Note:—Pra has $upasargasamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ only with reference to vrdh and not with reference to $bh\bar{u}$.

षत्वम् - विगताः सेचकाः असाद्वामात् विसेचको प्रामः । उपसर्गादिति षत्वं मा भृत् ।

So that satva may not take place by the sūtra Upasargāt sunāti ... sica - sanja-svanjām (8, 3, 65) in the word visēcakah

of the sentence Visēcakō grāmaḥ, whose vigrahavākya is vigatāḥ sēcakāḥ asmāt.

Note: -Vi has got $upasargasamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ only with reference to gam and not with reference to sic.

णत्वम् - प्रगता नायका असाद्घामात् प्रनायको श्रामः । उपसर्गादिति णत्वं मा भूत्

Natva. So that natva may not take place by the sūtra Upa-sargāt (8, 4, 14) in the word pranāyakah of the sentence pranāyakō grāmah whose vigrahavākya is pragatāh nāyakāh asmāt.

वृद्धिविधौ च धातुग्रहणानर्थक्यम्

Non-necessity of reading dhātu in vrddhividhi.

वृद्धिविधौ च धातुत्रहणमनर्धकम् - उपसर्गाद्दिति धातौ इति । तत्र धातु-प्रहणस्यैतत प्रयोजनम्, इह मा भृत् प्रषभं वनम् इति । क्रियमाणे चापि धातुप्रहणे प्रर्छक इत्यत्र प्रामोति । यित्क्रियायुक्ताः तं प्रतीतिवचनान्न भवति

No purpose is served by reading $dh\bar{a}t\bar{a}u$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Upasarg\bar{a}d$ rti $dh\bar{a}t\bar{a}u$ (6, 1, 91) which deals with vrddhi. It is used to avoid vrddhi in prarsabha in the phrase prarsabham vanam. Even if it is read, it will chance to appear in the word prarchakah. This may be avoided by taking recourse to the dictum $Yatkriy\bar{a}yukt\bar{a}s$ tam prati $gatyupasargasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ bhavanti.

वद्विधिनस्भावाबीत्वस्वाङ्गादिस्मरणत्वेषु दोषः

Difficulty will arise with respect to vadvidhi, nashhāva, abītva, svāngādisvara and natva.

वद्विधिनस्भावाबीत्वस्वङ्गादिस्वरणत्वेषु दोषो भवति

Difficulty will arise with respect to vadvidhi, nashhāva, abītva, svāngādisvara and natva.

विद्याधि यदुद्वती निवती यासि वप्सन् (R. V. 10, 142, 4)

There will be difficulty with reference to vat-pratyaya in the words udvatah and nivatah in the Vēdic sentence Yad udvatā nivatā yāsi vapsan, by the sūtra Upasargāc chandasi dhātvarthē (5, 1, 118).

नस्भाव - प्रणसं मुखं, उन्नसं मुखम्

There will be difficulty with reference to nashhāva of nāsikā by the sūtra Upasargācca (5, 4, 119) in the words praṇasam and unnasam, in the phrases praṇasam mukham and unnasam mukham.

अबीत्व - त्रेपं परेपम्

There will be difficulty with reference to the *īttva* of ap by the sūtra Dvyantar upasargēbhyōspa īt (6, 3, 97) in the words prēpam and parēpam.

स्वाङ्गादिस्वर - प्रास्प्रिक्, प्रोदरः

There will be difficulty with reference to uttarapadadyudāttasvara by the sūtra Upasargās svāngam dhruvam aparśu (6, 2, 177) in prasphik and prōdaraḥ.

णत्व - प्रणः शूद्रः, प्रण आचार्यः, प्रणो राजा प्रणो वृत्रहा उपसर्गाद् इत्येते विधयो न प्राप्नवन्ति

There will be difficulty with reference to natva in the word nah in the phrases Pra nah śūdrah, Pra na ācāryah, Pra nō rājā, Pra nō vṛtrahā by the sūtra Upasargād bahulam (8, 4, 28). These vidhis cannot operate, since they are enjoined after upasarga.

वद्विधिनस्भावाबीत्वस्वाङ्गादिस्वरणत्वेषु वचनप्रामाण्यात्सिद्धम्

Vadvidhi, nashhāva, abītva, svāngādisvara and natva take place on account of vacanaprāmānya.

अनवकाशा एते विधयस्ते वचनप्रामाण्याद्भविष्यन्ति

These vidhis have no other room to operate and hence they operate on the strength of their being read.

सुदुरोः प्रतिपेधो नुम्विधितत्वषत्वणत्वेषु

Need for the pratisēdha of su and dur with reference to numvidhi, tatva, satva and natva.

नुम्विधि - सुलमं, दुर्लभम्, उपसर्गादिति नुम् मा भूद् इति । न सुदुर्म्यां केवलाभ्याम् इत्येतन्न वक्तव्यं भवति ।

So that num cannot appear in the words sulabham and durlabham by the sūtra Upasargād khalghañōḥ (7, 1, 67). In

that case the $s\bar{u}tra$ Na $sudurbhy\bar{a}m$ $k\bar{e}val\bar{a}bhy\bar{a}m$ (7, 1, 68) need not be read.

नैतदस्ति प्रयोजनम् । क्रियते एतन्न्यास एव This benefit cannot arise. It is read in the sūtra.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iya$ ta reads here: $-K\bar{e}val\bar{a}bhy\bar{a}m$ iti viśēṣaṇā-bhidhānārtham avasyam kartavyam.

तत्व - सुद्त्तम्, अच उपसर्गात्तः इति तत्वं मा भूदिति । So that tatva may not appear in the word sudattam by the sūtra Aca upasargāt taḥ (7, 4, 47).

पत्य - सुसिक्तं घटशतेन, सुस्तुतं श्लोकशतेन । उपसर्गादिति पत्वं मा भूदिति । सुः पूजायामित्येतन्त्र वक्तव्यं भवति ।

So that ṣatva may not appear in susiktam and sustutam in the sentences Susiktam ghaṭaṣatēna and sustutam ślōkaṣatēna by the sūtra Upasargāt sunōti ... stāuti ... sica ... (8, 3, 65). In that case the sūtra Suḥ pūjāyām (1, 4, 95) need not be read.

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम् । क्रियत एतन्न्यास एव This is not the benefit. It is read in the $s\bar{u}tra$.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyața$ reads here: $-P\bar{u}j\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ iti $vi\acute{s}\bar{e};a,n\bar{o}p\bar{a}d\bar{a}-n\bar{a}rtham$.

णत्व - दुर्नयं, दुर्नीतिमिति । उपसर्गादिति णत्वं मा भूदिति So that natva may not appear in the words durnayam and durnītam by the sūtra Upasargāt ... (8, 4, 14).

ऊर्यादिचित्रडाचश्र (1, 4, 60)

कुभ्नस्तियोगे Need to read in association with kr, bhū and as.

क्रभ्वस्तियोग इति वक्तव्यम् - इहैव यथा स्थात् - ऊरीकृत्य, ऊरीभृय । इह मा भृत् ऊरी पक्त्वा ।

It must be said that they take $gatisa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ only in association with the roots kr, $bh\bar{u}$ and as, so that it may operate only here in $\bar{u}r\bar{\imath}krtya$ and $\bar{u}r\bar{\imath}bh\bar{u}ya$ and not in $\bar{u}r\bar{\imath}paktv\bar{a}$.

तत्ति वक्तज्यम् It must then be read.

न वक्तव्यम् । कियायोग इत्यनुवर्तते । न चान्यया किययोर्यादिच्विडाचां योगोऽस्ति । No, it need not. There is anuvitti for $kriy\bar{a}y\bar{o}g\bar{e}$ and $\bar{u}ry\bar{a}di$, cvi and $d\bar{a}c$ do not come in association with roots other than they.

अनुकरणं चानितिपरम् (1, 4, 62)

There are two topics here:-(1) Is itiparam a tatpuruṣa compound or a bahuvrīhi compound? (2) What is the need for this sūtra?

I

कथिमदं विज्ञायते, इतेः परम् इतिपरं, न इतिपरम् अनितिपरम् इति, आहोस्विद् इतिः परो यसात् तदिदिमितिपरं, न इतिपरम् अनितिपरम् इति?

How is this (anitiparam) interpreted? Is it taken as [the naisamāsa of na and itiparam where itiparam is saṣṭhī-tatpurusa or is it taken as the naisamāsa of na and itiparam where itiparam is a bahuvrīhi samāsa?

किं चातः ? What will be the difference?

यदि विज्ञायते इतेः परम् इतिपरं, न इतिपरम् अनितिपरिमिति, खाडिति कृत्वा निरष्ठीवत् इत्यत्र प्राप्तोति । अथ विज्ञायते इतिः परो यस्मात् तदितिपरं, न इतिपरम् अनितिपरिमिति, श्रीषड् - वौषड् इति कृत्या निरष्ठीवत् इत्यत्न प्राप्तोति ।

If it is taken as the $sam\bar{a}sa$ of na and itiparam where the latter is a tatpuruṣa, $gatisamj\~n\=a$ will chance to appear to $kh\=at$ in $Kh\=ad$ iti $krtv\=a$ $niraṣth\=iv\=at$. If, on the other hand, it is taken as the $sam\=asa$ of na and itiparam where the latter is a $bahuvr\=ihi$ -samāsa, $gatisamj\~n\=a$ will chance to appear to $śr\=auṣat$ in $Śr\=auṣad$ - $v\=auṣad$ iti $krtv\=a$ $niraṣth\=ivat$.

अस्तु तावत् इतिः परो यसात् तदितिपरं न इतिपरम् अनितिपरम् इति If so, let it be the samāsa of na and itiparam where the latter is a bahuvrīhi compound.

ननु चोक्तं श्रीषड्वीषडिति कृत्वा निरष्ठीवत् इत्यत्र प्राप्तोति इति
Oh it was said that it will chance to appear in śrāuṣaṭ in śrāuṣaḍ-vāuṣaḍ iti kṛṭvā niraṣṭhīval.

नैष दोषः । इदं तावद्यं प्रष्टव्यः - अथेह ते प्राधातोः इति कथं गति-मात्रस्य पूर्वप्रयोगो भवति उपोद्धरतीति ? गत्याकृतिः प्रतिनिर्दिश्यते ? इहापि तर्हि अनुकरणाकृतिः प्रतिनिर्दिश्यते । This difficulty does not arise. He is to be put this question whether, with reference to $T\bar{e}$ $pr\bar{a}g$ $dh\bar{a}t\bar{o}h$, only one word which has $gatisamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ precede the root or the whole $samud\bar{a}ya$ of $gatisamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ in the word $up\bar{o}ddharati$. Here too, then, the whole $samud\bar{a}ya$ of anukarana is taken into account.

II

किमर्थिमिद्मुच्यते ? What is the need for this sūtra?

अनुकरणस्थेतिकरणपरत्यप्रतिषेधोऽनिष्टशब्दनिवृत्त्यर्थः

The pratisēdha of itikaraņaparatva to anukaraņa is to avoid anistašabda.

अनुकरणस्येतिकरणपरत्वप्रतिषेध उच्यते

It is said that the use of iti is to be prohibited after anukaranaśabdas.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? What is the prayojana?

अनिष्टशब्दनिवृत्त्यर्थः - अनिष्टशब्दता मा भूद् इति

For the sake of avoiding aniṣṭa-śabda. In order that an incorrect word may not be used.

इदं विचारियण्यते - प्राग्वातुवचनं प्रयोगिनयमार्थं वा स्यात् संज्ञानियमार्थं वा इति । तद्यदा प्रयोगिनयमार्थं तदा अनिष्टशब्दिनवृत्त्यर्थमिदं वक्तव्यम् । यदा हि संज्ञानियमार्थं तदा न दोषो भवति ।

This is going to be discussed whether the sūtra Tē prāg dhātōḥ is for prayōganiyama or samjñāniyama. If it is for prayōganiyama, this sūtra is necessary to avoid aniṣṭaśabda. If it is for samjñāniyama, there will be no harm (if this sūtra is not read).

आदरानादरयोः सदसती (1, 4, 63)

इदमतिबहु कियते - आदरे अनादरे सदसद इति । आदरे सत् इत्येव सिद्धम्

This $s\bar{u}tra$ is too long by reading $\bar{a}dar\bar{e}$ $an\bar{a}dar\bar{e}$ sad and asad; its purpose will be served by reading only $\bar{A}dar\bar{e}$ sat.

कथम् असत्कृत्य इति ?

How is it to arrive at the form asatkṛtya?

तदन्तविधिना भविष्यति It is arrived at by tadantavidhi.

 $\mathbf{Note}: -K\bar{a}iya$ ta reads here: $-Avyayasamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}y\bar{a}m$ asty $\tilde{e}va$ tadantavidhih.

केनेदानीमनादरे भविष्यति ?

By which will it be shown that it is anādarē?

नञा आदरप्रतिषेधं विज्ञास्यामः न आदरे अनादरे इति

We shall understand $\bar{a}darapratis\bar{e}dha$ through the negative particle na thus: na $\bar{a}dar\bar{e}=an\bar{a}dar\bar{e}$.

नैवं शक्यम् । आदरप्रसङ्गे एव हि स्याद् अनादरप्रसङ्गे न स्यात् । अनादर-प्रहणे पुनः क्रियमाणे बहुत्रीहिरयं विज्ञायते अविद्यशानादरे अनादरे इति । तस्मा-दनादरप्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । असतस्तु तदन्तविधिना सिद्धम् ।

This is not possible. It will be possible only when there is chance for $\bar{a}dara$ and not when there is chance for $an\bar{a}dara$. If $an\bar{a}dar\bar{e}$ is read, it may be taken to be $bahuvr\bar{i}hi$ thus: $avidyam\bar{a}nah\ \bar{a}darah\ yasmin\ sah$. Hence there is need to read $an\bar{a}dar\bar{e}$. The $k\bar{a}rya$ of asat is achieved through tadantavidhi.

अन्तरपरिग्रहे (1, 4, 65)

अन्तः शब्दस्याङ्किविधिसमासणत्वे पूपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need to give the samjña to the word antar with reference to an, kividhi, samāsa and natva.

अन्तःशब्दस्याङ्किविधिसमासणत्वेषूपसङ्ख्यानम् कर्तव्यम् - अङ् - अन्तर्द्धाः किविधिः अन्तर्द्धः ; समास - अन्तर्हत्यः , णत्वम् - अन्तर्हण्याद्गोभ्यो गाः

It must be added that antar takes gati-sanijā with reference to an, kividhi, samāsa and natva. Viz., antarddhā (an), antarddhiḥ (kividhi), antarhatya (samāsa) and antarhanyāt in antarhanyād gōbhyō gāḥ (natva).

साक्षात्प्रभृतीनि च (1, 4, 74)

साक्षात्त्रभृतिषु च्व्यर्थवचनम्

Need to enjoin that $s\bar{a}k\bar{s}\bar{a}d$ etc. take the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ only if there is the meaning of cvi.

1. Kāiyaļa reads here:-Gurnm asatkrīya ityādāu syāt, pākhandinam asatkrīya, ityēvamādāu na syāt.

साक्षात्प्रभृतिषु च्व्यर्थवचनं कर्तव्यम् । असाक्षात् साक्षात् कृत्वा साक्षात्कृत्य । यदि हि साक्षादेव सत् किञ्चित्कियते तदा मा भूत् इति ।

It must be stated that $s\bar{a}k\bar{s}at$ etc. take the $samj\tilde{n}a$ only when there is the meaning of cvi. Hence $s\bar{s}k\bar{s}atkrtys$ is formed only when it gives the sense $as\bar{a}k\bar{s}at$ $s\bar{a}k\bar{s}at$ $krtv\bar{a}$ and not when something is done in $pratyak\bar{s}a$.

मकारान्तत्वं च गतिसंज्ञासंनियुक्तम्

 $Gatisamj\tilde{n}a$ to be given to lavana only when it ends in m.

मकारान्तत्वं च गतिसंज्ञासंनियोगेन वक्तव्यम् - छवणङ्कत्य

It must be stated that $gatisamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ and $mak\bar{a}r\bar{a}ntatva$ go together to secure the form lavanankrtya.

तत्र च चित्रप्रतिषेधः Need to prohibit it if there is cvi.

तत्र च च्व्यन्तस्य प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः - स्वर्णीकृत्य

There is need to prohibit $mak\bar{a}r\bar{a}ntatva$ to cvyanta. Viz. $lavan\bar{\imath}krtya$.

न वा पूर्वेण कृतत्वात्

No, it need not be enjoined since it is accomplished by the previous one.

न वा वक्तव्यम् No, it need not be enjoined.

ाकें कारणम् ? Why?

पूर्वेण कृतत्वात् - अस्तु अनेन विभाषा, पूर्वेण नित्यो भविष्यति Since it is accomplished by the previous one (through $p\bar{u}rva-prasanja-vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$). Let there be $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ by this; but it becomes nitya by the previous $v\bar{a}rttika$.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनं, मकारान्तत्वं च गतिसंज्ञासित्रयुक्तम् इत्युक्तं, तत् च्व्यन्तस्य मा भूद् इति । एतदिप नास्ति प्रयोजनम्; लवणशब्दस्यायं विभाषा लवणशब्दः आदेशः क्रियते । यदि च लवणीशब्दस्यापि विभाषा लवणशब्द आदेशो भवति, न किञ्चिद् दुष्यति । त्रेशब्दं चेह साध्यं, तच्चैवं सित सिद्धं भवति ।

If so, this is the $pray\bar{o}jana$ that cvyanta does not become $mak\bar{a}r\bar{a}nta$ with $gatisa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$. This is $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ to the word lavana and its $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ is lavanam. If there is $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ to $lavan\bar{a}sabda$ too, the word lavanam may come as the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ and

there will be no difficulty. Three formations (lavanam krtvā, lavanam-krtya and lavanīkrtya) are here possible and they are achieved under this interpretation.

ते प्राग्धातोः (1, 4, 80)

किमिदं प्राग्धातुवचनं प्रयोगितयमार्थम् - एते प्रागेव धातोः प्रयोक्तव्याः, आहोस्वित् संज्ञानियमार्थम् - एते प्राक् च अप्राक् च प्रयोक्तव्याः । प्राक् प्रयुज्य-मानानां गतिसंज्ञा भवति इति ।

Does this expression $Pr\bar{a}g\ dh\bar{a}t\bar{o}h$ suggest $pray\bar{o}ga-niyama$ that they must be used only before roots and not after roots or $sa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}-niyama$ that they may used both before roots and after roots and that they get $gati-sa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ only when they are used before roots.

कश्चात्र विशेषः ? What will be the difference here?

प्राग्धातुवचनं प्रयोगनियमार्थमिति चेदनुकरणस्थेतिकरणपरप्रतिपेधोऽनिष्टशब्द-निवृत्त्यर्थः

If the expression $Pr\bar{a}g\ dh\bar{a}t\bar{o}h$ is for $pray\bar{o}ganiyama$, the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Anukaraṇam\ c\bar{a}nitiparam\ (1, 4, 62)$ is necessary for anista-sabdanivrtti.

प्राधातुवचनं प्रयोगनियमार्थमिति चेदनुकरणस्येतिकरणपरप्रतिषेधो वक्तज्यः If the expression $pr\bar{a}g$ $dh\bar{a}t\bar{o}h$ is intended for $pray\bar{o}ga$ -niyama, itikaraṇa-para-pradiṣēdha has to be stated with reference to anukaraṇa.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why?

अनिष्टशब्दनिवृत्त्यर्थः - अनिष्टशब्दता मा भूदिति ।

For the sake of anistasabdanivrtti. So that there may not be anistasabdatā.

छन्द्सि परव्यवाहेतवचनं च

The sūtras Chandasi parespi and Vyavahitāś ca have to be read.

छन्दिस परेऽपि, व्यवहिताश्च इति वक्तव्यम्

The sūtras Chandasi parēspi (1, 4, 81), and Vyavahitāś ca. (1, 4, 82) have to be read.

संज्ञानियमे सिद्धम्

The above object is achieved if the $s\bar{u}tra$ is for $samj\tilde{n}a-niyama$.

संज्ञानियमे सति सिद्धमेतद् भवति

If it suggests samjñā-niyama, this object is achieved.

अस्तु तर्हि संज्ञानियमः Let it then suggest samjñā-niyama.

उभयोरनर्थकं वचनमनिष्टादर्शनात्

No need for both the paksas due to the absence of any anista.

उभयोरिप पक्षयोर्वचनमनश्रकम्

There is no need to mention both the pakṣas.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

अनिष्टाद्शनात्

On account of the absence of anything in usage contrary to grammar.

न हि कश्चित् प्रपचतीति प्रयोक्तव्ये पचति प्र इति प्रयुङ्के । यदि चानिष्टं हर्येत ततो यताई स्थात्

No one in the world makes use of pacati pra in place of prapacati; Attempt has to be made only if any anista is seen.

Note:—From this it is clear that $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$ has decided that this $s\bar{u}tra$ is unnecessary.

उपसर्जनसन्निपाते तु पूर्वपरव्यवस्थार्थम्

Need for this sūtra to decide which, of the two upasarjanas that come together, precedes and which follows.

उपसर्जनसन्निपाते तु पूर्वपरव्यवस्थार्थमेतद्वक्तव्यम् - ऋषमं कूलमुद्रुजम् ऋषमं कूलमुद्रहम् । अत्र गतेः प्राग्धातोः प्रयोगो यथा स्यात् ।

This sūtra has to be read to decide which, of the two upasarjanas, has to precede and which has to follow. In the words kūlam-ud-rujam and kūlam-ud-vaham in the expressions Rṣabham kūlam-ud-rujam and Rṣabham kūlam-ud-vaham, ud will precede rujam and vaham on account of this sūtra.

Note:—Otherwise doubt will arise whether $k\bar{u}lam$ -ud-injam and $k\bar{u}lam$ -ud-vaham are the correct forms or ut- $k\bar{u}lam$ -rujam and ut- $k\bar{u}lam$ -vaham are correct ones, since both $k\bar{u}la$ and ut are upapadas.

यद्युपसर्जनसन्निपाते पूर्वपरव्यवस्थार्थमिदमुच्यते सुकटक्कराणि वीरणानि इत्यत्र गतेः प्राग्धातोः प्रयोगः प्राप्नोति ।

If it is said this $s\bar{u}tra$ is intended to decide the respective position of two upasarjanas which come together, there is chance for su, a gati, in $sukata\dot{n}kar\bar{a}ni$ in the expression $sukata\dot{n}kara\dot{n}\bar{a}ni$ $v\bar{v}ran\bar{a}ni$ to precede $kar\bar{a}ni$.

आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित नात्र गतेः प्राक्ययोगो भवति इति, यदयमीषद्धःसुषु कृच्छाकृच्छार्थेषु खल् इति खकारमनुबन्धं करोति ।

The procedure of $Ac\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that the gati is not used immediately before $karan\bar{a}ni$, since he reads khal with kh as anubandha in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{I}sad$ -dus-susu $krechra-akrechr\bar{a}rth\bar{e}su$ khal (3, 3, 126).

कथं कृत्वा ज्ञापकम् ? How is it jñā paka?

खिक्तरणे एतत्प्रयोजनं खिति इति मुम् यथा स्यात् इति । यदि चात्र गतेः प्राक्प्रयोगः स्यात् खित्करणमनर्थकं स्यात् । अस्त्वत्र मुम् । अनव्ययस्य इति प्रति-षेधो भविष्यति । पश्यति त्वाचार्यो नात्र गतेः प्राग्धातोः प्रयोगो भवतीति, ततः स्वकारमनुबन्धं करोति ।

This is the prayojana of khitkarana that the āgama mum will set in on account of the ānubāndha of kh. If gati here precedes dhātu, khitkarana will be of no use. Since Ācārya reads kh as anubandha, he sees that gati does not precede dhātu here. Even if there is mum here, there will be pratiṣēdha by anavyayasya (6, 3, 66) Ācārya sees that gati does not precede dhātu here and hence has read the anubandha kh.

नैतद्स्ति ज्ञापकम् । यद्यन्न गतेः प्राक् प्रयोगः स्यात्, स्यादेवात्र मुनागमः This is not a $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}paka$. If gati is used before $dh\bar{a}tu$, there will be the $\bar{a}gama$ mum here.

कथम् ? How?

क्रुद्धहणे गतिकारकपूर्वस्यापि ग्रहणं भवति इति

By the paribhāṣā Kṛdgrahaṇē gati kāraka-pūrvasyāpi grahaṇam.

तसान्नार्थ एवमर्थेन प्राग्धातुवचनेन

Hence there is no need to read this $s\bar{u}tra$ for the above purpose.

कथम् ऋषमं कूलमुद्रुजम्, ऋषमं कूलमुद्रहम्

How are we to arrive at the forms $k\bar{u}lam$ -ud-rujam and $k\bar{u}lam$ -ud-vaham in the expressions Rsabham $k\bar{u}lam$ -ud-rujam and Rsabham $k\bar{u}lam$ -ud-vaham?

नैष दोषः । नैष उदिरुपपदम्

There is no difficulty here. This ut is not an upapada.

किं तर्हि ? What then?

विशेषणम् । उदि कूछे रुजिनहोः उत्पूर्वाभ्यां रुजिनहिभ्यां कूछे उपपदे इति । t is $viś\bar{e}$ saṇa. It is taken thus:-Udi $k\bar{u}l\bar{e}$ rujivahōḥ, which means, when $k\bar{u}la$ is upapada to ruj and vah preceded by ut.

कमप्रवचनीयाः (1, 4, 83)

किमथ महती संज्ञा कियते ?

What is the need for this long $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$?

अन्तर्थसंज्ञा यथा विज्ञायेत - कर्म प्रोक्तवन्तः कर्मप्रवचनीया इति So that it may be considered an anvartha-samjñā. Karmapravac mīyas are those that suggested kriyāvišēṣa when they were used with verbs?

के पुनः कर्म प्रोक्तवन्तः ?

Which are those that suggested $kriy\bar{a}vi\acute{s}\bar{e}sa$ when they were used with verbs?

ये सम्प्रति कियां नाहुः

Those which do not now suggest kriyāviśēṣa.

के च संपति कियां नाहुः ?

Which do not now suggest kriyāviśēṣa?

ये अप्रयुज्यमानस्य कियामाहुः, ते कर्मप्रवचनीयाः

Those which suggest the sambandhīviśēṣa of a $kriy\bar{a}$ which is not now used are $karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yas$.

अनुरुंक्षणे (1, 4, 84)

किमर्थमिदमुच्यते ? What for is this sūtra?

कर्भप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा यथा स्यात्, गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञे मा भूतामिति

So that it may get karmapravacanīya-samjñā and not gati and upasarga samjñās.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iya!a$ notes that this is not a correct answer and it is given to test the pupil's intelligence.

किं च स्यात् ? What will happen if it gets gati-samjñā?

शाकल्यस्य संहितामनु प्रावर्षत् । गतिर्गतौ इति निघातः प्रसज्येत The word anu will get sarvānudātta by the sūtra Gatir gatāu (8, 1, 70) in the sentence Śākalyasya samhitām anu prāvarṣat.

यद्येवं वेरिप कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा वक्तव्या । वेरि निघातो नेष्यते प्रादेशं विपरिलिखति

If so, vi in $Pr\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}am$ viparilikhati has to be given $karma-pravacan\bar{i}yasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ since $anud\bar{a}ttatva$ is not desired for it.

अस्त्यत्र विशेषः । नात्र वेर्लिखं प्रति कियायोगः

There is a difference here; there is no $kriy\bar{a}y\bar{o}ga$ for vi with likh here.

कि ताहें ? With what then?

अग्युज्यमानं - प्रादेशं विमाय परिलिखति

With that which is not used, (so that the above sentence means) prādēśam vimāya parilikhati.

यद्येवम् अनोरिष कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञ्या नार्थः । अनोरिष हि न वृषिं प्रति कियायोगः

If so, no purpose is served by enjoining karmapravacanīya-samjnā to anu too; for there is no kriyāyōga for anu with vṛṣ.

कि तर्हि ? With what then?

अप्रयुज्यमानं - शाकल्येन सुकृतां संहितामनुनिशम्य देवः प्रावर्षत् With that which is not used, (so that the sentence means) Śākalyēna sukṛtām samhitām anuniśamya dēvaḥ prāvarṣat.

इदं तर्हि त्रयोजनं, द्वितीया यथा स्यात् कर्मपवचनीययुक्ते द्वितीया इति This, then, is the prayojana that there will set in dvitīyā on the strength of the sūtra Karmapravacanīyayuktē dvitīyā.

अत उत्तरं पठिते Then he reads the answer.

अनुरुक्षणे वचनानर्थक्यं सामान्यकृतत्वात्

Non-necessity of the $s\bar{u}tra$ Anur $lak san\bar{e}$, on account of its purpose being served by the $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyas\bar{u}tra$.

अनुर्रक्षणेवचनमनर्थकम्

The sūtra Anur lakṣanē serves no purpose.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

सामान्यकृतत्वात् - सामान्येनैवात्र कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा भविष्यति लक्षणेत्थंभूता-ख्यानभागवीप्सासु प्रतिपर्यनवः इति

On account of its purpose being served by the $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyas\bar{u}tra$. $Karmapravacan\bar{i}ya$ -sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is secured here by the $sam\bar{a}nya$ -s $\bar{u}tra$ Laksa $n\bar{e}tthambh\bar{u}t\bar{a}khy\bar{a}nabh\bar{a}gav\bar{i}$ $ps\bar{a}su$ pratiparyanavah (1, 4, 90).

हेत्वर्थं तु वचनम् This sūtra is, then, intended for the sake of hētu.

हेत्वर्थमिदं वक्तव्यम् - हेतुः शाकल्यस्य संहिता वर्षस्य, न लक्षणम् This has to be said so that $h\bar{e}tu$ may take the $sainj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$. $S\bar{a}kalasainhit\bar{a}$ is the $h\bar{e}tu$ for the rain and not laksana.

किं वक्तव्यमेतत् ?

Is there any necessity to advance this line of argument?

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads here: $-H\bar{e}t\bar{o}r$ lakṣaṇam nāstīti kim paribhāṣitavyam iti pṛcchati.

न हि No, there is no necessity.

कथमनुच्यमानं गंस्यते ?

How is it to be understood without its being specifically stated?

लक्षणं हि नाम स भवति येन पुनः पुनर्लक्ष्यते, न यः सक्रदपि निमित्तत्वाय करुपते । सक्चासौ शाकल्येन सुकृतां संहिताम् अनुनिशम्य देवः प्रावर्षत् ।

Lakṣana is that which is seen frequently associated with an object and not that which is once seen as a nimitta. The $d\bar{e}va$ rained on hearing the well-made $samhit\bar{a}$ of $\hat{S}\bar{a}kalya$ only once.

स तर्हि तथा निर्देशः कर्तव्योऽनुर्हेताविति

If so, the sūtra should be changed to Anur hētāu.

अथेदानीं लक्षणेन हेतुरिप न्याप्तः, नार्थोऽनेन?

Is not this unnecessary since hētu too is within the range of laksana?

लक्षणेन हेतुरपि व्याप्तः । न ह्यवश्यं तदेव लक्षणं भक्ति येन पुनः पुनर्रक्ष्यते

Yes, hētu is within the range of lakṣaṇa; for it is not necessary to define lakṣaṇa only as yēna punaḥ punar lakṣyotē.

किं तर्हि ! What then?

यत्सक्रद्पि निमित्तत्वाय कर्पते तद्पि लक्षणं भवति । तद्यथा - अपि भवान् कमण्डल्पाणिं छात्रमद्राक्षीत् इति । सक्रदसौ कमण्डल्पाणिश्छात्रो दृष्टस्तस्य तदेव लक्षणं भवति ।

Even that becomes a *lakṣaṇa* which serves as *nimitta* once. This may be illustrated thus:—The question whether you saw the pupil with *kamaṇḍalu* in his hand arises on seeing the pupil only once with *kamaṇḍalu* in his hand.

तदेव तर्हि प्रयोजनं द्वितीया यथा स्यात् कम्पवचनीययुक्ते द्वितीया इति If so, this is the $pray\bar{o}jana$ that it gets $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yayukt\bar{e}$ $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$.

एतदिष नास्ति प्रयोजनम् । सिद्धात्र द्वितीया कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्ते इत्येव । This too is not the $pray\bar{o}jana$, since $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ has already been accomplished by $Karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yayukte\ dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ (2, 3, 8).

न सिध्यति । परत्वात् हेत्वाश्रया तृतीया प्राप्तोति No, it cannot be accomplished. $Trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ will chance to come by the $s\bar{\imath}tra$ $H\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}u$ (2, 3, 23), since it is para.

आङ्मर्यादावचने (1, 4, 89)

आङ् मर्यादाभिविध्योः

The sūtra has to be recast $\bar{A}\dot{n}$ maryādābhividhyōh.

आङ् मर्यादाभिविध्योरिति वक्तव्यम् । इहापि यथा स्यात् आकुमारं यशः पाणिनेः इति ।

The $s\bar{u}tra$ must be read $\bar{A}\dot{n}$ mary $\bar{a}d\bar{a}bhividhy\bar{o}h$, so that it may operate on $\bar{a}kum\bar{a}ram$ in the expression $\bar{A}kum\bar{a}ram$ yasah $P\bar{a}nin\bar{e}h$ (Panini's fame was known even to young boys).

तत्ति वक्तव्यम् It should, then, be read so,

न वक्तव्यम् । मर्यादावचने इत्येव सिद्धम् । एषा अस्य यशासो मर्यादा No, it need not. It is accomplished by the statement maryādā-vacanē. This is the limit where his fame has spread.

Note:—1. $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here to explain the difference of opinion in the interpretation of the word $mary\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ between

Vārttikakara and Mahābhāṣyakāra:-Vinā tēna iti maryādā, saha tēna iti abhividhir ityarthabhēdam matvā vārttikam paṭhati ... vacanagrahaṇasya idam prayōjanam avāntarabhēdaparihārēṇa paricchēdahētumātram maryādā yathā gṛḥyēta.

Note:—2. The statement $\bar{A}kum\bar{a}ram\ yaśah\ P\bar{a}nin\bar{e}h$ suggests that, at $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra's$ time, even young boys were informed of $P\bar{a}nini's$ greatness.

लक्षणत्थम्भूताख्यानभागवीप्सासु प्रतिपर्यनवः (1, 4, 90)

कस्य लक्षणादयोऽर्था निर्दिश्यन्ते ?

With reference to whom are laksanadi's taken?

वृक्षादीनाम् With reference to vrksādis.

किमर्थ पुनरिदमुच्यते ? What for is this sūtra read?

कर्मभवचनीयसंज्ञा यथा स्यात् गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञे मा भूतामिति

So that they may get $karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yasa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and not $gatisa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and $upasargasa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

नैतदस्ति प्रयोजनम् । यत्त्रियायुक्तास्तं प्रति गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञे भवतो न च वृक्षादीन् प्रति कियायोगः

No, this is not the $pray\bar{o}jana$. Gati and upasarga are only with reference to the verbs with which they are associated and there is no $kriy\bar{a}y\bar{o}ga$ with reference to $vrks\bar{a}dis$.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनं द्वितीया यथा स्यात्, कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्ते द्वितीया इति, वृक्षं प्रति विद्योतते, वृक्षमनु विद्योतते

This, then, is the $pray\bar{o}jana$ that $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ sets in on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yayukt\bar{\epsilon}$ $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$, so that we have the following expressions $Vrk\bar{\imath}am$ prati $vidy\bar{o}tat\bar{\epsilon}$ and $vrk\bar{\imath}am$ anu $vidy\bar{o}tat\bar{\epsilon}$.

अधिपरी अनर्थकौ (1, 4, 93)

किमर्थमधिपर्योरनर्थकयोः कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा उच्यते ?

Why is karmapravacanīyasamj̃nā enjoined to adhi and pari which suggest no meaning?

कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा यथा स्याद् गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञे मा भूताम् इति
So that karmapravacanīyasamijnā may be secured and gatisamjnā and upasarga-samjnā may be avoided.

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम्, यत्कियायुक्तौ तं प्रति गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञौ भवतः अनर्थकौ चेमौ

This is not the $pray\bar{o}jana$. $Gati-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and $upasarga-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ set in only with reference to verbs with which they are used. These two have no meaning.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम्, पञ्चमी यथा स्यात् पञ्चम्याङ्परिभिः इति, कुतः पर्यागम्यते इति '

This, then, is the $pray\bar{o}jana$, that $pa\bar{n}cam\bar{i}$ may be used on the authority of the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Pa\bar{n}camy\bar{a}n$ -paribhih (2, 3, 10), so that we may have the expression kutah $pary\bar{a}gamyat\bar{e}$.

सिद्धात्र पञ्चम्यपादाने इत्येव । आतश्च अपादानपञ्चम्येव, यत्र अधिशब्देन योगे पञ्चमी न विधीयते, तल्लापि श्रूयते कुतोऽध्यागम्यते इति

Pañcamī can be secured here by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Ap\bar{u}d\bar{u}n\bar{e}$ $pañcam\bar{\iota}$ (2, 3, 28). There is another reason also to take it to be $ap\bar{u}d\bar{u}napa\bar{n}cam\bar{\iota}$, since $pa\bar{n}cam\bar{\iota}$ is used in the expression kuto $adhy\bar{u}gamyat\bar{e}$ (where adhi suggests change in the meaning of the $dh\bar{u}tu$ gam) for which there is no authority other than $Ap\bar{u}d\bar{u}n\bar{e}$ $pa\bar{u}cam\bar{\iota}$.

एवं तर्हि सिद्धे सित यदनर्थकयोगित्युपसर्गसंज्ञाबाधिकां कमेप्रवचनीयसंज्ञां, शास्ति तद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्योऽनर्थकानामप्येषां भवत्यर्थवत्कृतम् इति

Since, then, $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ enjoins $karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yasa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ to set at naught $gatisa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ and $upasarga-sa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$ to the two which suggest no meaning even though $pa\bar{n}cam\bar{\imath}$ is $siddh\bar{a}$ by another $s\bar{u}tra$, he suggests that even those that are anarthakas do the same duty as that of the arthakas.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit of this jñāpana?

निपातस्यानर्थकस्य प्रातिपदिकत्वं चोदितं तन्न वक्तव्यं भवति

The discussion regarding the $pr\bar{a}tipadikatva$ of $nip\bar{a}tas$ which are anarthaka (by the $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$) need not be done (in pp. 94 & 95 of Vol. IV).

अथ वा नेमावनर्थकौ Or these two are not anarthakas.

किं तर्हि ? अनर्थको इत्युच्यते !

What then? They are stated to be anarthaka.

अनर्थान्तरवाचिनावनर्थकौ । धातुनोक्तां कियामाहुः । तद्विशिष्टं भवति, यथा शङ्खे पयः ।

The word anarthakāu means those that have no other prayōjana. They denote the same $kriy\bar{a}$ denoted by the root. They become one with $dh\bar{a}tu$ as water poured into conch-shell.

यद्येवं धातुनोक्तत्वात् तस्यार्थस्य उपसर्गप्रयोगो न प्रामोति उक्तार्थाना-मप्रयोगः इति

If so, there is no chance to use the *upasarga* since its meaning is expressed by the root on the strength of the dictum $Ukt\bar{a}rth\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ $apray\bar{o}ga\dot{p}$.

उक्तार्थानामपि शयोगो दृश्यते । तद्यथा - अपूपौ द्वावानय, ब्राह्मणौ द्वावानय इति ।

Even the use of words whose meaning has been expressed is seen (in the world). Viz., $Ap\bar{u}p\bar{a}u$ $dv\bar{a}u$ $\bar{a}naya$, $Br\bar{a}hman\bar{a}u$ $dv\bar{a}u$ $\bar{a}naya$.

Note:—The meaning of $dv\bar{a}u$ in the above two examples is expressed by $\bar{a}u$ in $ap\bar{u}p\bar{a}u$ and $br\bar{a}hman\bar{a}u$.

अपिः पदार्थसम्भावनान्ववसर्गगर्हासमुच्चयेषु (1, 4, 96)

इह कस्मान्न भवति सर्पिषोऽपि स्यात् गोमूत्रस्यापि स्यात्?

Why does it not take effect in the words sarpiṣah and $g\bar{o}m\bar{u}trasya$ of the expressions $Sarpiṣ\bar{o}spi sy\bar{a}t$ and $G\bar{o}m\bar{u}trasy\bar{a}pi$ $sy\bar{a}t$ (as it has taken effect in the $niṣ\bar{e}dha$ of ṣatva in $sy\bar{a}t$)? (i. e.) Why is not $dvit\bar{i}ya$ used in place of sarpiṣah and $g\bar{o}m\bar{u}$ -trasya by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Karmapravacan\bar{i}yayukt\bar{e}$ $dvit\bar{i}y\bar{a}$?

किं च स्यात्? What will take place there?

द्वितीयापि प्रसज्येत कर्मप्रवचनीययुक्ते द्वितीया इति

 $Dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$, too. will set in by $Karmaprav^acan\bar{\imath}yayukt\bar{\epsilon}\ dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$.

नैष दोषः, नेमे अप्यर्थाः निर्दिश्यन्ते

This difficulty does not arise; for these are not mentioned as the arthas of api.

कि तर्हि ? Whose arthas are they then ?

परपदार्था इमे निर्दिश्यन्ते । एतेष्वर्थेषु यत्पदं वर्तते तत्प्रति अपिः कर्म-प्रवचनीयसंज्ञो भवति इति । These are mentioned as the arthas of another (i.e.) $sy\bar{a}t$. The word api takes $karmapravacan\bar{\imath}yasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ with reference to that word whose meaning is suggested here (i.e.) the word bindu. (drop.)

अथ वा यदत्र कर्मपवचनीययुक्तं नादः प्रयुज्यते

Or the word associated with the karmapravacanīya api is not used here.

किं पुनस्तत् ? What is it?

बिन्दुः The word bindu.

विन्दोस्तर्हि कस्मान्न भवति? Why is not dvitīya used with bindu? उपपद्विभक्तेः कारकविभक्तिर्वलीयसी इति प्रथमा भविष्यति इति

 $Pratham\bar{a}$ is used after bindu on the basis of the dictum $Upa-padavibhakt\bar{e}h$ $k\bar{a}rakavibhaktir$ $bal\bar{\imath}yas\bar{\imath}$. (i. e.) Svatantrah $kart\bar{a}$ is stronger here than $Karmapravan\bar{\imath}yayu/st\bar{e}$ $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$.

अधिरीश्वरे (1, 4, 97)

अधिरीश्वरवचने उक्तम 1

It has been said with reference to the sūtra Adhirīśvarē.

किमुक्तम् ? What has been said?

यस्य चेश्वरवचनमिति कर्तृनिर्देशश्चेदवचनात् सिद्धम्, प्रथमानुपपत्तिस्तु, स्व-वचनात्सिद्धम् इति

The vārttikas-Yasya cēśvaravacanam iti kartrnirdēśaś cēd avacanāt siddham, Prathamānupapattis tu, and Švavacanāt siddham (under the sūtra Yasmād adhikam yasya cēśvaravacanam (2, 3, 9)

अधिः स्वं प्रति कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञो भवतीति वक्तव्यम् The sūtra should be modified into Adhiḥ svē.

लः परसौपदम् (1, 4, 99)

लादेशे परसौपदग्रहणं पुरुषबाधितत्वात्

1. All editions read Adhir īśvaravacanē uktam as a vārttika. It has been so read perhaps on the strength of Kāiyaṭa's statement Vakṣyamāṇāṇām api buddhyā nirūpitatvād uktam iti uktam. If it is taken as bhāṣya, uktam is correct, since the Vārttikas on the whole of Aṣṭādhyāyī existed before Maābhāṣhya was written.

Need to read $parasm\bar{a}ipada$ in the $l\bar{a}d\bar{e}\acute{s}aprakaraṇa$ (3, 4, 77 to the end of the $p\bar{a}da$), on account of its being set at naught by puruṣa.

लादेशे परसीपद्रग्रहणं कर्तन्यम्

There is need to read parasmāipada in lādēšaprakaraņa.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

पुरुषवाधितत्वात्

On account of its being set at naught by purusa.

इह चचने हि संज्ञाबाधनम् Samjñā-bādhana if it is read here.

इह हि कियमाणेऽनवकाशा पुरुषसंज्ञा परसैपद्संज्ञां बाधेत

For, if it is read here, it will be set at naught by $puruṣa-sainj\~n\=a$ on account of its being $anavak\=a\'sa$.

परसोपदसंज्ञाप्यनवकाशा, सा वचनाद्भविप्यति

 $Parasm\bar{a}ipada-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, too, is anavakaśa and hence it sets in on account of its mention.

सावकाशा परसेंगदसंज्ञा $Parasm\bar{a}ipada$ - $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is $s\bar{a}vak\bar{a}s\bar{a}$.

कोऽनकाशः Where is the room for it to operate?

शतृकस् अवभाशः Both sati and kvasu are avakāsas.

सिचि वृद्धौ तु परसैपदग्रहणं ज्ञापकं पुरुषाबाधकस्य

Reading of $parasm\bar{a}ipada$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Sici vrddhih $parasm\bar{a}ipadesu$ is $jn\bar{a}paka$ for purusa not setting at naught the $parasm\bar{a}ipadatva$.

यद्यं सिचि वृद्धिः परसोपदेषु इति परसोपदश्रहणं करोति तद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्यो न पुरुषसंज्ञा परसोपदसंज्ञां वाधते इति

Since $Ac\bar{a}rya$ has read $parasm\bar{a}ipada$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Sici vrddhih $parasm\bar{a}ipad\bar{e}su$ (7, 2, 1), he suggests that $purusasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ does not set $parasm\bar{a}ipadasamj\tilde{n}\bar{u}$ at naught.

तिङस्त्रीणि त्रीणि प्रथममध्यमोत्तमाः (1, 4, 101)

प्रथममध्यमोत्तमसंज्ञायामात्मनेपद्ग्रहणं समसङ्ख्यार्थम्

Need to read ātmanēpada in the sūtra enjoining the samjñās prathama, madhyama and uttama to enable the samjñās and samjñins to be of the same number.

पथममध्यमोत्तमसंज्ञायामात्मनेपद्ग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । आत्मनेपदानां च प्रथम-मध्यमोत्तमसंज्ञा भवन्तीति वक्तव्यम्

Ātmanēpada must be read in the sūtra enjoining the samjñās prathama, madhyama and uttama. It must be read that ātmanēpadas too take the samjñās prathama, madhyama and uttama.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why?

समसङ्ख्यार्थम् - सङ्ख्यातानुदेशो यथा स्यात्

For the sake of the same number (in $sainj\tilde{n}as$ and $sainj\tilde{n}ins$), so that $Yath\bar{a}saikhyaś\bar{a}stra$ may operate.

अक्रियमाणे ह्यात्मनेपद्ग्रहणे तिस्रः संज्ञाः षट् संज्ञिनः । वैषम्यात् सङ्ख्यातानुदेशो न स्यात् ।

For, if $\bar{a}tman\bar{e}pada$ is not read, $samjn\bar{a}s$ will be three and $samjn\bar{i}ns$ will be six. $Yath\bar{a}sankhyas\bar{a}stra$ cannot operate on account of the unevenness of the number in $samjn\bar{a}s$ and $samjn\bar{i}ns$.

क्रियमाणेऽपि चात्मनेपद्रमहणे Even if ātmanēpada is read,

आनुपूर्व्यवचनम् Need to read ānupūrvya.

आनुपूर्व्यवचनं च कर्तव्यम् । अक्रियमाणे हि कस्यचिदेव त्रिकस्य प्रथमसंज्ञा स्यात्, कस्यचिदेव मध्यमसंज्ञा, कस्यचिदेवोत्तमसंज्ञा ।

The word $\bar{a}nup\bar{u}rvya$ too is to be read. If it is not read, prathamasamj $\bar{n}\bar{a}$ may take hold of any three, madhyamasamj $\bar{n}\bar{a}$ of another three and utiamasamj $\bar{n}\bar{a}$ of the remaining three.

न वैकशेषनिर्देशात् No, it cannot be on account of ēkaśēṣanirdēśa.

यत्तावदुच्यते आत्मनेपद्रग्रहणं कर्तव्यं समसङ्ख्यार्थमिति तन्न कर्तव्यम् । संज्ञा अपि षडेव निर्दिश्यन्ते ।

Firstly with reference to the statement $\bar{A}tman\bar{e}padagrahanam$ kartavyam samasankhyartham, it need not be read; for the $samjn\bar{a}s$ too that are mentioned here are six.

कथम् ? How?

एकशेषनिर्देशोऽयम्

This (prathama, madhyama and uttama) is ēkašēsa.

अथैतिसिन्नेकरोषिनिर्देशे सित किमियं कृतैकरोषाणां द्वन्द्वः, - प्रथमश्च प्रथमश्च प्रथमो, मध्यमश्च मध्यमश्च मध्यमो, उत्तमश्च उत्तमश्च उत्तमो, प्रथमो च मध्यमो चोत्तमो च प्रथममध्यमोत्तमाः इति, आहोस्वित् कृतद्वन्द्वानामेकरोषः - प्रथमश्च मध्यमश्च उत्तमश्च प्रथममध्यमोत्तमाः, प्रथममध्यमोत्तमाश्च प्रथममध्यमोत्तमाश्च प्रथममध्यमोत्तमाः इति ।

Is it, in the $\bar{e}kaś\bar{e}ṣanird\bar{e}śa$, the dvandva of $\bar{e}kaś\bar{e}ṣas$ or the $\bar{e}kaś\bar{e}ṣa$ of dvandvas, the former assuming the form—prathamaś ca prathamaś ca = prathamāu, madhyamaś ca madhyamaś ca = madhyamāu, uttamaś ca uttamaś ca = uttamāu, prathamāu ca madhyamāu ca uttamāu ca = prathamamadhyamāttamāḥ. and the latter assuming the form prathamaś ca madhyamaś ca uttamaś ca = prathamamadhyamāttamāḥ, prathamamadhyamāttamāḥ?

किं चात: ! What is the difference ?

यदि कृतैकशेषाणां द्वन्द्वः प्रथममध्यमयोः प्रथमसंज्ञा प्रामोति, उत्तमप्रथमयो-र्मध्यमसंज्ञा प्रामोति, मध्यमोत्तमयोरुत्तमसंज्ञा प्रामोति, अथ कृतद्वनद्वानामेकशेषो न दोषो भवति

If $\bar{e}ka\dot{s}\bar{e}sa$ is first done and then dvandva, there is chance for $prathamasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to the prathama and the madhyama, $madhyamasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to the uttama and the prathama and $uttamasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to the madhyama and the uttama and if, on the other hand, dvandva is first done and then $\bar{e}ka\dot{s}\bar{e}sa$, there will be no harm.

यथा न दोषस्तथास्तु

Let it be taken in the way in which there is no defect.

किं पुनरत्र न्याय्यम्? Which is legitimate here?

उभयमित्याह ; उभयं हि दृश्यते । तद्यथा बहु शक्तिकिटिकम्, बहूनि शक्तिकिटिकानि ; बहु स्थालीपिठरं, बहूनि स्थालीपिठराणि

"Both", says he; for both are seen. Viz. Bahu śaktikiţikam, bahūni śaktikiţikāni; bahu sthālīpiṭharam, bahūni sthālīpiṭharāṇi

यदप्युच्यते कियमाणेऽप्यात्मनेपदमहणे आनुपूर्व्यवचनं कर्तव्यमिति, न कर्तव्यम्। लोकत एतत् सिद्धम् । तद्यथा लोके विहव्यस्य द्वाभ्यां द्वाभ्यामिकपस्थेय इति, न चोच्यते आनुपूर्व्येण इति । आनुपूर्व्येण चोपस्थायत इति । With reference to the statement—that $\bar{a}nup\bar{u}rvya$ has to be read even if $\bar{a}tman\bar{e}pada$ is read, it need not be read. It is accomplished from the procedure seen in the world. We see the statement in the world that agni is to be invoked by every two rks in the $s\bar{u}kta$ named vihavya (R. V. X, 128) without the world $\bar{a}nup\bar{u}rvya$ and the rks are pronounced only in the respective order.

विभक्तिश्व (1, 4, 104)

त्रीणि त्रीणि इत्यनुवर्तते उताहो न?

Is there anuvrtti for trīni trīni or no?

किं चातः ?

What will be the difference whether there is anuvrtti or not?

यदि अनुवर्तते अष्टन आ विभक्तौ इत्यात्वं न प्रामोति

If there is anuvrtti, $\bar{a}tva$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Astana \bar{a} vibhakt $\bar{a}u$ (7, 2, 84) has no chance to set in.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads here:-Na hi aṣṭanśabdāt param yugapat trikam asti.

अथ निवृत्तं प्रथमयोः पूर्वसवर्णः इत्यत्र प्रत्ययोरेव ग्रहणं प्राप्तोति
If there is no anuvitti, the word prathamayōḥ in the sūtra
Prathamayōḥ pūrvasavarṇaḥ (6, 1, 102) will refer only to two
suffixes of the nominative case.

यथेच्छिस तथास्तु Let it be as you are pleased to take it.

अस्तु तावदनुवर्तते इति

First let it be taken that there is anuvrtti.

ननु चोक्तम् अष्टन आ विभक्तौ इत्यात्वं न प्रामोति इति

Oh! it has been said that $\bar{a}tva$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ Astana \bar{a} vibakt $\bar{a}u$ has no chance to set in.

वचनाद्भविष्यति It sets in on account of its mention.

अथ वा पुनरस्तु निश्चतम्

Or let it be taken that there is no anuvitti.

ननु चोक्तं प्रथमयोः पूर्वसवर्णः इत्यत्र प्रत्यययोरेव ग्रहणं प्राप्नोति इति Oh! it has been said that the word prathamayōḥ in the sūtra Prathamayōḥ pūrvasavarṇaḥ will refer only to two suffixes of the nominative case.

नैष दोषः । अचि इत्यनुवर्तते । न च अजादी प्रथमी प्रत्ययौ स्तः

This difficulty does not arise. The word aci is taken here by auuvrtti from the $s\bar{u}tra$. $Ik\bar{o}$ yan aci (6, 1, 77). Both the first suffixes of the nominative case do not commence with a vowel. (But only the second of them is so.)

ननु चैवं विज्ञायते - अजादी यौ प्रथमी, अजादीनां वा यौ प्रथमी इति
Oh, it is thus explained:—the first two suffixes commencing with a vowel or the first two among the suffixes commencing with a vowel.

Note:—In both the cases there will be grahana to $\bar{a}u$ and as of the nominative case.

यत्ति तस्माच्छसो नः पुंसि इत्यनुकान्तं पूर्वसवर्णदीष प्रतिनिर्दिशति, तद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्यो विभक्त्योप्रहणम् इति । अथवा वचनग्रहणमेव कुर्यात् औजसोः पूर्व-सवर्णः इति

 $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that the word $prathamay\bar{o}h$ denotes the first two cases, since he reads the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Tasm\bar{a}c$ $chas\bar{o}$ nah $pu\dot{m}si$ (6, 1, 103) depending upon the $p\bar{u}rvasavarnad\bar{i}rgha$ in the second case plural. Otherwise he would have read the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{A}ujas\bar{o}h$ $p\bar{u}rvasavarnah$.

Note:—Nāgēšabhaṭṭa reads here:-Siddhāntē tu trikasya vibhaktitvē avayavadharmavibhaktitvasya trikē ārōpē vā yāugikā ēva tē vyavahārāḥ sambhavanti iti tāḥ samjināḥ sūtrakṛtā na krtā iti pratīmaḥ.

युष्मद्युपपदे समानाधिकरणे स्थानिन्यपि मध्यमः (1, 4, 105) असमद्युत्तमः (1, 4, 107), शेषे प्रथमः (1, 4, 108)

There are five topics dealt with here:-(1) Is this sūtra a vidhi or a niyama? (2) If it is the latter, is there upapadaniyama or puruṣaniyama? (3) What should be the verb if the subject is, Tvam ca Dēvadattaś ca and Aham ca Dēvadattaś ca? (4) What should be the nature of the verb after paramatvam tvattaraḥ, tvadrūpaḥ, tvatkalpaḥ, atitvam etc.? (5) Which is correct either tvadbhavati and madbhavati or tvadbhavasi and madbhavāmi?

I

किमर्थमिदमुच्यते ?

What is the purpose served by this $s\bar{u}tra$? (Is it $vidh\bar{a}na$ or niyama?

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads here: -Kim $l\bar{a}d\bar{e}$a-vidhana-vakyena$ \bar{e}kavakyatvat vidhyartham idam, artha vihite$su $l\bar{a}d\bar{e}$$\hat{s}esu niyam$a-rtham idam iti pra$na$h.}$

युष्मदसम्च्छेषवचनं नियमार्थम्

Reading of yuşmad, asmad and śēṣa is for the sake of niyama.

नियमार्थोऽयमारम्भः This attempt is for the sake of niyama'

TT

असि तियमि विज्ञायमाने किमयमुपपद्नियमः - युष्मदि मध्यम एव, असि उत्तम एव, आहोसित् पुरुषिनयमः - युष्मदेव मध्यमः, असि उत्तम इति? After it is decided that this attempt is for the sake of niyama, it is to be seen whether it is upapadaniyama that, along with yuşmad, only the second personal termination is used after the root and that, along with asmad, only the first personal termination is used after the roots or whether it is puruṣaniyama that only with yuṣmad the second personal termination is used after the root and that only with asmad the first termination is used after the root.

कि चातः ? What will be the difference if it is this or that? यदि पुरुषनियमः शेषप्रहणं कर्तब्यं शेषे प्रथमः इति
If it is purusa-niyama, there is need for the sūtra Śēṣē

किं कारणम् ? Why?

prathamah.

मध्यमोत्तमौ नियतौ, युष्मदस्मदी अनियते, तत्र प्रथमोऽपि प्रामोति । तत्र शेषप्रहणं कर्तव्यं प्रथमनियमार्थं, शेष एव प्रथमो भवति नान्यत्रेति

The second personal termination and the first personal termination are restricted in their scope, but yusmad and asmad are not restricted in their scope and hence there is chance for the first personal termination to be used with verbs after them. Hence there is need for the $s\bar{u}tra$ $S\bar{e}s\bar{e}$ prathamah to

restrict the scope of the third personal termination that it is used after verbs only after words other than yuṣmad and asmad.

अथाप्युपपदिनयमः, एवमि शेषप्रहणं कर्तव्यं शेषे प्रथम इति । युष्मदस्मदी नियते मध्यमोत्तमाविनयतौ, तौ शेषेऽपि प्राप्नुतः । तत्र शेषप्रहणं कर्तव्यं शेषियमार्थं शेषे प्रथम एव भवति नान्य इति ।

Even if it is taken as upapadaniyama, there is need for the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\hat{S}\bar{e}s\bar{e}$ prathamah. Yuṣmad and asmad are restricted in their scope, but not the second personal termination and the first personal termination and so they may be used along with words other than yuṣmad and asmad. Therefore there is need for the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\hat{S}\bar{e}s\bar{e}$ prathamah to restrict the scope of $s\bar{e}sa$, so that only the third personal termination may be used after verbs along with words other than yusmad and asmad.

उपपदिनयमे शेषश्रहणं शक्यमकर्तुम् 1

It is possible to dispense with the word $S\bar{e}\bar{s}\bar{e}$ if it is taken as upapadaniyama.

कथम ? How?

युष्मदस्मदी नियते, मध्यमोत्तमी अनियती, तौ शेषेऽपि प्राप्नुतः । ततो वक्ष्यामि प्रथमो भवतीति । तिश्वयमार्थं भविष्यति - यत्र प्रथमश्च अन्यश्च प्राप्नोति तत्र प्रथम एव भवतीति ।

Yusmad and asmad are restricted in their scope and madhyama and uttama are aniyata and they have a chance to be used after verbs with words other than yusmad and asmad. Then shall I read Prathamō bhavati. It will be taken as a niyama that, where both prathama and others have a chance to appear, only the prathama appears.

III

तत्र युष्मदस्मदन्येषु व प्रथमप्रतिषेधः शेपत्वात्

- 1. This is read as a vārttika by Sri Guruprasada Sastri.
- 2. In the extant editions, yuşmad asmadanyēşu is taken to mean with reference to yuşmad and anya and asmad and anya; but it seems to me that yusmad, asmad and anya too may be added.

In both the *niyamas* there is need for the $pratis\bar{e}dha$ of prathama on the authority of $S\bar{e}s\bar{e}$ prathamah when the subject is yusmad and anya and asmad and anya.

तत्र युष्मद्साद्नयेषु प्रथमस्य प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः, त्वं च देवद्त्तश्च पचथः, अहं च देवदत्तश्च पचावः 1

In both the niyamas there is need for the pratisēdha of prathama with reference to yuṣmad and anya and asmad and anya.

So that the following expressions can be had: Tvam ca $D\bar{e}va$ - $datta\acute{s}$ ca $pacatha\acute{h}$; Aham ca $D\bar{e}vadatta\acute{s}$ ca $pac\bar{a}va\acute{h}$.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

शेषत्वात् । शेषे प्रथम इति प्रथमः प्राप्तोति

Since they are other than $yu \not= mad$ and asmad. There is chance for prathama to be used there on the authority of $\hat{S}\bar{e},\bar{e}$ prathamah.

सिद्धं तु युष्मदस्मदोः प्रतिवेधात्

The object is achieved by prohibiting yuşmad and asmad.

सिद्धमेतत् The object is achieved.

कथम्? How?

युष्मद्रमदोः प्रतिषेधात् । शेषे प्रथमः, युष्मद्रमदोर्नेति वक्तव्यम्

On account of the pratisēdha with reference to yuṣmad and asmad. After the sūtra Śēṣē prathamaḥ, Yuṣmadasmadōr na should be read.

युष्मदिमध्यमाद्रमद्युत्तमो विप्रतिषेधेन

The sūtra Asmadyuttamah wins over Yuṣmadimadhyamah by vipratiṣēdha.

युष्मिदमध्यमात् अस्मद्युत्तम इत्येतद्भवति विप्रतिषेधेन । युष्मिदि मध्यमः इत्यस्य अवकाशः त्वं पचिस, अस्मद्युत्तम इत्यस्यावकाशः अहं पचामि, इहोभयं प्राप्नोति त्वं च अहं च पचावः । अस्मद्युत्तम इत्येतद्भवति विप्रतिषेधेन ।

Asmadyuttamah wins over yuşmadi madhyamah by vipratişēdha. Yuşmadi madhyamah plays its part in Tvam pacasi and

1. It is to be seen whether any manuscript reads after this Tvam ca aham ca Dēvadattaś co pacāmaḥ.

Asmadi uttamaḥ plays its part in Aham pacāmi. Both have a chance to play their parts where the subject is both tvam and aham as in Tvam ca aham ca pacāvaḥ. Asmadi uttamaḥ plays its part here in preference to Yuṣmadi madhyamaḥ by the śāstra Vipraliṣēdhē param kāryam.

स तर्हि विश्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः ?

Is it then necessary to arrive at it by the vipratisēdha?

न वक्तव्यः No. it need not be arrived at by it.

त्यदादीनां यद्यत्परं तत्तत् शिप्यते इत्येवम् असादः शेषो भविष्यति । तत्र असमद्यत्तम इत्येव सिद्धम्

Asmad may be considered to be the $s\bar{e}sa$ on the strength of the statement $Tyad\bar{a}d\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$ yad param tattat $sisyat\bar{e}$ and the object is achieved by Asmadyuttamah.

अनेकशेषभावार्थं तु

Need for resorting to *vipratiṣēdha* in places where there is no *ēkaṣēṣa*.

अनेकशेषभावार्थं तु स विप्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यो यदा चैकशेषो न There is need to resort to the $vipratis\bar{e}dha$ in places where there is no $\bar{e}kas\bar{e}sa$.

कदा चैकशेषो न

Under which circumstances is ēkašēsa not found?

सहविवक्षायामेकशेषः, यदा न सहविवक्षा तदैकशेषो नास्ति

There is $\bar{e}/caś\bar{e}$ \bar{e} \bar{e}

न वा, युष्मदस्मदोरनेकशेषभावात् तद्धिकरणानामप्यनेकशेषभावाद्विप्रतिषेधः

No, it is not necessary to resort to $vipratis\bar{e}dha$ since there is no $\bar{e}ka\dot{s}\bar{e}sa$ for the verbs which have their adhikaranas in yusmad and asmad if there is no $\bar{e}ka\dot{s}\bar{e}sa$ in yusmad and asmad.

न वार्थी विश्रतिषेधेन

No, there is no necessity to take recourse to vipratisēdha.

किं कारणम् श Why?

युष्मदस्मदोरनेकशेषभावात् तद्धिकरणानामि - युष्मदस्मद्धिकरणानामि , एक-शेषेण न भवितव्यम् । त्वं च अहं च पचिस च पचिम च इति ।

There is no ēkaśēṣa for the verbs which have their adhikaraṇas in yuṣmad and asmad on account of the absence of ēkaśēṣa of yuṣmad and asmad. Viz. Tvam ca aham ca pacasi ca pacāmi ca.

Note: -Tadadhikaraṇānām is a bahuvrīhi compound and hence tadadhikaraṇa refers to the $kriy\bar{a}$ whose adhikarṇa is the subject. $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\dot{s}abhatta$ justifies the use of the plural in $tadadhikaraṇ\bar{a}m\bar{a}m$ instead of the dual by the statement $an\bar{e}kayusmadasmacchabdapray\bar{o}g\bar{a}bhipr\bar{a}y\bar{e}na$.

क्रियापृथक्त्वे च द्रव्यपृथक्त्वदर्शनमनुमानमुत्तरत्रानेकशेपभावस्य

The use of different subjects after different predicates suggests the absence of $\bar{e}ka\hat{s}\bar{e}sa$ in the following verbs.

कियापृथक्ते च द्रव्यपृथक्तं दृश्यते, तद्यथा पचिस च पचामि च त्वं चाहं च इति । तदनुमानमुत्तरयोरिप किययोरेकशेषो न भवतीति । एवं च कृत्वा सोप्यदोषो भवति यदुक्तं 'तत्र युष्मद्रमदन्येषु प्रथमप्रतिषेधः शेषत्वात्' इति ; तत्रापि ह्येवं भवितव्यं - त्वं च देवदत्तश्च पचिस च पचित च, अहं च देवदत्तश्च पचामि च पचित च इति ।

It is seen that different subjects are used if the predicates are differently used at first; Viz. Pacasi ca pacāmi ca tvam ca aham ca. It serves as anumāna for the absence of ēkaśēṣa in verbs if they follow the subjects. On this basis the statement Tatra yuṣmad-asmad-anyēṣu prathamapratiṣēdhaḥ śēṣatvāt need not be made, since the verbs con be similarly used thereto thus:-Tvam ca Dēvadattaś ca pacati ca pacati ca and Aham ca Dēvadattaś ca pacati ca.

यत्तावदुच्यते न वा युष्मदस्मदोरनेकशेषभावात्तदधिकरणानामप्यनेकशेषभावा-दविपतिषेधः इति, दश्यते हि युष्मदस्मदोश्च अनेकशेषः तदधिकरणानां चैकशेषः, तद्यथा त्वं चाहं च वृत्रहन्नुभौ संपयुज्यावहै । इति

1. But in the text of the Rgvēdasamhitā the reading is slightly different:— अहं च त्वं चं दत्रह्न्त्संयुंज्याव (R. V. 8, 51, 41)

संप्रयुज्यावहै is the reading of Sri Guruprasda Sastri's edition and संप्रयुज्यावहै of Pandurang Javaji's edition.

With reference to the first statement made Na vā yuṣmad-asmadōr anēkaśēṣabhāvāt tad-adhikaraṇānām api anēkaśēṣabhāvād avipratiṣēdhaḥ, it is seen on actual usage anēkaśēṣa of yuṣmad and asmad and ēkaśēṣa of the verbs that follow. Viz. Tvaṁ ca ahaṁ ca Vṛṭraham ubhāu samprayujyāvahāi.

यदप्युच्यते कियापृथक्त्वे च द्रव्यपृथक्त्वदर्शनमनुमानमुत्तरत्रानेकशेषभावस्य इति कियापृथक्त्वे खल्वपि द्रव्यकशेषो भवतीति हृश्यते, तद्यथा - अक्षाः भज्यन्तां भक्ष्यन्तां दीव्यन्ताम् इति

With reference to the other statement $kriy\bar{a}prthaktv\bar{e}$ ca dravya-prthaktvadarśanam anum \bar{a} nam uttaratra anēkaśēṣabh \bar{a} vasya, it
is seen in actual usage $dravy\bar{a}ikaś\bar{e}$ ṣa even in the presence of $kriy\bar{a}prthaktva$. Viz. Akṣaḥ $bhajyant\bar{a}m$ $bhakṣyant\bar{a}m$ $d\bar{v}vyant\bar{a}m$.

Note: $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here: $-\hat{S}akat\bar{a}ksa$ - $bibh\bar{\imath}tik\bar{a}ksa$ - $d\bar{\imath}van\bar{a}ks\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ sahaviksay $\bar{a}m$ $\bar{\imath}kas\bar{\imath}sah$.

एवं च कृत्वा सोऽपि दोषो भवति यदुक्तं - तत्र युष्मद्सादन्येषु प्रतिषेधः शेषत्वाद् इति

If so, the defect raised by Tatra yuşmad-asmad-anyēşu pratisēdhaḥ śēṣatvāt will stand.

नैष दोष: । परिहृतमेतत् - सिद्धं तु युष्मद्रमदोः प्रतिषेधाद् इति
This defect cannot stand. It was already met with by the statement Siddham tu yuṣmad-asmadōḥ pratiṣēdhāt.

स तर्हि प्रतिषेघो वक्तव्यः The pratisēdha, then, has to be read.
न वक्तव्यः । शेषे प्रथमो विधीयते । न हि शेषश्च अन्यश्च शेषग्रहणेन
गृह्यते ।

No, it need not be read. Prathamapuruşa is enjoined in $ś\bar{e}$ ṣa. The association of $ś\bar{e}$ ṣa and another is not taken to come under $ś\bar{e}$ ṣa.

भवेत्, प्रथमो न स्यात् । मध्यमोत्तमाविष न पामुतः

Yes, there is no chance for prathama there; but there will be no chance even for either madhyama or uttama.

किं कारणम्? Why?

युष्मदस्मदोरुपपदयोर्मध्यमोत्तमावुच्येते । न च युष्मदस्मदी अन्यश्च युष्मदस्म-द्रहणेन गृह्यते । Second personal termination or the first personal termination will be added to the verbs only when the *upapada* is *yuṣmad* or *asmad*. Yuṣmad and another and *asmad* and another cannot be denoted by yuṣmad and asmad.

यदत्र युष्मद् यच असाद् तदाश्रयौ मध्यमोत्तमौ भविष्यतः

Second personal termination is used on account of the presence of yusmad in yusmad and anya and first personal termination is used on account of the presence of asmad in asmad and anya.

यथैव तर्हि यदत्र युष्मद् यचास्मत् तदाश्रयो मध्यमोत्तमो भवतः, एवं योऽत्र शेषः तदाश्रयः प्रथमः प्राप्नोति

If it is said that madhyama is used on account of yusmad in yusmad and anya and uttama is used on account of asmad in asmad and anya, there is chance for prathama also on account of the presence of $s\bar{e}sa$ also.

एवं तर्हि शेष उपपदे प्रथमो विधीयते । उपोचारि पदम् उपपदम् । यचात्र उपोचारि न स शेषः, यश्च शेषः न तदुपोचारि ।

If so, prathama is enjoined if \hat{se} sa is upapada. Upapada means the word read near. That which is read here near the verb is not \hat{se} sa (since it is yuṣmad and \hat{se} sa or asmad and \hat{se} sa) and that which is \hat{se} sa ($D\bar{e}vadatta$) is not $up\bar{o}cc\bar{a}ri$.

भवेत् - प्रथमो न स्थात् मध्यमोत्तमाविप न प्रामुतः

If there is no chance for prathama, there is no chance for madhyama and uttama.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

युष्मदस्मदोरुपपदयोर्मध्यमध्यमोत्तमावुच्येते । उपोच्चारि पदमुपपदम् । यचा-त्रोपोचारि न ते युष्मदस्मदी, ये च युष्मदस्मदी, न तदुपोचारि ।

Madhyama verb and uttama verb are enjoined when yuṣmad and asmad are respectively upapada. Upapada is the word read close to it. That which is upōccāri, is not yuṣmad or asmad. Those which are yuṣm id and usmad are not upōccāri.

एवं तर्हि शेषेण सामानाधिकरण्येन प्रथमो विधीयते, न चात्र शेषेणैव सामानाधिकरण्यम् If so, prathama is enjoined if it has $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranya$ with $s\bar{e}sa$. There is no $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranya$ with $s\bar{e}sa$ alone here.

भवेत्- - प्रथमो न स्यात्, मध्यमोत्तमाविष तु न प्राम्नतः

Yes, prathama may not come; but madhyama and uttama too may not have chance to come.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

युष्मद्सान्धां सामानाधिकरण्ये मध्यमोत्तमा उच्येते, न चात्र युष्मद्सान्धामेव सामानाधिकरण्यम् ।

Madhyama and uttama verbs are enjoined when there is $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranya$ with $yu\bar{s}mad$ and asmad; and here there is no $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranya$ only with $yu\bar{s}mad$ and asmad.

एवं तर्हि त्यदादीनि सर्वेर्नित्यमित्येवमत्र युष्मदस्मदोः शेषो भविष्यति । तत्र युष्मदि मध्यमोऽसाद्युत्तम इत्येव सिद्धम् ।

If so, śēśa refers to that other than yuṣmad and asmad in the list tyadādi found in the gaṇapāṭha. Hence the object is accomplished since madhyama is used along with yuṣmad and uttama is used along with asmad.

न सिध्यति, स्थानिन्यपि इति प्रथमः प्रामोति

No, it is not accomplished, since prathama will have chance to come, even if \$\sigma \bar{s}a\$ is not pronounced.

त्यदादीनां खल्विप यद्यत्परं तत्तत् शिष्यते इति यदां भवतः शेषः तदाश्रयः प्रथमः प्रामोति

If, in your opinion, śēṣa refers to whatever follows in tyadādis there is chance for prathama on that basis.

IV

युष्मदि मध्यमः असादि उत्तमः इत्येवोच्यते, ताविह न प्राप्नुतः - परमत्वं पचिस, परमाहं पचामि इति ।

It is only said that madhyama comes with yuşmad and uttama with asmad and hence those two will have no chance to serve as predicates with reference to paramatvam and paramāham and hence the following expressions cannot be secured:—
Paramatvam pacasi, Paramaham pacāmi.

तद्नतिविधिना भविष्यति

Such usage is secured through tadantavidhi.

इहापि तर्हि तदन्ति विधिना प्राप्नुतः, अतित्वं पचिति, अत्यहं पचिति इति । ये चाप्येते समानाधिकरणवृत्तयस्तद्धितास्तत्र च मध्यमोत्तमौ न प्राप्नुतः - त्वत्तरः पचिसि, मत्तरः पचामि इति ; त्वद्भूपः पचिसि, मद्भूपः पचिमि इति ; त्वत्कल्पः पचिसि, मत्कल्पः पचिमि इति ।

If so, those two sūtras will have chance to operate here too through tadantavidhi in Atitvam pacati and atyaham pacati. Besides there may not be a chance for madhyama and uttama to be used along with words having taddhitapratyayas of samānādhikaraṇavṛtti as in:—Tvattaraḥ pacasi, mattaraḥ pacāmi; Tvadrūpaḥ pacasi, madrūpaḥ pacāmi; Tvatkalpaḥ pacasi and matkalpaḥ pacāmi.

एवं तर्हि युष्मद्वत्यसमद्वति 1 इत्येवं भविष्यति

If so, yuşmadi and asmadi are taken to be used in the sense of yuşmadvati and asmadvati with matup-lopa.

इहापि तर्हि प्रामुतः, अतित्वं पचित, अत्यहं पचित इति

If so, they (madhyama and uttama verbs) will chance to come here too in Atitvam pacati and Atyaham pacati.

एवं तर्हि युष्मदि साधने, अस्मदि साधने इत्येवं भविष्यति

If so, it is taken thus:—Yuṣmadi sādhanē and asmadi sādhanē which means that madhyama is used when there is yuṣmadartha and utlama is used when there is asmad-artha.

एवं च कृत्वा सोऽप्यदोषो भवति यदुक्तं तत्न युष्मदस्मदन्येषु प्रथमप्रतिषेधः शेषत्वाद् इति

On this basis, the statement Tatra yuşmad-asmad-anyēşu prathama-pratisēdhaḥ śēṣatvat too will be free from defect.

अथ वा प्रथम उत्सर्गः करिष्यते । तस्य युष्मदस्मदोरुपपद्योः मध्यमोत्तमाव-पवादौ भविष्यतः । तत्र युष्मद्गन्धश्च असाद्गन्धश्च अस्तीति कृत्वा मध्यमोत्तमौ भविष्यतः ।

Or prathamah is read first as utsarga and Yuṣmadyupapadē and Asmadyupapadē become its apavādas. Madhyama and uttama happen there since there is something of yuṣmad and something of asmad in the above cases.

1. युष्मद्साद्वति is another reading.

V

अथेह कथं भवितव्यम् अत्वं त्वं संपद्यते इति त्वद्भवति, मद्भवतीति आहोस्वित् त्वद्भविस, मद्भवामि इति

Which is correct here:-Tvadbhavati (whose derivation is atvam tatvam bhavati) or tvadbhavasi and similarly madbhavati or madbhavāmi?

त्वद्भवति मद्भवति इत्येवं भवितव्यम्

Tratbhavati and madbhavati should be taken to be correct.

मध्यमोत्तमी कस्मान्न भवतः ?

Why do not madhyama and uttama set in here?

गौणमुख्ययोभुद्ये सम्प्रत्ययो भवति । तद्यथा गौरनुबन्ध्यो अजोऽभीषोभीयः इति न वाहीकोऽनुबध्यते

Operation should take place on the primary and not on the secondary one. This may be illustrated thus:—On hearing the vidhi $G\bar{a}ur$ $anubandhy\bar{o}sj\bar{o}sgn\bar{i}s\bar{o}m\bar{i}yah$, the resident of $V\bar{a}h\bar{i}kagr\bar{a}ma$ is not tied to the stake.

Note:— $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}$ $syak\bar{a}ra$ uses on many occasions $G\bar{a}ur$ $v\bar{a}h\bar{i}kah$.

कथं तर्हि वाहीके वृद्ध्यात्वे भवतः गौर्वाहीकिस्तिष्ठति, गामानय इति १ How then is it possible for vrddhi in the word $g\bar{o}$ as in $G\bar{a}uh$ $v\bar{a}h\bar{\imath}kah$ tiṣṭhati and $\bar{a}tva$ as in $g\bar{a}m$ $\bar{a}naya$ when it refers to $v\bar{a}h\bar{\imath}ka$?

अर्थाश्रय एतदेवं भवति

This holds good when the artha is taken into account.

यद्धि शब्दाश्रयं शब्दमात्रे तद्भवति । शब्दाश्रये च वृद्ध्यात्वे

That which holds good for a form of a word, holds good whether the word gives the primary sense or the secondary sense. Vrddhi and $\bar{a}tva$ are concerned with the form of the word $g\bar{o}$.

परः सन्निकर्षः संहिता (1, 4, 109)

परः सन्निकर्षः संहिता चेदद्रुतायामसंहितम्

If the definition of $samhit\bar{a}$ is the close proximity in pronunciation, it cannot have within its range sounds which are not produced in the quick mode of pronunciation.

परः सन्निकर्षः संहिता चेद् अद्भुतायां वृत्तौ संहितासंज्ञा न प्रामोति, द्रुतायामेव हि परः सन्निकर्षो वर्णानां, नाद्भुतायाम् ।

If the samjñā samhitā is given to the close proximity of two sounds, the samjñā cannot hold good where the sounds are not produced in the quick mode of pronunciation, since close proximity is possible between two sounds only when they are pronounced quickly one after the other and not otherwise.

तुल्यः सनिकर्षः Proximity between two sounds is the same.

तुल्यः सन्निकर्षो वर्णानां द्भुतमध्यमविलम्बितासु वृत्तिषु

Proximity between two sounds is the same in whatever $v_{l}ttis$ they are pronounced— $drut\bar{a}$, $madhyam\bar{a}$ and $vilambit\bar{a}$.

Note: Drutā vṛttiḥ is the rapid mode of pronunciation, madhyamavṛttiḥ is the medium mode of pronunciation and vilambitāvṛttiḥ is the slow mode of pronunciation.

किंकृतस्तर्हि विशेषः?

What is the cause, then, of their difference?

वर्णकालभूयस्त्वम् तु

It is the duration of time spent in pronouncing the sounds.

वर्णानां तु कालभूयस्त्वम

It is the duration - long, medium or short - of time spent in pronouncing them.

तद्यथा हस्तिमशकयोस्तुल्यः सन्निकर्षः, प्राणिभूयस्त्वं तु

It may be illustrated thus:—The proximity between one elephant and another when they stand together is the same as that between one mosquito and another when they stand together, though there is difference in their respective size.

यद्येवम्]f so,

द्रुतायां तपरकरणे मध्यमविलम्बितयोरुपसङ्ख्यानं, कालभेदात्

If there is taparakarana to a sound in drutāvr/ti, there is need for the upasankhyāna of sounds in other vrttis on account of difference in the duration of pronunciation.

द्रुतायां तपरकरणे मध्यमविलम्बितयोरुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम्

If taparakarana is made in drutāvrtti, there is need for the upasankhyāna of madhyamā and vilambitā.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

कालमेदात् - ये द्रुतायां वृत्तौ वर्णाः विभागाधिकास्ते मध्यमायां, ये मध्यमायां वृत्तौ वर्णाः विभागाधिकास्ते विलिम्बितायाम्

On account of the difference in the duration of pronunciation. The sounds that are pronounced in *madhyamā vrtti* take one and one-third duration of those in *drutā vrtti* and the sounds that are pronounced in *vilambitā vrtti* take one and one-third duration of those in *madhyamā vrtti*.

¹ उक्तं वा It has been answered.

किमुक्तम्? How has it been answered?

सिद्धं त्वविश्विता वर्णा वक्तुश्चिराचिरवचनाद्भृत्तयो विशिष्यन्ते इति It is accomplished; the quantity of sounds is settled; the time of pronouncing them varies with different persons.

अथवा शब्दाविरामः संहिता इत्येतस्रक्षणं करिष्यते
Or non-stopping of sounds will be stated to be the lakṣaṇa of saṃhitā.

शब्दाविरामे प्रतिवर्णमवसानम्

If śabdāvirāma is taken to be the samhitālakṣaṇa, there is chance for every letter taking avasānasamijāā.

शब्दाविरामे प्रतिवर्णमवसानसंज्ञा प्राप्तोति

If śabdāvirāma is taken to be the samhitālakṣaṇa, there is chance for every letter taking avasānasamijāā.

किमिदं प्रतिवर्णमिति ! What is this prativarn !?

वर्ण वर्ण प्रति प्रतिवर्ण

The derivation of prativarnam is varnam varnam.

येनैव प्रयह्नेनको वर्ण उच्चार्यते, विच्छिन्ने वर्णे उपसंहत्य तमन्यमुपादाय द्वितीयः प्रयुज्यते, तथा तृतीयः, तथा चतुर्थः । एवं तर्हि अनवकाशा संहितासंज्ञा अवसानसंज्ञां बाधिष्यते ।

After a varna is pronounced with a certain prayatna we dispense with it and take recourse to another prayatna to pronounce the second varna and after finishing it, we follow

1, Vol. III pp. 250 & 251 (under Taparas tatkālasya 1, 1, 70).

the same process to pronounce the third varna, the fourth varna etc. If so, $sa\dot{m}hit\bar{a}sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ being $anavak\bar{a}\dot{s}a$ will set at naught $avas\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

अथ वा अवसानसंज्ञायां प्रकर्षगितिर्विज्ञास्यते, साधीयो यो विराम इति Or the word $s\bar{a}dh\bar{\imath}yal$ is added to $vir\bar{a}ma$ in the laksaṇa of $avas\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

कश्च साधीयः ? What is meant by sādhīya-virāma?

यः शब्दार्थयोर्विरामः The virāma of śabda and artha.

 $Note:-N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\hat{s}abhatta$ reads here:- $\hat{S}abdasya$ virāmah $\hat{s}ravanakrtah$ arthasya bōdhakrtah.

अथ वा हादाविरामः संहिता इत्येतल्लक्षणं करिष्यते

Or the lakean is read thus: $-Hr\bar{a}d\bar{a}vir\bar{a}m^{a}h$ samhitā.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa explains the meaning of hrāda thus:—Prayatnaviśēṣajanitō varṇavirāmēspi anuraṇanarūpō varṇāntarō-ccāraṇēspi anuvartamānaḥ ghōṣō hrādā ucyatē. Hrāda is the voice which continues between two sounds of different prayatnas.)

ह्रादाविरामे स्पर्शाघोषसंयोगेऽसन्निधानादसंहितम्

If $hr\bar{a}d\bar{a}vir\bar{a}ma$ is taken to be the laksana of $samhit\bar{a}$, there will be $avy\bar{a}pti$ when the first and second letters of each of the five vargas are used as conjunct consonants on account of the $asannidh\bar{a}na$ of $hr\bar{a}da$.

ह्वादाविरामे स्पर्शानामघोषाणां च संयोगेऽसन्निधानात् संहितासंज्ञा न प्राप्तोति - कुक्कुटः, पिप्पकः, पित्तम् इति ।

If $hr\bar{a}d\bar{a}vir\bar{a}ma$ is taken to be the lakṣaṇa of $sanhit\bar{a}$, there will be $avy\bar{a}pti$ when the first and second letters of each of the five vargas are used as conjunct consonants on account of the $asannidh\bar{a}na$ of $hr\bar{a}da$, as between k and k, p and p and t and t in the words kukkuṭaḥ, pippakaḥ and pittam.

Note:—Since $hr\bar{a}da$ is voice and since k, p, t are voiceless consonants, there will be no voice between k and k, p and p and t and t, though there is voice after vowels and voiced consonants.

किमुच्यते संयोग इति ? Why is it said that it is in samyoga?

अथ यत्रैकः पचति इति

What is the case where there is one voiceless consonant (as c or t) not in conjunction with another?

एकः पूर्वपरयोहिदिन प्रच्छाद्यते । तद्यथा द्वयो रक्तयोर्वस्त्रयोर्भध्ये शुक्कं वस्त्रं तद्गणमुपलभ्यते । बदरपिटके रिक्तको लोहकसस्तद्भण उपलभ्यते ।

The one in the middle (the consonant c t) between two vowels is covered by their $hr\bar{a}da$ in the same way as the white cloth between two red cloths appears to be red and as a small stray ball of red copper in the basket full of jujube fruits appears to have their quality.

एकेन तुल्यः सनिधिः । यथैवैको वर्णो हादेन प्रच्छाद्यते, एवमनेकोऽपि । Sannidhi (of many) is similar to that of one. Just as one letter is covered by $hr\bar{a}da$ of the preceding vowel and the succeeding vowel, so also are many covered by the same.

अथ वा पौर्वापर्यमकालब्यवेतं संहिता इत्येतल्लक्षणं करिष्यते Or samhita is defined to be the continuity without being intercepted by varna-śūnya-kāla.

पौर्वापर्यमकालव्यवेतं संहिता चेत् पूर्वापराभावादसंहितम्

If the laksana of samhitā is avyavētam pāurvāparyam, there is no chance for samhitasamjña on account of the absence of pūrvatva and aparatva.

पौर्वापर्यमकालब्यवेतं संहिता चेत् पूर्वापराभावात् संहितासंज्ञा न प्राप्नोति । न हि वर्णानां पौर्वापर्यमस्ति ।

If akālavyavētam pāurvāparyam is said to be the lakṣaṇa of $samhit\bar{a}$, there is no chance for securing $samhit\bar{a}samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$; for there is no pāurvāparya among varnas.

किं कारणंम् श Why?

एकैकवर्णवर्तित्वाद्वाच उचरितप्रध्वंसित्वाच वर्णानाम् On account of the vocal organ pronouncing only one varṇa at a time and on account of the loss of what was pronounced.

एकैकवर्णवर्तिनी वाङ् न द्वौ युगपदुचारयति । गौः इति यावद् गकारे वर्तते न औकारे न विसर्जनीये, यावदौकारे न गकारे न विसर्जनीये, यावद्विसर्जनीये न गकारे न औकारे । उच्चरितप्रध्वंसित्वात् - उच्चरितप्रध्वंसिनः खल्विप वर्णाः । उच्चरितः प्रध्वस्तः । अथापरः प्रयुज्यते, न वर्णो वर्णस्य सहायः ।

Vocal organ pronounces only one varna at a time and does not pronounce two varnas simultaneously. When it is engaged in pronouncing $gak\bar{a}ra$ of the word $g\bar{a}uh$, it is not engaged in pronouncing either $\bar{a}uk\bar{a}ra$ or visarga; similarly when it is engaged in pronouncing $\bar{a}uk\bar{a}ra$, it is not engaged in pronouncing gakāra or visarga; when it is engaged in pronouncing visarga it is not engaged in pronouncing either $gak\bar{a}ra$ or āukāra. On account of the pradhvainsa of what was pronounced, varnas evidently die after being pronounced; what was pronounced is now dead. Then another varna is pronounced. No varna is pronounced along with another varna.

एवं तर्हि

बुद्धी कृत्वा सर्वाश्चेष्टाः कर्ता धीरस्तत्वन्नीतिः । शब्देनार्थान् वाच्यान्हप्ता बुद्धो . कुर्यात्पौर्वापर्यम् ॥

बुद्धिविषयमेव शब्दानां पौर्वापर्यम् । इह य एष मनुष्यः प्रेक्षापूर्वकारी भवति स पर्यति असिन्धर्थेऽयं शब्दः प्रयोक्तव्यः, अस्मिस्तावच्छव्देऽयं ताबद्वर्णस्ततोऽयं ततोऽयम् इति ।

If so, the intelligent speaker forms in his mind the whole of what he has to say, thinks of the words which convey his meaning and the letters in order which make up the words.

Succession of words lies only in mind. Here the man, if he is one of foresight, sees in his mind's eye that this word should be pronounced to convey this idea and these are the letters in succession which form this word.

विरामोऽवसानम् (1, 4, 110)

इदं विचार्यते अभावो वा अवसानलक्षणं स्याद् विरामो वा इति This is examined whether abhava is the luksana of avasāna or virāma.

कश्चात्र विशेषः ? What is the difference ?
अभावेऽवसानलक्षणे उपर्यभाववचनम्
lf abhāva is the avasānalakṣaṇa, there is need to read uparyabhāva.

अभावे अवसानलक्षणे उपर्यभावग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । उपरि यो अभाव इति वक्तव्यम् । पुरस्तादिपि हि शब्दस्याभावः, तत्र मा भूद् इति ।

If $abh\bar{a}va$ is given as the lakṣaṇa of $avas\bar{a}na$, $abh\bar{a}va$ should be replaced by $uparyabh\bar{a}va$ i.e. the $abh\bar{a}va$ after the $\acute{s}abda$, so that it may not refer to the $abh\bar{a}va$ which exists even before the word.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaļa$ reads here: $-Varņ\bar{o}cc\bar{a}ran\bar{a}d$ $\bar{u}rdhvam$ $y\bar{o}s-bh\bar{a}vah$, tad-upalakṣit \bar{o} $varn\bar{o}svas\bar{a}nam$ iti vaktvyam, $pr\bar{a}gabh\bar{a}$ -vasya upalakṣaṇatva-nivṛttyartham

किं च स्यात्? What will then happen?

रसः, रथः, खरवसानयोर्विसर्जनीयः इति विसर्जनीयः प्रामोति ।

Visarga will have chance to replace r in the words rasal and rathal on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra-Kharavas\bar{a}nay\bar{o}r$ visarjaniyal (8, 3, 15).

Note: $-N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\hat{s}abhatta$ reads here: $-Pad\bar{a}vayavasya$ avasānē rēphasya visarga iti sūtrārthaḥ, Khari iti vākyē rēphāntasya padasya ityartha iti bōdhyam.

अस्तु तर्हि विरामः If so, let it be virama.

विरामे विरामवचनम्

If $vir\bar{a}ma$ is $avas\bar{a}nalakṣaṇa$, there is need for the reading of $vir\bar{a}ma$.

यस्य विरामः, विरामग्रहणं तेन कर्तव्यम्

Why! he too who holds the view that virāma is avasānu-lakṣaṇa, has to read virāma.

ननु च यस्याप्यभावः तेनाप्यभावग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्

Why! he too who holds the view that $abh\bar{a}va$ is $avas\bar{a}na-lakṣaṇa$, has to read $abh\bar{a}va$.

परार्थं मम भविष्यति अभावो लोपः ततोऽवसानं चेति

It will be useful in my opinion, for another; it will be the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}in$ of $l\bar{o}pa$ and $upalaksa\dot{n}a$ of $avas\bar{a}na$.

ममापि ताई विरामप्रहणं परार्थं भविष्यति, विरामो छोपः, अवसानं च इति . Even to me then the mention of $vir\bar{a}ma$ will be useful for another; it will be the $samj\tilde{n}in$ of $l\tilde{o}pa$ and upalaksana of $avas\bar{a}na$.

उपरि विरामवचनम् Reading of Upari virāmaḥ.

उपरि यो विराम इति वक्तन्यम् । पुरस्ताद्पि हि शब्दस्य विरामः तत्र मान्भूत्

The word $vir\bar{a}ma$ must be qualified by the word upari, so that the $vir\bar{a}ma$ which precedes the word may not be the upalak sana of $avas \bar{a}na$.

किं च स्थात्! What will be the effect if it happens so?

रसः, रथः, खरवसानयोर्विसर्जनीय इति विसर्जनीयः प्रसज्येत

Visarga will replace r in rasaḥ and rathaḥ by the sūtra Kharava-sānayōr visarjanīyaḥ.

नैष दोषः, आरम्भपूर्वको मम विरामः

This difficulty does not arise; for $vir\bar{a}ma$, in my opinion, can be for one that has a beginning.

अथ वा नेदमवसानलक्षणं विचार्यते

Or the lakṣaṇa of avasāna is not discussed here.

किं तर्हि ! What then?

संज्ञी The samjñin (of avasāna).

अभावोऽवसानसंज्ञी स्याद्विरामो वेति । कश्चात्र विशेषः ?

Let the samjñin of avasāna be abhāva or virāma. What difference does it make?

अभावेऽवसानसंज्ञिनि उपर्यभाववचनम्

If $abh\bar{a}va$ is $avas\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}in$, there is need to qualify $abh\bar{a}va$ by upari.

अभावेऽवसानसंज्ञिनि उपर्यभावग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । उपरि यो अभाव इति वक्तव्यम्ः। पुरस्तादिप हि शब्दस्य अभावस्तत्र मा भूदिति ।

If $abh\bar{a}va$ is the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}in$ of $avas\bar{a}na$, there is need to read $uparyabh\bar{a}va$, which means the $abh\bar{a}va$ which follows; for there is $abh\bar{a}va$ even before the word and the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ should not reach it.

किं च स्यात्? What is the harm if it reaches it?

रसः, रथः, खरवसानयोर्विसर्जनीय इति विसर्जनीयः प्रसज्येत .Visarga will replace r by the sūtra Kharavasānayōr visarjanīyaḥ.

अस्तु तर्हि विरामोऽवसानम्

If so, let virāma be the samjñin of avasāna.

यस्य विरामः, तेन विरामग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्

He who holds that virāma is the samjñin of avasāna has to read virāma.

ननु च यस्याप्यभावः, तेनाप्यभावग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्

Why! Even he who holds that $abh\bar{a}va$ is the $sainj\tilde{n}in$ has to read $abh\hat{a}va$.

परार्थ मम भविष्यति - अभावो लोपः, ततोऽवसानं च इति

It will, in my opinion, be useful to another; $abh\bar{a}va$ will be the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}in$ of $l\bar{o}p\tau$ and $avas\bar{a}na$.

ममापि तर्हि विरामग्रहणं पराध भविष्यति - विरामो लोपः, अवसानं च इति $Vir\bar{a}ma$, too, will, then, in my opinion be useful for another; it will be the $samj\tilde{n}in$ of $l\bar{o}pa$ and also $avas\bar{a}na$.

उपरि यो विराम इति वक्तव्यम्

Virāma should be qualified by the word upari.

ननु चोक्तम् आरम्भपूर्वको विराम इति

Oh, it has been said that $vir\bar{a}ma$ can be for one that has a beginning.

नावश्यमयं रिमः प्रवृत्तावेव वर्तते

The root ram does not, necessarily, mean niviti preceded by praviti (commencement.)

किं तर्हि ? What does it mean then ?

अप्रवृत्ताविष - तद्यथा उपरतान्यिसम् कुले त्रतानि, उपरतः खाध्यायः इति । न च तत्र स्वाध्यायो भूतपूर्वो भवति, नाषि त्रतानि ।

It is used to denote apravrtti, as in the sentences uparatāni asmin kulē vratāni (vratas have become obsolete in this family), uparatah svādhyāyah (study of $V\bar{e}das$ has become obsolete.)

भावविरामभावित्वाच्छब्द्स्यावसानलक्षणं न

Impossibility of avasānalakṣaṇa to śabda on account of its existence through non-virāma of bhāva.

भावाविरामभावित्वाच्छब्दस्य अवसानस्रक्षणं नोपपद्यते

It is not possible for $\hat{s}abda$ to have $avas\bar{a}nalak sana$ on account of the fact that it exists through the $non-vir\bar{a}ma$ of $bh\bar{a}va$.

किमिदं भावाविरामभावित्वादु इति ?

What is this - bhāvāvirāmabhāvitvāt?

भावस्य अविरामः भावाविरामः; भावाविरामेण भवतीति भावाविरामभावी; भावाविरामभाविनो भावः, भावाविरामभावित्वम् The derivation of $bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}vir\bar{a}mabh\bar{a}vitvam$ is this:— $bh\bar{a}vasya$ $avir\bar{a}mah = bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}vir\bar{a}mah$; $bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}vir\bar{a}m\bar{e}na$ bhavati iti $bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}vir\bar{a}mabh\bar{a}v\bar{a}$; $bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}vir\bar{a}mabh\bar{a}vin\bar{o}$ $bh\bar{a}vah = bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}vir\bar{a}mabhavavir\bar{a}mabhavavir\bar{a}mabhavaviram$.

अपर आह - भावभावित्वाद् अविरामभावित्वाच शब्दस्य अवसानलक्षणं नोपपद्यते इति

Another says that it is not possible for $\hat{s}abda$ to have $avas\bar{a}na$ - $lak \hat{s}ana$ since it exists through $bh\bar{a}va$ and $avir\bar{a}ma$.

Note: Kāiyata tells anupapattih of laksanudraya thus.

Bhāvēna sattayā avirāmēņa avicchēdēna bhavati ityarthaļi.

तत्पर इति वा वर्णस्यावसानम्

Or avasānasamjñā is to the varņa having avasāna after it.

विरामपरो वर्णोऽवसानसंज्ञो भवतीति वक्तव्यम्

It must be stated that the varna which has $avas\bar{a}na$ following it gets the $avas\bar{a}nasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$

वर्णोऽन्त्यो वावसानम्

Or the final letter of a word gets the avasānasanijāā.

अथवा व्यक्तमेव पठितव्यम्, अन्त्यो वर्णोऽवसानसंज्ञो भवतीति

Or it must be plainly stated that the final letter of a word gets the $avas\bar{a}nasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

तत्ति वक्तव्यम् It must, then, be stated.

न वक्तन्यम् No, it need not be stated.

संहितावसानयोर्लीकविदितत्वात् सिद्धम्

It is achieved since samhita and avasana are known to the world.

संहितावसानमिति लोकविदितावेतावर्थों । एवं च कश्चित् कञ्चिद् अधीयान-माह रान्नो देवीयं संहितया अधीष्व इति । स तत्र परमसन्निकर्षमधीते । अपर आह केन अवस्यसि इति । स आह अकारेणेकारेकारेण इति । एवभेतौ लोकविदि-तावर्थों, तयोः लोकविदितत्वात् सिद्धम् इति ॥

The words $samhit\bar{a}$ and $avas\bar{a}nam$ are known to the world. One says to another, "Read the $s\bar{u}kta$ $Sann\bar{o}$ $d\bar{e}v\bar{i}h$... in the $samhit\bar{a}$ form." He reads it one word closely following another. Another says, "With which do you end?" The other replies, "With a, i and u. Thus the two words are known to the world and hence the object is achieved.

Note:—This shows that Vārttikakāra feels that the sūtras Paraḥ sannikarṣaḥ ... and virāmōsvasānam are not necessary.

EIGHTEENTH ÄHNIKA ENDS First Chapter of Aṣṭādhyāyī ends.

Nineteenth Ahnika

(Second $adhy\bar{a}ya$, first $p\bar{a}da$, first $\bar{a}hnika$)

समर्थः पदिविधिः (2, 1, 1)

This āhnika deals with this sūtra alone. It contains ten topics:—(1) What is the meaning of the word vidhih? (2) Is this adhikāra-sūtra or paribhāṣā? Does the word samartha refer to ēkārthībhāva or vyapēkṣā? (3) What is the need for the word samartha in the sūtra, or what is the need for this sūtra? (4) What are the different kinds of sāmarthya? (5) What is the definition of vākya? (6) Is there possibility to split rājagavīkṣīram in two ways? (7) What for is the samarthādhikāra in padavidhi? (8) Is there need to add samānādhikaranēṣu? (9) Is there need to add luptākhyātēṣu? (10) How is samāsa formed between two at a time or more than two?

Ι

विधिरिति कोऽयं शब्दः ?

What is this—the word vidhi? i.e. what is its meaning?

वि-पूर्वात् धाञः कर्मसाधनः इकारः - विधीयते विधिरिति
The word vidhi means that which is enjoined and is formed by adding the suffix i denoting karma to the root $dh\bar{a}$ preceded by the preposition vi.

किं पुनर्विधीयते ? What is enjoined?

समासः, विभक्तिविधानं, पराङ्गवद्भावश्च

Compound, special vidhis regarding case-suffixes and the state of considering one as if it is a part of what follows.

П

किं पुनरयमधिकारः, आहोस्वित् परिभाषा? Is this, then, adhikāra-sūtra or paribhāṣā - sūtra?

Note:—Kāiyata says:-Kārya-viśēṣa-anirdēśād asya pārārthyam avagatya parārthēṣu rūpadvayam dṛṣṭvā pṛcchati.

कः पुनरधिकारपरिभाषयोर्विशेषः ?

What is the difference between adhikara and paribhasa?

अधिकारः प्रतियोगं तस्यानिर्देशार्थ इति योगेयोगे उपिष्ठिते । परिभाषा पुनः एकदेशस्था सती कृत्सं शास्त्रम् अभिज्वलयति प्रदीपवत् ; तद्यथा, प्रदीपः सुप्रज्वलितः एकदेशस्थः सर्वं वेश्माभिज्वलयति ।

The need for $adhik\bar{a}ra$ - $s\bar{u}tra$ is to avoid repetition of the same in each $s\bar{u}tra$ and hence it is taken to each $s\bar{u}tra$ for the interpretation of the latter. On the other hand $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$, remaining in its own place, illumines the whole $s\bar{a}stra$ in the same way as a lamp, well-lighted, illumines the whole house from the place where it is

कः पुनरत्र प्रयत्नविशेषः?

What is the special effort to be taken here?

अधिकारे स्राते स्वरियतन्यम् । परिभाषायां पुनः सत्यां सर्वम् अपेक्ष्यम् । If it is taken as ādhikāra-sūtra, the accent svarita is to be used (on the word samartha, and consequently the word padavidhih is unnecessary) If it is taken, on the other hand, as pari-bhāṣā-sūtra, there is need for such a linga as will enable the whole range within the purview of pada-vidhi to be taken into account.

तथा इदमपरं द्वैतं भवति, एकार्थीभावो वा सामर्थ्यं स्थाद् व्यपेक्षा वा Besides another doubt arises whether $s\bar{a}marthya$ in either case refers to $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ or $vyap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}$.

Note:— $\bar{E}k\bar{a}rth\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ is found where the meaning of one word merges into another, it being $vi\dot{s}\bar{e}sana$ to it as in $r\bar{a}jan$ in $r\bar{a}japurusa$ or the word tells the meaning of another as $p\bar{\imath}ta$ and ambaram in the word $p\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}mbarah$. $Vyap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}$ is the capacity of one word needing another to complete the sense, as $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ needing purusah. The former is found in compounds and the latter in simple words.

तत्र एकार्थीमावे सामर्थ्ये अधिकारे च सित समास एकः संगृहीतो भवित, विभक्तिविधानं पराङ्गवद्भावश्च असंगृहीतः । व्यपेक्षायां पुनः सामर्थ्ये अधिकारे च सित विभक्तिविधानं पराङ्गवद्भावश्च संगृहीतो भवित, समासस्त्वेकोऽसंगृहीतः । अन्यत्र खल्बिप समर्थप्रहणानि युक्तप्रहणानि च कर्तव्यानि भविन्त ।

If it is taken that it is an adhikāra-sūtra and sāmarthya refers to ēkārthībhāva, samāsa alone comes within the purview of the sūtra and vibhaktividhāna and parāngavadbhāva fall outside its range; but, on the other hand, if it is taken that it is an adhikāra-sūtra and sāmarthya refers to vyapēkṣā, vibhakti-vidhāna and parāngavadbhāva come within the purview of the

 $s\bar{u}tra$ and $sam\bar{a}sa$ alone falls outside its range; besides there is need for reading the words samartha and yukta elsewhere.

कान्यत्र? Which does elsewhere refer to?

इसुसोः सामर्थ्ये, न चवाहाहैवयुक्ते इति

To the $s\bar{u}tras\ Isus\bar{o}h\ s\bar{a}marthy\bar{\epsilon}$ (8, 3, 44) and $Na\ ca\ v\bar{a}h\bar{a}h\bar{a}ivayukt\bar{\epsilon}$ (8, 1, 24).

व्यपेक्षायां पुनः सामर्थ्ये परिभाषायां च सत्यां यावान् व्याकरणे पद्गन्धो नाम स सर्वः संगृहीतो भवति, समासम्त्वेकोऽसंगृहीतः

If it is taken that it is $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ and $s\bar{a}marthya$ refers to $vyap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}$, wherever there is scope for padavidhi it comes within the range of this $s\bar{u}tra$ but $sam\bar{a}sa$ alone falls outside.

तत्र एकार्थीभावः सामर्थ्य परिमापा च इत्येवं सूत्रमभिन्नतरकं भवति Among them, taking that the $s\bar{u}tra$ is $puribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ and $s\bar{a}marthya$ refers to $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{v}bh\bar{a}va$ may better enable the $s\bar{u}tra$ to convey the desired meaning without $s\bar{u}tra$ -split.

एवमपि कचिदकर्तव्यं समर्थग्रहणं कियते, कचिच कर्तव्यं न कियते । अकर्तव्यं कियते समर्थानां प्रथमाद्वा इति ; कर्तव्यं न कियते, कर्मण्यण् , समर्थादिति । Even then the word samartha is read where it is not necessary and it is not read where it is necessary. It is not necessary in the sūtra Samarthānām prathamād vā (4, 1, 82) and samarthād is necessary in the sūtra Karmanyan (3, 2, 1).

ननु च गम्यते तत्र सामर्थ्यं कुम्भकारो नगरकार इति
Oh! sāmarthya is seen in kumbhakārah and nagarakārah.

सत्यं गम्यते उत्पन्ने हि प्रत्यये; स एव तावत् समर्थादुत्पाद्यः Yes, it is seen only after the pratyaya is added and it has to be secured through sāmarthya.

III

अथ समर्थग्रहणं किमर्थम् ?

What, then, is the need for the word samarthah?

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says here that the need of the $s\bar{u}tra$ itself is indirectly questioned.

वक्ष्यति द्वितीया श्रितादिभिः समस्यते, कष्टश्रितो नरकश्रित इति

 $(Ac\bar{a}rya)$ is going to enjoin (in 2-1-24) that a word in the second case compounds with $\dot{s}rita$ etc., as in $ka\dot{s}ta\dot{s}rita\dot{h}$ and $naraka\dot{s}rita\dot{h}$.

समर्थग्रहणं किमर्थम्? What for is the word samartha there? पश्य देवदत्त कष्टं - श्रितो विष्णुमित्रो गुरुकुलम्

So that samāsa may not take place between kaṣṭam and śritaḥ found at the end and at the beginning of the two sentences—Paṣya Dēradatta kaṣṭam and Śritō Viṣṇumitrō gurukulam, where there is no sāmarthya between kaṣṭam and śritaḥ since kaṣṭam governs paṣya and śritaḥ is governed by gurukulam.

तृतीया तत्कृतार्थेन गुणवचनेन - उपादानविकलः, शङ्कुलाखण्डः, किरिकाणः। (Acārya is going to read) the sūtra Trtīyā tat-krtārthēna guṇa-vicanēna (2, 1, 30) by which the compound forms upādāna-vikalaḥ (one who is emaciated through the control of sense-organs), śaṅkulā-khaṇḍaḥ (one who is cut with lancet) and kirikāṇaḥ (one who has become blind through eye-disease) are secured.

सनर्थग्रहणं किमर्थम्? What for is the word samartha?

तिष्ठ त्वं शङ्कुलया, खण्डो धावति मुसलेन; किं त्वं करिष्यसि शङ्कुलया, खण्डो विष्णुमित्र उपलेन ।

So that śankulayā and khandah in the following pairs of sentences may not compound with each other:—Tiṣṭha tram śankulayā, Khandō dhāvati musalēna; kim tvam kariṣyasi śankulayā, Khandō Viṣṇumitra upalēna.

चतुर्थी तद्रश्रीश्वालिहितसुखरिक्षतै: - गोहितम्, वृषभिहतं, अश्विहतम् (Ācārya is going to read) the sūlra Caturthī tad-arthārtha-bali-hita-sukha-rakṣitāiḥ (2, 1, 36) by which the forms gō-hitam, vrṣabha-hitam and aśva-hitam are secured.

समर्थग्रहणं किमर्थम्? What for is the word samartha? सुखं गोभ्यः, हितं देवदत्ताय

So that gōbhyah and hitam in the two sentences Sukham gōbhyah and Hitam Dēvadattāya may not compound with each other.

पञ्चमी भयेन - वृकभयं, दस्युभयं, चोरभयम्

(Ācārya is going to read) the sūtra Pañcamī bhayēna (2, 1, 37) by which the forms vṛka-bhayam, dasyu-bhayam and cōra-bhayam are secured.

समर्थमहणं किमर्थम् ? What for is the word samartha?

गच्छ त्वम्, मा वृकेभ्यः, भयं देवदत्ताद् यज्ञदत्तस्य

So that the words $vrk\bar{e}bhyah$ and bhayam may not compound with each other in the sentences $Gaccha\ tva\dot{m}$ $m\bar{a}\ vrk\bar{e}bhyah$, $Bhayam\ D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}d\ Yaj\tilde{n}adattasya$.

षष्ठी सुबन्तेन समस्यते - राजपुरुषः, ब्राह्मणकम्बलः

A word in the sixth case compounds itself with a *subanta*, as in $r\bar{a}ja$ -puruṣaḥ and $br\bar{a}hmaṇa$ -kambalaḥ.

समर्थग्रहणं किमर्थन्? What for is the word samartha? भार्या राज्ञः, पुरुषो देवदत्तस्य इति

So that the words $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ and $puru\bar{s}ah$ in the sentences $Bh\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$ $raj\tilde{n}ah$ and $Puru\bar{s}\bar{o}$ $D\bar{e}vadattasya$ may not compound together.

सप्तमी शौण्डै: - अक्षशौण्डः, स्त्रीशौण्डः

(Ācārya is going to read) the sūtra Saptamī śāundaīh (2, 1, 40) by which the forms akṣa-śāundaḥ and strī-śāundaḥ are secured.

समर्थप्रहणं किमर्थम् ? What for is the word samartha?

कुशलो देवदत्तोऽक्षेषु, शौण्डः पिबति पानागारे

So that ak ildes ilde e ildes u and s ilde a u ilde u ilde d a h in the sentences Ku ilde s a l ilde o D ilde e v a - d a t t ilde o ilde s ilde k ilde e ilde e ilde o ilde u ilde e a h and s ilde a u ilde u ilde a d a h p ilde a b ilde a ilde

अथ कियमाणेऽपि समर्थग्रहणे इह कस्मान्न भवति - महत्कष्टं श्रित इति? Even when the word samartha is read, what is the reason for the absence of the compound in mahat kaṣṭam śritaḥ?

न वा भवति महाकष्टश्रित इति ?

Does it not compound into mahākaṣṭaśritaḥ?

भवति यदैतद् वाक्यं भवति - महत् कष्टम् = महाकष्टम् , महाकष्टं श्रितः = महाकष्टाश्रितः इति । यदा त्वेतद् वाक्यं भवति महत्कष्टं श्रित इति तदा न भवितव्यम् । तदा च प्राप्नोति

It does form into a compound if the sentence takes the following form-Mahat $kastam = mah\bar{a}kastam$, $mah\bar{a}kastam$ $sritah = mah\bar{a}kastasritah$. If, on the other hand, the sentence takes this form-mahat kastam sritah, there is no sanction for the formation of the compound; but it chances to come then.

तदा कसान्न भवति? Why does it not form a compound there? कस्य कसान्न भवति ? किं द्वयोः, आहोत्विद् बहूनाम्?

To which does the question kasmān na bhavati refer? Does it refer to two words or to more than two?

बहूनां कस्मान्न भवति ? Why does it not happen to many ? सुप्सुपा इति वर्तते

There is anuvrtti for sup and $sup\bar{a}$ from the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Sup\bar{a}$ (2, 1, 4) by which one subanta compounds itself with another subanta.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads here: $-Sankhy\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ vivakṣitatv $\bar{a}d$ ēkasy $\bar{a}iva$ subantasya ēkēna subantēna samāsah; tatō bah $\bar{u}n\bar{u}m$ na bhavati ityarthah.

ननु च भो आकृतौ शास्त्राणि प्रवर्तन्ते । तद्यथा - प्रातिपदिकाद् इति वर्तमाने अन्यस्माचान्यस्माच प्रातिपदिकाद् उत्पत्तिभवति ।

Oh! Sir, rules in the $\dot{s}\bar{a}stra$ refer to genus. On the mention of $pr\bar{a}tipadik\bar{a}t$, $k\bar{a}rya$ takes place after one $pr\bar{a}tipadika$, then after another, then after another and so on.

सत्यमेवैतत् । आकृतिस्तु प्रत्येकं परिसमाप्यते, न समुदाये । यावत्येतत् परिसमाप्यते प्रातिपदिकादिति, तावत् उत्भन्त्या भवितव्यम् । प्रत्येकं चैतत् परिसमाप्यते न समुदाये । एवम् इहापि यावत्येतत् परिसमाप्यते सुप्सुपेति, तावतः समासेन भवितव्यम् । द्वयोश्चेतत् परिसमाप्यते, न बहुषु ।

It is certainly true. But genus refers to one individual at a time and not to the whole group simultaneously. Operation takes place to that which is referred to by $pr\bar{a}tipadik\bar{a}t$. It refers to each individual $pr\bar{a}tipadika$ and not to a group of $pr\bar{a}tipadikas$. So also $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}sa$ should take place here only where $Sup\ sup\bar{a}$ has its $sam\bar{a}pti$ (operation). It has it with reference to every two and not for more than two.

द्वयोस्तर्हि कसान्न भवति?

How is it, then, samāsa does not take place between the two (i.e.) between kaṣṭam and śritaḥ in mahatkaṣṭam śritaḥ)?

असामध्यति On account of the absence of sāmarthyu. कथमसामध्यम् ? How is it that there is asāmarthyu? सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति

That which is qualified by a separate word does not compound with another.

यदि सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवतीत्युच्यते, राजपुरुषोऽभिरूपः राजपुरुषो दर्शनीयः अत

If it is said $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ sam asamartham bhavati, puruṣa in the word $r\bar{a}j$ apuruṣa in the sentences $R\bar{a}j$ apuruṣ \bar{a} sbhir \bar{u} paḥ and $R\bar{a}j$ apuruṣ \bar{a} darśan \bar{u} yaḥ, cannot compound with $r\bar{a}j$ an.

नैष दोषः । प्रधानमत्र सापेक्षम् । भवति च प्रधानस्य सापेक्षस्यापि समासः । This difficulty does not arise. The viśēṣya is here sapēkṣa. There is samāsa between a viśēṣya, though it has apēkṣā for another, and its viśēṣaṇa.

यत्र तर्ह्यप्रधानं सापेक्षं भवति, तत्र वृत्तिर्न प्राप्नोति, देवदत्तस्य गुरुकुलम् देवदत्तस्य गुरुपुत्रः देवदत्तस्य दासभायां इति

lf, then, viśēṣaṇa has apēkṣā for another, it cannot compound with its viśēṣya, as in Dēvadattasya gurukulam (the family of the guru of Dēvadatta), Dēvadattasya guruputraḥ, Dēvadattasya $d\bar{a}sabh\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$ etc.

 ${f Note:-}Dar{e}vadattasya~gurukulam~means~Dar{e}vadattasya~yah$ guruh tasya kulam.

नैष दोषः; समुदायापेक्षात्र षष्ठी सर्व गुरुकुलमपेक्षते ।

This difficulty does not arise. The sixth case has $ap\bar{e}k\bar{s}\bar{a}$ for the whole word and hence qualifies the compound word gurukulam (and consequently it compounds with it).

यत्र तर्हि न समुदायापेक्षा षष्ठी, तत्र ते वृत्तिर्न प्राप्नोति - किमोदनः शालीनाम्, सक्त्वाढकमापणीयानाम्, कृतो भवान् पाटलिपुत्रकः इति

Where, then, the sixth case has no $ap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}$ for the whole, $sam\bar{a}sa$ will not, according to your opinion, take place, as in

Kim-ōdanah śālīnām, Śuktvāḍhakam āpaṇīyānām and Kutō bhavān Pātaliputrakah.

Note:—Kim·ōdanaḥ śālīnām means śālīnām kēṣām ōdanaḥ (food of which rice) and not śālīnām kīdṛśa ōḍanaḥ (what kind of food prepared from śāli rice). Saktvāḍhakam āpaṇīyānām means āpaṇīyānām saktūnām āḍhakam (a measure of flours found in the shop) and not āpaṇīyānām madhyē saktvāḍhakam (a measure of flour among the things sold in the shop). Kutō bhavān Pāṭaliputrakaḥ means Kasmāt Paṭaliputrād bhavān āgataḥ (from which Pāṭaliputra have you come) and not kutō bhavān Pāṭaliputrakaḥ (wherefrom have you come, the resident of Pāṭaliputra).

इह चापि देवदत्तस्य गुरुकुलं, देवदत्तस्य गुरुपुत्रः, देवदत्तस्य दासभार्या इति, यद्येषा समुदायापेक्षा षष्ठी स्थात्, नैतत् नियोगतो गम्येत देवदत्तस्य यो गुरुः तस्य यः पुत्र इति ।

If, here too-in Dēvadattasya gurukulam, Dēvadattasya guruputraḥ and Dēvadattasya dāsabhāryā - the sixth case qualifies the whole, the expression Dēvadattasya guruputraḥ cannot give the sense Dēvadattasya yō guruḥ tasya yaḥ putraḥ.

िक तिहं ? What sense will it give then?

अन्यस्यापि गुरुपुत्रः, देवदत्तस्य किञ्चिद् इत्येषोऽर्थो गम्येत ।

The meaning that he is guruputra to one other than Dēvadatta and is connected in some way with Dēvadatta will be secured.

यतस्तु खल्ल नियोगतो देवदत्तस्य यो गुरुः तस्य यः पुत्र इत्येषोऽर्थी गम्यते, अतो मन्यामहे नैषा समुदायापेक्षा षष्ठीति ।

Since, from the statement, it is to be interpreted in this way— Dēvadatlasya yō guruḥ, tasya putraḥ, we think that the sixth case does not govern the whole.

अन्यत्र खल्वपि समर्थमहणे सापेक्षस्यापि कार्यं भवति

Even in other places the $k\bar{a}rya$ depending upon $s\bar{a}marthya$ takes place even though it is qualified by another.

कान्यत्र? What is the place referred to by anyatra? इसुसो: सामर्थ्य - ब्राह्मणस्य सर्पिष्करोति इति

In the expression $Br\bar{a}hmanasya$ sarpiş-karōti which comes within the purview of the $s\bar{u}tra$ Is-usōh sāmarthyē (8, 3, 44).

तस्मात् नैतत् शक्यं वक्तुम् - सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति

Hence it is not possible to postulate $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ sam asamartham bhavati.

वृत्तिस्तर्हि कस्मान्न भवति महत्कष्टं श्रित इति ?

How is it then that there is no $sam\bar{a}sa$ between kastam and sritah in the expression $mahat\ kastam\ sritah$.

सविशेषणानां वृत्तिर्न, वृत्तस्य वा विशेषणयोगो न

The word qualified by one does not form a compound with another and that which has compounded with another does not take a visēṣaṇa before it.

सविशेषणानां वृत्तिने, वृत्तस्य वा विशेषणं न प्रयुज्यते इति वक्तव्यम् It must be said that the words having viśēṣaṇas do not compound with others and that which has compounded with another is not allowed to take a viśēṣaṇa before it.

यदि सविशेषणानां वृत्तिने वृत्तस्य वा विशेषणं न प्रयुज्यते इत्युच्यते, देवदत्तस्य गुरुकुरुं, देवदत्तस्य गुरुगुत्रः, देवदत्तस्य दासभार्या इत्यत्र वृत्तिने प्राप्नोति ।

If it is said: —Saviśēṣaṇānām vṛttir na vṛttasya vā viśēṣaṇam na prayujyatē - there is chance for the compound guru-kulam, guru-putraḥ or dāsa-bhārya in the expressions Dēvadattasya guru-kulam, Dēvadattasya guru-kulam, Dēvadattasya guruputraḥ or Dēvadattasya dāsa-bhāryā.

अगुरुपुत्रादीनाम् 1 Need to read aguruputrādīnām.

अगुरुपुत्रादीनामिति वक्तत्र्यम्

It must be said that it operates except in guruputra etc.

तत्ति वक्तव्यं सिवशेषणानां वृत्तिने वृत्तत्य वा विशेषणं न प्रयुज्यते अगुरु-पुत्रादीनामिति

Then this must be said: -Saviśēṣaṇānām vṛttir na vṛttasya vā viśēṣaṇam na prayujyatē aguruputrādīnām.

1. Agurukulaputrādīnām is the reading in Sri Guruprasada Sastri's edition.

न वक्तत्र्यम् No, it need not be resorted to.

वृत्तिस्तर्हि कस्मान्न भवति ?

If so, why does not kaṣṭam compound with śritaḥ? अगमकत्वात

On account of the absence of proof (in the form of usage)

इह समानार्थेन वाक्येन भवितव्यं समासेन च । यश्चेहार्थी वाक्येन गम्यते महत्कष्टं श्रितः इति, नासौ जातुचित् समासेन गम्यते महत्कष्टश्रितः इति

The sentence and the compound, here, should convey the same meaning. The compound mahat-kaṣṭa-śritaḥ is nowhere found in the world conveying the same sense as the sentence mahat kaṣṭum śritaḥ.

एतस्माद्धेतों ब्रूमः अगमकत्वादिति । न ब्रूमः अपशव्दः स्याद् इति । यत्र च गमको भवति, भवति तत्र वृत्तिः; तद्यथा - देवदत्तस्य गुरुकुलम्, देवदत्तस्य गुरुपुत्रः, देवदत्तस्य दासभार्या इति

It is, only on account of this, that we say agamakatvāt. We do not say that it will become an apaśabda. Compound does make its appearance where it conveys the same sense as the sentence as in Dēvadattasya gurukulam, Dēvadattasya guruputraḥ and Dēvadattasya dāsabhāryā.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa writes:—Mahat-kaṣṭ 1-śritaļı ityayam tu mahat-kaṣṭam śritaḥ ityētadvākyārthē nāiva prayujyatē.

यद्यगमकत्वं हेतुः, नार्थः समर्थग्रहणेन । इहापि - भार्या राज्ञः, पुरुषो देवदत्तस्य - इति योऽर्थो वाक्येन गम्यते, नासौ जातुचित् समासेन गम्यते - भार्या राजपुरुषो देवदत्तस्य - इति । तस्मान्नार्थः समर्थग्रहणेन

If agamakatva is the $h\bar{e}tv$, no purpose is served by the mention of samartha (in the $s\bar{u}tra$). The meaning expressed in the sentences $Bh\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$ $r\bar{a}j\bar{n}ah$ and $Purus\bar{o}$ $D\bar{e}vadattasya$ is never expressed in the sentence $Bh\bar{a}ry\bar{a}$ $r\bar{a}japuras\bar{o}$ $D\bar{e}vadattasya$, where $r\bar{a}j\bar{n}ah$ and purusah have compounded together. Hence the mention of the word samartha is unnecessary.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम् । अयमस्त्यसमर्थसमासो नञ्समासो गमकः, तस्य साधुत्वं मा भूत् - अकिञ्चित्कृर्वाणम्, अमापं हरमाणम्, अगाधाद् उत्सृष्टम् इति । There is $na\tilde{n}$ -samāsa which is asamartha but gamaka (current in the world) as a- $ki\tilde{n}cit$ $kurv\bar{a}nam$, a- $m\bar{a}$ sam $haram\bar{a}nam$ and a- $g\bar{a}dh\bar{a}t$ utsrstam and it should be taken as $s\bar{a}dhu$ (su-sabda).

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ reads here: $-Ki\tilde{n}cid$ akurvāṇam, māṣam aharamāṇam, gādhād anutsṛṣṭam ityētēṣvarthēṣu ētē nañsamāsāḥ.

एतदिप नास्ति प्रयोजनम् । अवश्यं कस्य चिन्नञ्समासस्य असमर्थस्य गमकस्य साधुत्वं वक्तव्यम् - असूर्यपश्यानि मुलानि, अपुनर्गयाः स्रोकाः, अश्राद्धभोजी ब्राह्मणः, अलवणभोजी ब्राह्मण इति । सुडनपुंसकस्य इत्येतत् नियमार्थं भविष्यति - एतस्यैव असमर्थसमासस्य नञ्समासस्य गमकस्य साधुत्वं भवति नान्यस्य इति । तस्मान्नार्थः समर्थग्रहणेन ।

This too is not a prayōjana. It is absolutely necessary to admit the sādhutva of some nañsamāsas which are asamarthasamāsas and at same time gamakas like asūryampaśyāni, apunargēyāḥ, aśrāddhabhōjī and alavaṇabhōjī in the expressions Asūryampaśyāni mukhāni, apunargēyāḥ ślōkāḥ, Aśrāddhabhōjī brāhmaṇaḥ and Alavaṇabhōjī brāhmaṇaḥ. The sūtra Suḍ anapuṃsakusya (in place of Na suḍ napuṃsakasya) may be taken to restrict the sādhutva of these asamartha-gamakanañsamāsas alone and to none else:-Hence the word samarthaḥ in the sūtra is unnecessary (and consequently the sūtra, as a whole, is unnecessary.)

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads here:—Asūryampaśyāni iti. Sūrya-karmikayā drśikriyayā nañaḥ sambandhaḥ, na tu sūryasthayā sattayā iti asāmarthyam Apunargēyā iti. Punar na gēyā iti gānēna nañaḥ sambandhaḥ na tu punaḥ-śabdārthēna. Aśrāddha-bhōjī iti. Bhujinā nañaḥ sambandhō na tu śrāddhēna ... Anapunsakasyēti. Prasajyapratiṣēdhapakṣē ayam asamarthasamāsaḥ.

1 V

अथ कियमाणेऽपि समर्थप्रहणे समर्थिमित्युच्यते, किं समर्थं नाम ? The word samartha is read in the sūtra. Let it stand. What is meant by it?

Note:-The purpose of this sentence is to serve as an introduction for the exhaustive analysis of $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{b}h\bar{a}va$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$ and $vyap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}$ -laksana- $s\bar{a}marthya$ made by $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$.

1. Alavaņabhōjī brāhmaņaḥ is not found in some editions.

पृथगर्थानामेकार्थीभावः समर्थवचनम्

The word samartha expresses the ēkārthībhāvatva of pṛthagarthas.

पृथगर्थानां पदानामेकार्थीभावः समर्थम् इत्युच्यते

The capacity of denoting the same object lying in two words having different meanings is referred to by the word samartha.

क पुनः पृथगर्थानि, कैकार्थानि ?

Where are they, then, prthag-arthas and where, ēkārthas?

वाक्ये पृथगर्थानि राज्ञः पुरुष इति, समासे पुनरेकार्थानि राजपुरुष इति । They are prthag-arthas in phrases like $r\bar{a}j\bar{n}ah$, purusah, and $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rthas$ in compounds like $r\bar{a}japurusah$.

किमुच्यते पृथगर्थानीति, यावता राज्ञः पुरुष आनीयताम् इत्युक्ते राजपुरुष आनीयते; राजपुरुषः इति च स एव !

How is it said that $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}a\dot{h}$ and $purusa\dot{h}$ are said to be prthag-arthas even though the same person who is referred to by the compound $r\bar{a}ja-purusa\dot{h}$ is brought in when the sentence $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}a\dot{h}$ purusa $\bar{a}n\bar{i}yat\bar{a}m$ is uttered?

नापि ब्रूमः अन्यस्यानयनं भवति इति

We never say that another is brought in.

कस्तर्हि एकार्थीभावकृतो विशेषः ?

What, then, is the special feature secured by ēkārthībhāva?

सुबलोपो व्यवधानं यथेष्टमन्यतरेणाभि सम्बन्धः स्वर इति 1 8 2

The absence of the elision of the case-suffix of the former member, the interception by another word between them, the absence of restriction in the order of words and the difference in accent.

Note:—1. Kāiyaṭa reads here:— Ēkārthībhāvakṛtōspi viśēṣaḥ ēkārthībhāva ityucyate.

Note:—2. The expression $\bar{E}t\bar{e}s\bar{a}m$ abhāvah after iti found in the manuscript might have been let slip by the scribe:

सुबलोपो भवति वाक्ये - राज्ञः पुरुषः इति । समासे ³ पुनर्न भवति राजपुरुषः इति ।

- 1. This is noted as a vārttika in Sri Guruprasada Sastri's edition.
- 2. These are the viśēṣas of ēkārthībhāvābhāva.
- 3. I is another reading.

There is the absence of the elision of case-suffix in the former member of the phrase - $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ puruṣah; but it (sub-alōpa) is absent in a compound, as in $r\bar{a}japuruṣah$.

व्यवधानं च भवति वाक्ये - राज्ञ ऋद्धस्य पुरुषः इति । समासे तु न भवति राजपुरुष इति ।

There is interception in the phrase - $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}a$ rddhasya purusah; but it is absent in the compound, $r\bar{a}japurusah$.

यथेष्टमन्यतरेणाभिसम्बन्धो भवति वाक्ये - राज्ञः पुरुषः, पुरुषो राज्ञः इति । समासे न भवति, राजपुरुष इति ।

The order of words in a phrase may vary according to the will of the speaker, as in $R\bar{o}j\tilde{n}ah$ puruṣah and $Puruṣ\bar{o}$ $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$; but it is not the case in the compound, $r\bar{a}japuruṣah$.

द्वी स्वरी भवतो वाक्ये राज्ञः पुरुषः इति । समासे पुनरेक एव राजपुरुषः इति The two words in a phrase like $R\bar{a}j\bar{n}ah$ puruṣah take each its accent (so that \bar{a} in $r\bar{a}j\bar{n}ah$ and u after p in puruṣah take the $ud\bar{a}tta$); but only one syllable takes $ud\bar{a}tta$ in a compound.

नैत एकाथींभावकृता विशेषाः

These are not the special features secured by $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{i}bh\bar{a}va$.

किं तर्हि ! What then are these?

वाचिनकान्येतानि । आह हि भगवान्, सुपो धातुपातिपदिकयोः, उपसर्जनं पूर्वम्, समासान्तस्य उदात्तो भवति इति

These are secured by the $s\bar{u}tras$ read; for the revered $Ac\bar{a}rya$ has read the $s\bar{u}tras$ $Sup\bar{o}$ $dh\bar{a}tupr\bar{a}tipadikay\bar{o}h$ (enjoining the elision of the case-suffix), Upasarjanam $p\bar{u}rvam$ (restricting the order) and $Sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}ntasya$ $ud\bar{a}tt\bar{o}$ bhavati.

इमे तर्हि एकार्थीभावकृता विशेषाः - सङ्ख्याविशेषो व्यक्ताभिधानम् उपसर्जन-विशेषणं चयोगः इति ।

These, then, are the special features secured from $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{i}-bh\bar{a}va:$ —sankhy $\bar{a}vi\acute{s}\bar{e}$ ṣa, $vyakt\bar{a}bhidh\bar{a}na$, $upasarjanavi\acute{s}\bar{e}$ ṣaṇa and $cay\bar{o}ga$.

सङ्ख्याविशेषो भवति वाक्ये - राज्ञः पुरुषः, राज्ञोः पुरुषः, राज्ञां पुरुष इति । समासे न भवति राजपुरुषः इति ।

1. एतेषामभावः seems to be absent here.

There is clear indication of numbers in $v\bar{a}kya$, as in $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ puruṣaḥ (singular number), $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}\bar{o}h$ puruṣaḥ (dual number) and $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}m$ puruṣaḥ (plural number) and it is not so in the compound word $r\bar{a}japuruṣaḥ$.

अस्ति कारणं येनैतदेवं भवति There is a cause by which it is so. किं कारणम्? What is the cause?

योऽसा विशेषवाची शब्दः तदसानिध्यात् । अङ्ग, हि भवांस्तमुचारयतु गंस्यते स विशेषः

On account of the absence of the element denoting the viśēṣa. You, sir, better pronounce that element and the viśēṣa will be seen.

ननु च नैतेनैवं भवितव्यम् । न हि शब्दकृतेन नामार्थेन भवितव्यम् । अर्थकृतेन नाम शब्देन भवितव्यम् ।

No, it is not the case. The meaning is not decided by the use of words; but words are used to suit the sense.

तदेतदेवं दृश्यताम् - अर्थरूपभेवैतदेवंजातीयकं येनात्र विशेषो न गम्यत इति । Hence it may here be seen that the sense here is of such a nature as does not allow the $vi \le \bar{e} \le a$ to be known.

अवश्यं चैतदेवं विज्ञेयम् । यो हि मन्यते - योऽसो विशेषवाची शब्दः तदसान्निध्यादत्र विशेषो न गम्यते इति, इह तस्य विशेषो गम्यते - अप्सुचरः, गोषुचरः, वर्षासुजः इति ।

This point should be certainly borne in mind. Let him who thinks that the $vi\acute{s}\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ is not seen on account of the element denoting it, find the $vi\acute{s}\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ in the expressions apsu-caral, $g\bar{o}\dot{s}u$ -caral and $var\dot{s}\bar{a}su$ -jah (where it is definitely seen).

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads here:—Gōṣu-caraḥ kukkuṭa ucyatē. Ēkasyām gavi, dvayōḥ, bahuṣu vā yaś carati sa sarvōssāu aviśēṣēṇa ucyatē.

व्यक्ताभिधानं भवति वाक्ये - ब्राह्मणस्य कम्बलस्तिष्ठति । समासे पुनर्व्यक्तम् , ब्राह्मणकम्बलस्तिष्ठति इति ; सन्देहो भवति सम्बुद्धिर्वा स्यात् , षष्ठीसमासो वेति There is explicit mention in vākya, as in brāhmaņasya kambalas tiṣṭhati. But in samāsa, on the other hand, it is not explicit. For instance in the expression Brāhmaṇakambalas tiṣṭhati, there arises a doubt whether brāhmaṇakambalaḥ is made up of

the vocative brāhmaṇa and kambalaḥ or whether it is ṣaṣṭhī-tatpuruṣa.

Note:—The words $v\bar{a}kya$ and $sam\bar{a}sa$ seem to indicate the use of case-suffix and its elision. If $sam\bar{a}sa$, on the other hand, means compound, how can the vocative $br\bar{a}hmana$ compound with kambala?

एषोऽप्यविशेषः । भवति हि किश्चिद्वाक्येऽव्यक्तं, तच्च समासे व्यक्तम् । वाक्ये तावदव्यक्तम् 'अर्द्धं पशोर्देवदत्तस्य इति ; सन्देहो भवति - पशुगुणस्य वा देवदत्तस्य यदर्द्धम् , अथ वा योसो संज्ञीभूतः पशुर्नाम तस्य यदर्द्धम् इति । तच्च समासे व्यक्तं भवति अर्द्धपशुर्देवदत्तस्य इति ।

Besides, this need not be taken as a special feature. For sometimes there is the want of explicitness in $v\bar{a}kya$ but there is explicitness in $sam\bar{a}sa$. For instance in the expression Arddham paśōr Dēvadattasya, there is the doubt whether arddham is qualified by Dēvadatta who has the qualities of a paśu belonging to Dēvadatta; but in the compound ardhapaśuh in the expression Arddhapaśur Dēvadattasya, it is explicit.

उपसर्जनविशेषणं भवति वाक्ये - ऋद्धस्य राज्ञः पुरुष इति । समासे न भवति राजपुरुष इति

The member which becomes upasarjana in samāsa can take a višēṣaṇa in vākya, as in Ŗddhasya rājñaḥ puruṣaḥ; but it cannot do so in a compound, as in rājapuruṣaḥ.

Note:— $R\bar{a}jan$ in $r\bar{a}japurusah$ is upasarjana, since it, subordinating its meaning, denotes another.

एषोऽप्यविंशेषः । समासेऽप्युपसर्जनिविशेषणं भवति ; तद्यथा - देवदत्तस्य गुरुकुरुं, देवदत्तस्य गुरुकुरुं,

This, too, is not a special feature. Upasarjana member in a samāsa too takes a riśēṣaṇa, as the word guru in Dēvadattasya gurukulam, Dēvadattasya guruputraḥ and Dēvadattasya dāsabhāryā.

चयोगो भवति वाक्ये, स्वचयोगः स्वामिचयोगश्चेति । स्वचयोगः - राज्ञो गौश्च अश्वश्च पुरुषश्च इति ; समासे न भवति - राज्ञो गवाश्चपुरुषाः इति । स्वामिचयोगः - देवदत्तस्य च यज्ञदत्तस्य च विष्णुमित्रस्य च गौः इति ; समासे न भवति - देवदत्तयज्ञदत्तविष्णुमित्राणां गौः इति । There is the association with the $nip\bar{a}ta$ ca in the $v\hat{a}kya$ of both of the objects owned and of the owners. The objects owned are in association with ca, as in $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ $g\bar{a}u\dot{s}$ ca $a\dot{s}va\dot{s}$ ca $puru\dot{s}a\dot{s}$ ca; but it is not so in $sam\bar{a}sa$ as in $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}\bar{o}$ $gav\bar{a}\dot{s}va-pur\bar{u}\dot{s}\bar{a}h$. The owners are in association with ca as in $D\bar{e}va-dattasya$ ca $Yaj\tilde{n}adattasya$ ca $Vi\dot{s}numitrasya$ ca $g\bar{a}uh$ and it is not so in the compound $D\bar{e}vadatta-Yaj\tilde{n}adatta-Vi\dot{s}numitranam$ $g\bar{a}uh$.

अथैतिसान्नेकार्थीभावकृते विशेषे किं स्वाभाविकं शब्दैरर्थानामभिधानम् ¹ आहो-स्विद्वाचनिकम् ?

With reference to this $vi \le \bar{e} \le a$ in $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{v}bh\bar{a}va$, is the capacity of words giving the sense $sv\bar{a}bh\bar{a}vika$ (innate) or $v\bar{a}canika$ (stated in $s\bar{u}tras$)?

स्वाभाविकमित्याह ² He says that it is $sv\bar{a}bh\bar{a}vika$.

कुत एतत्? Why is it so?

अर्थानादेशनात् । न ह्यर्था आदिश्यन्ते

On account of the non-mention of artha. For the meanings are not stated.

कथं पुनरर्थानादिशन्नेवं ब्रूयात् - नार्था आदिश्यन्ते इति, यदाह भगवान् अनेकमन्यपदार्थे, चार्थे द्वन्द्वः, अपत्ये, रक्ते, निर्वृत्ते इति

How can it be said that the arthas are not stated. The Acārya (Sūtrakāra) reads Anēkam anyapadārthē (2, 2, 24), Cārthē dvandvaḥ (2, 2, 29), Apatyē, raktē (5, 4, 32), Nirvrttē (4, 4, 19).

नैतान्यथिदशनानि । स्वभावत एतेषां शब्दानामेतेष्वर्थेषु अभिनिविष्टानां निमित्तत्वेनान्वाख्यानं क्रियते । तद्यथा, क्रूपे हस्तदक्षिणः पन्थाः, अभे चन्द्रमसं पश्य इति । स्वभावतः तत्रस्थस्य पथः चन्द्रमसश्च निमित्तत्वेन अन्वाख्यानं क्रियते । एविमहापि चार्थे यः स द्वन्द्रसमासः, अन्यपदार्थे यः स बहुत्रीहिः इति

These do not enjoin the meaning. The words are given the $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}as$ for guidance on the basis of the meaning which they naturally denote. This may be illustrated thus:—There are

- 1. Arthābhidhānam is the reading in Sri Guruprasada Sastri's edition.
- 2. Sri Guruprasada Sastri feels that there should have been a vārttika Svābhāvikam arthānādēśanāt.

the sentences:—The path lies to the right of the well and look at the moon at the sky. The path already exists and also the moon. These sentences simply serve as the guidance to determine the path and the direction of the moon.

किं पुनः कारणमर्था नादि इयन्ते ?

Why are not meanings enjoined?

तच लघ्वर्थम् । लघ्वर्थं हार्था नादिश्यन्ते

It is for the sake of brevity; the meanings are not enjoined for the sake of brevity.

अवश्यं ह्यानेनार्थानादिशता केन चिच्छा देनार्थनिर्देशः कर्तव्यः स्यात् । तस्य च तावत् केन कृतो येनासा कियते । अथ तस्य केन कृतः, तस्य केन कृतः, तस्य केन कृत इति अनवस्था च स्यात् ।

By him who attempts to enjoin the meaning of words, another word should be sought for to give the meaning of one word; another word should again be sought after, by which the meaning of this can be given and so on, so that there will be no end to it.

असम्भवः खल्बप्यर्थादेशनस्य । को हि नाम समर्थो धातुप्रतिपदिकप्रत्यय-निपातानामर्थानादेष्ट्रम् ।

There is no possibility for arthādēśana. Is there any one who is competent to enjoin the meanings of prātipadikas, pratyayas and nipātas?

न चैतन्मन्तव्यं प्रत्ययार्थे निर्दिष्टे प्रकृत्यर्थोऽनिर्दिष्ट इति । भवति हि गुणा-भिधाने गुणिनः सम्प्रत्ययः । तद्यथा - शुक्कः, कृष्णः इति

It is not to be taken that, where the meaning of pratyaya is stated, the meaning of the stem is not stated. For, where guna is stated, gunin is understood, as in the words śuklah and krsnah.

विषम उपन्यासः । सामान्यशब्दा एते एवं स्युः । सामान्यशब्दाश्च नान्तरेण विशेषं प्रकरणं वा विशेषेष्वतिष्ठन्ते । यतस्तु खल्ल नियोगतो वृक्ष इत्युक्ते स्वभावतः किंसिश्चिदेव विशेषे वृक्षशब्दो वर्तते, अतो मन्यामहे नेमे सामान्यशब्दा इति । न चेत् सामान्यशब्दाः, प्रकृतिः प्रकृत्यर्थे वर्तते, प्रत्ययः प्रत्ययार्थे च वर्तते

The argument is not sound. These words – $\hat{s}ukla\dot{h}$ and $krsna\dot{h}$ are $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya\hat{s}abdas$ i. e. they denote all dravyas which are

white, or black. Without either their višēṣya or the context, it is not possible to determine the particular object which they refer to. On the other hand, at the mention of a word like vrkṣa, it, without its context or višēṣya being determined, invariably denotes by nature a special dravya and hence we conclude that such words are not sāmānyasabdas. If they are not sāmānyasabdas, their prakṛti has its own meaning.

अपवृत्तिः खल्वप्यर्थादेशनस्य । बहवोऽिष हि शब्दा येषामर्था न विज्ञायन्ते - जर्भरीं तूर्फरीतू । अन्तरेण खल्विष शब्दप्रयोगं बहवोऽर्था गम्यन्ते - अक्षिनिकोचैः, पाणिविहारैश्च । न खल्विष निर्ज्ञातस्यार्थस्यान्वाख्याने किञ्चिदिष प्रयोजनमस्ति । यो हि ब्र्यात् पुरस्ताद् आदित्य उदेति पश्चादस्तं गच्छिति, मधुरो गुडः, कटुकं शृङ्गबेरम् इति किं तेन कृतं स्यात् ?

There will be at the same time no tendency to enjoin the meaning of words. For there are many words like jarbharī, tūrpharītu whose meanings have not been determined; besides ideas are expressed even without words through twinkling of the eyes or the motion of the palm of the hand. There is, besides, no use in enjoining the meanings, which are well-known. What is the purpose gained by one who says, "Sun rises in the east and sets in the west," "Jaggery is sweet and ginger is punjent"?

वावचनानर्थक्यं च स्वभावसिद्धत्वात

Non-necessity of $adhik\bar{a}ra$ - $s\bar{u}tra$ Vibha: \bar{a} (2, 1, 11) since its purpose is served by nature.

वावचनमनर्थकम्

The $mah\bar{a}vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ by the $s\bar{u}tra~2$, 1, 11 is not necessary.

किं कारणम् श Why?

स्वभावसिद्धत्वात् Since it is inherent by nature.

इह द्वौ पक्षो, वृतिपक्षश्च अवृत्तिपक्षश्च इति । स्वभावतश्चितद्भवति वाक्यं च समासश्च । तत्र स्वाभाविके वृत्तिविषये नित्ये समासे प्राप्ते वावचनेन किमन्यत् शक्यमभिसम्बन्धुमन्यद् अतः संज्ञायाः? न च संज्ञाया भावाभावाविष्येते । तस्मान्तार्थो वावचनेन । There are two sides here, vrttipakṣa and avrttipakṣa. It is in the nature of words to remain independent or to compound with one another. Since the stage of $nitya-sam\bar{a}sa$, which is the viṣaya of vrtti, is secured by nature, with what can $vibh\bar{a}ṣ\bar{a}$ be associated except with the $samjn\bar{a}$? But the presence of the $samjn\bar{a}$ and its absence are not desired. Hence the $vibh\bar{a}ṣ\bar{a}$ does not serve any purpose.

अथ ये वृत्तिं वर्तयन्ति किं त आहुः ?

Those, then, who establish through $\dot{s}\bar{a}stra$ alone the vrtti ($\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{i}bh\bar{a}va$), what do they say?

परार्थाभिधानं वृत्तिरित्याहुः

They say that vrtti is that where one word has the capacity of giving the meaning of another.

अथ तेषामेवं ब्रुवतां किं जहत्स्वार्था वृत्तिर्भवति, आहोस्विद् अजहत्स्वार्था भवति ?

Do those who establish the existence of vrtti admit jahat-svārthā-vrtti or ajahatsvārthā-vrtti?

कि चातः? What difference does it make?

यदि जहत्स्वार्था वृत्तिः स्यात् "राजपुरुषमानय" इत्युक्ते पुरुषमात्रस्यानयनं प्राप्नीति, "औपगवमानय" इत्युक्ते अपत्यमात्रस्य । अथ अजहत्स्वार्था वृत्तिः उभयोविद्यमानस्वार्थयोद्वियोद्विवचनमिति द्विवचनं प्राप्नोति ।

If it is jahatsvārthā vṛtti, there is chance for the ānayana of the puruṣa alone, on hearing the sentence $R\bar{a}$ japuruṣam ānaya and there is chance for the ānayana of the apatya alone, on hearing the sentence \bar{A} upagavam ānaya. But, on the other hand, if it is ajahatsvārthā vṛtti, there is chance for the use of the dual number on the strength of Dvayōr dvivacanam as $r\bar{a}$ japuruṣāu and \bar{a} upagavāu.

का पुनर्वृत्तिन्यीय्या ?

Which vṛtti is then legitimate to be taken?

जहत्त्वार्था - Jahat-svārthā is the legitimate one.

युक्तं पुनर्यज्जहत्स्वार्था नाम वृत्तिः स्यात्?

Is it reasonable to admit jahat-svārthā vṛtti?

Note:—This question arises that, since words are used to signify their meanings, they may not at all be used if they are not taken to convey any meaning.

बाढं युक्तम् । एवं हि दश्यते लोके, पुरुषोऽयं परक्रमणि प्रवर्तमानः स्वं कर्म जहाति । तद्यथा - तक्षा राजकमणि प्रवर्तमानः स्वं तक्षकमे जहाति । एवं युक्तं यद्राजा पुरुषार्थे वर्तमानः स्वमर्थे जह्यात् , उपगुश्च अपत्यार्थे वर्तमानः स्वमर्थे जह्यात् । lt is perfectly reasonable. It is thus seen in the world that the man engaged in the service of another has to give up his own work. A carpenter in the royal service gives up his own work. So also the word $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ engaged in conveying the meaning of purusa gives up its meaning and the word Upagu, engaged in conveying the meaning of apatya gives up its own meaning.

ननु चोक्तं राजपुरुषमानय इत्युक्ते पुरुपमात्रस्य आनयनं प्राप्तोति, औपगवमानय इत्युक्ते अपत्यमात्रस्य इति ।

Oh, it has been said that, on hearing the sentence $R\bar{a}ja$ - $purusam\ \bar{o}naya$, there is chance to fetch purusa alone and that, on hearing the sentence $\bar{A}upagavam\ \bar{a}naya$, there is chance to fetch apatya alone.

नैप दोषः । जहदप्यसौ स्वार्थं नात्यन्ताय जहाति । यः परार्थविरोधी स्वार्थस्तं जहाति ; तद्यथा - तक्षा राजकर्मणि प्रवर्त्तमानः स्वं तक्षकर्म जहाति, न तु हिक्कितश्वसितहसितकण्डूयितानि ; न चायमर्थः परार्थविरोधी विशेषणं नाम, तस्मात्तन हास्यति ।

There is no room for this difficulty. He, though he gives up his work, does not give it up entirely. That which is detrimental to other's work, he gives it up. This may be taken as an illustration that a carpenter engaged in royal service gives up his own carpenter's work and does not give up hiccough, breathing, laughing and relieving his itching sensation. The visēsanatva which the word conveys is not detrimental to its giving the meaning of another and hence it does not give it up.

Note: -It gives up the viśēsyalva in its meaning.

अथवा अन्वयाद् विशेषणं भविष्यति; तद्यथा, घृतघटः तैलघटः इति । निषिक्ते घृते तैले च अन्वयाद् विशेषणं भवति, अयं घृतघटः अयं तैलघट इति । Or it becomes the viśeṣaṇa on account of its having left its trace, as the words ghrta and tāila in the compounds ghrta-ghaṭa and tāila-ghaṭa. Even after ghrṭa (ghee) or (tāila) (oil) is poured out, the vessel is called ghrṭa-ghaṭa or tāila-ghaṭa, since the trace of ghrṭa or tāila is left.

विषम उपन्यासः । भवति हि तत्र या च यावती च अर्थमात्रा । अङ्ग, हि भवानमो निष्टभ्य वृतघटं तृणकूर्चेन प्रक्षालयतु, न गंस्यते स विशेषः ।

The argument is not sound. It can be called ghrta-ghata or $t\bar{a}ila-ghata$, only so long as the trace is left there. Sir, when you heat it in fire and clean it with the brush made of $ku\acute{s}a$ grass, there is no trace of ghee or oil left there.

यथा तर्हि मिलिकापुटः चम्पकपुटः इति । निष्कीर्णास्विपि सुमनःसु अन्वया-द्विरोषणं भवति, अयं मिलिकापुटः अयं चम्पकपुट इति ।

If so, the words $mollik\bar{a}$ and campaka in the compounds $mallik\bar{a}$ -puṭah and campakapuṭah form the examples. For even after
the flowers are taken away, they are called $mallik\bar{a}$ puṭah and campakapuṭah and $mallik\bar{a}$ and campaka form viśēṣaṇas, since
they have left their trace there.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\hat{s}abhatta$ here reads:- $Vi\hat{s}\bar{e}\hat{s}anam=vi\hat{s}\bar{e}\hat{s}akah=itaravy\bar{a}vartakah$.

अथ वा समर्थाधिकारोऽयं वृत्तौ कियते

Or the word samartha is taken in vrtti through adhikāra.

सामर्थ्य नाम भेदः संसर्गो वा । अपर आह भेदसंसर्गो वा सामर्थ्यमिति Sāmarthya is bhēda or samsarga. Another says that both bhēda and samsarga form sāmarthya.

कः पुनर्भेदो संसर्गो वा? What is bhēda? What is samsarga?

इह राज्ञ इत्युक्ते सर्वं स्व प्रसक्तम् ; पुरुष इत्युक्ते सर्वः स्वामी प्रसक्तः । इहेदानीं राजपुरुषमानय इत्युक्ते राजा पुरुषं निवर्तयत्यन्येभ्यः स्वामिभ्यः, पुरुषोऽपि राजानमन्येभ्यः स्वभ्यः । एवम् एतस्मिन्नुभयतो व्यवच्छिन्ने यदि स्वार्थं जहाति, कामं जहातु, न जातुचित् पुरुषमात्रस्थानयनं भविष्यति ।

On the mention of the word $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}uh$, all that forms his property have a chance to be used after it; and on the mention of the word purusah, all those who form his masters have a chance to be used before it. But if the sentence

 $R\bar{a}japuru\bar{s}am\ \bar{a}naya$ is read, the word $raj\bar{a}n$ eliminates other masters of $puru\bar{s}a$ and the word $puru\bar{s}a$ eliminates other properties of $r\bar{a}jan$. If, after both have restricted the application of each other, $r\bar{a}jan$ leaves off its meaning, let it leave it. Never can $puru\bar{s}a$ who has no connection with $r\bar{a}jan$ be fetched.

अथवा पुनरस्त्वजहत्स्वार्था वृत्तिः

Or let ajahai-svārthā vrtti be the legitimate one.

युक्तं पुनरिदं यदजहत्स्वार्था नाम वृत्तिः स्यात्?

Is it reasonable to admit ajahatsvārthā vrtti?

बाढं युक्तम् । एवं हि दृश्यते छोके, भिक्षुकोऽयं द्वितीयां भिक्षां समासाद्य पूर्वां न जहाति, सञ्चयायैव प्रवर्तते ।

It is perfectly reasonable. It is thus seen in the world that a beggar having received alms once, proceeds to get it elsewhere without leaving the former and tries to add one to another.

ननु चोक्तम्, उभयोर्विद्यमानस्वार्थयोद्वेयोद्विवचनमिति द्विवचनं प्राप्तोति इति । Oh, it has been said that there is chance for the use of the dual number on the strength of the sūtra Dvayōr dvivacanam on account of both conveying their meaning.

कस्याः पुनर्द्धिवचनं प्राप्नोति ? Whose dual number has a chance?

प्रथमायाः Of the nominative case.

न प्रथमासमर्थो राजा

 $R\bar{a}jan$ has not the $s\bar{a}marthya$ with the nominative case.

षष्ट्यास्तर्हि प्रामोति

There is, then, a chance for the dual of the genetive case.

न षष्टीसमर्थः पुरुषः

Purusa has not the sāmarthya with the genetive case.

प्रथमाया एव तर्हि प्रामोति

If so, the dual of the nominative itself has a chance.

ननु चोक्तम्, न प्रथमासमर्थो राजा इति

Oh, it was said that $r\bar{a}jan$ has no $s\bar{a}marthya$ with the nominative case.

अभिहितः सः, अन्तर्भूतः प्रातिपदिकार्थः सम्पन्नः । तत्र प्रातिपदिकार्थे प्रथमा इति प्रथमाया एव द्विवचनं प्राप्तोति ।

It (meaning of the sixth case) is mentioned and the meaning of the $pr\bar{a}tipadika$ is implied. Hence $pratham\bar{a}$ may set in on the authority of $Pr\bar{a}tipadik\bar{a}rth\bar{e}$ $pratham\bar{a}$ and hence the dual of the nominative alone has a chance to set in.

सङ्घातस्यैकार्थ्यात्रावयवसङ्ख्यातः सुबुत्पत्तिः

The presence of the case-suffix is not based on the number of the parts on account of the whole being one.

सङ्घातस्यैकत्वमर्थः । तेनावयवसङ्ख्यातः सुबुत्पत्तिनं भविष्यति । Ekatva (oneness) of the whole is the meaning. Hence casesuffix is not used on the basis of the number of parts.

परस्परव्यपेक्षां सामर्थ्यमेके

Some think that $s\bar{a}marthya$ is $parasparavyap\bar{e}k$, \bar{a} .

परस्परव्यपेक्षां सामर्थ्यमेक इच्छन्ति

Some favour the view that sāmarthya is parasparavyapēkṣā.

का पुनः शब्दयोर्व्यपेक्षा?

What is this, the vyapēkṣā of two śabdas?

न ब्रमः शब्दयोरिति

We do not say that it is between two śabdas?

कि तर्हि? Between what then?

अर्थयोः । इह राज्ञः पुरुष इत्युक्ते राजा पुरुषमपेक्षते - ममायमिति, पुरुषोऽपि राजानमपेक्षते - अहमस्येति । तयोरभिसम्बन्धस्य षष्ठी वाचिका भवति ।

Between arthas. When one says $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ puruṣah, $r\bar{a}jan$ needs puruṣa to qualify it that he is his and puruṣa, too, needs $r\bar{a}jan$ to be qualified by it that his is he. The sixth case conveys that this relation exists between them.

तद्यथा कष्टं श्रित इति कियाकारकयोरिमसम्बन्धस्य द्वितीया वाचिका भवति Similarly the second case in $kastam \ sritah$ conveys the relation between the $kriy\bar{a}$ denoted by srita and kasta which takes the case-suffix after it.

अथ यद्येव एकार्थीभावः सामर्थ्यम् अथापि व्यपेक्षा सामर्थ्यं, किं गतमेतदियता सुत्रेण, आहोस्विद् अन्यतरस्मिन् पक्षे भूयः सूत्रं कर्तव्यम् ?

Whether it is $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{i}bh\bar{a}va-s\bar{a}marthya$ or $vyap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}-s\bar{a}marthya$, will this $s\bar{u}tra$ do? Or is it necessary to read another to meet the alternative paksa?

गतमित्याह "This sūtra will do," says he. कथम्? How?

समोऽयम् अर्थशब्देन सह समासः । सं चोपसर्गः । उपसर्गाश्च पुनरेवमात्मकाः -यत्र कश्चित् कियावाची शब्दः प्रयुज्यते, तत्र कियाविशेषमाहुः

There is here the samāsa between sam and artha. Sam is an upasarga. Upasargas are of the nature that, wherever they are used with verbs, they enable them convey some specialised meaning.

न चेह कश्चित् कियावाची शब्दः प्रयुज्यते, येन समः सामर्थ्यं स्यात् But no dhātu is read here along with it, so that it can have sāmarthya with the kriyā denoted by it.

तत्न प्रयोगाद् एतद् अवगन्तव्यम् - नूनमत्र कश्चित् प्रयोगार्हः शब्दो न प्रयुज्यते, येन समः सामर्थ्यमिति । तद्यथा, धूमं दृष्ट्वा अभिरत्र इति गम्यते, त्रिविष्टब्धकं दृष्ट्वा परित्राजक इति ।

Hence it must be determined from the usage that a word which deserves to be used with it so that sam may have sāmarthya with it is dropped. The case is similar to our inference of fire on seeing the smoke and a hermit on seeing a three-plank-book-holder.

कः पुनरसौ प्रयोगार्हः शब्दो न प्रयुज्यते ?

What, then, is the word dropped here, which deserves to be read?

सङ्गतार्थ समर्थ, संस्थार्थ समर्थ, संत्रेक्षितार्थ समर्थ, संबद्धार्थ समर्थमिति
The word gata or srsta and the word prēksita or baddha are the words dropped so that samartham can be split into sangatam artham or samsrstam artham and samprēksitam artham or sambaddham artham.

NOTE:—The roots gam and srj have the same meaning and samprēksitam and sambaddham have the same meaning.

तद्यदा तावदेकार्थीभावः सामर्थ्यम् तदैवं विग्रहः करिष्यते - सङ्गतार्थः समर्थः, संस्रष्टार्थः समर्थ इति । तद्यथा - सङ्गतं घृतं, सङ्गतं तैलम् इत्युच्यते, एकीभृतमिति गम्यते ; संस्रष्टार्थः समर्थ इति, तद्यथा संस्रष्टोऽभिः इत्युच्यते, एकीभृत इति गम्यते ।

1. It seems Samsṛṣṭārthaḥ samartha iti here is an interpolation.

With reference to $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$, the vigraha is done thus:— $Sangat\bar{a}rthah$ samarthah and $sansrst\bar{a}rthah$ samarthah; the word sangata in sangatam ghrtam and sangatam $t\bar{a}ilam$ means $\bar{e}k\bar{\imath}bh\bar{\imath}uta$ and sansrsta in sans

यदा व्यपेक्षा सामर्थ्य तदैवं विग्रहः करिष्यते - सम्प्रेक्षितार्थः समर्थः, सम्बद्धार्थः समर्थ इति ।

With reference to vyapēkṣā-sāmarthya, the vigraha is done thus:-Samprēkṣitārthaḥ samarthaḥ and səmbaddhārthaḥ samarthaḥ.

कः पुनरिह सम्बध्नात्यर्थः?

What is the meaning of badh with sam?

व्यतिषद्भः - सम्बद्ध इत्युच्यते यो रज्ज्वायसा वा कीले व्यतिषक्तो भवति Mutual connection. That which is tied to a stake with a rope or iron-chain is said to be sambaddha.

नावश्यं बञ्चातिर्व्यतिषङ्ग एव वर्तते

The root badh does not always mean vyatisanga.

कि तर्हि ? What then does it mean?

अहानाविष वर्तते । तद्यथा - सम्बद्धाविमा दम्या इत्युच्येते यावन्योन्यं न जहीतः It means non-abandonment also. For instance the two bulls which do not abandon each other are said sambaddhāu.

अथ वा भवति चैवंजातीयकेषु बङ्गातिर्वर्तते । तद्यथा, अस्ति नो गर्गैः सम्बन्धः, अस्ति नो वत्सैः सम्बन्ध इति

Or, the root badh is used where there is vyapēkṣā, as in Asti nō Gargāih sambandhah (we have relationship with Gargas), Asti nō Vatsāih sambandhah (we have relationship with Vatsas.)

अथैतस्मिन् व्यपेक्षायां सामर्थे योऽसावेकार्थीभावकृतो विशेषः स वक्तव्यः If we take into account $vyap\bar{\epsilon}k$, \bar{a} -sāmarthya, there is the necessity to read all the benefits accrued from $\bar{\epsilon}k\bar{a}rth\bar{a}bh\bar{a}va-s\bar{a}marthya$.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads here:—Yadi vrttāu ēkārthībhāvō na abhyupagamyatē, tadā vākyavat sankhyāviśēṣa-upasarjana-viśēṣa-nādīnām prasangāt tad-abhāvō vacanēna pratipādyaḥ.

तत्र नानाकारकानिघातयुष्मदस्मदादेशप्रतिषेधः

There (in both) there is the need for the pratisēdha of nighāta and the ādēśas of yuṣmad and asmad after words which take one case-suffix in their relation to one verb and another in their relation to another.

तत्रैतस्मिन् व्यपेक्षायां सामर्थ्ये सित नानाकारकात् निघातात् युष्मदस्मदादेशाः पामुवन्ति, तेषां प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः ।

There (in $\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{v}bh\bar{a}va$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$) and here in $vyap\bar{e}k\bar{s}\bar{a}$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$, there is chance for $nigh\bar{a}ta$ and the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sas$ of yusmad and asmad after words which take different casesuffixes, one in relation to one verb and another in relation to another.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads here: $-Bhinn\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ $kriy\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ yat $k\bar{a}ryam$ tat $n\bar{a}n\bar{a}k\bar{a}rakam$.

निघात - अयं दण्डो हरानेन । अस्ति दण्डस्य हरतेश्च व्यपेक्षेति कृत्वा निघातः प्रामोति ।

Nighāta (anudātta). In the expression Ayam daṇḍō hara anēna, there is the chance for sarvānudātta of hara (by the Tinnatinaḥ (8, 1, 28) and the vārttika Samānavākyē nighāta-yuṣmad-asmadādēśā vaktavyāḥ) since it follows the word daṇḍa with which it has vyapēkṣā-sāmarthya.

युष्मदस्मदादेशाः । ओदनं पच तव भविष्यति, ओदनं पच मम भविष्यति । अस्ति ओदनस्य युष्मदस्मदोश्च व्यपेक्षेति कृत्वा वाम्नावादयः प्राप्नुवन्ति

The ādēśas of yuṣmad and asmad:—There is chance for ta a to be replaced by tē and mama by mē in the expressions Ōdanam paca tava bhaviṣyati and Ōdanam paca mama bhaviṣyati (by the sūtras Ṣaṣṭhī...vāmnāvāu 8, 1, 20 and Tē mayāvēkava canasya 8, 1, 22), since there is vyapēkṣā-sāmarthya between them and ōdana.

तेषां प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः There is need to prohibit them.

किमुच्यते नानाकारकादिति, यदा तेनैवासज्य ह्रियते ?

How is it said $n\bar{a}n\bar{a}k\bar{a}rak\bar{a}d$, when the separation takes place when it comes in association with it alone.

Note:—The bone of contention is the expression Ayam daṇḍaḥ hara anēna. The objection is that daṇḍa is not a kāraka with reference to the haraṇakriyā and hence it is not nānākāraka. The answer is this:—The word asti is understood after it and hence it is $katr k\bar{a}raka$ with reference to asti and karaṇa-kāraka with reference to haraṇa.

नापि ब्रूमः अन्येनासज्य हियते इति

We do not say that separation takes place after it comes in association with another.

किं तर्हि? What then?

शब्दशमाणका वयम् । यच्छब्द आह तदसाकं प्रमाणम् । शब्दश्चेह सत्तामाह, अयं दण्डः । अस्तीति गम्यते । स दण्डः कर्ता भूत्वा अन्येन शब्देनाभि-सम्बध्यमानः करणं सम्पद्यते ।

We have $\hat{s}abda$ for our authority; whatever $\hat{s}abda$ says is authority for us. $\hat{S}abda$ says here, in Ayam daṇḍaḥ, sattā. Hence the word asti is to be inferred. The daṇḍa being kartā becomes karaṇa in its association with another $\hat{s}abda$.

तद्यथा - कश्चित् कञ्चित् प्रच्छिति "क देवदत्तः ?" इति । स तस्मै आचष्टे असौ वृक्षे इति । कतरस्मिन् ? यस्तिष्ठति इति । स वृक्षोऽधिकरणं भूत्वा अन्येन शब्देनाभिसम्बध्यमानः कर्ता सम्पद्यते ।

This may be illustrated thus:—One says to another, "Where is $D\bar{e}vadatta$?" He replies to him, "He is on the tree." In which tree? That which stands. The word tree (vrksa) being the adhikarana becomes $kart\bar{a}$ in its association with another word.

प्रचये समासप्रतिषेधः Need for samāsa-pratisēdha in pracaya.

प्रचये समासस्य प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः । राज्ञो गौश्च अश्वश्च पुरुषश्च राज-गवाश्चपुरुषा इति ।

There is need to prohibit $sam\bar{a}sa$ if one is associated with many, so that $r\bar{a}jan$ when it is associated with $g\bar{o}$, $a\dot{s}va$ and purusa cannot form the compound $r\bar{a}jagav\bar{a}\dot{s}vapurus\bar{a}\dot{p}$.

समर्थतराणां वा Or among those which are samarthatara.

समर्थतराणां वा पदानां समासो भविष्यति

There will be samāsa among words which are samarthatara (śaktatara).

कानि पुनः समर्थतराणि ? What, then, are samarthatarus? यानि द्वन्द्वभावीनि

Those which can form into a dvandva compound.

कुत एतत्? Why is it so?

एषां ह्याशुतरा वृत्तिः प्रामोति

Since they can very easily form a compound.

तद्यथा - समर्थतरोऽयं माणवकोऽध्ययनाय इत्युच्यते, आशुतरम्रन्थः इति गम्यते । The following may serve as an example:—If it is said that this pupil is samarthatara in learning, it is suggested that the book is one which can be easily learnt.

अपर आह Another says.

समर्थतराणां वा Samarthalarāṇām vā.

समर्थतराणां वा पदानां समासो भविष्यति

Samarthatarāṇām vā padānām samāsō bhaviṣyati.

कानि पुनः समर्थतराणि? What, then, are samarthataras? यानि द्वन्द्वभावीनि

Those that can form into a dvandva compound.

कुत एतत्? Why is it so?

समानविभक्तीनि एतानि; अन्यविभक्ती राजा । भवति विशेषः स्वस्मिन् आतरि पितृव्यपुत्रे च ।

These have the same vibhaktis; rājan (in rājñah gāuh, aśvah, puruṣaḥ ca) is of a different vibhakti. There is difference between one's own brother and paternal uncle's son.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyaṭa$ writes:— $Tulyaj\bar{a}t\bar{\imath}yatvam$ $vyap\bar{\epsilon}kṣ\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ $\bar{\epsilon}k\bar{a}rth\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}v\bar{\epsilon}$ ca praty $\bar{a}sannataram$ $k\bar{a}ranam$ ityarthah.

समुदायसामध्यदा सिद्धम्

The object is achieved by the $s\bar{a}marthya$ with the $samud\bar{a}ya$.

समुद्रायसामर्थ्याद्वा पुनः सिद्धमेतत् । समुद्रायेन राज्ञः सामर्थ्यं भवति नावयवेन

Or the object is achieved by the $s\bar{a}marthya$ with the whole. $R\bar{a}jan$ has $s\bar{a}marthya$ with the whole $(gav\bar{a}\acute{s}vapuru\dot{s}\bar{a}\dot{h})$ and not with the part.

अपर आह Another says.

समर्थतराणां वा समुदायसामध्यति

Or samāsa among samarthataras on account of the sāmarthya with the whole.

समर्थताराणां वा पदानां समासो भविष्यति

Or $sam\bar{a}sa$ among samarthataras on account of the $s\bar{a}marthya$ with the whole.

कृत एतत्? How is it so?

समुदायसामध्यदिव On account of samudāya-sāmarlhya itself.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads here:—Atra pakṣē samudāyasāmarthyam samarthataratvē hētuḥ. Samudāyasambandhē ca avayavasyāpi anumīyamānaḥ sambandhōssti iti tud-apēkṣaḥ prakarṣapratyayaḥ.

अस्मिनपक्षे वा इत्येतदसमर्थितं भवति

The significance of the word $v\bar{a}$ is not brought out in this pakṣa.

एतच समर्थितम् This too is brought out.

कथम् ? How?

नैव वा पुनरत्र राज्ञोऽश्वपुरुषावपेक्षमाणस्य गवा सह समासो भवति । There is no $sam\bar{a}sa$ here first with $g\bar{o}$ of the word $r\bar{a}jan$ which has to combine with $a\hat{s}va$ and purusa later on.

कथं तर्हि ! How then?

गोः राजानमपेक्षमाणस्य अश्वपुरुषाभ्यां सह समासो भवति

Here is $sam\bar{a}sa$ between $g\bar{o}$ which expects $r\bar{a}jan$ to be its $viś\bar{e}$ sana and $a\acute{s}vapuru$ sau.

प्रधानमत्र तदा गौभवति । भवति च प्रधानस्य सापेक्षस्यापि समासः $G\bar{a}uh$ becomes $pradh\bar{a}na$ here. $Pradh\bar{a}na$, though $s\bar{a}p\bar{e}ksa$, can combine with what follows.

V

आख्यातं साव्ययकारकविशेषणं वाक्यम्

 $V\bar{a}kya$ (sentence) is the finite verb modified by avyayas and $k\bar{a}rakas$ with or without $viś\bar{e}$ sanas.

Note:—The need for the definition of $v\bar{a}kya$ arises, since $sarv\bar{a}nud\bar{a}ttatva$ happens sometimes and does not happen in other places in $v\bar{a}kyas$. Such $v\bar{a}kyas$ are not the same as the $l\bar{a}ukika-v\bar{a}kyas$.

आख्यातं साव्ययं सकारकं सकारकविशेषणं वाक्यसंज्ञं भवतीति वक्तव्यम् It must be stated that a finite verb modified by avyayas, $k\bar{a}rakas$ and $k\bar{a}rakas$ qualified by adjectives takes the $v\bar{a}kya$ -samj $n\bar{a}$.

(साब्ययम्) - उच्चैः पठित, नीचैः पठित

(Akhyāta with avyaya):—Uccāiḥ paṭhati and nīcāiḥ paṭhati.

(सकारकम्) - ओदनं पचति

 $(\bar{A}khy\bar{a}ta \text{ with } k\bar{a}raka):-\bar{O}danam \ pacati.$

(सकारकविशेषणम्) - ओदनं मृदु विशदं पचति

(Akhyāta with sakārakaviśēṣaṇa):-Ōdanam mṛdu viśadam pacati.

सक्रियाविशेषणं च

(Need to read) along with compliments of verbs also.

सिक्रयाविशेषणं चेति वक्तन्यम् - सुष्टु पचित, दुष्टु पचित इति Sakriyāviśēṣaṇam also is to be read:—Suṣṭhu pacati and Duṣṭhu pacati.

अपर आह आख्यातं सविशेषणं इत्येव । सर्वाणि ह्येतानि कियाविशेषणानि । Another says that $\bar{A}khy\bar{a}tam$ saviśēṣaṇam will do, since all these are $kriy\bar{a}viś\bar{e}ṣaṇas$.

एकतिङ्

That which has the finite verb repeated (gets the $v\bar{a}kyasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$).

एकतिङ् वाक्यसंज्ञं भवतीति वक्तव्यम्, ब्रूहि ब्रूहि

That which has the finite verb repeated as $br\bar{u}hi\ br\bar{u}hi$ must be given the $v\bar{a}kyasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iya$ ta reads here: $-\bar{E}ka$ śabdah samānavacanahna tu sankhyāvāc \bar{i} ; bahuvr \bar{i} hiś ca ayam.

समानवाक्ये निघातयुष्मदस्मदादेशाः

Need to read the presence of $nigh\bar{a}ta$, $yu \not = mad\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ and $asmad\bar{a}d\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ in the same $v\bar{a}kya$.

समानवाक्ये इति प्रकृत्य निघातयुष्मद्रसादादेशाः वक्तव्याः

It is necessary to read that $nigh\bar{a}ta$, $yusmad-\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ and $asmad-\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ occur only in the same sentence.

किं प्रयोजनम्? Why?

नानावाषयेषु मा भूवित्रघातादय इति - अयं दण्डः, हर अनेन; ओदनं पच, तव भविष्यति; ओदनं पच, मम भविष्यति

So that nighāta etc. need not occur in the following pairs of sentences:—Ayam daṇḍaḥ, hara anēna; Ōdanam paca, tava bhaviṣyati; Ōdanam paca, mama bhaviṣyati.

योगे प्रतिषेधश्रादिभिः

Need for pratisēdha when there is the association with ca etc.

चादिभियोंगे प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः । प्रामस्तव च स्वं, मम च स्वम् There is need for pratisēdha when there is the association with ca etc. Viz. Grāmah tava ca svam, mama ca svam.

किमर्थमिदमुच्यते, यथान्यास एव चादिभियोंगे प्रतिषेध उच्यते? What for is this $(v\bar{a}kyalak sanam$ and $pratis\bar{e}dha$) said here, when the $pratis\bar{e}dha$ is found in the $s\bar{u}tra$ Na ca $v\bar{a}h\bar{a}h\bar{a}iva-yukt\bar{e}$ (8, 1, 24)?

इदमद्यापूर्व कियते वाक्यसंज्ञा, समानवाक्याधिकारश्च । तद् द्वेष्यं विज्ञानीयात् सर्वमेतद्विकल्पत इति । तदाचार्यः सुहृद् मृत्वा अन्वाचष्टे चादिभियोगे यथान्यासमेव भवति इति । सा चावश्यं वाक्यसंज्ञा वक्तव्या, समानवाक्याधिकारश्च वक्तव्यः । Here vākyasamjñā and samānavākyādhikāra are enjoined afresh. One will, at once, think that this is antagonistic to the sūtra Na ca vā...... and hence will proclaim that there will be vikalpa i for everything (on the dictum Tulyabalāir virādhē vikalpaḥ). Hence Ācārya (Vārttikakāra) with an affectionate heart tells us that there is no vikalpa to what is enjoined in the sūtra Na ca vā... and hence reads the vārttika Yōgē pratiṣēdhaḥ cādibhiḥ in consonance with it. Hence vākyasamjñā is necessarily to be enjoined and also samānavākyādhikāra.

समर्थनिघाते हि समानाधिकरणयुक्तयुक्तेषूपसङ्ख्यानमसमर्थत्वात्

With reference to nighāta etc. after a word which is samartha, addition has to be made of those that are in apposition or that are connected with the word which is samartha, on account of their being asamartha.

समर्थनिघाते हि सित समानाधिकरणयुक्तयुक्तेषूपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यं स्थात् । (समानाधिकरणे) - पटवे ते दास्थामि, मृदवे ते दास्थामि । (युक्तयुक्ते) नद्यास्तिष्ठति कूले, वृक्षस्य लम्बते शाखायां, शालीनां ते ओदनं ददामि, शालीनां मे ओदनं ददासि । With reference to nighāta, yuṣmad-ādēśa and asmad-ādēśa after a word which is samartha, there is need to add that the same happens after a word which is in apposition with it or is related to it. Viz. Paṭavē tē dāsyāmi and mṛdavē tē dāsyāmi. (Here

1. But Nāgēšabhaṭṭa takes vikalpatē in the sense of vyāpnōti,

tava is replaced by $t\bar{e}$ after $paṭav\bar{e}$ and $mrdav\bar{e}$ which are in apposition with tava) $Nady\bar{a}s$ tiṣṭhati $k\bar{u}l\bar{e}$ and vrkṣasya $lambat\bar{e}$ $ś\bar{a}kh\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$. (Here tiṣṭhati and $lambat\bar{e}$ take $nigh\bar{a}ta$ after $nady\bar{a}s$ and vrkṣaya which are related only to $k\bar{u}l\bar{e}$ and $ś\bar{a}kh\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ which are samartha. $Ś\bar{a}l\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$ $t\bar{e}$ $\bar{o}danam$ $dad\bar{a}mi$ and $ś\bar{a}l\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$ which is related only to $\bar{o}danam$ which is samartha).

किं पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति? Why is it not accomplished? असमर्थत्वात् Since they are not samartha.

VI

राजगवीक्षीरे दिसमासप्रसङ्गो दिषष्टीभावात्

There is chance for two $sam\bar{a}sas$ with reference to the word $r\bar{a}jagav\bar{i}ks\bar{i}ram$ on account of the presence of two words in the sixth case.

राजगवीक्षीरे द्विसमासप्रसङ्गः

There is chance for another $sam\bar{a}sa$ in the place of $r\bar{a}ja-gav\bar{\imath}k\bar{s}\bar{\imath}ram$.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ reads:— $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ y \bar{a} g $\bar{a}uh$, tasy $\bar{a}h$ kṣ $\bar{i}ram$ ity $\bar{e}tasmin$ arth \bar{e} r $\bar{a}jag\bar{o}k$ ṣ $\bar{i}ram$ ityapi pr \bar{a} pn $\bar{o}ti$.

किं कारणम्? Why?

द्विषष्ठीभावात् - द्वे ह्यत्र षष्ठ्यौ - राज्ञो गोः क्षीरम् इति

On account of the presence of two $sasth\bar{s}s$. For there are two $sasth\bar{s}s$ - here $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ and $g\bar{o}h$ in $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ $g\bar{o}h$ $ks\bar{s}ram$.

किमुच्यते द्विसमासपसङ्ग इति, यावता सुप्सुपेति वर्तते ?

How is it said dvi-sam $\bar{a}sa$ -prasanga when the $s\bar{u}tra$ Sup $sup\bar{a}$ enjoins that subanta compounds with subanta?

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ reads: $-Sankhy\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ vivakṣitatv $\bar{a}t$ samu-d $\bar{a}yasya$ ca asubantatv $\bar{a}t$ n $\bar{a}sti$ sam $\bar{a}saprasanga$ ityarthah.

द्विसमासप्रसङ्ग इति नैवं विज्ञायते द्वयोः सुबन्तयोः समासप्रसङ्गो द्विसमास-प्रसङ्ग इति

The word dvisamāsaprasangah is not split thus:—Dvayōh subantayōh samāsaprasangah.

1. यदा सुप्सुपेति वर्तते न तदा द्विसमासप्रसङ्गः इति is the reading of Pandurang Jawaji's edition.

कथं तर्हि ! How then ?

द्भिनकारस्य समासस्य प्रसङ्गो द्विसमासप्रसङ्ग इति । राजगोक्षीरम् इत्यपि प्रामोति

 $Dvisam\bar{a}saprasangah$ is split thus:— $Dviprak\bar{a}rasya$ $sam\bar{a}sasya$ prasangah. (Hence) there is chance for the form $r\bar{a}ja$ - $g\bar{o}$ -k $s\bar{i}ram$ alone.

न चैवं भवितव्यम् ? Can it not be so ?

भवितव्यं च यदैतद्वाक्यं भवति - गोः क्षीरं गोक्षीरं, राज्ञो गोक्षीरं राजगो-क्षीरम् इति

It can be so, if the $sam\bar{a}sa$ is of this nature:— $G\bar{o}k$ k $\bar{s}\bar{i}ram$ $g\bar{o}k$ $\bar{s}\bar{i}ram$; $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ $g\bar{o}k$ $\bar{s}\bar{i}ram$ $r\bar{a}jag\bar{o}k$ $\bar{s}\bar{i}ram$.

Note:—The word rājagākṣīram can only mean cow's milk belonging to the king. Hence the cow need not belong to him.

यदा त्वेतद्वाक्यं भवति - राज्ञः गोः क्षीरम् इति, तदा न भवितव्यम् ; तदा च प्राप्तोति । तदा कस्मान्न भवति ?

When it is the samāsa of rājñaḥ gōḥ kṣīram, it should not take that form. But it has a chance to take that form. What prevents it from taking it?

सिद्धं तु राजविशिष्टाया गोः क्षीरेण सामध्यीत्

The object is achieved on account of the $s\bar{a}marthya$ of $g\bar{o}$ belonging to the king with $k\bar{s}\bar{i}ra$.

सिद्धमेतत् This (the object) is achieved.

कथम्? How?

राजविशिष्टाया गोः क्षीरेण सह समासो भवति, न केवलायाः

 $Sam\bar{a}sa$ takes place between $g\bar{o}$ belonging to the king and $k\bar{s}\bar{i}ra$ and not between any $g\bar{o}$ and $k\bar{s}\bar{i}ra$.

किं वक्तव्यमेतत्? Is this to be stated?

न हि No, it need not.

कथमनुच्यमानं गंस्यते?

How is it to be so understood unless it is stated?

यथैवायं गवि यतते, न च क्षीरमालेण सन्तोषं करोति, एवं राजन्यपि यतते राज्ञो या गौः तस्था यत् क्षीरम् इति । Just as one, not being satisfied with any milk, seeks after $g\bar{o}$, so also he, not being satisfied with cow belonging to any man, seeks after it which belongs to the king.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iya$ ta reads:— $G\bar{o}h$ pūrvam rāj $n\bar{a}$ sambandhah, paśc $\bar{a}t$ kṣ $\bar{\imath}i$ ēna. Nāgēśabhaṭṭ \imath reads:— $Y\bar{e}na$ kramēṇa sambandhah tat-kramēṇāiva samāsa-śāstra-pravṛttih.

नैव वा पुनरत्र गो राजानमपेक्षमाणायाः क्षीरेण सह समासः प्राप्तोति Oh! here is no chance for $g\bar{o}$ which expects $r\bar{a}jan$ to qualify it to compound itself with $k\bar{s}\bar{i}ra$.

किं कारणम्? Why?

असामध्यति On account of the absence of sāmarthya.

कथमसामध्येम्? How is it that sāmarthya is absent?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवतीति

That which expects another to qualify it is not samartha to compound itself with another.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{o}jibhatta$ reads:—"Saviśēṣaṇānām vṛttir na" ityatra saviśēṣaṇatvam api ākāṅkṣā-labhya-viśēṣaṇavattvam ēva iti na dōṣah.

कथं तर्हि गोः क्षीरमपेक्षमाणाया राज्ञा सह समासो भवति ?

How is it then there is $sam\bar{a}sa$ between $r\bar{o}jan$ and $g\bar{o}$ when the latter expects to be compounded with $k\bar{s}\bar{i}ra$?

प्रधानमत्र तदा गौर्भवति । भवति च प्रधानस्य सापेक्षस्यापि समासः $G\bar{o}$ becomes $pradh\bar{a}na$ then. $Pradh\bar{a}na$ can compound with another even though it is $s\bar{a}p\bar{e}ksa$.

$\Lambda\Pi$

अथ किमर्थं पदविधौ समर्थाधिकारः कियते?

What for is samarthādhikāra made in pada-vidhi?

Note:—Since it has already been decided that the $s\bar{u}tra$ Samarthah padavidhih is a $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ and not an $adhik\bar{a}ra-s\bar{u}tra$, it may strike one whether the use of the word $adhik\bar{a}ra$ above is appropriate. True, it is not so. But $K\bar{a}iyata$ explains it this way:—Since $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}rthya$ is common to both, $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ is referred to here by the word $adhik\bar{a}ra$; for $adhik\bar{a}ra$ is $viniy\bar{o}ga$. $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}sabhatta$ gives the meaning of the word $viniy\bar{o}ga$ to be $S\bar{a}str\bar{a}ntar\bar{e}$ upasthitih.

पद्विधौ समर्थवचनं वर्णाश्रये शास्त्रे आनन्तर्यविज्ञानात्

Mention of samartha with reference to pada-vidhi is for the sake of suggesting ānantarya alone in varṇāśraya-vidhi.

पदिवधौ समर्थाधिकारः कियते, वर्णाश्रये शास्त्रे आनन्तर्यमात्रे कार्य यथा विज्ञायेत इति । तिष्ठतु दध्यशान त्वं शाकेन, तिष्ठतु कुमारी च्छतं हर देवदत्त इति Samarthādhikāra is made in padavidhi, so that one may understand that, in varṇa-vidhi, ānantarya (immediate following) alone is the criterion to effect the kārya. Viz. Tiṣṭhatu dadhyaśāna tvam śākēna, Tiṣṭhatu kumārī cchatram hara Dēvadatta.

Note:—In the first example there is $s\bar{a}marthya$ between dadhi and $as\bar{a}na$ and $yan-\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ and in the second there is no $s\bar{a}marthya$ between $kum\bar{a}r\bar{i}$ and chatram and there is tuk between them.

समर्थाधिकारस्य विधेयसामानाधिकरण्यान्निर्दशानर्थक्यम्

 \bar{A} narthakya of the mention of samartha on account of its being in apposition with the $vidh\bar{e}ya$.

समर्थाधिकारोऽयं विधेयेन समानाधिकरणः

The word samartha in the sūtra is in apposition with the vidhēya.

किं च विधेयम्? What is vidhēya?

समासः । यावद् ब्रूयात् समर्थः समासः इति, तावत् समर्थः पदिविधिः इति । Samāsa. He may as well have said Samartkah samāsah in the same way as Samarthah padavidhih.

Note:—It has already been mentioned that vidhih in padavidhih is karmasādhana.

न च राजपुरुषः इत्येतस्यामवस्थायां समर्थाधिकारेण किञ्चिदपि शक्यं प्रवर्तियतुं निवर्तियतुं वा । समर्थाधिकारस्य विधेयसामानाधिकरण्यानिर्देशोऽनर्थकः ।

When the compound $r\bar{a}japuru\bar{s}ah$ is in existence, samarthādhi- $k\bar{a}ra$ can neither do a thing afresh nor undo a thing. The mention of samarthādhikāra serves no purpose, since it is in apposițion with the $vidh\bar{e}ya$.

सिद्धं तु समर्थानामिति वचनात्

The object is achieved by explaining it as samarth $\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ pad $\bar{a}-n\bar{a}m$ vidhih.

सिद्धमेतत् This (the object) is achieved.

कथम्? How?

समर्थानां पदानां विधिभवतीति वक्तव्यम्

It must be explained that vidhi operates in words which are samarthas.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iya$ ța reads: -Samartha-pada-sambandhitv $\bar{a}t$ pada-vidhir upac $\bar{a}r\bar{a}t$ samartha $\dot{s}abd\bar{e}na$ ucyat \bar{e} .

एवमपि द्येकयोर्न प्राप्तोति 1

If so, vidhi will not operate on one or two padas which are samartha (on account of $samarth\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ being in the plural number).

एकशेषनिर्देशाद्वा Or on account of its being read in ēkaśēṣa.

अथ वा एकशेषनिर्देशोऽयम् - समर्थस्य च समर्थयोश्च समर्थानां च समर्थानाम् इति

Or this is $\bar{e}ka \le \bar{e} = nird\bar{e} \le a$; samarth $\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ stands for samarthasya ca samarthay $\bar{o} \le ca$ samarth $\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ ca.

एवमपि षट्प्रमृतीनामेव प्राप्ताति - षट्प्रमृतिषु ह्येकशेषः परिसमाप्यते Even then, the vidhi will operate when the number of samarthapada is six or more, since the minimum number to satisfy the ēkaśēṣa is six.

नैष दोषः This difficulty does not arise.

प्रत्येकं वाक्यपरिसमाप्तिर्देष्टा इति द्येकयोरपि भविष्यति ।

Since it is seen that the fruit of the meaning of the sentence is seen individually, it will operate with reference to one or two also.

् एवमपि विभक्तीनां न प्राप्तोति - समर्थात्समर्थे, पदात्पदे इति ।

Even then it may not operate with reference to all vibhaktis, like $samarth\bar{a}d$, $samarth\bar{e}$, $pad\bar{a}t$ and $pad\bar{e}$.

Note:—This question arises only on the assumption that samarthah padavidhih can be explained in only one way as samarthānām padānām vidhih.

1. Pandurang Jawaji Edition reads thus:—एवमि द्येक्योर्न प्राप्नोति इत्युत्तरं भाष्ये राब्दसंस्कारार्थमेव बहुत्विविक्षया कृतं बहुवचनं तच्च कार्यानन्वियतया अविविक्षितम् इति समाधानं त्रुटितम् । अन्यथैकशेषनिर्देशाद्वेति वाशब्दासङ्गति:

एवं तर्हि समर्थपदयोरयं विधिशब्देन सर्वविभक्त्यन्तः समासः - समर्थस्य विधिः समर्थविधिः, समर्थयोविधिः समर्थविधिः, समर्थानां विधिः समर्थविधिः, समर्थाद्विधिः सगर्थविधिः, समर्थे विधिः समर्थविधिः । पदस्य विधिः पद्विधिः, पद्योर्विधिः पद्विधिः, पद्निं विधिः पद्विधिः, पद्द् विधिः पद्विधिः, पद् विधिः पद्विधिः । समर्थविधिश्च समर्थविधिश्च समर्थविधिश्च समर्थविधिश्च समर्थविधिश्च समर्थविधयः । पद्विधिश्च पद्विधिश्च पद्विधिश्च पद्विधिश्च पद्विधिश्च पद्विधयः । समर्थविधयश्च पद्विधयश्च समर्थः पद्विधिः । पूर्वः समास उत्तरपद्लोपी, याद्दिछकी च विभक्तिः 1 If so, the words samartha and pada compound with vidhi in different case relations thus: -Samarthasya vidhih, samarthayor vidhih, samarthanam vidhih, samarthad vidhih and samarthe vidhih; padasya vidhih, padayor vidhih, padanam vidhih, pudat vidhih and padē vidhih. Then samarthavidhiś ca samarthavidhiś ca samarthavidhiś ca samarthavidhiś ca samarthavidhiś ca form samarthavidhayah. Similarly padavidhis ca padavidhis ca padavidhiś ca padavidhiś ca padavidhiś ca form padavidhayah. Then samarthavidhayas ca padavidhayas ca form samarthah padavidhih, where the second member of samarthavidhi is dropped and the singular number is used at will.

VIII

समानाधिकरणेषुषसङ्ख्यानं द्रव्यं पदार्थ इति चेत्

There is need to read samānādhikaraņēşu padavidhih if dravya is taken to be padārtha.

समानाधिकरणेषूयसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - वीरः पुरुषः वीरपुरुषः

It must be stated that there is $sam\bar{a}sa$ between words having $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranya$, so that $v\bar{i}rah$ and purusah may compound themselves into $v\bar{i}rapurusah$.

किं पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति?

How is it that it is not accomplished otherwise? असमर्थत्वात्

On account of the absence of samarthya between them.

कथमसामर्थ्यम् ?

Under what circumstances is there as amarthya?

1. Yādrcchikī vibhaktiś ca is another reading.

यदि द्रव्यं पदार्थः, न भवति तदा सामर्थ्यं, भेदाभावात्

If the meaning is the object without reference to the pravrttinimitta of each word, there is no sāmarthya, since there is no difference in their denotation.

अथ हि गुणः पदार्थः?

Suppose on the other hand, that the connotation (pravrtti-nimittam) is taken to be the meaning?

भवति तदा सामर्थ्यम्, अन्यो हि वीरत्वं गुणः, अन्यो हि पुरुषत्वम् There is sāmarthya in that case; for the connotation vīratva is different from the connotation puruṣatva.

नान्यत्वमस्ति इतीयता सामर्थ्यं भवति । अन्यो हि देवदत्तो गोभ्यश्च अश्वेभ्यश्च । न चैतस्य एतावता सामर्थ्यं भवति ।

Difference in pravrttinimitta alone is not the factor to decide the existence of $s\bar{a}marthya$. $D\bar{e}vadatta$ is different from cows and horses. For that reason no $s\bar{a}marthya$ exists between him and cows and horses.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaṭa$ reads: $-Upak\bar{a}ra$ - $vivakṣ\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ $s\bar{a}marthyam$ and $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\acute{s}abhaṭṭa$ explains it thus: $-Vi\acute{s}\bar{e}ṣya$ - $vi\acute{s}\bar{e}ṣaṇa$ - $bh\bar{a}v\bar{e}na$ $anvayar\bar{u}p\bar{o}pak\bar{a}ravivakṣ\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$.

को वा विशेषो यद् गुणे पदार्थे सामध्य स्याद् द्रव्ये च न स्यात्? What is the discerning factor to determine that there is sāmarthya if guṇa is padārtha and that there is no sāmarthya if dravya is padārtha?

एष विशेष: - एकं तयोरिधकरणम्, अन्यश्च वीरत्वं गुण:, अन्यः पुरुषत्वम् This is the discerning factor:—They have the same adhikarana and they, in their nature of being vīratva and puruṣatva, are different.

द्रव्यपदार्थिकस्यापि तर्हि गुणभेदात् सामर्थ्यं भविष्यति
Let there be sāmarthya even when dravya is padārtha on account of the difference in their connotation.

अशक्यो द्रव्यपदार्थिकेन द्रव्यस्य गुणकृत उपकारः प्रतिज्ञातुम् It is impossible for connotation to be the discerning factor when the denotation of words is taken into consideration.

ननु चाभ्यन्तरोऽसौ भवति Oh! it is within it.

यद्यप्यभ्यन्तरः, न तु गम्यते । न हि गुडः इत्युक्ते मधुरत्वं गम्यते, शृङ्गवेरम् इति वा कटुकत्वम्

Though it is within, it is not expressed. At the mention of the word guda, madhuratva is not expressed; nor katukatva, at the mention of the word $\acute{s}r\dot{n}gav\bar{e}ra$.

गुणपदाधिकेनापि तर्हि अशक्यो गुणस्य द्रव्यकृत उपकारः प्रतिज्ञातुम् । lf so, it is equally impossible for denotation to be the discerning factor when the connotation of words is taken into consideration.

अथ गुणपदार्थिकः प्रतिजानीते

Then it is stated that words whose connotation is taken into consideration form into a compound when there is $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhi-karanya$.

द्रव्यपदार्थिकोऽपि कस्मान प्रतिजानीते ?

Why should it not be stated that words whose denotation is taken into consideration form into a compound also when there is $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranya$?

एवमनयोः सामर्थ्यं स्याद्वा न वा । क तावदिदं स्थात् समानाधिकरणेनेति ? Let there be sāmarthya between those two or not. Where will they have the sāmānādhikaranya-sambandha?

यत्र सर्वे समानम् - इन्द्रः, शकः, पुरुहृतः, पुरन्दरः; कन्दुः कोष्ठः कुसूलः इति । Where all - both denotation and connotation - are the same. Viz. Indrah, śakrah, puruhūtah, purandarah; and kanduh, kōsthah, kusūlah (granary).

Note:—1. $K\bar{a}iyata$ explains that $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranam$ is a $bahuvr\bar{i}hi$ compound where adikaranam means $v\bar{a}cyah$, so that it means $pary\bar{a}ya$ (synonym).

Note:—2. Even though the words indrah, śakrah, puruhūtah anā purandarah have different connotations, yet they are not taken into consideration and each is taken to connote only indratva.

नैवंजातीयकानां समासेन भवितव्यं, प्रत्ययेन वोत्पत्तव्यम्
There cannot be samāsa between synonyms of this type, nor will they, when used together, convey any sense.

किं कारणम्? Why?

अर्थगत्यर्थः शब्दप्रयोगः । अर्थं सम्प्रत्याययिष्यामीति शब्दः प्रयुज्यते । तत्र एकेन उक्तत्वात् तस्यार्थस्य द्वितीयस्य प्रयोगेण न भवितव्यम्

Words are used to convey meaning. Word is used so that one may convey the meaning which he has in his mind to another. Since it is expressed by one word, there is no need to use its synonym after it.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

उक्तार्थानामश्योग इति

There is the dictum that there should be no repetition of the ideas which have been expressed.

न तर्हि इदानीमिदं भवति मृत्यभरणीय इति? If so, is there no chance here for the compound bhṛtyabharaṇīyaḥ?

नैतौ समानार्थो । एकोऽत्र शक्यार्थे कृत्यः, अपरोऽहें । शक्यो भर्तुं मृत्यः; अहिति भृतिं भरणीयः; भृत्यो भरणीयो भृत्यभरणीयः

These two (the words bhrtya and bharaṇīya) are not synonyms. Of them one has krtyapratyaya in the sense of śakya and the other in the sense of arha. Bhrtyah means bhartum śakyah and bharaṇīyah means bhrtim arhati. Bhrtyah and bharaṇīyah form into the compound bhrtya-bharaṇīyah.

यदि तर्हि यत्र किञ्चित् समानं कश्चिच विशेषः तत्र भवितव्यम् इहापि तर्हि प्रामोति - दर्शनीया या माता दर्शनीयामाता इति । अत्रापि किञ्चित् समानं कश्चिच विशेषः ।

If it is then said that there is $sam\bar{a}sa$ between two words which have similarity in some respect and dissimilarity in other respects, there is chance for $karmadh\bar{a}raya-sam\bar{a}sa$ here also $Dar san\bar{y}\bar{a} y\bar{a} m\bar{a}t\bar{a}$ into $dar san\bar{y}\bar{a}-m\bar{a}t\bar{a}$. Here too there is some similarity and some dissimilarity.

र्कि पुनस्तत्? What is it then? सद्भावान्यभावौ Sattā and anyatva.

न कचित् सद्भावान्यभावौ न स्तः, उच्यते चेदं समानाधिकरणेनेति, तत्र प्रकर्षगतिर्विज्ञास्यते - यत्र साधीयः सामानाधिकरण्यम

There is no place where $sadbh\bar{a}va$ and $anyabh\bar{a}va$ are absent. Still mention is made of $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaran\bar{e}na$. Hence it should

be taken to refer to the prakrsta so that it means where there is superior $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranya$.

क च साधीयः सामानाधिकरण्यम्?

Where is superior sāmānādhikaranya?

यत्र सर्वं समानं सद्भावान्यभावौ द्रव्यं च

Where there is similarity in everything - $sadbh\bar{a}va$ and $anya-bh\bar{a}va$ and denotation.

अथ वा समानाधिकरणेनेति तत्समानमाश्रीयते यत्समानं भवति न च भवति । न चैतत्समानं कचिदपि न भवति ।

Or samāna in the word samānādhikaraņēna refers to that which is found in some places and which is not found in others; but there is no place where this samāna (i.e.) sattā is not found.

अथवा यावद् ब्र्यात् समानद्रव्येण इति तावत् समानाधिकरणेन इति । द्रव्यं हि लोके अधिकरणम् इत्युपचर्यते; तद्यथा - एकस्मिन्द्रव्ये व्युदितम्, एकसिन्निधिकरणे व्युदितम्; तथा व्याकरणे विप्रतिषिद्धं चानधिकरणवाचि - अद्रव्यवाचीति गम्यते Or samānādhikaraņēna is taken in the sense of samānadravyēṇa. The word adhikaraņa is used in the world in the sense of dravya through upacāra, as in Ekasmin adhikaraņē vyuditam (there is quarrel with respect to a dravya) in place of Ekasmin dravyē vyuditam. Similarly the word anadhikaraṇavāci in the sūtra Vipratiṣiddham cānadhikaraṇavāci is used in the sense of adravyavāci.

एवमपि इदमवङ्यं कर्तव्यं, समानाधिकरणमसमर्थवद्भवति इति Still this is certainly to be accepted that samānādhikaraṇam is only through asamarthatva.

किं प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit?

सिर्भः कालकं, यजुः पीतकम् इत्येवमर्थम्

So that satva may be prevented in sarpiḥ kālakam and yajuḥ pītakam.

यदि समानाधिकरणमसमर्थवद्भवति इत्युच्यते सर्पिष्पीयते यजुष्कियते इत्यत्र षत्वं न प्रामोति

If samānādhikaraņa is said to be asamarthavat, there will be no satva in sarpiṣpīyatē and yajuṣkriyatē.

अधारवभिहितमित्येवं तत्

Only when $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaraṇa$ refers to what is not said by a pratyaya which follows a $dh\bar{a}tu$.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyaṭa$ writes:- $Dh\bar{a}tu$ -sahacaritah pratyay \bar{o} dh $\bar{a}tu$ -sabd $\bar{e}na$ uktah. $T\bar{e}na$ abhihitam karm $\bar{a}di$ yadi bhavati tad \bar{a} s $\bar{a}m$ arthyam $\bar{e}va$ ityarthah.

एवं च कृत्वा समानाधिकरणेषु उपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - वीरः पुरुषः वीरपुरुष इति ।

Under these circumstances it is necessary to read $Sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhi-karaneşu$ upasankhyānam, so that $v\bar{v}rah$ and puruṣah may combine into $v\bar{v}rapuruṣah$.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

असमर्थत्वात् On account of the absence of sāmarthya.

न वा वचनप्रामाण्यात्

No, it need not be read on account of the authority of usage.

न वा कर्तव्यम् It need not be read.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

वचनप्रामाण्यात् - वचनप्रामाण्यादत्र समासो भविष्यति

On account of the authority of usage (by the $S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$). There will $sam\bar{a}sa$ here on the authority of usage.

किं वचनप्रामाण्यम्?

What is the usage which is to be taken as authority?

समानमध्यमध्यमवीराश्च इति

The expression Samāna-madhya-madhyama-vīrāś ca (2, 1, 58).

IX

द्धप्ताख्यातेषु च Need to read Luptākhyātēṣu ca upasaṅkhyānam.

ल्वप्तात्वातेषु चोपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - निष्कौशाग्विः, निर्वाराणसिः

It must be added that $sam\bar{a}sa$ takes place where verbs have been elided, as in $Nis-k\bar{a}u\dot{s}ambih$ and $nir-v\bar{a}r\bar{a}nasih$.

छप्त। ख्यातेषु च

There is no need for $upasankhy\bar{a}na$ with reference to $lupt\bar{a}-khy\bar{a}tas$ also.

NOTE: $-Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$ has taken the same $v\bar{a}kya$ $lupt\bar{a}khy\bar{a}t\bar{e}su$ ca twice and interprets the former towards the $vidh\bar{a}na$ of $upasa\dot{n}khy\bar{a}na$ and the latter towards its $nis\bar{e}dha$.

किम्? Why?

वचनप्रामाण्यादित्येव Evidently on the authority of vacana. किं वचनप्रामाण्यम्?

What is the vacana which stands as authority?

कुगतिप्रादयः इति The sūtra Ku-gati prādayaḥ (2, 2, 18).

अस्त्यन्यदेतस्य वचने प्रयोजनम्

There is another prayojana accruing from this vacana.

किम्? What?

सुराजः अतिराजा इति $Sur\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ and $atir\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ may be secured.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}$ śubhatta here reads:— $\bar{E}t\bar{e}na$ pr $\bar{a}daya$ iti bahuvacanam j $n\bar{a}$ pakam ityap \bar{a} stam.

न ब्रूमो वृत्तिसूत्रवचनशामाण्याद् इति

We do not say that it is so on the authority of the ($s\bar{u}tra$ of $Ac\bar{a}rya$ $P\bar{a}nini$) which is capable of a $v\bar{a}rttika$ under it.

किं तर्हि? On what then?

वार्त्तिककारवचनप्रामाण्याद् इति । सिद्धं तु काङ्खतिदुर्गतिवचनात् - प्रादयः कार्थे इति

On the prāmānya of Vārttikakāra's vacana - Siddham tu kvān-svatidurgativacanāt, Prādyaḥ ktārthē (under 2, 2, 18).

तदर्थगतेर्वा Or on account of suggesting its meaning.

तद्रथगतेर्वा पुनः सिद्धमेतत्

Or this is accomplished by tadarthagati.

किमिदं तदर्थगतेरिति? What is this:—tadarthagatēḥ?

तस्य अर्थः तदर्थः, तदर्थस्य गतिः तदर्थगतिः, तदर्थगतेः इति ; यस्य अर्थस्य कौशाम्ब्या सामर्थ्यं स निसा उच्यते ।

Tasya and arthah compound into tadarthah; tadarthasya and gatih compound into tadarthagatih; tadarthagateh is the fifth case of tadarthagatih; the artha which has $s\bar{a}marthya$ with $k\bar{a}us\bar{a}mb\bar{i}$ is suggested by nis.

अथ वा सोऽर्थः तद्रथः, तद्रथस्य गतिः तद्रथगितः, तद्रथगितेः इति ; योऽर्थः कौशाम्ब्या समर्थः स निसा उच्यते ।

Or sah and arthah compound into tadarthah; tadarthasya and gatih compound into tadarthagatih and tadarthagatēh is the fifth case of tadarthagatih; the meaning which is samartha with $k\bar{a}u\hat{s}\bar{a}mb\bar{\imath}$ is suggested by nis.

X

अथ यत्र बहूनां समासप्रसङ्गः किं तत्र द्वयोर्द्वयोः समासो भवति आहोस्विद् अविशेषेण?

Where there is a chance for more than two words to become a $sam\bar{a}sa$, do they form the $sam\bar{a}sa$ two by two or all at a time?

कश्चात्र विशेषः? What is the difference here?

समासो इयोईयोश्चेद् इन्द्रेडनेकग्रहणम्

If $sam\bar{a}sa$ is between two at a time, the word $an\bar{e}ka$ is to be read in the $s\bar{u}tra$ dealing with dvandva.

समासो द्वयोद्वयोश्चेद् द्वन्द्वेऽनेकग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् - चार्थे द्वन्द्वोऽनेकम् इति वक्तव्यम् । इहापि यथा स्यात् - प्रश्नन्यग्रोधखदिरपलाशाः इति ।

If $sam\bar{a}sa$ is between two at a time, the word $an\bar{e}ka$ is to be read thus:— $C\bar{a}rth\bar{e}\ dvandv\bar{o}sn\bar{e}kam$, so that the $sam\bar{a}sa$ may take place in $Plak\,sa-nyagr\bar{o}dha-khadira-pal\bar{a}\,s\bar{a}\,h$.

Note:—Nāgēśabhaṭṭa says that dvandva above is upalakṣaṇa to bahuvrīhi also.

नैष दोषः । अत्रापि द्वयोर्द्वयोः समासो भविष्यति

This difficulty does not arise. Even here $sam\bar{a}sa$ takes place between two at a time.

द्वयोर्द्वयोः समास इति चन्न बहुषु दित्वाभावात

It is not possible for the samāsa taking place two at a time since dvitva is absent when many are taken as a whole.

द्वयोर्द्वयोः समास इति चेत् तन्न

If it is said that, (even there) samāsa takes place between two at a time, it is not possible.

किं कारणम् श Why?

बहुषु द्वित्वाभावात् - न बहुषु द्वित्वमस्ति

On account of the absence of dvitva in many. Dvitva is not comprehended when more than two are taken as a whole.

नावश्यमेवं विम्रहः कर्तव्यः - प्रक्षश्च न्यम्रोधश्च खदिरश्च पलाशश्च इति It is not absolutely necessary to form the vigraha thus:— Plakṣaḥ ca nyagrōdhaḥ ca khadiraḥ ca palāśaḥ ca.

किं तर्हि ? How then?

एवं विम्रहः करिष्यते - प्रक्षश्च न्यमोधश्च प्रक्षन्यमोधौ, खदिरश्च पलाशश्च खिरपलाशौ, प्रक्षन्यमोधौ च खिरपलाशौ च प्रक्षन्यमोधखिरपलाशाः इति । The vigraha is done thus:—Plakṣaḥ and nyagrōdhaḥ compound into plakṣa-nyagrōdhāu, khadiraḥ and palāśaḥ into khadira-palāśāu and then plakṣa-nyagrōdhāu and khadirapalāśāu into plakṣanyagrōdhakhadirapalāśāḥ.

होतृपोतृनेष्टोद्गातारस्तर्हि न सिध्यन्ति ; होतापोतानेष्टोद्गातारः इति प्राप्तोति । The form $h\bar{o}tr$ - $p\bar{o}tr$ - $n\bar{e}$ st $\bar{o}dg\bar{a}t\bar{a}rah$ cannot, then, be secured ; the form $h\bar{o}t\bar{a}$ - $p\bar{o}t\bar{a}$ - $n\bar{e}$ st $\bar{o}dg\bar{a}t\bar{a}rah$ will take its place.

Note: Sidhyati may be a better reading for sidhyanti.

न चैतदेवं भवितव्यम्? Is not that form admissible?

भवितव्यं च यदैवं विग्रहः क्रियते - होता च पोता च होतापोतारो, नेष्टा च उद्गाता च नेष्टोद्गातारो, होतापोतारो च नेष्टोद्गातारो च होतापोतानेष्टोद्गातार इति । होतृपोतृनेष्टोद्गातारस्तु न सिध्यन्ति

It is admissible if the vigraha is done thus:— $H\bar{o}t\bar{a}$ ca $p\bar{o}t\bar{a}$ ca $h\bar{o}t\bar{a}p\bar{o}t\bar{a}r\bar{a}u$, $n\bar{e}$, $t\bar{a}$ ca $udg\bar{a}t\bar{a}$ ca $n\bar{e}$, $t\bar{o}dg\bar{a}t\bar{a}r\bar{a}u$, $h\bar{o}t\bar{a}p\bar{o}t\bar{a}r\bar{a}u$ ca $n\bar{e}$, $t\bar{o}dg\bar{a}t\bar{a}r\bar{a}u$ ca $h\bar{o}t\bar{a}p\bar{o}t\bar{a}n\bar{e}$, $t\bar{o}dg\bar{a}t\bar{a}rah$. The form $h\bar{o}tr$ - $p\bar{o}tr$ - $n\bar{e}$, $t\bar{o}dg\bar{a}t\bar{a}rah$ cannot be secured.

समासान्तप्रतिषेधश्र Need for the pratisedha of samāsāntavidhi.

समासान्तस्य च प्रतिषेघो वक्तव्यः - वाक्तवक्सुग्दषदम् इति, वाक्तवच - सुग्दषदम् इति प्रामोति

There is the need to prohibit the operation of $sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}ntavidhi$. Otherwise the form $v\bar{a}k$ -tvak-srug-drsadam cannot be secured and the form $v\bar{a}k$ -tvaca-srug-drsadam will take its place.

नेष दोषः । अत्रापि परेण सह समासो भविष्यति - सुक् च दषच सुक्दषदं, त्वक् च सुक्दषदं, त्वक् च सुक्दषदं च त्वक्सुग्दषदं, वाक् च त्वक्सुन्दषदं च वाक्त्वक्सुग्दषदम् इति ।

This difficulty cannot arise if $sam\bar{a}sa$ is started from the end thus:—Sruk ca drṣad ca srukdrṣadam, tvak ca srug-drṣadam ca tvak-srug-drṣadam, $v\bar{a}k$ ca tvak-srug-drṣadam ca $v\bar{a}k$ -tvak-srug-drṣadam.

होतृपोतृनेष्टोद्गातार एव तर्हि न सिध्यति

If so, the form hotr-potr-neṣṭodgātāraḥ cannot be secured.

इह च सुसूक्ष्मजटकेशेन सुनताजिनवाससा । समन्तिशितिरम्धेण द्वयोर्वृत्तौ न सिध्यति ।

Besides if samāsa is between two at a time, the bahuvrīhis susūkṣmajaṭakēśēna, sunatājinavāsasā and samantaśitirandhrēṇa which have more than two component parts cannot be secured.

अस्तु तर्हि अविशेषेण If so, let it be with any number.

अविशेषेण बहुत्रीहावनेकपद्रप्रसङ्गः

If bahuvrīhi takes place with any number, there is chance for the difficulties to arise due to many words becoming a bahuvrīhi compound.

यद्यविशेषेण बहुत्रीहावनेकपदशसङ्गः

If it takes place with any number, there is chance for the difficulties to arise due to many words becoming a bahuvrīhi compound.

तत्र को दोष:? What harm is there?

तत्र खरसमासान्तपुंवद्भावेषु दोषः

Harm lies in that case in svara, samāsānta and pumvadbhāva.

तत्र खरसमासान्तपुंवद्भावेषु दोषो भवति

In that case there will be difficulty with reference to svara, $sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}nta$ and $pu\bar{m}vadbh\bar{a}va$.

स्वर - पूर्वशालाभियः, अपरशालाभियः

There will be difficulty in the svara of the words $p\bar{u}rva-\dot{s}\bar{a}l\bar{a}-priya\dot{h}$ and $apara-\dot{s}\bar{a}l\bar{a}-priya\dot{h}$.

Note:—If all the three words $p\bar{u}rva$, $\pm \bar{a}l\bar{a}$ and priya compound into $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ at the same time, the $ud\bar{a}tta$ will have to be on the initial syllable of $p\bar{u}rva$ and if, on the other hand, $p\bar{u}rva$ and $\pm \bar{a}l\bar{a}$ first compound together and then $p\bar{u}rva\pm \bar{a}l\bar{a}$ compounds together with priya, the second syllable of $\pm \bar{a}l\bar{a}$

will be $ud\bar{a}tta$. The same will be the case in the word apara- $s\bar{a}l\bar{a}$ -priyah.

समासान्त - पञ्चगविशयः, पञ्चनाविशयः

There will be difficulty in the $sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}nta$ of the words $pa\tilde{n}ca-gava-priyah$ and $pa\tilde{n}ca-n\bar{a}va-priyah$.

Note:—If all the three words $pa\tilde{n}ca$, $g\bar{o}$ and priyah form into the compound at the same time, they will form into $pa\tilde{n}ca$ - $g\bar{o}$ -priyah and not $pa\tilde{n}ca$ -gava-priyah. Similarly there will be $pa\tilde{n}ca$ - $n\bar{a}u$ -priyah and not $pa\tilde{n}ca$ - $n\bar{a}va$ -priyah.

पुंबद्भाव - खाद्रेतरशम्यम्

There will be difficulty in the $pu\dot{m}vadbh\bar{a}va$ in $kh\bar{a}dar\bar{e}tara-\dot{s}amyom$.

Note:— $Kh\bar{a}dir\bar{\imath}$ will take $pu\dot{m}vadbh\bar{a}va$ and change to $kh\bar{a}dira$ only if it first compounds with $itar\bar{a}$.

न वावयवतत्पुरुषत्वात्

This difficulty does not arise, since its avayava is tatpurusa.

न वैष दोषः This difficulty does not arise.

किं कारणम्? Why?

अवयवतत्पुरुषत्वात् - अवयवोऽत्र तत्पुरुषसंज्ञः, तदाश्रयौ समासान्तपुंवद्भावौ भविष्यतः

On account of the avayara being tatpuruṣa. The avayava here is tatpuruṣa and samāsānta and pumvadbhāva which depend upon it set in.

खरः कथम्? How to explain the svara?

तस्यान्तोदात्तत्वं विप्रतिषेधात्

It takes antōdāttatva through vipratiṣēdha.

अन्तोदात्तत्वं क्रियतां पूर्वपदमकृतिस्वर इति, अन्तोदात्तत्वं भवति विश्रतिषेधेन | Doubt arises whether there should be antōdāttatva or pūrva-padaprakrtisvaratva and antōdāttatva has precedence through vipratiṣēdha.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that $vipratis\bar{e}dha$ means $vir\bar{o}dha$.

े नैष युक्तो विप्रतिषेधः । विप्रतिषेधे परम् इत्युच्यते, पूर्वं चान्तोदात्तत्वं, परं पूर्वपदप्रकृतिस्वरत्वम् ।

Vipratiṣēdha does not fit in. The vidhi is Vipratiṣēdhē param; antōdāttatva is pūrva and pūrvapadaprakṛtisvaratva is para.

न परविप्रतिषेधं ब्रमः

We do not refer to Vipratiṣēdhē param kāryam.

किं तर्हि ? To what then?

अन्तरङ्गवित्रतिषेधम् To antaranga-vipratisēdha.

Note: $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that $avayav\bar{a}\acute{s}rita$ is antaranga.

निमित्तिखरबलीयस्त्वाद्वा

Or on account of the greater strength of the svara of nimittin.

अथ वा निमित्तस्वरान्निमित्तिस्वरो बलीयानिति वक्तव्यम्

Or it must be said that nimittisvara is stronger than nimittasvara.

किं पुनर्निमित्तं, को वा निमित्ती?

Which is nimitta and which is nimittin?

बहुत्रीहिर्निमित्तम्, तत्पुरुषो निमित्ती

Bahuvrīhi is nimitta and tatpurusa is nimittin.

तत्तर्हि वक्तव्यम् - निमित्तस्वरान्निमित्तिस्वरो बलीयान् इति

It, then, must be stated that nimitti-svara is stronger than nimitta-svara.

न वक्तव्यम् No, it need not be stated.

एकशितिपात्स्वरवचनं तु ज्ञापकं निमित्तिस्वरबलीयस्त्वस्य

Mention of svara for the word $\bar{e}ka$ - $\pm iti$ - $p\bar{a}t$ suggests that nimitti-svara is stronger.

यद्यं युक्तारोह्यादिषु एकशितिपाच्छब्दं पठित, तद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्थो निमित्त-स्वरान्निमित्तिस्वरो बलीयान् इति ।

Since $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) reads the word $\bar{e}ka$ - $\dot{s}iti$ - $p\bar{a}t$ in $Yukt\bar{a}$ - $r\bar{o}hy\bar{a}digana$, he suggests that nimitti-svara is stronger than nimitta-svara.

Note:—1. The first syllable in the word $\bar{e}ka$ - $\dot{s}iti$ - $p\bar{a}t$ is $ud\bar{a}tta$ on the authority of $Yukt\bar{a}r\bar{o}hy\bar{a}daya\dot{s}$ ca (6, 2, 81).

Note:—2. Kāiyaṭa reads:-Tripadē bahuvrīhāu kṛtē dvayōś ca tatpuruṣē, Bahuvrīhāu prakṛtyā iti ādyudāttatvē, jñāpanārthaḥ pāṭhaḥ sampadyatē.

कः पुनरहिति युक्तारोह्यादिषु एकशितिपाच्छव्दं पठितुम्?

Who deserves to read the word ēkaŝitipāt in yuktārāhyādigaņa?

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyaṭa$ reads here:— $Sarvath\bar{a}$ svarasya siddhatvāt akartavya ēva $p\bar{a}ṭh\bar{o}$ na $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}paka$ ityarthaḥ.

एवं किल नाम पठ्यते, एक: शितिः एकशितिः, एकशितिः पादो यस्य इति It is read in this sense:—Ekah śiti $h=\bar{e}ka$ śitih; $\bar{e}ka$ śitih; $p\bar{a}dah$ yasya. (i.e.) it is $bahuvr\bar{i}hi$ with dvigu at the beginning.

तच्च न; एवं विश्रहः करिष्यते, एकः शितिः एषु त इमे एकशितयः, एकशितयः पादा यस्येति एकशितिपाद् इति ।

No, it is not; the vigraha will be done this way:—Ēkaḥ śitiḥ ēṣu tē ēkaśitayaḥ; ēkaśitayaḥ pādāḥ yasya ēkaśitipāt

अथाप्येवं विम्रहः कियते - एकः शितिः एकशितिः, एकशितिः पादः यस्य इति, एकमिप नार्थः पाठेन । इगन्ते द्विगौ इत्येष स्वरोऽत्र वाधको भविष्यति Even when the vigraha is done this way— $\bar{e}kah$ śitil $\bar{p}=\bar{e}ka$ śitil \bar{p} , $\bar{e}ka$ śitih $p\bar{a}doh$ yasya, no purpose is served by reading it in the gana, as $Igantak\bar{a}la...dvig\bar{a}u$ (6, 2, 29) will serve its $b\bar{a}dhaka$.

अस्य तर्हि बहुत्रीद्यवयवस्य तत्पुरुषसंज्ञा प्रामोति-

सुक्ष्मजटकेशेन सुनताजिनवाससा । समन्तशितिरम्भ्रेण ॥ इति If so, the avayava of the bahuvrīhi—susūkṣmajaṭakēśēna, sunatā-jinavāsasā and samantaśitirandhrēṇa will get tatpuruṣa-samijñā.

तल को दोषः? What harm is there?

तस्यान्तोदात्तत्वं विपतिषेधात् इत्यन्तोदात्तत्वं स्याद् विपतिषेधेन । It will have its final syllable udātta through vipratiṣēdha on the strength of the statement Tasyāntödāttatvam vipratiṣēdhēna.

नैष दोष: । नेदं बहुत्रीह्यवयवस्य तत्पुरुषस्य रुक्षणमारभ्यते । This difficulty will not arise. This does not have any reference to the tatpurusa which is an avayava of bahuvrīhi.

कि तर्हि? With reference to what then?

यस्य बहुत्रीह्यवयवस्य तत्पुरुषस्य तल्लक्षणमस्ति तस्यान्तोदात्तत्वं भविष्यति विश्वतिषेषेन

Antōdāttatva happens only to that which, being an avayava of bahuvrīhi, has that lakṣaṇa.

ननु चास्याप्यस्ति Oh, it can be had to this also.

किम्? To what?

विशेषणं विशेष्येण बहुलम् इति

To that secured by Viśēṣaṇam viśēṣyēṇa bahulam (2, 1, 57).

बहुरुवचनान्न भविष्यति lt will not be as bahulam is read.

अस्य तर्हि बहुनीह्यवयवस्य तत्पुरुषसंज्ञा प्राप्ताति - अधिकष्टष्टिवर्षः इति If so, tatpuruṣa may take hold of the avayava of the bahuvrīhi-adhika-ṣaṣṭi-varṣah.

तत्र को दोष:? What harm is there?

तस्यान्तोदात्तत्वं विश्रतिषेधात् इत्यन्तोदात्तत्वं स्याद् विश्रतिषेधेन ।

It may get $ant\bar{o}d\bar{a}ttatva$ through $vipratis\bar{e}dha$ on the strength of the statement Tasya $ant\bar{o}d\bar{a}ttatvam$ $vipratis\bar{e}dh\bar{a}t$.

नैष दोपः । इगन्ते द्विगौ इत्येष स्वरो बाधको भविष्यति

This difficulty does not arise. It will be set at naught by the $s\bar{u}tra\ Iganta...\ dvig\bar{a}u$ (6, 2, 29).

यस्तर्हि नेगन्तः - अधिकशतवर्षः इति?

What about that which does not have iganta like the word adhika-sata-varsah?

Note:—Here sata is not iganta.

इह चापि अधिकपष्टिवर्ष इति समासान्तः प्राप्नोति 'डचः प्रकरणे सङ्ख्यायाः तत्पुरुषस्योपसङ्ख्यानं निस्त्रिशाद्यर्थम्' इति ।

Even here with reference to the word adhika-saṣṭi-varṣaḥ there is chance for samāsānta on the stregnth of the Vārttika pacaḥ prakaraṇē saṅkhyāyāḥ tatpuruṣasya upasaṅkhyānam nistriṁśādyartham (under 5, 4, 73).

नैष दोषः । अन्ययादेरित्येवं तत्

This difficulty does not arise. It is with reference to those compounds whose former member is an avyaya.

किं पुनः कारणमब्ययादेरित्येवं तत्?

Why should it refer to $avyay\bar{a}di$ alone?

इह मा भूत् - गोत्रिंशत्, गोचत्वारिंशद् इति

It should not take place in Gotrimsat and gocatvarimsat.

बहुत्रीहिसंज्ञा तर्हि प्रामोति सङ्ख्ययाव्ययासन्नादूराधिकसङ्ख्याः सङ्ख्येये इति In that case it will take bahuvrīhisamjñā on the strength of the sūtra Saikhyayāvyayāsannādūrādhikasankhyayāḥ sankhyēyē (2, 2, 25).

न सङ्ख्यां सङ्ख्येये वर्तयिष्यामः

We will not take sankhyā to denote sankhyēya.

कथम्! How?

एवं विश्रहः करिप्यते अधिका षष्टिः वर्षाणाम् अस्य इति

The vigraha will be done thus: —Adhikā-ṣaṣṭiḥ varṣāṇām asya.

यथा तर्हि स योगः प्रत्याख्यायते, तथा पूर्वेण प्रामोति

If that $s\bar{u}tra$ is considered unnecessary, it will get it $(bahuvr\bar{\iota}hisainj\tilde{n}\bar{a})$ by the previous $s\bar{u}tra$ $An\bar{e}kam$ $anyapad\bar{a}rth\bar{e}$.

कथं स योगः प्रत्याख्यायते ! How is that sūlra unnecessary ?

अशिष्यः सङ्ख्योत्तरपदः सङ्ख्येयार्थाभिधायित्वात् इति

Sankhyōttarapada need not be read since it refers to sankhyēya.

प्रत्याख्याते तस्मिन् योगे सङ्ख्यां सङ्ख्येये वर्तियण्यामः । तत्रैवं विप्रहः करिण्यते अधिका षष्टिवेषिण्यस्य इति ।

lf that sūtra is taken away, we take sankhyā refer to sankhyāya. Then the vigraha is done this way—Adhikā ṣaṣṭiḥ varṣāṇi asya.

सर्वथा वयम् अधिकषष्टवर्षात् न मुच्यामहे

Under any circumstances we cannot escape from the formation of adhikaṣaṣṭavarṣaḥ.

कथम्? How?

यावता स योगः प्रत्याख्यायते, अयं विष्रहोऽस्ति - अधिका षष्टिर्वर्षाणामस्य इति When that sūtra is removed, the vigraha is done this way—Adhikā şaṣṭiḥ varṣāṇi asya.

यतु तदुक्तम् अधिकषष्टिवर्षो न सिध्यति इति, स सिद्धो भवति । As regards the statement that the form adhika-इaṣṭi-varṣaḥ cannot be secured, it is secured.

कथम्? How?

यावता स योगः प्रत्याख्यायते, अयं च विग्रहोऽस्ति - अधिका षष्टिर्वष्ण्यस्य इति No sooner is the sūtra removed, than this vigraha is done:— Adhikā ṣaṣṭiḥ varṣāṇi asya.

अधिकशतवर्षस्तु न सिध्यति

It is not possible to secure the form adhika-śata-varṣaḥ.

कर्तन्योऽत्र यतः Effort should be taken to secure it.

NINETEENTH ĀHNIKA ENDS

(Second $adhy\bar{a}ya$, first $p\bar{a}da$, first $\bar{a}hnika$ ends)

Twentieth Ahnika

(Second $adhy\bar{a}ya$, first $p\bar{a}da$, second $\bar{a}hnika$)

सुबामन्त्रिते पराङ्गवत्खरे (2, 1, 2)

There are five topics here:—(1) Need for the word \sup in the $s\bar{u}tra$? (2) Need to read $\bar{s}asthy\bar{a}mantritak\bar{a}rakam$ or tannimittam in the $s\bar{u}tra$. (3) Need to read that para gets $p\bar{u}rvah para a para a$

1

सुबिति किमर्थम्? What for is the word sup (in the sūtra)? करोप्यटन्

So that karōṣi (which is tinanta) may not take parāṅgavadbhāva. नैतदस्ति, असामर्थ्यादत्र न भविष्यति

lt is not so; it does not take place on account of asāmarthya. कथमसामध्येम्? What is the basis for asāmarthya?

समाना धिकरणमसमर्थवद्भवतीति

The dictum 'That which is $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaraṇa$ with another is considered to have $as\bar{a}marthya$ with it'.

इदं तर्हि पीड्ये पीड्यमान इति

So that $p\bar{\imath}dy\bar{e}$ then may not be parangavat with $p\bar{\imath}dyam\bar{a}na$.

Note:—If there is no $par\bar{a}ngavadbh\bar{a}va$, \bar{e} of $p\bar{\imath}dy\bar{e}$ will be $ud\bar{a}tta$ and if it has it, $\bar{\imath}$ will have it.

इदं चाप्युदाह्रणम् - करोष्यटन्

Karōsyaṭan also may be an udāharaņa.

ननु चोक्तं असामर्थ्यादत्र न भविष्यति

Oh, it was said that there cannot be parāngavadbhāva here on account of asāmarthya.

कथमसामर्थ्यम्? What is the basis for asāmarthya? समानाधिकरणमसमर्थवद्भवति इति

The dictum Samānādhikaranam asamarthavad bhavati.

नैष दोषः । अधात्वभिहितम् इत्येवं तत्

This difficulty does not arise. It refers to that which is not dhātvabhihita.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ writes: -Yatra $kriy\bar{a}$ pravṛttinimittam $sphut\bar{a}$ ca $dh\bar{a}tupratyay\bar{e}na$ $kartr\bar{a}dipratipattih$ tad $dh\bar{a}tvabhihitam$.

TI

आमन्त्रितस्य पराङ्गबद्भावे षष्ट्यामन्त्रितकारकवचनम्

Need to read that $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$ due to $\bar{a}mantrita$ is to the word in the sixth case and to the $k\bar{a}raka$ to the $kriy\bar{a}$ denoted by the root in the $\bar{a}mantrita$.

आमन्त्रितस्य पराङ्गबद्धावे षष्ट्यन्तमामन्त्रितकारकं च पराङ्गबद् भवतीति वक्तव्यम् $Par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$ due to $\bar{a}mantrita$ is to be read to the word in the sixth case and to the $k\bar{a}raka$ of the $\bar{a}mantrita$.

षष्ठ्यन्तं तावत् - मद्राणां राजन्, मगधानां राजन्

Firstly the examples for sasthyanta which take parāngavadbhāva are Madrāṇām rājan and Magadhānām rājan.

आमन्त्रितकारकम् - कुण्डेनाटन्

The example for the $\bar{a}mantritak\bar{a}raka$ taking $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$ is $kund\bar{e}n\bar{a}tan$.

Note:—Whether there is $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$ or not, the initial syllable of kunda takes $ud\bar{a}tta$.

नास्त्यत्र विशेषः सित च पराङ्गवद्भावे, असित वा There is no difference here whether there is $par\bar{a}ngavadbh\bar{a}va$ or not.

इदं तर्हि - परशुना वृश्चन्

If so, paraśunā vrścan serves as the example.

Note:—The word $para\acute{s}u$ which is $ant\bar{o}d\bar{a}tta$ takes $\bar{a}dyu-d\bar{a}tta$ through $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$.

तिनामित्तग्रहणं वा Or there is need to read tannimittam.

अथ वा तनिमित्तग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । आमन्त्रितनिमित्तं पराक्रवद्भवतीति वक्तव्यम् - मद्राणां राजन्

Or the word tannimitta is to be read (i.e.) it must be said that which is nimitta to āmantrita takes parāṅgavadbhāva. Viz. Madrāṇām rājan.

तचावश्यमन्यतरद् वक्तव्यम्

Either of the two must, necessarily, be read.

अवचने हि सुवन्तमात्रप्रसङ्गः

For if either of the two is not read, there is chance for it with reference to all subantas.

अनुच्यमाने त्वेतस्मिन् सुबन्तमात्रस्य पराङ्गवद्भावः प्रसज्येत । अस्यापि प्रसज्येत -क्षत्रेणांग्ने स्वायुः संर्भस्व, मित्रेणांग्ने मित्रधेये यतस्व (Y. V. 4, 1, 7, 25).

If it is not read, parā igavadbhāva may chance to set in to all subantas. It will chance to appear here also (to kṣatrēṇa and mitrēṇa) in kṣatrēṇāgnē svāyuḥ saṁrabhasva and Mitrēṇāgnē mitradhēyē yatasva.

 $Note:-N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\dot{s}abhatta$ reads:-Bhāṣyasya tu samarthaparibhāṣānupasthitāu tad-ananuvrttāu ca tātparyam.

किं पुनरत ज्यायः? Which is better here?

तिनिमित्तप्रहणमेव ज्यायः । इदमपि सिद्धं भवति - गोषु स्वामिन्, पशुषु स्वामिन् । एताद्धि नैव पष्ट्यन्तं नाप्यामन्त्रितकारकम् । Tannimittagrahanam is evidently better. It will operate here too—Gōṣu svāmin and Paśuṣu svāmin. This gōṣu or paśuṣu is neither saṣṭhyanta nor āmantritakāraka

सुबन्तस्य पराङ्गबद्भावे समानाधिकरणस्योपसङ्ख्यानम् अननन्तरत्वात् स्वरेऽव-धारणाच

Need to add $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaraṇas$ with reference to the $par\bar{a}nga-vadbh\bar{a}va$ of subanta on account of their not immediately preceding and $par\bar{a}ngavadbh\bar{a}va$ having reference only to svara and not to $\bar{a}nantarya$.

सुबन्तस्य पराङ्गवद्भावे समानाधिकरणस्योपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - तीक्ष्णया सूच्या सीव्यन् , तीक्ष्णेन परशुना वृश्चन्

There is need to add $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaraṇas$ with reference to the $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$ of subanta, so that $t\bar{\imath}ksṇay\bar{a}$ and $t\bar{\imath}ksṇ\bar{\imath}na$ in the expressions $T\bar{\imath}ksṇay\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}cy\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}vyan$ and $T\bar{\imath}ksṇ\bar{\imath}na$ paraśunā $vr\dot{s}can$ may get $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$.

किं पुनः कारणं न सिध्यति? Why is it not accomplished? अननन्तरत्वात On account of its not immediately preceding.

ननु च परस्य पराङ्गबद्धावे कृते पूर्वस्यापि भविष्यति Oh! if the word which is para gets parāngavadbhāva, that which precedes it also gets it. स्वरे अवधारणाच - स्वरे अवधारणाच न सिध्यति । स्वरे अवधारणं कियते, नानन्तर्थे

On account of its having reference only to svara. It is not accomplished since it has reference only to svara. It is mentioned that it refers only to svara and not to $\bar{a}nantarya$.

TIT

परमिष च्छन्दिस Para, too, to take pūrvā ingavadbhāva in Vēdas.
परमिष च्छन्दिस पूर्वस्थाङ्गवद् भवतीति वक्तव्यम् - आ ते पितर्मरुतां सुम्नमेतु
(R. V. 2, 33, 1), प्रति त्वा दुहितर्दिवः (R. V. 7, 81, 3), वृणीष्व दुहितर्दिवः (R. V. 10, 127, 8).

Para, too, in $V\bar{e}das$ should be stated to secure $p\bar{u}rv\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$ as the words $marut\bar{a}m$, divah and divah in the expressions— \bar{A} $t\bar{e}$ pitar $marut\bar{a}m$ sumnam $\bar{e}tu$, Prati $tv\bar{a}$ duhitar divah, $Vrn\bar{\imath}sva$ duhitar divah.

Note:—Injunction of $p\bar{u}rv\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$ in $V\bar{e}das$ makes us infer that $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}va$ existed in the $l\bar{a}ukika$ language and hence the latter ought to have been pronounced with pitch accent in Vedic times.

IV

अन्ययप्रतिषेधश्च

Need to prohibit avyaya from taking parāngavadbhāva.

अन्ययानां च प्रतिषेधो बक्तन्यः - उच्चैरधीयान, नीचैरधीयान

There is need to mention the pratisēdha of avyayas, from taking parāṅgavadbhāva as in Uccāir adhīyāna and Nīcāir adhīyāna.

अनव्ययीभावस्य But not to avyayībhāva.

अन्ययोभावस्य नेति वक्तन्यम् - इह मा भूत् उपाग्न्यधीयान, प्रत्यग्न्यधीयान It must be said that the pratisēdha does not apply to $avyay\bar{\imath}-bh\bar{a}va$, so that it may not operate here - $Up\bar{a}gnyadh\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}na$ and $Pratyagnyadh\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}na$.

∇

अथ किमर्थ स्वरेऽवधारणं कियते?

What is the need to mention that it is only with reference to svara?

खरेऽवधारणं सुबलोपार्थम्

 $Avadh\bar{a}rana$ in svara is to prohibit the $l\bar{o}pa$ of sup.

स्वरेडवधारणं क्रियते सुपो लोपो मा मूदिति - परशुना वृश्चन् Avadhāraṇa is made in svara, so that sup may not be elided, in words like paraśunā in Paraśunā vrścan.

न वा सुबन्तैकान्तत्वात्

It is not necessary on account of its being restricted to subanta.

न वा कर्तन्यम् The word svarē need not be read.

किं कारणस्? Why?

सुबन्तैकान्तत्वात् - सुबन्तैकान्तः पराङ्गवद्भावो भवति

On account of its being restricted to subanta. $Par\bar{a}igavadbh\bar{a}va$ is restricted to subanta.

प्रातिपदिकैकान्तस्तु सुब्लोपे

It will be restricted to $pr\bar{a}tipadika$ at the elision of sup.

प्रातिपदिकैकान्तस्तु भवति सुब्लोपे कृते

It will be restricted to prātipadika if sup is elided.

प्रत्यययलक्षणेन सुबन्तैकान्तता स्यात् । तस्मात् स्वरेऽवधारणं न कर्तव्यं सुबलोपार्थम्, प्रातिपदिकस्थायाः सुपो छुगुच्यते । तस्मात् स्वर्ग्रहणेन नार्थः ॥ It will be restricted to subanta through pratyayalakṣaṇa. Hence avadhāraṇa in svara need not be done to prevent the elision of sup. Luk is enjoined to sup in prātipadika. Hence no purpose is served by reading svarē in the sūtra.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनं - षत्वणत्वे मा भूतामिति - कूपे सिश्चन्, चर्म नमन् इति । This, then, is the prayojana that satva and natva do not take place in kūpē siñcan and carma naman.

Note:—If the word $svar\bar{e}$ is not read, there will be $par\bar{a}ngavadbh\bar{a}va$ in the above two expressions, so that each will considered as one pada, resulting in the satva of $sak\bar{a}ra$ and natva of $nak\bar{a}ra$.

एतदिप नास्ति प्रयोजनम् - इह तावत् कूपे सिश्चन् इति, स्वाश्रयं पदादित्वं भविष्यति

This, too, is not the $pray\bar{o}jana$. Firstly in $k\bar{u}p\bar{e}$ $si\tilde{n}can$, the $pad\bar{a}ditva$ of $sak\bar{a}ra$ which depends upon itself stands (i.e.) it is not affected by $par\bar{a}\dot{n}gavadbh\bar{a}v\bar{a}tid\bar{e}sa$.

Note:—Even though the same answer holds good with reference to natva, another reason is given below.

चर्म नमन् इति पूर्वपदात्संज्ञायामगः इत्येतस्मान्नियमान्न भविष्यति Natva does not take place by the $niyam\ a\cdot s\bar{u}tra\ P\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}t$ samij $n\bar{a}y\bar{a}m\ agah\ (8,4,3)$.

ननु च समास एवैतद् भवति पूर्वपदम् उत्तरपदम् इति
Oh! the expressions pūrvapadam and uttarapadam are used with reference to samāsa.

नेत्याह । अविशेषेणैवैतद् भवति, पूर्व पदं पूर्वपदम्, उत्तरं पदं उत्तरपदम् इति "No," says he. Each is used in a general way: the preceding word is called pūrvapada and the following word, uttarapada.

प्राकडारात्समासः (2, 1, 3)

प्राग्नचनं किमर्थम्? What for is the word $pr\bar{a}k$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$? Note:—This question suggests that the word $sam\bar{a}sa$ alone will do in the $s\bar{u}tra$.

प्राग्वचनं समाससंज्ञानिवृत्त्यर्थम्

Reading of $pr\bar{a}k$ is to prevent $sam\bar{a}sa-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ from being thrown out.

प्राग्वचनं क्रियते, समाससंज्ञाया अनिवृत्तिर्यथा स्यादिति । अक्रियमाणे हि प्राग्वचने अनवकाशा अव्ययीभावादयः संज्ञाः समाससंज्ञां बाधेरन्, ता मा बाधिषत इति प्राग्वचनं क्रियते ।

The word $pr\bar{a}k$ is read so that the $sam\bar{a}sasamj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ may not be thrown out. If $pr\bar{a}k$ is not read, the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ like $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ which are $anavak\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ will set it at naught. In order that they may not set it at naught, the word $pr\bar{a}k$ is read.

अथ कियमाणेऽपिं हि प्राग्वचने यावता अनवकाशा अव्ययीभावादयः संज्ञाः कस्मादेव न बाधन्ते ?

Even when $pr\bar{a}k$ is read, why do not $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ and others, being $anavak\bar{a}\hat{s}a$, set it at naught?

क्रियमाणे हिं प्राग्वचने, सत्यां समाससंज्ञायाम्, एता अवयवसंज्ञा आरभ्यन्ते । तंत्र वचनात् समावेशो भविष्यति ।

If $pr\bar{a}k$ is read, $sam\bar{a}sa-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ first takes hold and then only there is room for other $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}s$ of smaller range to be applied.

Hence on the authority of vacana, both remain at the same time.

समाससंज्ञापि अनवकाशा सा वचनाद्भविष्यति

 $Sam\bar{a}sasamj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$, too, if it is $anavak\bar{a}\hat{s}a$, has to operate there on the authority of vacana.

सावकाशा समाससंज्ञा But it is sāvakāśa.

कोऽनकाशः? Where is the room for it to operate?

विस्पष्टादीन्यवकाशः - विस्पष्टं पटुः विस्पष्टपटुः, व्यक्तं पटुः व्यक्तपटुः

Samāsasamjñā has room to operate when vispaṣṭa etc. are followed by words denoting quality, so that vispaṣṭam and paṭuḥ compound into vispaṣṭ-paṭuḥ and vyaktam and paṭuḥ compound into vyakta-paṭuḥ.

Note:—1. The words that are read in vispaṣṭa-gaṇa are vispaṣṭa, vicitra, vicitra, vyakta and sampanna and the guṇa-vācaka-śabdas read there are paṭu, paṇḍita, kuśala, capala and nipuṇa.

Note:—2. Kāiyaṭa says that, since vispaṣṭa etc. form the viśēṣaṇas of pāṭavaḥ etc., the pravṛttinimitta of paṭu etc., they do not form the mukhya-viśēṣaṇa of paṭu and hence vispaṣṭa-paṭuḥ may not be taken as tatpuruṣa.

नैषोऽस्त्यवकाशः । एषा ह्याचार्यस्य शैली रुक्ष्यते - येनैव अवयवकार्यं भवति, तेनैव समुदायकार्यमपि भवति इति । येनैव चात्रावयवकार्यं स्वरः क्रियते, तेनैव समुदायकार्यमपि समासो भविष्यति, विस्पष्टादीनि गुणवचनेषु इति ।

No, this is not the $avak\bar{a}\acute{s}a$. This is the procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) that the $s\bar{u}tra$ which brings out a $k\bar{a}rya$ to the avayava accomplishes the $k\bar{a}rya$ of the whole too. The $s\bar{u}tra$ $Vispaṣt\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}ni$ guṇavacanēṣu (6, 2, 24) which enjoins $p\bar{u}rvapada-prakrti-svaratva$ (the $avayavak\bar{a}rya$) in vispaṣtapatuh, vyaktapatuh etc., enjoins $sam\bar{a}sasamj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ too $(samud\bar{a}ya-k\bar{a}rya)$.

इदं तर्हि काकतालीयम् अजाकृपाणीयम्

If so, $k\bar{a}kat\bar{a}l\bar{i}yam$ and $aj\bar{a}krp\bar{a}n\bar{i}yam$ form the $avak\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ for the $sam\bar{a}sa-sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ to operate.

एतदिप नास्ति प्रयोजनम् । अत्रापि येनैव अवयवकार्यं प्रत्ययोत्पत्तिः क्रियते, तेनैव समुदायकार्यं समाससंज्ञा भविष्यति - समासाच तद्विषयादिति । This, too, does not serve as a prayojana. Even here the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Sam\bar{a}s\bar{a}cca\ tadviṣay\bar{a}t$ (5, 3, 106) which enjoins chapratyaya, the $avayava-k\bar{a}rya$, brings out $sam\bar{a}sa-sa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, the $samud\bar{a}ya-k\bar{a}rya$.

इदं तर्हि पुनाराजः, पुनर्गवः

If so, punārājah and punargavah form the avakāśa.

अत्राप्यवश्यं तत्पुरुषसंज्ञा वक्तत्या, तत्पुरुषाश्रयः समासान्तो यथा स्यात् Here too there is absolute necessity to enjoin tatpuruṣasamijñā, so that samāsāntapratyaya may set in.

इदं तर्हि पुनराधेयम्]f so, punar-ādhēyam forms the avakāśa.

अत्राप्यवश्यं गतिसंज्ञा वक्तव्या - गतिकारकोपपदात्कृत् इत्येष खरो यथा स्यात् Even here gati-samjñā has to be enjoined (by the vārttika Punaścanasāu chandasi under 1, 4, 60), so that ēkāra may be udātta by the sūtra Gatikārakōpapadāt kṛt (6, 2, 139).

इदं तर्हि पुनरुत्स्यूतं वासो देयम्

If so, punar-utsyūtam in Punar-utsyūtam $v\bar{a}s\bar{o}$ $d\bar{e}yam$ forms the $avak\bar{a}śa$.

अत्राप्यवश्यं गतिसंज्ञा वक्तव्या गतिर्गतौ इति निघातो यथा स्यात्, यदि तन्नास्ति पुनश्चनसौ छन्दसि इति

Even here gati-sam $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ has to be enjoined in the absence of the $v\bar{a}rttika$ $Puna\acute{s}canas\bar{a}u$ chandasi, so that $nigh\tilde{a}ta$ can be secured by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Gatirgat\bar{a}u$ (8, 1, 70).

सति तसिंग्तेनैव सिद्धम

The object is achieved if it is taken into consideration.

एवमप्येका संज्ञेति वचनान्नास्ति यौगपद्येन सम्भवः

Even then, on the authority of $\bar{A}kad\bar{a}r\bar{a}d$ $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ sam $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, both cannot exist simultaneously.

पर्यायः प्रसज्येत

There will be chance for either to operate at a time.

तसात् प्राग्वचनं कर्तव्यम्

Hence is the need to read the word $pr\bar{a}k$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$.

सह सुपा (2, 1, 4)

सहवचनं किमर्थम्? What for is the word saha? सहवचनं पृथगसमासार्थम् Reading of saha is to prevent each member from getting $sam\bar{a}sa$ - $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

सहग्रहणं क्रियते, सहभूतयोरेव समाससंज्ञा यथा स्याद्, एकैकस्य समाससंज्ञा मा भूदिति ।

The word saha is read, so that the component members of a compound conjointly take the $sam\bar{a}sa-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and not individually.

किं च स्यात्, यद्येकैकस्य समाससंज्ञा स्यात्? What will happen if each member takes samāsa-samijñā individually?

इह ऋक्पाद इति समासान्तः प्रसज्येत । इह राजाधः इति द्वौ स्वरौ स्याताम् The word rkpāda will take samāsāntapratyaya (and will have chance to take the form rcapāda) and rūjāśva will have two of its syllables udātta.

कथं च कृत्वैकैकस्य समाससंज्ञा प्राप्नोति?

On what basis is it possible to take samāsa-samjñā individually?

प्रत्येकं वाक्यपरिसमाप्तिर्देष्टा इति । तद्यथा वृद्धिगुणसंज्ञे प्रत्येकं भवतः It is seen that the fruit of the meaning of a sentence is enjoyed individually. For instance the samjñās vṛddhi and guṇa are applied individually.

ननु चायमप्यस्ति दृष्टान्तः समुदाये वाक्यपरिसमाप्तिः इति । तद्यथा गर्गाः शतं दृण्ड्यन्ताम् इति, अर्थिनश्च राजानो हिरण्येन भवन्ति, न च प्रत्येकं दृण्डयन्ति Oh! the other nyāya also is found that the fruit of the action is found collectively. Viz. Gargāḥ śatam daṇḍyantām. Kings want money and they do not fine them individually.

सत्येतसिन् दृष्टान्ते यदि तत्र प्रत्येकिमित्युच्यते, इहापि सहग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्। अथ तत्र अन्तरेण प्रत्येकिमिति वचनं प्रत्येकं गुणवृद्धिसंज्ञे भवतः, इहापि नार्थः सहग्रहणेन।

If, in the presence of this $ny\ddot{a}ya$, the word $praty\ddot{e}kam$ is there, here too the word saha should be read. If, without the use of the word $praty\ddot{e}kam$ there, the $sa\dot{m}j\ddot{n}\ddot{a}s$ guna and vrddhi take place individually, here too can it take place collectively without the use of the word saha.

एवं तर्हि सिद्धे सित यत्सहग्रहणं करोति, तस्यैतत्वयोजनं - योगाङ्गं यथा विज्ञायेत । सित च योगाङ्गे योगविभागः करिप्यते When it is thus possible to achieve the object without the word saha in the $s\bar{u}tra$, $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ reads it and it has this $pray\bar{o}jana$ that it may be taken as a part of $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$. When it becomes a part of $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$, the $s\bar{u}tra$ Saha $sup\bar{a}$ is split into Saha and $Sup\bar{a}$.

सह - सुप् समस्यते

The sūtra Saha means that subunta compounds with another.

केन सह? With what?

समर्थेन - अनुव्यचलत् अनुपाविशत्

With that which has sāmarthya with it. Viz. Anuvyacalat and anuprāviśat.

Note: -Anu is subanta with the case-suffix dropped.

ततः सुपा 'Then is the sūtra Supā.

सुपा च सह सुप् समस्यते

Subanta compounds with another subanta.

अधिकारश्च लक्षणं च

This sūtra is both adhikāra-sūtra and lakṣaṇa-sūtra.

यस्य समासस्य अन्यल्लक्षणं नास्ति इदं तस्य लक्षणं भविष्यति - पुनरुत्स्यूतं वासो देर्यम्, पुनर्निष्कृतो रथः (Y. V. 1, 5, 2, 4) इति

This sūtra serves as the lakṣaṇa-sūtra of those cases which do not have a lakṣaṇa-sūtra for them, as for punar-utsyūtam and punar-niṣkrtaḥ in the expressions Punar-utsyūtam vāsō dēyam and Punar-niṣkrtō rathaḥ.

इवेन विभक्त्यलोपः पूर्वपदप्रकृतिस्वरत्वं च

In the samāsa with iva, there is no elision of case-suffixes and there is pūrva-pada-prakrti-svara.

इवेन सह समासो, विभक्त्यलोपः पूर्वपद्प्रकृतिस्वरत्वं च वक्तव्यम् - वाससीइव,

कन्येइव

There will be $sam\bar{a}sa$ with iva, when there will be no elision of case-suffix and the former member will take its usual accent, as in $v\bar{a}sas\bar{i}iva$ and $kany\bar{e}iva$.

अन्ययीभावः (2, 1, 5)

किमर्थ महती संज्ञा कियते शिक्षित for is a long samjñā made? अन्वर्थसंज्ञा यथा विज्ञायेत - अन्वययम् अव्ययं भवतीत्यव्ययीभावः । अव्ययीभावोऽव्ययसंज्ञो भवतीति एतन्न वक्तव्यं भवति ।

So that it may be taken as a $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ true to its derivative meaning. It is split thus — Anavyayam avyayam bhavati. The phala is that it is not necessary to enjoin separately that $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ is avyaya.

अव्ययं विभक्तिसमीपसमृद्धि...साकल्यान्तवचनेषु (2, 1, 6)

इह कस्मान भवति - सुमद्राः, सुमगधाः, सपुत्रः, सच्छात्रः?

Why is not $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}vasa\dot{n}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ applied to $sumadr\bar{a}h$, $sumagadh\bar{a}h$, saputrah and $sacch\bar{a}trah$?

समृद्धौ साकल्ये इति च प्रामोति

It deserves to be applied there, since samrddhi is suggested in the former two and $s\bar{a}kalya$ in the latter two.

नैष दोषः । इह कश्चित् समासः पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् उभयपदार्थप्रधानः । पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानोऽव्ययीभावः, उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानस्तत्पुरुषः, अन्यपदार्थप्रधानो बहुत्रीहिः, उभयपदार्थप्रधानो द्वन्द्वः । न चात्र पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानयं गम्यते ।

There is no room for this complaint. In literature, some compounds have $pr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$ in $p\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}rtha$, some in $uttarapad\bar{a}rtha$, some in $anyapad\bar{a}rtha$ and some in $ubhayapad\bar{a}rtha$. Avyay $\bar{b}bh\bar{a}va$ has $p\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}rthapr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$, tatpuruṣa $uttarapad\bar{a}rthapr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$, $bahuvr\bar{b}hi$ $anyapad\bar{a}rthapr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$ and dvandva $ubhayapad\bar{a}rthapr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$. $P\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}rthapr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$ is not suggested here — in $sumadr\bar{a}h$ etc.

अथ वा समासार्था निर्देश्यन्ते

Or these - vibhakti, samīpa etc. in the sūtra - are not mentioned as samāsārthas.

किं तर्हि ? What then?

अन्ययार्था इमे निर्दिश्यन्ते - एतेष्वर्थेषु यदन्ययं वर्तते तत् सुबन्तेन सह समस्यत इति

These are mentioned as the meanings of avyayas. Hence the $s\bar{u}tra$ tells us that the avyaya which has any of these meanings compounds itself with subanta.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa writes:—Sumadrā ityatra ... su-śabdas-tu samṛddhi-dyōtakō na tu vācakaḥ. Saputra ityatrāpi sahaśabdas tulyayōgasya vācakaḥ na tu sākalyasya.

यथाऽसाद्द्रये (2, 1, 7)

असाद्दय इति किमर्थम्? What for is asādṛśyē?

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that there are three reasons for this question:—I. Since $yath\bar{a}$ has to deal with $upam\bar{a}na$, it is $s\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$, sa with reference to $upam\bar{e}ya$. 2. $Yath\bar{a}$ has sakti to mean $s\bar{a}dr$, said in the previous $s\bar{u}tra$.

यथा देवदत्तस्तथा यज्ञदत्त इति

So that $sam\bar{a}sa$ may not happen to $yath\bar{a}$ and $D\bar{e}vadatta$ in the expression $Yath\bar{a}$ $D\bar{e}vadatta\bar{h}$ $tath\bar{a}$ $Yaj\tilde{n}yadatta\bar{h}$.

असाद्दय इत्युच्यते, तलेदं न सिध्यति यथाशक्ति यथाबलम् इति Since $as\bar{a}dr\dot{s}y\bar{e}$ is read, the forms $yath\bar{a}\dot{s}akti$ and $yath\bar{a}balam$ cannot be secured.

किं कारणम् श Why?

यथेत्ययं प्रकारवचने थाल्, स च सादृश्ये वर्तते

The word $yath\bar{a}$ has the suffix $th\bar{a}$ which conveys the meaning of manner and hence $yath\bar{a}$ denotes $s\bar{a}dr\dot{s}ya$.

नैष दोषः । अयं यथाशब्दोऽस्त्येवाव्युत्पन्नः प्रातिपदिकं वीष्सावाचि । अस्ति प्रकारवचने थाल् । तत्न यदव्युत्पन्नं प्रातिपदिकं वीष्सावाचि तस्येदं ग्रहणम् ।

This difficulty does not arise. This $yath\bar{a}$ is both an $a.yut-panna-pr\bar{a}tipadika$ meaning repetition and one having the suffix $th\bar{a}$ in the sense of manner. Of these two, the $yath\bar{a}$ used in this $s\bar{u}tra$ is the $avyutpanna-pr\bar{a}tipadika$ meaning $v\bar{v}ps\bar{a}$.

अथ यः प्रकारवचने थाल् तस्य ग्रहणं कस्मान्न भवति, पूर्वेण प्रामोति सादृश्यसंपत्ति....इति !

How is it that $yath\bar{a}$ having the pratyaya tha in the sense of manner which comes within the range of the previous $s\bar{u}tra...$. $S\bar{a}dr\dot{s}ya$ -sampatti... is not taken here?

प्रतिषेधवचनसामर्थ्यात्र भविष्यति

It is not taken here since pratisēdha is read here.

सुप्प्रतिना मात्रार्थे (2, 1, 9)

सुब् इति वर्तमाने पुनः सुब्यहणं किमर्थम्?

When the word sup can be taken here by anuiriti (from the sūtra Sub āmantritē parāṅgavat svarē 2, 1, 2), what is the need for reading the word sup again here?

अञ्ययमित्येवं तदभूत्। सुङ्गाले यथा स्यात् - मापप्रति, सूपप्रति, ओदनप्रति। The sup there was applied to avyaya. In order that this may hold good for the words which can take all case-suffixes after them, as in $m\bar{a}$, \bar{a} -prati, $\bar{s}\bar{u}$ pa-prati and \bar{o} duna-prati, it is again read here.

Note:—The mātrārtha referred to here is a small portion.

अक्षशलाकासङ्ख्याः परिणा (2, 1, 10)

अक्षादयस्तृतीयान्ताः परिणा पूर्वोक्तस्य यथा न तत् 1

The words aksa etc. followed by third case suffix compound with pari if defeat is suggested.

अक्षादयस्तृतीयान्ताः परिणा सह समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम् । पूर्वोक्तस्य यथा न तत् - अयथाद्योतने - अयथाजातीयकेद्योत्ये - अक्षेणेदं न तथा वृत्तं यथा पूर्वमिति - अक्षपरि, शलाकापरि ।

It must be said that the words aksa etc. in the third case compound with prati. When it suggests that the cast of dice was not as it had been before (i.e.) when it suggests that there was defeat in the game. Viz. Aksapari and śalākāpari.

एकत्वेऽक्षशलाक्षयोः 2 Of akṣa and śalākā in the singular number.

अक्षरालकयोश्चेकबचनान्तयोरिति वक्तव्यम् । इह मा भूत् अक्षाभ्यां वृत्तं, अक्षैर्वृत्तमिति

It must be said that ak imes a and imes al ilde ak ilde a compound with prati only when they are in singular number, so that there will be no compound in ak ilde ab hy ilde am vrttam and ak ilde air vrttam.

कितवच्यवहारे च Only with reference to the dealings of a rogue.

- 1. Ayathādyōtanē is added at the end in some editions. Since it happens to be the explanation of $p\bar{u}rv\bar{o}ktasya$ yathā na tat, the reading without it seems to be better.
 - 2. Akṣaśalākayōścāikavacanāntayōh is another reading.

कितवव्यवहारे इति वक्तव्यम् । इह मा भूत् - अक्षेणेदं न तथा वृत्तं, शकटेन यथा पूर्वमिति

It must be said that it is only with reference to the dealings of a rogue, so that it does not happen in the following cases:— akṣēṇa idam na tathā vṛṭṭam, śakaṭēna yathā pūrvam. What are mentioned above are the parts of the ślökavārltika.

अक्षादयस्तृतीयान्ताः पूर्वोक्तस्य यथा न तत् । कितवव्यवहारे च एकत्वेऽक्षशलाकयोः ॥

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads here:—Pañcikā nāma dyūtam pañcabhir akṣāiḥ śalākābhir vā bhavāti. Tatra yadā sarvē ēka-rūpāḥ patanti tadā pāt yitā jayati; anyathā tu pātē parājīyatē.

विभाषापपरिबहिरश्चवः पश्चम्या (2, 1, 11)

विभापेति योगविभागः Vibhāṣā must be split as a separate sūtra.

विभाषेति योगविभागः कर्तव्यः । विभाषेत्ययमधिकारः । ततः अपपरिबहिरञ्चवः पश्चम्या इति

The word $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ must be split as a separate $s\bar{u}tra$ and it is an $adhik\bar{a}ra$ - $s\bar{u}tra$. Then Apa-pari-bahir- $a\tilde{n}cavah$ $pa\tilde{n}camy\bar{a}$ must be taken as another $s\bar{u}tra$.

पञ्चमी यहणं शक्यमकर्तुम्

It is possible to dispense with the word pañcamyā.

कथम्? How is it possible?

सुबन्तेनेति वर्तते । एतैश्चे कर्मश्रवचनीयैयोगे पञ्चमी विश्रीयते । तत्रान्तरेणापि पञ्चमीत्रहणं पञ्चम्यन्तैव समासो भविष्यति ।

There is anuvrtti for subantēna. Fifth case is enjoined to nouns which are in association with these karmapravacanīyas by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Pa\tilde{n}camyap\bar{a}nparibhih$ (2, 3, 10). Hence even without the mention of the word $pa\tilde{n}camy\bar{a}$ in this $s\bar{u}tra$, $sam\bar{a}sa$ will take place only with $pa\tilde{n}camyanta$.

Note:—There is anuvriti only to $sup\bar{a}$. Subantēna is secured through tadanta-grahana.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम् - बहिःशठदेन योगे पश्चमी न विधीयते, तत्रापि यथा स्यात् - बहिर्शामं, बहिर्शामात् This, then, is the $pray\bar{o}jana$ that $pa\bar{n}camyanta$ will compound with bahis, like $bahirgr\bar{a}m\bar{a}t$ in addition to $bahir\cdot gr\bar{a}mam$, since it was not enjoined there that the noun takes the fifth case in association with bahis.

अथ कियमाणेऽपि पञ्चमीग्रहणे यावता बहिःशब्देन योगे पञ्चमी न विधीयते, कथिमवैतत् सिध्यति?

How is it possible even on reading $pa\tilde{n}camy\bar{a}$ here for $pa\tilde{n}cam$ yanta to compound with bahis, when no $s\bar{u}tra$ enjoins the use
of $pa\tilde{n}cam\bar{i}$ after nouns in association with bahis?

पञ्चमीग्रहणसामध्यति

On account of the sāmarthya of the reading of pañcamyā here.

आङ् मर्यादाभिविध्योः (2, 1, 13)

मर्यादाभिविधिग्रहणं शक्यमकर्तुम्

It is possible to dispense with maryādābhividhyōh.

कथम्? How?

पञ्चम्यन्तेनेति वर्तते । आङा च कर्मप्रवचनीयेन युक्ते पञ्चमी विधीयते । एतयोश्चेवार्थयोराङ् कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञो भवति, नान्यत्र ।

There is anuvṛtti for pañcamyanta through pañcamyā. Fifth case is enjoined to the noun when it is in association with the karma-pravacanīya ān in 1, 4, 88. Ān takes karmapravacanīya-samjñā only when it takes these two meanings and nowhere else.

Note:—Sūrakāra has read only maryādā in 1,4,88 and he has here read maryādābhividhyōh. Hence it would have been better if Mahābhāṣyakāra had read only maryūdāgrahaṇam śakyam akartum.

यस चायामः (2, 1, 16)

किमुदाहरणम्? What is the example?

अनुगङ्गं हास्तिनपुरम्, अनुगङ्गं वाराणसी, अनुशोणं पाटलिपुत्रम्
Anugangam Hāstinapuram (Hāstinapuram is as long as the Ganges), Anuganam Vārāṇasī and Anuśōṇam Pāṭaliputram.

यस्य चायामः इत्युच्यते, गङ्गा चाप्यायता, हास्तिनपुरमप्यायतं, वाराणस्यप्या-यता । तत्र कुत एतद् गङ्गाया सह समासो भविष्यति न पुनर्हास्तिनपुरेण, न वारणस्या इति ! The $s\bar{u}tra$ says that the $sam\bar{a}sa$ takes place with the word whose length is taken into consideration. $Ga\dot{n}g\bar{a}$ too is long. $H\bar{a}stinapuram$ too is long and $V\bar{a}r\bar{a}nas\bar{\imath}$ too is long. Why should $sam\bar{a}sa$ take place only with $Ga\dot{n}g\bar{a}$ and not with $H\bar{a}stinapura$ or $V\bar{a}r\bar{a}nas\bar{\imath}$?

एवं तिई रुक्षणेन इति वर्तते । गङ्गा चैव हि रुक्षणं न वारणासी 1 If so, the word lakṣaṇēna is taken here by anuvrtti from 2, 1, 14. Here it is only $Gang\bar{a}$ that is lakṣaṇa and not $V\bar{a}r\bar{a}ṇas\bar{\imath}$.

अथ वा यस्य चायामः इत्युच्यते । गङ्गा चाप्यायता वाराणस्यप्यायता । तत्र प्रकर्षगतिर्विज्ञायते - साधीयो यस्यायामः इति । साधीयश्च गङ्गायाः, न वाराणस्याः ॥ Or the $s\bar{u}tra$ is read Yasya $c\bar{a}y\bar{a}mah$. $Gahg\bar{a}$ is $\bar{a}yat\bar{a}$ and $V\bar{a}r\bar{a}nas\bar{\imath}$ too. That which is more $\bar{a}yata$ is taken into consideration. The $\bar{a}y\bar{a}ma$ of $Gahg\bar{a}$ is greater and not that of $V\bar{a}r\bar{a}nas\bar{\imath}$.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the first explanation is based upon the $lak syalak sanabh\bar{a}va$ between $V\bar{a}r\bar{a}nas\bar{i}$ and $Gang\bar{a}$ and the second is based upon $upam\bar{e}y\bar{o}pam\bar{a}nabh\bar{a}va$ between them.

तिष्ठद्भप्रभृतीनि च (2, 1, 17)

किमर्थश्चकारः ? What does ca mean?

एवकारार्थः, तिष्ठद्भुशभृतीन्येव

It means ēva, to comprehend only Tiṣṭhadgugaṇa.

क मा भूत्? With what should they not compound? परमं तिष्ठद्व

Tisthadgu cannot form a compound with Paramam.

तिष्ठद्भ कालिवेशेष Need to add kālaviśēṣē to tiṣṭhadgu.

तिष्ठद्भ कालविशेषे इति वक्तव्यम् - तिष्ठन्ति गावोऽस्मिन्काले स तिष्ठद्भ । Tisthadgu must be taken to denote a particular time and it is split thus:— $Tisthanti\ g\bar{a}vah\ asmin$.

वहद्भ Vahadyu also denotes a particular time.

खलेयवादीनि प्रथमान्तान्यन्यपदार्थे

·Khalēyava etc. must be read to form compounds only in the nominative case to denote anyapadārtha.

1. The reading here may have been Na Hāstinapuram na Vārāṇasī.

खलेयवादीनि प्रथमान्तानि अन्यपदार्थे समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम् - खलेयवम्, खलेबुसम्, ॡनयवम्, पूनयवम्, पूयमानयवम् ।

It must be read that khalēyava etc. form compounds in the nominative case denoting anyapadārtha:—Khalēyavam (floor where yava is thrashed), khalēbusam, lūnayavam, pūnayavam and pūyamānayavam.

पारे मध्ये पष्ट्या वा (2, 1, 18)

वावचनं किमर्थम्? Why is vā read?

विभाषा समासो यथा स्यात् । समासेन मुक्ते वाक्यमपि यथा स्यात् - पारं गङ्गाया इति ।

To make $sam\bar{a}sa$ optional so that, in its absence, the phrase like $P\bar{a}ram~Gang\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ may be read.

नैतदस्ति प्रयोजनम् । प्रकृता महाविभाषा, तया वाक्यमपि भविष्यति No, this is not the prayōjana. There is mahāvibhāṣā by Vibhāṣā (2, 1, 11) and the phrase may be allowed by it.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम्, अव्ययीभावेन मुक्ते षष्ठीसमासो यथा स्याद् गङ्गापारम् इति This then is the benefit that, in the absence of avyayībhāva-samāsa, şaṣṭhī-tatpuruṣa - Gaṅgāpāram - may set in.

एतद्पि नास्ति प्रयोजनम् । अयमपि विभाषा, षष्ठीसमासोऽपि तावुभौ वचनाद्भविष्यतः ।

This, too, is not the benefit. This too is optional. Hence both $sasth\bar{s}sam\bar{a}sa$ and the phrase, may appear.

अत उत्तरं पठित Acārya (Vārttikakāra) answers it thus. पारेमध्ये षष्ट्या वावचनम्, अवचने हि षष्टीसमासाभावो यथैकदेशिप्रधाने

 $V\bar{a}$ has to be read in $P\bar{a}r\bar{e}madhy\bar{e}$ ṣaṣṭhy \bar{a} $v\bar{a}$; otherwise ṣaṣṭh \bar{i} -sam $\bar{a}sa$ has no chance as in $\bar{e}kad\bar{e}\acute{s}ipradh\bar{a}na$ -sam $\bar{a}sa$.

पारेमध्ये षष्ठ्या वा इति वक्तव्यम्

Vā must be read in Pārēmadhyē ṣaṣṭhyā vā.

अवचने हि षष्ठीसमासाभावः यथैकदेशिपधाने - अक्रियमाणे हि वावचने षष्ठीसमासस्याभावः स्यात्, यथा एकदेशिपधाने - तद्यथा एकदेशिसमासेन मुक्ते षष्ठीसमासे न भवति

There will be no $sasth \bar{s}am\bar{a}sa$ in its absence as in $\bar{e}kad\bar{e}si-pradh\bar{a}na$ —If $v\bar{a}$ is not read, $sasth \bar{s}sam\bar{a}sa$ has no chance as in

ēkadēśipradhāna-samāsa. There is no ṣaṣṭhī-samāsa, if ēkadēśi-pradhāna-samāsa (pūrvakāyaḥ) is not formed.

किं पुनः कारणमेकदेशिसमासेन मुक्ते षष्टीसमासो न भवति?

Why is there no sasthī-samāsa in the absence of ēkadēśisamāsa? समासतद्धितानां वृत्तिर्विभाषा, वृत्तिविषये नित्योऽपवादः

 $\bar{E}k\bar{a}rth\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ is optional in $sam\bar{a}sa$ and $taddhit\bar{a}nta$ and $apav\bar{a}da$ is nitya in the case of vrtti.

इह पुनः वावचने क्रियमाणे एकया वृत्तिर्विभाषा, अपरया वृत्तिविषये 1 विभाषापवादः

If $v\bar{a}$ is read here, the vrtti becomes optional by one and $apav\bar{a}da$ becomes optional in the case of vrtti by another.

एकारान्तिपातनं च Ekārāntanipātana too.

एकारान्तनिपातनं च कर्तव्यम् - पारेगङ्गम् इति

 $Nip\bar{a}tana$ of $\bar{e}k\bar{a}r\bar{a}nta$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ is necessary to secure $p\bar{a}r\bar{e}gangam$.

न कर्तव्यम् । सप्तम्या अलुका सिद्धम्

No, it is not. It is secured on the strength of bahulam in Tatpuruṣē kṛti bahulam (6, 3, 14).

भवेत् सिद्धं यदा सप्तमी; यदा त्वन्या विभक्तयस्तदा न सिध्यति It may be accomplished in the case of saptamī, but not in the case of other case-suffixes.

नदीभिश्व (2, 1, 20)

नदीभिः सङ्ख्यासमासेऽन्यपदार्थे प्रतिषेधः

Need to prohibit avyayībhāva between a word denoting number and the name of rivers, if the compound means one other than rivers.

नदीभिः सङ्ख्यासमासे अन्थपदार्थे प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः द्वीरावतीको देशः, त्रीरावतीको देशः । नदीभिः सङ्ख्या इति प्राप्तोति

Avyayībhāva is to be prohibited between a word denoting number and the name of rivers if the meaning is $anyapad\bar{a}rtha$, as $Dv\bar{\imath}r\bar{a}vat\bar{\imath}kah$ and $Tr\bar{\imath}r\bar{a}vat\bar{\imath}kah$ in $Dv\bar{\imath}r\bar{a}vat\bar{\imath}k\bar{o}$ $d\bar{\imath}sah$ and $Tr\bar{\imath}r\bar{a}vat\bar{\imath}k\bar{o}$ $d\bar{\imath}sah$, since, otherwise, it may happen by this $s\bar{\imath}utra$.

1. Panduranga Javaji edition reads nityaḥ in place of vibhāṣā.

न वक्तव्यः । इह कश्चित्समासः पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् अन्यपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् अभयपदार्थप्रधानः । पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानोऽव्ययीभावः, उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानस्तत्पुरुषः, अन्यपदार्थप्रधानो बहुत्रीहिः, उभयपदार्थप्रधानो द्वन्द्वः । न चात्र पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानयं गम्यते ।

No, it need not be read. One samāsa is pūrvapadārthapradhāna, one uttarapadārthapradhāna, one anyapadārthapradhāna and another is ubhayapadārthapradhāna. Avyayībhāva is pūrvapadārthapradhāna, tatpuruṣa is uttarapadārthapradhāna, bahuvrīhi is anyapadārthapradhāna and dvandva is ubhayapadārthapradhāna. Prominence on the former member of the compound is not seen here.

ननु च यद्येनोच्यते स तस्यार्थो भवति, अत्र च वयमेताभ्यां पदाभ्यामेतमर्थ-मुच्यमानं पद्यामः ।

Oh! that which is conveyed by a word is taken to be its meaning. We see here that this meaning is conveyed by the two words.

एतदेव न जानीमः, यद्येनोच्यते स तस्यार्थ इति

We are not aware of this:—that which is conveyed by a word is its meaning.

अपि च अन्यपदार्थप्रधानता न कल्पेत - चित्रगुः, शबलगुः इति
Besides it is not possible to arrive at anyapadārthapradhānatā in Citraguḥ and Śabalaguḥ.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

अत्रापि हि वयमेताभ्यां शब्दाभ्यामेतमर्थमुच्यमानं पश्यामः

Even here (in citragu) we see that this meaning is conveyed by the two words.

यद्ययत्र एताभ्यां पदाभ्यामेषोऽर्थ उच्यते, अन्यपदार्थोऽपि तु गम्यते, तत्रान्य-पदार्थाश्रयो बहुवीहिर्भविष्यति ।

Even though the meaning is conveyed by the two words, the meaning of another pada also is suggested by them and in such cases $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ which is $anyapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$ will set in.

इहापि तर्हि यद्यप्यन्यपदार्थोऽत्र गम्यते, स्वपदार्थोऽपि तु गम्यते, तत्र स्वपदाश्रयोऽन्ययीभावः प्राप्नोति If, here, $svapad\bar{a}rtha$ also is conveyed in addition to $anya-pad\bar{a}rtha$, $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ which is $svapad\bar{a}\acute{s}raya$ may set in.

एवं तहींदमिह सम्प्रधार्यम् - अन्ययीभावः कियतां बहुत्रीहिरिति, बहुत्रीहि-भविष्यति विप्रतिषेधेन

If so, this is to be determined whether $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ sets in here or $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$; the latter will set in through the dictum $Vipratis\bar{\imath}edh\bar{\imath}$ param $k\bar{a}ryam$.

भवेदेकसंज्ञाधिकारे सिद्धम्, परङ्कार्यत्वे तु न सिध्यति । आरम्भसामर्थ्याद-व्ययीभावः प्रामोति, परङ्कार्यत्वाच बहुवीहिः ।

The object will be achieved on the dictum $\bar{A}ka\bar{q}\bar{a}r\bar{a}d$ $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, but not on the dictum $Pr\bar{a}k$ $ka\bar{q}\bar{a}r\bar{a}t$ param $k\bar{a}ryam$. There is chance for $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ through $\bar{a}rambha$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$ and for $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ through $para\dot{n}k\bar{a}ryatva$.

परङ्कार्यत्वे च न दोषः । नदीभिः सङ्ख्यायाः समाहारेऽज्ययीभावो वक्तव्यः There is no harm in $parank\bar{a}ryatva$ too. $Avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ has to be enjoined when there is $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ between a word denoting number and the names of rivers.

स चावश्यं वक्तव्यः - सर्वमेकनदीतरे

The word $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ must necessarily be read with reference to the $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ between $sankhy\bar{a}$ and $nad\bar{\imath}$, so that the form $\bar{\imath}kanad\bar{\imath}tar\bar{\imath}$ in $Sarvam\ \bar{\imath}kanad\bar{\imath}tar\bar{\imath}$ can be secured.

द्विगुश्र (2, 1, 23)

द्विगोस्तत्पुरुषत्वे कानि प्रयोजनानि ?

What are the benefits in taking dvigu as tatpuruşa?

द्विगोस्तत्पुरुषत्वे समासान्ताः प्रयोजनम्

Dvigu, if it is tatpuruṣa, will have the benefit of taking samāsānta-pratyayas.

द्विगोस्तत्पुरुषत्वे समासान्ताः प्रयोजयन्ति - पञ्चगवं, दशगवं, पञ्चराजं, दशराजम् Appearance of samāsānta-pratyayas in dvigu is the benefit reaped by taking it as tatpuruṣa. Viz. Pañcagavam, daśagavam, pañcarājam and daśarājam.

द्वितीया श्रितातीतपतितगतात्यस्तप्राप्तापन्नैः (2, 1, 24)

श्रितादिषु गमिगाम्यादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानम्

Gamī, gāmī etc. to be added to śrita etc.

श्रितादिषु गमिगाम्यादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - श्रामं गमी श्रामगमी, श्रामं गामी श्रामगामी ।

 $Gam\bar{\imath}$, $g\bar{a}m\bar{\imath}$ etc. must be added to $\dot{s}rit\bar{a}dis$, so that $gr\bar{a}mam$ and $gam\bar{\imath}$ may compound into $gr\bar{a}magam\bar{\imath}$ and $gr\bar{a}mam$ and $g\bar{a}m\bar{\imath}$ into $gr\bar{a}mag\bar{a}m\bar{\imath}$.

श्रितादिभिरहीने द्वितीयासमासवचनानर्थक्यं, बहुत्रीहिकृतत्वात्

Non-necessity of enjoining $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ -sam $\bar{a}sa$ of $\dot{s}rita$ etc. with one if it means that which is not abandoned.

श्रितादिभिः अहीनवाचिन्या द्वितीयायाः समासवचनमनर्थकम् It is unnecessary to enjoin the $sam\bar{a}sa$ of words in the second case, meaning $ah\bar{\imath}na$, with srita etc.

किं कारणम्? Why?

बहुत्रीहिकृतत्वात् । इह यः कष्टं श्रितः, कष्टमनेन श्रितं भवति इति तत्र बहुत्रीहिणा सिद्धम् ।

Since its purpose is served by $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$. He who is not separated from kasta is one by whom kasta is taken hold of. Hence its purpose is served by $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$.

अहीने द्वितीयास्वरवचनानर्थक्यं च

Non-need of Ahīnē dvitīyā enjoining pūrvapadaprakrtisvara.

अहोने द्वितीया - पूर्वपदं प्रकृतिस्वरं भवति इत्येतत्स्वरवचनम् अनर्थकम् It is not necessary to enjoin that the svara is that of $p\bar{u}rva$ pada by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Ah\bar{v}n\bar{e}$ $dvit\bar{v}v\bar{a}$ (6, 2, 47).

किं कारणम्? Why?

बहुत्रीहिकृतत्वादेव Since the same is found in bahuvrīhi.

जातिस्वरप्रसङ्गस्त

But there is chance for the svara enjoined by $J\bar{a}tik\bar{a}la...$

जातिस्वरस्तु प्राप्नोति - य्रामं गतो यामगतः, अरण्यगतः इति । जातिकाल-सुखादिभ्योऽनाच्छादनात् कोऽकृतमितप्रतिपन्नाः इति

The svara (antōdātta) enjoined by $J\bar{a}ti-k\bar{a}la-sukh\bar{a}dibhy\bar{o}sn\bar{a}-cch\bar{a}dan\bar{a}t$ ktōskṛtamita-pratipannāh (6, 2, 170) will have chance to set in the words $gr\bar{a}magatah$ ($gr\bar{a}mam$ galah), aranyagatah etc.

तत्र जातादिषु वावचनात्सिद्धम्

The aim is secured by changing Vā jātē into Vā jātādiṣu.

यदेतद् वा जाते इति एतद् वा जातादिषु वक्ष्यामि । इमे जातादयो भविष्यन्ति ।

I shall read $V\bar{a}$ $j\bar{a}t\bar{a}disu$ in place of $V\bar{a}$ $j\bar{a}t\bar{\epsilon}$ (6, 2, 171). These will be included under $j\bar{a}t\bar{a}dis$.

ननु च भेदो भवति - बहुत्रीहो सित समासान्तोदात्तत्वेनापि भवितब्यं पूर्वपद्पकृतिस्वरत्वेनापि । तत्पुरुषत्वे सित पूर्वपद्पकृतिस्वरत्वेनैव । Oh! there will be difference then. If it is bahuvrīhi, it can take both samāsāntādāttatva and pūrvapadaprakrtisvaratva. If it is tatpuruṣa, it can take only pūrvapadaprakrtisvaratva.

नास्ति मेदः । योऽपि हि तत्पुरुषमारभते, न तस्य दण्डवारितो बहुत्रीहिः । तत्र तत्पुरुषे सित द्वौ समासौ, द्वौ स्वरो, बहुत्रीहौ सत्येकः समासो, द्विस्वरत्वम् No, there will be no difference. For he who takes it as tatpuruṣa is not prevented, under the pain of punishment, to take it as bahuvrīhi also. Hence when it is taken as tatpuruṣa, there are two kinds of samāsas and two different svaras and when it is taken as bahuvrīhi, there is only one samāsa, but two different svaras.

एवं तर्हि सिद्धे सित यत्तपुरुषं शास्ति, तद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्यः समानार्थे केवलं विश्रहमेदाद्यत्र तत्पुरुषः प्राप्नोति बहुत्रीहिश्च, तत्र तत्पुरुष एव भवति इति । Hence from the fact that Ācārya enjoins tatpuruṣa though it is otherwise secured, he suggests that, if a compound can be split both as tatpuruṣa and bahuvrīhi without any change in meaning, it must be taken only as tatpuruṣa.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम् ? What is gained from this $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}pana$? श्रज्ञः सखा राजसखः; राजा सखा अस्य इति बहुन्नीहिन भवित The compound word $r\bar{a}jasakhuh$ can be split only as $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ sakh \bar{a} and not as $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ sakh \bar{a} asya.

नैतद् ज्ञापकसाध्यम् । अपवादैरुत्सर्गा बाध्यन्ते इति बाधकेनानेन भवितव्यं सामान्यविहितस्य विशेषविहितेन । अथ न सामान्यविहितः । This is not accomplished through jñāpaka. This, being viṣēṣa-vihita, has to set at naught the bahuvrīhi which is sāmānya-vihita through the dictum Apavādair utsargā bādhyantē. But bahuvrīhi is not even sāmānyavihita.

Note:— $Bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ and $tatpuru\bar{\imath}a$ cannot be taken under utsarga and $apav\bar{a}da$, since the former is $anyapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$ and the latter is $svapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$.

यदुच्यते - बहुत्रीतिकृतत्वाद् इति, एतदयुक्तम्; अस्ति खल्विप विशेषो बहुत्रीहेस्तत्पुरुषस्य च ।

The expression bahuvrīhikrtatvāt read in the vārttika is not correct; for there is difference between bahuvrīhi and tatpurusa.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\hat{s}abhatta$ says that $siddh\bar{a}ntin$ condemns $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}pakatvav\bar{a}din$ and $apav\bar{a}datvav\bar{a}din$ by $Asti\ khalvapi...$

किं शब्दकृतः, अथ अर्थकृतः!

Does the difference exist in form or in meaning?

शब्दकृतश्च अर्थकृतश्च

Difference exists both in form and in meaning.

शब्दकृतस्तावत् - बहुत्रीहो सित कपा भवितव्यम् ; तत्पुरुषे सित न भवितव्यम् Firstly regarding the difference in form, kap is suffixed in bahuvrīhi (by Nadyrtaś ca 5, 4, 153), but not in tatpuruṣa.

अर्थकृतः - तत्पुरुषे सित रहादीनां क्तः कर्तरि भवति धात्वर्थस्यानपवर्गे - आरुद्धो द्वेदत्तः । बहुत्रीहो व्यपकृक्ते कर्मणि भवति - आरुद्धो द्वेदत्तेन इति With reference to the difference in meaning, Ārūḍhaḥ the ktānta of ruh with ā forms tatpuruṣasamāsa with vṛkṣa when it has the active meaning and its kartā Dēvadatta is in contact with any part of the tree; while the same, when it forms a bahuvrīhisamāsa, is passive in meaning and its kartā Dēvadatta has left his contact with the tree.

अन्यथाजातीयकः खल्विप प्रत्यक्षेणार्थसम्प्रत्ययः, अन्यथाजातीयकः सम्बन्धात् - राज्ञः सखा राजसखः; सम्बन्धाद् एतद् गन्तव्यं, नूनं राजाप्यस्य सखा इति Meaning directly denoted by a word is of one kind and that arrived at through anumāna is of another kind. The word rājasakhaḥ means king's friend and it must be learnt from inference that the king too is his friend.

डमयं खल्वपि इष्यते, स्वस्ति सोमसखा, पुनरेहि गवांसख इति
Both are found necessary in usage. Viz. Svasti Sõmasakhā (bahuvrīhi compound); Punar ēhi gavāmsakha (tatpuruṣa compound).

खट्टा क्षेपे (2, 1, 26)

किमुदाहरणम्? What is the udāharaņa?

खद्दारूढः The word khaṭvārūḍhaḥ (degraded man).

क्षेप इत्युच्यते ; कः क्षेपो नाम?

The word $k s \bar{e} p a$ is read here. What does it refer to?

अधीत्य स्नात्वा गुरुभिरनुज्ञातेन खट्टा आरोडव्या । य इदानीम् अतोऽन्यथा करोति स उच्यते - खट्टारूढोऽयं जाल्मः - नातित्रतवान् इति । Married life should be enjoyed by one only after studying the $V\bar{e}dos$ and performing the purificatory bath with the permission of the $V\bar{e}dic$ teacher. He who does contrary to it is called $khatv\bar{a}r\bar{u}dhah$. Hence it now means a degraded man who does not strictly perform the vratas enjoined to him.

Note:—The word khatvārūdhah is semantically important.

अत्यन्तसंयोगे च (2, 1, 28)

अत्यन्तसंयोगे समासस्याविशेषवचनात् क्तेन समासवचनानर्थक्यम्

No need for the $s\bar{u}tra$ $K\bar{a}l\bar{a}h$ since $Atyantasamy\bar{o}g\bar{e}$ $c\bar{a}$ refers to both $kt\bar{a}nta$ and $akt\bar{a}nta$.

अत्यन्तसंयोगे समासस्याविशेषवचनात् कान्तेन च अकान्तेन च कालाः कान्तेन इति एतत्समासवचनमनर्थकम्; अत्यन्तसंयोगे इत्येव सिद्धम् Since this $s\bar{u}tra$ $Atyantasamy \delta g\bar{e}$ ca has reference to both $kt\bar{a}nta$ and $akt\bar{a}nta$, the $s\bar{u}tra$ $K\bar{a}l\bar{a}h$ $(kt\bar{e}na)$ serves no purpose and $Atyantasamy \delta g\bar{e}$ ca alone will do.

अनत्यन्तसंयोगार्थं तु It is for anatyantasamyōga.

अनत्यन्तसंयोगार्थ तर्हि इदं वक्तव्यम् - षण्मुहूर्ताश्चराचराः । ते कदाचिद-हर्गच्छिन्ति, कदाचिद्रात्निम् । तदुच्यते अहर्गताः रात्रिगता इति । The sūtra (Kālāḥ) must be read to refer to an-atyantasamyōga. Viz. Ṣaṇmuhūrtāḥ carācarāḥ. They sometimes (in uttarāyaṇa) walk at daytime and sometimes (in dakṣiṇāyana) walk at night. Hence they are called ahargatāḥ and rātrigatāḥ.

नैतद्स्ति; गतत्रहणाद्प्येतत् सिद्धम्
This need not be. The object is achieved since gata is read with śrita in 2, 1, 24.

इदं तर्हि, अहरतिस्ताः, राज्यतिस्ताः, मासप्रमितश्चन्द्रमाः

For the sake of these then:— $Ahar-atisrt\bar{a}h$, $r\bar{a}tryatisrt\bar{a}h$ and $m\bar{a}sapramitah$ in $m\bar{a}spramitas$ candram $\bar{a}h$.

तृतीया तत्कृतार्थेन गुणवचनेन (2, 1, 30)

The prayojana of the words tatkṛtārthēna and guṇavacanēna, the meaning of the latter and the purpose of artha in tatkṛtārthēna are the four topics here.

I

तत्कृतार्थेन इति किमर्थम्? Why is tatkṛtārthēna read? दक्षा पटुः, घृतेन पटुः

The prevent $dadhn\bar{a}$ and patuh and $ghrt\bar{e}na$ and patuh from compounding with each other (since $dadhn\bar{a}$ and $ghrt\bar{e}na$ are $trt\bar{i}y\bar{a}nta$ and patuh is gunavacana).

नैतद्स्ति । असामर्थाद्त्र न भविष्यति

No, it is not so. There will be no samāsa thro' asāmarthya.

कथमसामध्येम्? How is asāmarthya to be explained?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति । न हि द्ध्नः पटुना सामर्थ्यम्

On the basis of the dietum $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k\underline{s}am$ asamartham bhavati. There is no $s\bar{a}marthya$ for dadhi with patutva.

केन तर्हि? With what then?

भुजिना; द्रधा भुङ्क्ते पटुः इति

With the verb bhuj thus:—dadhnā bhunktē paṭuḥ.

इहापि तर्हि न प्रामोति - शङ्कुलाखण्डः, किरिकाणः इति । अत्रापि न शङ्कुलायाः खण्डेन सामर्थ्यम्

If so, it cannot operate even here: in $\hat{s}ankul\bar{a}khandah$ and $kirik\bar{a}nah$; there is no $s\bar{a}marthya$ even here for $\hat{s}ankul\bar{a}$ with khanda.

केन तर्हि? With what then? करोतिना, शङ्कुलया कृतः खण्ड इति

With the root kr thus:— Sankulayā kriah khandah.

वचनाद्भविष्यति It will happen through this sūtra.

इहापि तर्हि वचनात् प्रामोति - दक्षा पटुः, घृनेन पटुः इति

If so, $sam\bar{a}sa$ will appear even here in $dadhn\bar{a}$ paṭuḥ and $ghrt\bar{e}na$ paṭuḥ by this $s\bar{u}tra$.

तसात् तत्कृतार्थग्रहणं कर्तव्यभ्

Hence the word tatkrtārthēna should be read.

II

गुणवचनेनेति किमर्थम्? Why is guṇavacanēna read? गोभिविपावान, धान्येन धनवान

Otherwise $g\bar{o}bhih$ will compound with $vap\bar{a}v\bar{a}n$ and $dh\bar{a}ny\bar{e}na$ with $dhanav\bar{a}n$.

III

ार्क पुनिरहोदाहरणम् ? What is the udāharaņa here?

शङ्कुलाखण्डो देवदत्तः इति Śankulākhandō Dēvadattah.

कथं पुनर्गुणवचनेन समास उच्यमानो द्रव्यवचनेन स्यात् ?

How is it that, though $sam\bar{a}sa$ is enjoined with gunavacana (word denoting quality) in the $s\bar{u}tra$, it is made with dravyavacana in the $ud\bar{a}harana$.

इह 'तृतीया तत्कृतार्थेन गुणेन ' इति इयता सिद्धं, सोऽयमेवं सिद्धे सित यद्वचनश्रहणं करोति तस्यैतत्वयोजनं - एवं यथा विज्ञायेत गुणम् उक्तवता गुणवचननेन इति

Had the Sūtrakāra meant that the samāsa is with the word denoting quality, $Trtīy\bar{a}$ tatkrtārthēna gunēna will do. The purpose of his having read guṇavacanēna instead of guṇēna, is that it means 'with the word denoting dravya having the quality.'

कथं पुनरयं गुणवचनः सन् द्रव्यवचनः सम्पद्यते ?

How can guṇavācaka become dravya-vācaka?

आरभ्यते तत्र मतुब्लोपः - गुणवचनेभ्यो मतुपो लुगिति । तद्यथा - शुक्लगुणः शुक्लः, कृष्णगुणः कृष्णः, एवं खण्डगुणः खण्डः

Vārttikakāra reads the elision of matup-pratyaya there in Guṇavacanēbhyō matupō luk. Hence śuklaḥ and kṛṣṇaḥ denote white, and black, object. So also does khaṇḍaḥ denote the object having it.

यद्येवं नार्थः तत्कृतार्थग्रहणेन । भवति हि शङ्कुलायाः खण्डेन सामर्थ्यम् । असामर्थ्याचात्र न भविष्यति – द्धा पटुः, घृतेन पटुः इति । तसान्नार्थः तत्कृतार्थ-ग्रहणेन ।

If so, no purpose is served by reading $tat-krt\bar{a}rth\bar{e}na$; for there is $s\bar{a}marthya$ between $sankul\bar{a}$ and khanda. $Dadhn\bar{a}$ paṭuḥ and $ghrt\bar{e}na$ paṭuḥ, do not compound thro' $as\bar{a}marthya$. Hence $tatkrt\bar{a}rth\bar{e}na$ need not be read.

lV

तृतीयासमासे ऽर्थग्रहणमनर्थक मर्थगति हीव चनात्

Reading artha in the $s\bar{u}tra$ dealing with $trt\bar{i}y\bar{a}-sam\bar{a}sa$ is unnecessary since the desired meaning is secured without it.

तृतीयासमासेऽर्थग्रहणमनर्थकम्

Reading artha in the $s\bar{u}tra$ enjoining $trt\bar{i}y\bar{a}sam\bar{a}sa$ is of no use.

किं कारणम् श Why?

अर्थगतिर्द्धवचनात् - अन्तरेणापि वचनमर्थगतिर्भविष्यति ।

The meaning is secured even without it.

निर्देश्यमिति चेचृतीयार्थनिर्देशोऽपि

If it is considered necessary, tatkrta should also be replaced by tadarthakrta.

अथैवमि निर्देशः कर्तव्य इति चेत् तृतीयार्थनिर्देशोऽपि कर्तव्यः स्यात् - तृतीया तद्र्थकृतार्थेन गुणवचनेन इति वक्तव्यम् ।

If it is said that, even then, it should be read, $trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}rtha$ too must be read thus:— $Trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ tadarthakrtena gunavacanena.

तत्ति वक्तव्यम् It must, then, be read.

न वक्तव्यम् । नायमर्थनिर्देशः

No, it need not be read. Artha is not here used in the sense of meaning.

ार्के तर्हि ? What, then?

योगाङ्गमिदं निर्दिश्यते This is read as a part of Asṭādhyāyī.

सित च योगाङ्गे योगिवभागः करिष्यते - 'तृतीया तत्कृतेन गुणवचनेन ' समस्यते । ततः 'अर्थेन', अर्थशब्देन च तृतीया समस्यते - धान्यार्थः, वसनार्थः, हिरण्यार्थः । पूर्वसदृशसमो नार्थेत्यर्थमहणं न कर्तव्यं भवति इति ।

When it is a part of Aṣṭādhyāyī, it is split as a separate sūtra thus:—Tṛṭīyā tatkṛṭēna guṇavacanēna (samasyatē). Then Arthēna which means that the word in the third case compounds itself with the word artha. Viz., dhānyārthaḥ, vasanārthaḥ, hiranyārthaḥ. And the word artha need not be read in the next sūtra Pūrva-sadṛśa-samōnārtha....

Note 1:—In that case tat-kṛta has the third case suffix dropped after it.

Note 2:—The difference between the opinion of $V\bar{a}rttika-k\bar{a}ra$ and that of $Mah\bar{a}bh\bar{a}syak\bar{a}ra$ is this:—the former states that artha need not be read in this $s\bar{u}tra$ and the latter states that it need not be read in the next $s\bar{u}tra$ by splitting the $s\bar{u}tra$ into two and by taking tatkrta in the sense of $tatkrt\bar{e}na$.

पूर्वसद्दशसमोनार्थकलहिनपुणिमश्रव्हक्ष्णैः (2, 1, 31)

पूर्वादिष्ववरस्थोपसङ्खचानम् Addition of avara to pūrvādi.

पूर्वादिष्ववरस्योपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यं, मासावरोऽयं, संवत्सरावरोऽयम् The word avara should be added to the $p\bar{u}rv\bar{a}di$ list. Viz. $M\bar{a}s\bar{a}var\bar{o}syam$ and $sa\dot{m}vatsar\bar{a}var\bar{o}syam$.

सदृशग्रहण उक्तम्

This has been dealt with by $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$ with reference to the reading of sadrśa in the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Sadrśapratir\bar{u}pay\bar{o}h$ $s\bar{a}drśy\bar{e}$ (6, 2, 11).

किमुक्तम्? How has it been dealt with?

सदृशग्रहणमनर्थकं तृतीयासमासवचनात् । षष्ठ्यर्थमिति चेतृतीयासमास-वचनानर्थक्यम् इति

The word sadrśa need not be read on account of the mention of $trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}sam\bar{a}sa$. If it is said that it is intended for $sasth\bar{\imath}sam\bar{a}sa$, it need not be read under $trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}sam\bar{a}sa$.

Note:—Mahābhāṣyakāra reads under 6-2-11 thus:— Pitrā sadṛṣʿaḥ iti vigṛhya vākyam ēva.

कर्तकरणे कृता बहुलम् (2, 3, 32)

कर्तकरणे कृता क्तेन

The sūtra has to be read Kartrkaraņē kṛtā ktēna.

कर्तृकरणे कृता केन इति वक्तव्यम्, अहिहतः, नखनिर्भिन्नः, दात्रछनं, परशुच्छिन्नम् ।

The sūtra must be read Kartrkaranē krtā ktēna to secure only the forms Ahihataḥ, nakhanirbhinnaḥ, datralūnam and paraśuchinnam.

कृता क्तेनेति किमर्थम्? Why should ktēna qualify krtū.

इह मा भूत् - दालेण छनवान्, परशुना छिन्नवान्

So that dātrēņa and lūnavān and parašunā and chinnavān may not form compounds.

तत्ति वक्तन्यम् ।t, then, must be read so.

न वक्तव्यम् No, it need not be read so.

बहुलवचनात्सिद्धम् It is got by reading buhulam.

कृत्यैरिधकार्थवचने (2, 1, 33)

कृत्यैरधिकार्थवचनेऽन्यत्रापि दृश्यते

Anyatrāpi dršyatē is to be added to the sūtra.

कृत्यैरधिकार्थवचने अन्यत्रापि दृश्यत इति वक्तव्यम् - बुसोपेन्ध्यं, तृणोपेन्ध्यं, घनघात्यम्

Anyatrāpi dṛśyatē is to be added to the sūtra Kṛtyāir adhikār-thavacanē to secure the forms busōpēndhyam (it should be re-ignited with chaff), tṛnōpēndhyam and ghanaghātyam (it is to be killed with an iron rod.

साधनं कृतेति वा पादहारकाद्यर्थम्

Or it may be read $S\bar{a}dhanam\ krt\bar{a}$, so that $p\bar{a}da-h\bar{a}raka$ etc. may come within the range.

अथवा साधनं कृता समस्यत इति वक्तव्यम्

Or Sādhanam kṛtā samasyalē (word meaning means compound with kṛdanta) has to be read.

ाकें प्रयोजनम्? Why?

पादहारकाद्यर्थम् - पादाभ्यां ह्यिते पादहारकः, गले चोप्यते गलेचोपकः For the sake of $p\bar{a}dah\bar{a}raka$ etc.; $p\bar{a}dah\bar{a}rakah$ (one who is carried with feet); $gal\bar{e}c\bar{o}pakah$ (one that is slowly devoured through gullet).

अन्नेन व्यञ्जनम् (2, 1, 34); भक्ष्येण मिश्रीकरणम् (2, 1, 35) अन्नेन व्यञ्जनं भक्ष्येण मिश्रीकरणम् इत्यसमर्थसमासः, कारकाणां क्रिया-समर्थत्वात

Annēna vyanjanam and Bhakṣyēna miśrīkaraṇam enjoin asamar-thasamāsas, since words with case-suffixes govern verbs alone.

अन्नेन व्यञ्जनं भक्ष्येण मिश्रीकरणम् इत्यसमर्थसमासोऽयं द्रष्टव्यः

The samāsas enjoined by Annēna vyanjanam and Bhakṣyēṇa miśrīkaraṇam are to be considered asamarthasamāsas.

ाके कारणम् ? Why?

कारकाणां कियासमर्थत्वात् । कारकाणां कियया सामर्थ्यमस्ति, न तेषामन्योन्येन । तद्यया निश्रयण्या द्वाभ्यां काष्ठाभ्यां सामर्थ्यं न तेषामन्योन्येन Since words with case-suffixes have the capacity only to govern verbs. Words ending in case-suffixes are capable of governing verbs, but not each other. That the footsteps of a ladder are each connected with the side planks and not with each other is an illustration.

एवं तर्हि आह अयम् - अन्नेन व्यञ्जनं भक्ष्येण मिश्रीकरणम् इति, न चास्ति सामर्थ्यं, तत्र वचनात् समासो भविष्यति ।

When such is the case, $S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$ reads $Ann\bar{e}na$ vya $n\bar{n}janam$ and $Bhaksy\bar{e}na$ miśr $n\bar{u}karanam$. There is evidently no $s\bar{a}marthya$ between them. There is $sam\bar{a}sa$ in such cases on the authority of his injunction.

वचनप्रामाण्यादिति चेद् नानाकारकाणां प्रतिषेधः

If they form samāsa on account of vacana-prāmānya, there is need for the pratisēdha of those which govern different verbs.

वचनपामाण्यादिति चेन्नानाकारकाणां प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः - तिष्ठतु द्ध्ना, ओद्नो देवद्तेन भुज्यत इति

If it is said that they compound with each other, there is need to prohibit it if they govern different verbs, as in *Tiṣṭhatu* dadhnā and ōdanō Dēvadattēna bhujyatē.

सिद्धं तु समानाधिकरणाधिकारे क्तस्तृतीयापूर्वपद उत्तरपदलोपश्च

The object is achieved by mentioning in $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaran\bar{a}dhikaran\bar{a}dhikaran that <math>kt\bar{a}ntu$ is preceded by a noun in the third case and the uttarapada will be dropped.

सिद्धमेतत् The object is achieved.

कथम्? How?

समानाधिकरणाधिकारे वक्तव्यम् – क्तान्तः तृतीयापूर्वपदः समस्यते सुपा, उत्तरपदस्य च छोपो भवति इति । दध्ना उपसिक्तः दध्युपसिक्तः, दध्युपसिक्तः ओदनो दध्योदनः । गुडेन संसृष्टाः गुडसंसृष्टाः, गुडसंसृष्टा धानाः गुडधानाः

This has to be read in the samānādhikaraṇādhikāra—The past passive participle is preceded by a noun in the third case and forms a compound with another noun followed by a casesuffix, when the past passive participle is dropped. Viz. Dadhnā and upasiktaḥ compound into dadhyupasiktaḥ and dadhyupasiktaḥ and ōdanaḥ compound into dadhyōdanaḥ. Guḍēna and samsṛṣṭāḥ compound into guḍasamsṛṣṭāḥ and guḍasamsṛṣṭāḥ and dhānāḥ compound into guḍadhānāḥ.

षष्टीसमासश्च युक्तपूर्णान्तः

 $L\bar{o}pa$ of yukta and $p\bar{u}rna$ of $sasth\bar{s}am\bar{a}sa$ when they compound with a noun.

षष्ठीसमासश्च युक्तपूर्णान्तः समस्यते, उत्तरपदस्य च लोपो वक्तव्यः - अश्वानां युक्तः अश्वयुक्तः, अश्वयुक्तो रथः अश्वरथः; द्वः पूर्णः दिवपूर्णः, दिवपूर्णो घटः दिवघटः दिवघटः दिवहां का yukta or pūrņa compound with the following noun and yukta and pūrņa are dropped. Viz. Aśvānām and yuktah compound into aśvayuktah and aśvayuktah and rathah compound into aśvarathah; Dadhnah and pūrņah compound into dadhipūrṇah and dadhipūrṇah and ghaṭaḥ compound into dadhigaṭaḥ.

तत्ति इदं बहु वक्त अयम्

Much, then, has to be supplemented here.

न वासमासेऽदर्शनात्

No, it need not be supplemented on account of its not being found in sentences.

न वा वक्तव्यम् No, it need not be supplemented.

किं कारणम् श Why?

असमासे अदर्शनात् - यद्धि असमासे दृश्यते, समासे च न दृश्यते तल्लोपारम्भं प्रयोजयति । न चासमासे उपिसक्तशब्दः, संसृष्टशब्दः, युक्तशब्दः, पूर्णशब्दो वा दृश्यते

Since it is not found in $asam\bar{a}sa$ (i.e.) $v\bar{a}kya$. That which is present in $v\bar{a}kya$ and is absent in $sam\bar{a}sa$ becomes the $pray\bar{o}jaka$ for the mention of elision. None of the words—upasikta, samsrsta, yukta and $p\bar{u}rna$ is found in $v\bar{a}kya$.

कथं तर्हि सामध्य गम्यते ?

How is it, then, understood that there is samarthya.

युक्तार्थसम्प्रत्ययाच सामर्थ्यम्

There is $s\bar{a}marthya$ on account of the implication of the meaning of yukta.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyaṭa$ says that yukta is upalakṣaṇa to $y\bar{o}gya-kriy\bar{a}$ to include $upas\bar{e}ka$ etc.

दध्ना युक्तार्थता सम्प्रतीयते

The meaning of yukta is suggested through implication by the third case suffix in $dadhn\bar{a}$?

कथं पुनर्ज्ञायते दध्ना युक्तार्थता सम्प्रतीयत इति?

How is it understood that the meaning of yukta is suggested through implication by the third case suffix in $dadhn\bar{a}$?

सम्प्रत्ययाच तदर्थाध्यवसानम्

Comprehension of the meaning since it is current in the world.

सम्प्रत्ययाच तद्थें ऽध्यवसीयते । अवश्यं चैतदेवं विज्ञेयम्

The meaning is comprehended from usage in the world and it should necessarily be so comprehended.

सम्प्रतीयमानार्थलोपे ह्यनवस्था 1

There will be no finality if the $l\bar{o}pa$ of words conveying meanings which are otherwise comprehended is enjoined.

यो हि मन्यते सम्प्रतीयमानार्थानां शब्दानां छोपो भवतीति, अनवस्था तस्य छोपस्य स्यात् । दिध इत्युक्ते बहवोऽर्था गम्यन्ते - मन्दकम्, उत्तरकं, निलीनकम् इति; तद्वाचिनां शब्दानां छोपो वक्तव्यः स्यात् । तथा गुडः इत्युक्ते मधुरशब्दस्य, श्रुक्तबेरमिति च कटुकशब्दस्य । अन्तरेणापि खल्ल शब्दप्रयोगं बहवोऽर्था गम्यन्ते अक्षिनिकोचैः पाणिविहारैश्च; तद्वाचिनां शब्दानां छोपो वक्तव्यः स्यात् ॥ If one thinks that the lõpa must be enjoined of all the words having the meanings comprehended, there will be no finality

1. This is repeated in the $bh\bar{a}sya$ in the Bombay Edition.

to the injunction of the elision. At the mention of the word dadhi many ideas relating to it like mandakam (semi-solid condition), uttarakam (solid condition), nilīnakam (the condition of coagulated milk) come to the mind and one has to enjoin the elision of all the words conveying that meaning. At the mention of the word guḍa the lōpa of the word madhura which means sweetness has to be enjoined and at the mention of the word śṛṇgavēra, the lōpa of the word kaṭu which means pungency has to be enjoined. Besides many ideas come to our minds by another's winking of the eyes and movement of the hand and one will have to enjoin the elision of all the words which convey those ideas.

चतुर्था तदर्थार्थबलिहितसुखरिसतैः (2, 1, 36)

A comparative review of this $s\bar{u}tra$ and the $v\bar{a}rttika$ $Vikrti\hat{s}$ caturthyantā $prakrty\bar{a}$ tells us that the $S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$ deals here with the $s\bar{u}tra$ of predecessors.

किं चतुर्थ्यन्तत्य तद्रथमात्रेण समासो भवति ?

Does a word in the fourth case compound with all those whose meaning is intended for that of the former? 1

एवं भवितुमहीति Yes, it deserves to be so.

चतुर्थी तदर्थमात्रेण चेत् सर्वप्रसङ्गोऽविशेषात्

If it is said that caturthyanta compounds with tadarthumātra, there is chance for all on account of the absence of višēsa.

चतुर्थी तदर्थमात्रेण चेत् सर्वपसङ्गः - सर्वस्य चतुर्थमन्तस्य तद्थमात्रेण सह समासः प्राप्तोति । अनेनापि समासः प्राप्तोति - रन्धनाय स्थाली, अवहननाय उद्धललम् इति

Caturthī tadarthamātrēṇa cēt sarvaprasaṅgaḥ:—There will be chance for caturthyanta to compound with all words which are tadarthas. Compound of randhanāya with sthālī (pot for cooking) and avahananāya with ulūkhalam (pestle for husking) will chance to set in.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

1. Cf. Brāhmaṇārtham payaḥ, brāhmaṇārthaḥ sūpaḥ, brāhmaṇārthā yavāgūḥ.

अविशेपात् Since there is no discerning factor.

न हि कश्चिद्विशेष उपादीयते, एवंजातीयकस्य चतुर्थ्यन्तस्य तद्थेन सह समासो भवति इति । अनुपादीयमाने विशेषे सर्वप्रसङ्गः

No discerning factor is stated to determine the particular chaturthyanta compounding with tadartha. If the discerning factor is not stated, there is chance for all without restriction.

बलिरक्षिताभ्यां चानर्थकं वचनम्

It is not necessary to read that it will compound with the words bali and raksita.

बिरिक्षिताभ्यां च समासवचनमनर्थकम् । यो हि महाराजाय बिलः स महाराजार्थो भवति ; तल्ल तद्र्थ इत्येव सिद्धम् ।

Injunction of $sam\bar{a}sa$ with bali and raksita is not necessary. The bali for $mah\bar{a}r\bar{a}ja$ is $muh\bar{a}r\bar{a}j\bar{a}rtha$; and it is secured by tadartha.

यदि पुनर्विकृतिश्चतुर्थ्यन्ता प्रकृत्या सह समस्यते इत्येतस्रक्षणं क्रियते Suppose, on the other hand, the lakṣaṇa is read Vikṛtiś catur-thyantā prakṛtyā saha samasyatē.

विकृतिः प्रकृत्या इति चेद् अश्वघासादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानम्

If it is read $Vikrtih\ prakrty\bar{a}$, there is need to add $a\&va-gh\bar{a}sa$ etc.

विकृतिः प्रकृत्या इति चेद् अश्वघासादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - अश्वघासः, श्वश्रुसुरं, हिस्तिविधा इति

If it is read $Vikrtih\ prakrty\bar{a}$, there is need to add $a\acute{s}vagh\bar{a}sa$ etc.:- $a\acute{s}vagh\bar{a}sa$ (pasture for horses), $\acute{s}va\acute{s}r\bar{u}suram$ (water for mother-in-law) and $hastividh\bar{a}$ (food of elephant.) ¹

अर्थेन नित्यसमासवचनम् Need to enjoin nityasamāsa with artha.

अर्थशब्देन नित्यं समासी वक्तव्यः, ब्राह्मणार्थं क्षतियार्थम्

There is need to enjoin $nityasam\bar{a}sa$ with artha, as in $br\bar{a}hma$ - $n\bar{a}rtham$ and $k\bar{s}atriy\bar{a}rtham$.

कि विकृतिश्चतुर्थन्ता प्रकृत्या सह समस्यते इत्यतोऽर्थेन नित्यसमासो वक्तव्यः ? Does the necessity arise to read arthena nityasamāsaḥ, on changing the sūtra into Vikṛtiś caturthyantā prakṛtyā saha samasyatē?

1. There is no prakṛtivikṛtibhāva in these three cases.

नेत्याह । सर्वथा अर्थेन नित्यसमासो वक्तव्यः

"No," says he. At all cost $nityasam\bar{a}sa$ has to be enjoined with artha.

¹ किं कारणम्? Why?

ैविश्रहो मा भूद् इति So that there can be no vigraha.

सर्वेलिङ्गता च Need to enjoin its use in all genders.

सर्वालिङ्गता च वक्तव्या, ब्राह्मणार्थ पयः, ब्राह्मणार्थः सूपः, ब्राह्मणार्था यवागूः इति There is need to read that it will be used in all genders as in Brāhmaṇārtham payaḥ, brāhmaṇārthaḥ sūpaḥ and brāhmaṇārthā yavāgū.

किमर्थेन नित्यसमास उच्यते इत्यतः सर्विछिङ्गता वक्तव्या ?

Does the necessity arise to read $sarvalingat\bar{a}$ because $nitya-sam\bar{a}sa$ is enjoined with reference to artha.

नेत्याह । सर्वथा सर्विलङ्कता वक्तव्या 'No' says he. Sarvalingatā has to be enjoined on any account.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

यावता अर्थशब्दोऽयं पुँछिङ्गः, उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानश्च तत्पुरुषः, तेन पुँछिङ्गस्यैव समासस्य अभिधानं स्यात्, स्त्रीनपुंसकछिङ्गस्य न स्यात् ।

Since the word artha is masculine in gender and tatpurusa is uttarapadārthapradhāna, samāsārtha in masculine gender alone will be expressed and not in feminine and neuter genders.

तत्ति इदं बहु वक्तव्यम् - विकृतिः प्रकृत्या इति वक्तव्यम् , अश्वघासादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् , अर्थेन नित्यसमासो वक्तव्यः, सर्विलिङ्गता च वक्तव्या ।
In that case much has to be read:— $Vikrtih\ prakrty\bar{a}$ is to be read; $Aśvagh\bar{a}s\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}n\bar{a}m\ upasankhy\bar{a}nam$ is to be read; $Arth\bar{\imath}na$ nityasamāsah is to be read; and $Sarvalingat\bar{a}$ is to be stated.

न वक्तव्यम् । यत्तावदुच्यते "विकृतिः प्रकृत्या इति वक्तव्यम्" इति, न वक्तव्यम्, आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित विकृतिश्चतुर्थ्यन्ता प्रकृत्या सह समस्यते इति, यद्यं बिलरिक्षतग्रहणं करोति ।

No, much need not be read. Firstly with reference to the statement Vikṛtiḥ prakṛtyā iti vaktavyam, it need not be read;

1 & 2. These two sentences are not found in certain editions,

the procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ suggests that the $vikrti-v\bar{a}caka$ in the fourth case compounds itself with $prakrti-v\bar{a}caka$, since he reads in the $s\bar{u}tra\ bali$ and raksita.

कथं कृत्वा ज्ञापकम्! How does it become the jñāpaka?

¹ यथाजातीयकानां समासे बिलरिक्षतग्रहणेनार्थः तथाजातीयकानां समासः । यदि च विकृतिश्चतुर्थ्यन्ता प्रकृत्या सह समस्यते न तद्र्थमात्रेण, ततो बिलरिक्षत-ग्रहणमर्थवद्भवति ।

Such samāsas as will make the mention of bali and rakṣita truitful are to be taken. If tadartha refers to samāsas between vikṛti-vācaka in the fourth case and prakṛti-vācaka alone and not to words in the fourth case and all that are tadarthas, the mention of bali and rakṣita is arthavat.

यद्प्युच्यते अश्वघासादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यमिति, न कर्तव्यम् ; अश्वघासाद्यः षष्ठीसमासा भविष्यन्ति ; यद्धि यद्थै भवत्ययमपि तत्राभिसम्बन्धो भवति, अस्य इदम् इति, तद्यथा गुरोरिदं गुर्वर्थमिति ।

The statement Aśvaghāsādīnām upasankhyānam kartavyam need not be read. Aśvaghāsa etc. may be taken as ṣaṣṭhīsamāsas. That which is intended for another becomes related to it in the relation of asya idam, as gurvartham may be the compound of gurōr idam.

ननु च स्वरभेदो भवति - चतुर्थीसमासे सति पूर्वपद्पकृतिस्वरत्वेन भवितव्यं, षष्ठीसमासे सति पुनरन्तोदात्तत्वेन ।

Oh, there will be difference in $svar\sigma$. If it is taken as $caturth\bar{\imath}$ - $sam\bar{\alpha}sa$, there is $p\bar{\imath}rvapadaprakrtisvaratva$ and if it is taken as $sasth\bar{\imath}sam\bar{\alpha}sa$, there is $sam\bar{\alpha}s\bar{\alpha}nt\bar{\sigma}d\bar{\alpha}ttatva$.

नास्ति भेदः । चतुर्थीसमासेऽपि सत्यन्तोदात्तत्वेनैव भवितव्यम्
There is no difference. Even if it is taken as caturthīsamāsa, there can be only samāsāntōdāttalva.

कथम? How?

आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित - विकृतिश्चतुर्थ्यन्ता प्रकृतिस्वरा भवति, न चतुर्थी-मात्रमिति, यदयं चतुर्थी तद्थे, अर्थे, के च इति अर्थग्रहणं क्तग्रहणं च करोति ।

1. यथाजातीयकानाम् = विकृतिभिज्ञवाचकानां चतुर्थ्यन्तानाम्

The procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that the $vikrtiv\bar{a}caka$ alone in the fourth case when it compounds with $prakrtiv\bar{a}caka$ takes prakrtisvara and not all nouns in the fourth case, since he reads after $Caturth\bar{i}$ $tadarth\bar{e}$ (6, 2, 43) the $s\bar{u}tras$ $Arth\bar{e}$ and $Kt\bar{e}$ ca.

कथं कृत्वा ज्ञापकम्? On what basis does it become jñāpaka? यथाजातीयकानां प्रकृतिस्वरत्वेऽर्थग्रहणेन क्त्रग्रहणेन चार्थः तथाजातीयकानां प्रकृतिस्वरत्वम् । यदि च विकृतिश्चतुर्थ्यन्ता प्रकृत्या भवति न चतुर्थीमात्रं ततोऽर्थग्रहणं क्रिग्रहणं चार्थवद्भवति ।

Prakrtisvaratva is to those which, by taking it, will enable the reading of the $s\bar{u}iras$ Arth \bar{e} and $Kt\bar{e}$ ca fruitful. If the vikrtivacaka in the fourth case compounds with prakrti-vacaka and takes the svara of the latter and not all nouns in the fourth case, the mention of Arth \bar{e} and $Kt\bar{e}$ ca will be fruitful.

्रं यद्प्युच्यते अर्थेन नित्यसमासो वक्तव्य इति, न वक्तव्यः । सर्थप्-प्रत्ययः करिष्यते

With reference to the statement Arthēna nityasamāsō vaktavyaḥ, it need not be read. The pratyaya sarthap will be enjoined after it.

किं कृतं भवति? What will be accomplished by it?

न चैव हि कदाचित् प्रत्ययेन विष्रहो भवति । अपि च सर्विङक्तिता सिद्धा भवति Nowhere is a vigraha made with a pratyaya. Besides the use in all genders is secured.

यदि सर्थप्त्रत्ययः क्रियते, इत्संज्ञा न प्राम्नोति

If the pratyaya sarthap is enjoined, it-samij $\tilde{n}a$ may not be secured.

अथापि कथिच्चिद् इत्संज्ञा स्याद्, एवमपि श्रयर्थं, भ्वर्थम् - अङ्गस्य इति इयङुवङो स्याताम्

If, however, it-sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is secured with difficulty, $iya\dot{n}$ - $\bar{a}d\bar{e}$ \$a and $uva\dot{n}$ - $\bar{a}d\bar{e}$ \$a will appear in \$\frac{\pi}{2}vartham\$ and \$bhrvartham\$ through $a\dot{n}g\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$.

एवं तर्हि बहुत्रीहिभीविष्यति

Let it then be bahuvrīhi-samāsa of the form brāhmaņah arthah (prayojanam) asya.

किं कृतं भवति? What is achieved by it?

भवति वै कश्चिद् अस्वपद्विश्रहो बहुवीहिः। तद्यथा - शोभनं मुखमस्याः सुमुखी इति

It comes under the class of asvapada-bahurrīhi as sumukhī whose vigraha-vākya is śōbhanam mukham asyāḥ.

नैवं शक्यम् । इह हि महद्र्थमिति आत्वकपौ प्रसज्येयाताम्

This is not possible; for there is chance for $\bar{a}ttva$ and kap to appear in mahadartham.

Note: $-\bar{A}ttva$ is by $\bar{A}n$ mahatah samānādhikaraṇajātīyayōḥ (6, 3, 46) and optional kap is by $\hat{S}\bar{e}\bar{s}\bar{a}d$ vibhā $\bar{s}\bar{a}$ (5, 4, 154).

एवं तर्हि तद्रथस्योत्तरपदस्यार्थशब्द आदेशः करिष्यते

If so, artha is enjoined to be the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$ of the following word which is tadartha.

ार्के कृतं भवति? What is achieved by it?

न चैव हि कदाचिद् आदेशेन विश्रहो भवति । अपि च सर्वलिङ्गता सिद्धा भवति ।

Nowhere is vigraha done with $\bar{a}d\bar{e}\hat{s}a$. Besides $sarvalingat\bar{a}$ becomes an accomplished fact.

तत्ति वक्तव्यम् It, then, has to be stated.

न वक्तव्यम् । योगविभागः करिष्यते - चनुर्थी, चतुर्थी सुबन्तेन सह समस्यते ; ततः तदर्थार्थ...., तदर्थस्य चोत्तरपदस्य अर्थशब्द आदेशो भवति ।

No, it need not be stated. The $s\bar{u}tra$ is split into two. Firstly $Caturth\bar{\imath}$, which means that word in the fourth case compounds with noun. Next is taken $Tadarth\bar{a}rtha...$, which means that artha becomes the $\bar{a}d\bar{e}sa$ of the uttarapada which is tadartha.

इहापि तर्हि समासः प्राप्तोति छात्राय रुचितं, छात्राय खदितम् इति lf so, (i. e. if caturthī is read as a separate sūtra) chātrāya rucitam and chātrāya svaditam also may form into compounds.

आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित - ताद्थ्ये या चतुर्थी सा समस्यते, न चतुर्थीमात्रमिति, यद्यं हितसुख्यहणं करोति

The procedure of $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ suggests that $sam\bar{a}sa$ takes place only when the $caturth\bar{\imath}$ means $t\bar{a}darthya$ and not with all $caturth\bar{\imath}s$, since he reads here hita and sukha.

कथं कृत्वा ज्ञापकम् ? On what basis do they become jñāpaka? यथाजातीयकानां समासे हितसुखप्रहणेणार्थः तथाजातीयकानां समासः । यदि च तादर्थ्ये या चतुर्थीं सा समस्यते न चतुर्थीमात्रं, ततो हितसुखप्रहणमर्थवद्भवति । Such samāsas as will help the mention of hita and sukha fruitful are to be taken. If the word having caturthī in the sense of tādarthya compounds with what follows and not word having all caturthīs, the mention of hita and sukha will be sārthaka.

इहापि तर्हि तद्रथस्योत्तरपदस्यार्थशब्द आदेशः प्राप्नोति यूपाय दारु यूपदारु If so, the uttarapada of tadarlha will be replaced by artha here too - $y\bar{u}p\bar{a}ya$ $d\bar{a}ru = y\bar{u}pad\bar{a}ru$.

वा च विधास्यते It will be taken to be optional.

इहापि तर्हि विभाषा प्रामोति ब्राह्मणार्थ, क्षत्रियार्थम्

If so, option may chance to take within its range cases like $br\bar{a}hman\bar{a}rtham$ and $ksatriy\bar{a}rtham$.

एवं तर्हि आचार्यप्रवृत्तिर्ज्ञापयित, प्रकृतिविकृत्योः यः समासः तत्र तद्रथस्योत्तर-पदस्य वा अर्थशब्द आदेशो भवति, अन्यत्र नित्य इति, यद्यं बलिरक्षितप्रहणं करोति If so, the procedure of Acārya suggests that the ādēśa of artha is optional where there is samāsa between prakrti-vācaka and vikrti-vācaka and nitya in other cases, since he reads bali and rakṣita in the sūlra.

एवं तर्हि उद्कार्थो वीवधः, स्थानिवद्भावाद् उद्भावः प्राप्तोति ; तसान्नैवं शक्यम् If so, udaka in udakārtha of udakārthō vīvadhaḥ (Pole to carry water) will be changed to uda by sthānivadbhāva. Hence it is not possible.

न चेदेवम्, अर्थेन नित्यसमासो वक्तव्यः, सर्वलिङ्गता च If it is not thus possible, it is necessary to enjoin Arthena nityasamāsah and Sarvalingatā ca.

नैष दोष: । इदं तावदयं प्रष्टन्य:, अथेह ब्राह्मणेभ्य: इति कैषा चतुर्थी This difficulty does not arise. He must be put this question, "What caturthī is here in brāhmaṇēbhyaḥ?"

तादर्थ इत्याह " $T\bar{a}darthy\bar{e}$," says he.

यदि ताद्श्यें चतुर्थी अर्थशब्दस्य प्रयोगेण न भवितव्यम् उक्तार्थानामप्रयोग इति

If it is $t\bar{a}darthy\bar{e}$ caturth \bar{i} , the word artha need not be used, on the dictum $Ukt\bar{a}rth\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ $apray\bar{o}gah$.

समासोऽपि तर्हि न प्राप्तोति $Sam\bar{a}sa$, too, has then no chance.

वचनात् समासो भविष्यति Samāsa takes place thro' vacana.

यद्प्युच्यते सर्वलिङ्गता वक्तव्या इति, न वक्तव्या, लिङ्गमशिष्यं लोकाश्रयत्वा-लिङ्गस्य

That sarvalingatā too should be enjoined is not needed. Injunction of gender is unnecessary as it follows the world.

पश्चमी भयेन (2, 1, 37)

अत्यल्पिमदमुच्यते पञ्चमी भयेन इति

The sūtra Pañcamī bhayēna is not all comprehensive.

भयभीतभीतिभीभिः

Bhayēna should be replaced by bhaya-bhīta-bhīti-bhībhih.

भयभीतभीतिभीभिरिति वक्तन्यम् - वृकाद् भयं = वृकभयम् ; वृकाद् भीतः = वृकभीतः ; वृकाद् भीतिः = वृकभीतिः ; वृकाद् भीः = वृकभीः इति Bhaya-bhīta-bhīti-bhībhiḥ should be read (in place of bhayēna), so that the following samāsas can be secured: -Vrkād bhayam = vrka-bhayam; vrkād bhītaḥ=vrkabhītaḥ; vrkād bhītiḥ=vrka-bhītiḥ; and vrkād bhīh=vrkabhīth.

अपर आह Another says.

भयनिर्गतजुगुप्सुभिः

Bhaya-nirgata-jugupsubhih should replace bhayēna.

भयनिर्गतजुगुष्सुभिरिति वक्तव्यम् - वृक्षभयं, प्रामनिर्गतः, अधर्मजुगुष्सुः Bhaya-nirgata-jugupsubhih should replace bhayēna to secure vrka-bhayam, grāma-nirgatah and adharma-jugupsuh.

Note:—The word aparah refers to a $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$ other than $K\bar{a}ty\bar{a}yana$.

सप्तमी शौण्डैः (2, 1, 39)

शौण्डादिभिः Śāuṇḍādibhiḥ should replace śāuṇḍāiḥ.

शौण्डादिभिरिति वक्तव्यम् - इहापि यथा स्यात् - अक्षधूर्तः, स्त्रीधूर्तः, अक्षिक्तवः, स्त्रीकितव इति

Śāundādibhih should replace śāundāih, to secure akṣadhūrtaḥ, strīdhūrtaḥ, akṣakitavaḥ and strīkitavaḥ.

तत्ति वक्तव्यम् It must then be so read.

न वक्तन्यम् । बहुवचननिर्देशात् शौण्डादिभिरिति विज्ञास्यते । No, it need not be read. Śāuṇḍāiḥ will be taken to mean śāuṇḍādibhiḥ through the plural suffix in it.

ध्वाङ्क्षेण क्षेपे (2, 1, 42)

ध्वाङ्क्षेणेत्वर्थग्रहणम् Dhvānkṣārthēna should replace dhvānkṣēṇa.

ध्वाङ्क्षेण क्षेपे अर्थग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्, इहापि यथा स्यात् तीर्थकाक इति $Dhv\bar{a}nk\bar{s}\bar{a}rth\bar{e}na\ k\bar{s}\bar{e}p\bar{e}$ should be read to secure $t\bar{i}rthak\bar{a}kah$.

क्षेप इत्युच्यते, क इह क्षेपो नाम?

The word kṣēpa is read here. What does kṣēpa mean?

यथा तीर्थे काका न चिरं स्थातारो भवन्ति एवं यो गुरुकुलानि गत्वा न चिरं तिष्ठति स उच्यते तीर्थकाक इति

He who goes to a number of teachers without steadily pursuing his studies like crows which do not stay long at holy ghats is called $t\bar{\imath}rthak\bar{\imath}ka$.

क्रेंकेंगे (2, 1, 43)

कृत्यैर्नियोगे यद्वहणञ्च

The sūtra has to be read Krtyāir niyōgē yadgrahaṇañ ca.

कृत्यैर्नियोगे यत्प्रत्यये च इति वक्तव्यम्, इहापि यथा स्यात् पूर्वाह्वेगेयं साम, प्रातरध्येयोऽनुवाकः इति ।

 $Rn\bar{e}$ should be replaced by $niy\bar{o}g\bar{e}$ and $krty\bar{a}ih$ should be restricted to the pratyaya yat, so that the $s\bar{u}tra$ may operate here also, in $P\bar{u}rv\bar{a}hn\bar{e}g\bar{e}yam$ $s\bar{a}ma$ and $Pr\bar{a}tar$ $adhy\bar{e}y\bar{o}snuv\bar{a}kah$.

¹ तत्ति वक्तव्यम् It, then, should be read.

न वक्तव्यम् । ऋण इत्येव सिद्धम्; इह यद्यस्य नियोगतः कार्यम्, ऋणं तस्य तद् भवति, तत्र ऋण इत्येव सिद्धम्

No, it need be read. Its purpose is served by the word rna itself. That which one has to do through injunction is his rna 2 and the purpose is served by the word rna itself.

यद्गहणं च कर्तन्यम्, इह मा भूत् पूर्वाह्ने दातन्या भिक्षा इति Yat-pratyaya, on the other hand, has to be to prevent $sam\bar{a}sa$ in $P\bar{u}rv\bar{a}hn\bar{e}$ $d\bar{a}tavy\bar{a}$ $bhiks\bar{a}$.

- 1. Here tat refers to only $niy\bar{o}g\bar{e}$.
- 2. Rna has the derivative sense:—that which should go from one.

क्षेपे (2, 1, 47)

किमुदाहरणम्? What is the udāharaņa?

अवतप्तेनकुलस्थितं त एतत्

This is your avataptē-nakula-sthitam. (inconstancy)

क्षेप इत्युच्यते, क इह क्षेपो नाम?

The word $k \bar{s} \bar{e} p \bar{e}$ is read here. What is $k \bar{s} \bar{e} p a$ here?

यथा अवतप्ते नकुछाः न चिरं स्थातारो भवन्ति, एवं कार्याण्यारभ्य थो न चिरं तिष्ठति स उच्यते - अवतप्तेनकुरुस्थितं त एतद् इति

He, who is not constantly pursuing the work he has undertaken like the mungooses which do not stay long in heated places is said thus:—Avataptē-nakula-sthitam tē ētat.

क्षेपे सप्तम्यन्तं क्तान्तेन सह समस्यत इत्युच्यते, तत्र ते सगतिकेन सनकुलेन च समासो न प्रामोति

It is said that a noun in the seventh case compounds with $kt\bar{a}nta$ when $ks\bar{e}pa$ is suggested. Hence there cannot be $sam\bar{a}sa$ with $kt\bar{a}nta$ preceded by nakula and $tapt\bar{e}$ preceded by ava which has gati- $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

क्षेपे सगतिकसकारकसमासे उक्तम्

It has been said with reference to sagatika-sakāraka-samāsa when kṣēpa is suggested.

किमुक्तम् ? What has been said?

कुद्रहणे गतिकारकपूर्वस्यापि इति

Reading of krt takes with it gati-kāraka-pūrvaka - krt also.

पात्रेसिमतादयश्च (2, 1, 48)

किमर्थश्चकारः ? What does ca mean?

एवकारार्थः पात्रेसमितादय एव

It means ēva. The sūtra means pātrēsamitādaya ēva.

क मा भूत? Where should it not be?

परमं पात्रे समिता इति In paramam pātrē samitā.

पूर्वकालैकसर्वजरतपुराणनवकेवलाः समानाधिकरणेन (2, 1, 49)

इह कस्माद् अव्ययीमावो न भवति - एका नदी एकनदी । नदीभिः सङ्ख्या इति प्राप्नोति

Why is not $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ found here in $\bar{\imath}kanad\bar{\imath}$, the compound of $\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}$ and $nad\bar{\imath}$, though $Nad\bar{\imath}bhi\acute{s}$ ca $(sankhy\bar{a})$ sanctions it.

नैष दोषः । इह कश्चित् समासः पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् अन्यपदार्थप्रधानः, कश्चिद् अमयपदार्थप्रधानः । पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानोऽव्ययीभावः, उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानस्तत्पुरुषः, अन्यपदार्थप्रधानो बहुत्रीहिः, उभयपदार्थप्रधानो द्वन्द्वः । न चात्र पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानयं गम्यते ।

This difficulty does not arise. In literature one compound is pūrvapadārthapradhāna, one is uttarapadārthapradhāna, one is anyapadārthapradhāna and one is ubhayapadārthapradhāna. Avyayībhāva is pūrvapadārthapradhāna, tatpuruṣa is uttarapadārthapradhāna, bahuvrīhi is anyapadārthapradhāna and dvandva is ubhayapadārthapradhāna. Pūrvapadārthaprādhānya is not suggested here.

अथ वा अन्ययोभावः क्रियतां, तत्पुरुषो वा इति, तत्पुरुषो भविष्यति विश्रतिषेधेन Or when the choice is between avyayībhāva and tatpuruṣa, the latter sets in through Vipratiṣēdhaśāstra.

भवेदेकसंज्ञाधिकारे सिद्धं, परंकार्यत्वे तु न सिध्यति, आर्म्भसामर्थ्याच अन्ययीभावः प्राप्नोति, परंकार्यत्वाच तत्पुरुषः प्राप्नोति ।

The object is achieved thro' $\bar{A}kad\bar{a}r\bar{a}d$ $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, but not thro' $Pr\bar{a}k$ $kad\bar{a}r\bar{a}t$ param $k\bar{a}ryam$. There is chance for $avyay\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}va$ thro' $\bar{a}rambha$ - $s\bar{a}marthya$ and for $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ thro' $para\dot{n}k\bar{a}ryatva$.

परङ्कार्यत्वे च न दोषः There is no harm even in parankāryatva.

कथम् ! How ?

नदीभिः समाहारेऽव्ययीभावो वक्तव्यः

Avyayībhāva is to be enjoined when there is $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ between a word denoting number and the names of rivers.

स चावश्यं वक्तव्यः - सर्वमेकनदीतरे

It must necessarily be enjoined to secure ēkanadītarē in sarvam ēkanadītarē.

TWENTIETH AHNIKA ENDS

(Second adhyāya, first pāda, second āhnika ends)

Twentyfirst Ahnika

(Second adhyāya, first pāda, third āhnika)

तद्वितार्थोत्तरपदसमाहारे च (2, 1, 51)

There are six topics here:—(1) Is the word $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ $karma-s\bar{a}dhana$ or $bh\bar{a}vas\bar{a}dhana$? (2) Which, of the following $s\bar{u}tras$, is best:— $Pratyay\bar{o}ttarapaday\bar{o}h$, $Taddhit\bar{a}rth\bar{o}ttarapadasam\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ ca and $Sam\bar{a}sataddhitavidh\bar{a}u$? (3) Is the word $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ necessary? (4) There is need to admit that, in a $bahuvr\bar{i}hi$ containing three words, the dvandva or the tatpuruṣa of the first two words is nitya. (5) There is need to admit that dvigu compounds with the following word if it is $parim\bar{a}niv\bar{a}caka$. (6) There is need to prohibit dvigu- $samjn\bar{a}$ if there is matvartha.

I

समाहार इति कोऽयं शब्दः?

What is this word - $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ (i.e.) what is its derivation?

समाङ्पूर्वात् हरतेः कर्मसाधनो घञ् - समाह्रियते समाहार इति $Sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ comes from the root hr with the prepositions sam and \bar{a} by suffixing the pratyaya a $(gha\tilde{n})$ denoting karmatva and hence its derivation is $sam\bar{a}hriyat\bar{e}$ iti.

यदि कर्मसाधनः, पञ्च कुमार्यः समाहृताः पञ्चकुमारि, दशकुमारि, गोस्त्रियोरुप-सर्जनस्य इति हस्वत्वं न प्राप्नोति, द्विगुरेकवचनम् इत्येतच्च वक्तव्यम्

If ghañ denotes karmatva, the forms pañca-kumāri (the samāsa of pañca kumāryaḥ samāhrtāḥ) and daśa-kumāri with a short i by Gōstriyōr-upasarjanasya (1, 2, 48) are not possible and so $Dvigur-\bar{e}kavacanam$ (2, 4, 1) must remain (which is considered unnecessary by $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$).

Note:— \bar{I} will be shortened only if $kumar\bar{\imath}$ is upasarjana; but its artha is $pradh\bar{a}na$ here.

एवं तर्हि भावसाधनो भविष्यति, समाहरणं समाहारः

If so, $gha\tilde{n}$ is taken to denote $bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}rtha$, so that $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ means $sam\bar{a}haranam$.

अथ भावसाधने सति किमभिधीयते ?

If it is $bh\bar{a}vas\bar{a}dhana$, what is its connotation?

यत्तदौत्तराधर्यम् Piling (as in pañcapūli - group of five cakes). कः पुनर्गवां समाहारः? What, is then the samāhāra of cows? यत्तदर्जनं क्रयणं भिक्षणमपहरणं वा

It means the state of grouping in the mind one after another whether to earn, buy, beg or take away.

Note: -Arjana etc. means arjananimitta etc. by laksanā.

यद्येवं विक्षिप्तेषु पूलेषु, गोपु चरन्तीषु न सिध्यति

If so, such a grouping does not exist when bundles are scattered or cows are straying.

एवं तर्हि समभ्याशीकरणं समाहारः

Samāhāra means then bringing together.

एवमपि पञ्चयामी षण्णगरी त्रिपुरी इति न सिध्यति

If so, the words $pa\tilde{n}ca-gr\bar{a}m\bar{i}$, $san-nagar\bar{i}$ and $tripur\bar{i}$ cannot be secured.

कि कारणम् ? Why?

सम् एकत्ववाची, आङ् आभिमुख्ये वर्तते, हरतिः देशान्तरपापणे

The upasarga sam denotes group, the upasarga \bar{a} denotes the state of being in front of and the root hr means to take away from one place to another.

नावश्यं हरतिर्देशान्तरप्रापण एव वर्तते

The root hr is not invariably used only in the sense of taking away from one place to another.

किं तिहें? In what other sense then?

सादश्येऽपि बर्तते । तदाशा, मातुरनुहरति पितुरनुहरति इति

It means also to resemble. Cf. Mātur anuharati and pitur anuharati.

अथवा पञ्चग्रामी षण्णगरी त्रिपुरी इति, नैवेदमियत्येव अवतिष्ठते। अवश्यमसौ ततः किञ्चिद् आकाङ्क्षति, क्रियां गुणं वा। यदाकाङ्क्षति तदेकं, स च समाहारः। Or the words pañcagrāmī, saṇṇagarī and tripurī alone are not used and they need something else, action or quality to give them sense. It is one and it may be called samāhāra (being samāhāranimitta).

अयं तर्हि भावसाधने सति दोषः - पश्चपूल्यानीयताम् इति भावानयने चोदिते द्रव्यानयनं न प्रामोति ।

If $gha\tilde{n}$ in $sam\bar{o}h\bar{a}ra$ means $bh\bar{a}va$, the sentence $Pa\tilde{n}cap\bar{u}ly\bar{a}-n\bar{i}yat\bar{a}m$ cannot refer to $dravy\bar{a}nayana$ desired by the speaker, since it expresses $bh\bar{a}v\bar{a}nayana$.

नैष दोषः । इदं तावदंग प्रष्टन्यः, "अथेह गौरनुबन्ध्योऽजोऽभ्रोषोमीयः इति कथमाकृतौ चोदितायां द्रन्ये आरम्भणालम्भनपोक्षणविश्वसनानि क्रियन्ते? इति" This difficulty does not arise. He is to be put this question:— When genus is enjoined in Gāur anubandhyaḥ and Ajaḥ agnīṣō-mīyaḥ, why are ārambhaṇa (seizing), ālambhana (tying to the stake), prōkṣaṇa (sprinkling of water) and viśasana (killing) done to the individuality?

असम्भवात् - आकृतां आरम्भणादीनां सम्भवो नास्तीति कृत्वा आकृतिसहचरिते द्रव्ये आरम्भणादीनि कियन्ते

On account of asambhava. Since it is not possible to do ārambhaṇa etc. in the genus, ārambhaṇa etc. are done to the individuality coexisting with it.

इद्मप्येवंजातीयक्रमेव । असम्भवाद् भावानयनस्य द्व्यानयनं भविष्यति This too is of the same nature. The dravya is brought, since it is not possible to bring $bh\bar{a}va$.

अथ वा अन्यतिरेकाद् द्रन्याकृत्योः

Or on account of the inseparability of genus and individuality.

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that, in the first case, dravya is $up\bar{a}ya$ for $\bar{a}krti$ to take action and in the second case there is no $v\bar{a}stavabh\bar{e}da$ between them.

II

किं पुनर्द्विगुसंज्ञा प्रत्ययोत्तरपदयोभवति?

Is dvigu-sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ enjoined when pratyaya and uttarapada follow?

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that $Pratyay\bar{o}ttarapaday\bar{o}h$ is $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ $K\bar{a}\acute{s}akrtsna\acute{s}s\bar{u}tra$ and it is discussed here to show why $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ $P\bar{a}nini$ has read this $s\bar{u}tra$ in preference to it.

एवं भवित्रमहिति Let it be so.

द्विगुसंज्ञा प्रत्ययोत्तरपदयोश्चेद् इतरेतराश्रयत्वादप्रसिद्धिः

If it has dvigu-sa $mij\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ when pratyaya and uttarapada follow, there will be no chance for the formation of the word on account of interdependence.

द्विगुसंज्ञा प्रत्ययोत्तरपदयोश्चेद् इतरेतराश्रयत्वादप्रसिद्धिः

If it has dvigu-sam $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ when pratyaya and uttarapada follow, the desired forms cannot be secured on account of $any\bar{o}ny\bar{a}$ -śrayatva.

का इतरेतराश्रयता? Of what nature is anyōnyāśruyatva?

द्विगुसंज्ञानिमित्ते प्रत्ययोत्तरपदे ; प्रत्ययोत्तरपदानिमित्ता च द्विगुसंज्ञा । तदेतद् इतरेतराश्रयं भवति । इतरेतराश्रयाणि च कार्याणि न प्रकल्पन्ते ।

Pratyaya and uttarapada set in on account of dvigu-samj $\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ and dvigu-samj $\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ is secured when there are pratyaya and uttarapada. This is the nature of interdependence. Deeds which are interdependent do not bear fruit.

एवं तर्हि अर्थे इति वक्ष्यामि I shall then read taddhitārthē.

Note:—This starts the discussion of Pāṇini's sūtra.

अर्थे चेत्, तद्धितानुत्पत्तिः, बहुवीहिवत्

If taddhitārthē is read, there is no chance for taddhitapratyaya to appear, as in bahuvrīhi.

अर्थे चेत् तद्धितोत्पत्तिने प्राप्तोति, द्वैमातुरः , त्रेमातुरः , पाञ्चनापितिः
If $taddhit\bar{a}rth\bar{e}$ is read, there is no chance for taddhitapratyaya to appear in the words $Dv\bar{a}im\bar{a}tura\dot{p}$, $Tr\bar{a}im\bar{a}tura\dot{p}$ and $P\bar{a}\tilde{n}can\bar{a}piti\dot{p}$.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

द्विगुना उक्तत्वात्, बहुत्रीहिवत् । तद्यथा चित्रगुः शबलगुः इति - बहुत्रीहिणा उक्तत्वान्मत्वर्थस्य मत्वर्थीयो न भवति ।

Since its sense is conveyed by dvigu, in $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$. Since matvartha is conveyed by $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$, matvartha-pratyaya is not used in $Citragu\dot{h}$ and $\acute{S}abalagu\dot{h}$.

एवं तर्हि समासतद्भितविधो इति वक्ष्यामि

If so, I shall read Samāsataddhitavidhāu in their place.

- 1. Name of Gaṇēśa or Jarāsandha.
- 2. Name of Laksmana.
- 3. Perhaps it means one shaved by five barbers.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that it means that dik and $sa\dot{n}khy\bar{a}$ compound with sup when $sam\bar{a}sa$ and taddhita are enjoined.

समासतद्धितविधाविति चेदन्यत्र समाससंज्ञाभावः

If it is read $Sam\bar{a}sataddhitavidh\bar{a}u$, there is no chance for the $sam\bar{a}sasa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ elsewhere.

समासतद्धितविधाविति चेदन्यत्र समाससंज्ञा न प्रामोति

If it is read $Sam\bar{a}sataddhitavidh\bar{a}u$, there is no chance for $sam\bar{a}sa-samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ elsewhere.

कान्यत्र ? To which does anyat refer?

स्वरे - पर्ञारितः, दशारितः, इगन्ते द्विगौ इत्येष स्वरो न प्रामोति

Anyat refers to svara. For instance the pūrvapadaprakṛti-svaratva in pañcāratniḥ and daśāratniḥ by Iganta...dvigāu (6, 2, 29) will not appear.

सिद्धं तु प्रत्ययोत्तरपद्योश्चेति वचनात्

The object is achieved by reading Pratyayottarapadayoś ca.

सिद्धमेतत् The object is achieved.

कथम्? How?

प्रत्योत्तरपद्योश्चेति वचनात् - प्रत्ययोत्तरपद्योद्विगुसंज्ञा भवतीति वक्तव्यम् By reading $Pratyay\bar{o}ttarapaday\bar{o}s$ ca. $Dvigusa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ must be enjoined when pratyaya and uttarapada follow.

ननु चोक्तं द्विगुसंज्ञा प्रत्ययोत्तरपदयोश्चेद् इतरेतराश्रयत्वादपिसिद्धिः इति । Oh, it was said that, if $dvigusamj\tilde{n}a$ is enjoined when pratyaya and uttarapada follow, there would be no $k\bar{a}rya$ on account of $any\bar{o}ny\bar{a}\acute{s}rayatva$.

नैष दोषः । इतरेतराश्रयमालमेतचोदितम् । सर्वाणि चेतरेतराश्रयाण्येकत्वेन परिहृतानि, सिद्धं तु नित्यशब्दत्वाद् इति ।

This difficulty does not arise. Anyōnyāśrayatva alone was noted as the defect. All anyōnyāśrayas have been met in the same way thro' Siddham tu nityaśabdatvāt.

नेदं तुल्यमन्यैरितरेतराश्रयैः, न हि संज्ञा नित्या

This is not like other $itar\bar{e}tar\bar{a}\acute{s}rayas$; for $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is not nitya.

एवं तर्हि भाविनी संज्ञा विज्ञास्यते । तद्यथा कश्चित् कञ्चित् तन्तुवायमाह - अस्य सूत्रस्य शाटकं वय इति । स पश्यति, यदि शाटको न वातव्यः, अथ

वातव्यो न शाटकः, ¹ शाटको वातव्यश्चेति विप्रतिषिद्धं भवति । भाविनी खल्वस्य संज्ञा अभिप्रेता । स मन्ये वातव्यः यस्मिन्नुते शाटक इत्येतद् भवति । एवभिहापि तस्मिन् द्विगुर्भवति यस्याभिनिर्वृत्तस्य प्रत्यय उत्तरपदिभिति चैते संज्ञे भविष्यतः

If so, the $sain \tilde{n}j\bar{a}$ to come will be taken into account. This may be illustrated thus:—One says to a weaver, "Weave a cloth of this thread." He thinks within himself, "If it is already a cloth, it need not be woven; if it is to be woven, it is not a cloth; hence the sentence cloth is to be woven consists of words which contradict each other." He then decides that he has made use of a $sainj\tilde{n}a$ which is to come after the thread is woven. Similarly here too the $bh\bar{a}vin\bar{\imath} sainj\tilde{n}as$ —pratyaya and uttarapada - follow dvigu.

अथ वा पुनरस्तु अर्थे इति Or let it be again taddhitarthē.

ननु चोक्तम् अर्थे चेत् तिद्धतानुत्पत्तिर्बहुत्रीहिवद् इति

Oh, it was said that if $taddhit\bar{a}rth\bar{e}$ is read, taddhitapratyaya may not appear as in $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$.

नैष दोषः । नावश्यमर्थशब्दोऽभिधेय एव वर्तते

This difficulty does not arise. The word artha is not invariably used to denote $abhidh\bar{e}ya$.

किं तर्हि ? What else then ?

स्यादर्थेऽपि वर्तते । तद्यथा - दारार्थं घटामहे, धनार्थं भिक्षामहे, दाराः नः स्युः, धनानि न स्युः इति

It is used in the sense of may happen. For instance the sentences $D\bar{a}r\bar{a}rtham$ ghatamahē and $Dhan\bar{a}rtham$ bhiksāmahē are used in the sense - we negotiate so that we may get wives and we beg so that we may get money.

एवमिहापि तिद्धितार्थे द्विगुभवित इति, तद्धितः स्यादिति

So also here taddhitārthē in taddhitārthē dvigur bhavati means - taddhitah syāt.

द्विगोर्वा छुग्वचनं ज्ञापकं तद्वितोत्यत्तेः

Or the injunction of luk of that which is the nimitta of dvigu is a $j\tilde{n}apaka$ for $taddhit\bar{o}tpatti$.

1. वातव्यः शाटकश्चेति is another reading.

अथ वा यद्यं द्विगोर्छगनपत्ये इति द्विगोरुत्तरस्य तद्धितस्य छुकं शास्ति तद्

Or, since $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) enjoins the luk of taddhita which is the nimitta of dvigu in $Dvig\bar{o}r$ lug $anapatyat\bar{e}$ (4, 1, 88), he suggests that taddhita appears after dvigu.

III

समाहारसमूहयोरिवशेषात् समाहारग्रहणानर्थक्यं तिद्धितार्थेन कृतत्वात्

 $Sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ need not be read, since $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ and $sam\bar{u}ha$ are synonyms and $sam\bar{u}h\bar{a}rtha$ is secured by $taddhit\bar{a}rth\bar{e}$.

समाहारः समूह इत्यविशिष्टावेतावर्थौ । समाहारसमूहयोः अविशेषात् समा-हारत्रहणमनर्थकम्

The words $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ and $sam\bar{u}ha$ have the same meaning. Since they are synonyms, $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ need not be read.

किं कारणम्? How?

तद्धितार्थेन कृतत्वात् - तद्धितार्थे द्विगुः इत्येवमत्र द्विगुर्भविष्यति Since its purpose is served by taddhitārthē. Dvigu will set in even when the sūtra is read Taddhitārthē dviguḥ.

यदि तद्धितार्थे द्विगुः इत्येवमत्र द्विगुर्भविष्यति, तद्धितोत्पत्तिः प्राप्तोति If the sūtra is read, Taddhitārthē dviguḥ there is chance for taddhitapratyaya to appear.

उत्पद्यतां, छुग्भविष्यति Let it appear; it will be elided.

छुक्कृतानि प्राप्नुवन्ति The effects of luk will be there.

कानि? What are they?

पञ्चपूली, दशपूली - अपरिमाणिबिस्ताचितकम्बलेभ्यो न तिस्तिलुकि इति प्रतिषेधः प्राप्तोति । पञ्चगवं, दशगवं - गोरतिस्तिलुकि इति टन् न प्राप्तोति । There will be no $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}p$ in $pa\tilde{n}cap\bar{\imath}l\bar{\imath}$ and $da\hat{s}ap\bar{\imath}l\bar{\imath}$ if there is the elision of taddhita by $Aparim\bar{a}na-bist\bar{a}cita-kambal\bar{\imath}bhy\bar{\imath}$ na taddhitaluki (4, 1, 22) and there will be no tac in $pa\tilde{n}cagavam$ and $da\hat{s}agavam$ if taddhita elides by $G\bar{\imath}r$ ataddhitaluki (5, 4, 92).

नैष दोषः । अविशेषेण द्विगोर्ङी व्मवति इत्युक्तवा अपरिमाणि बिस्ताचितकम्ब-ल्येभ्यः समाहारे इति वक्ष्यामि, तिन्नयमार्थं भविष्यति समाहारे एव नान्यत इति । गोरकारः, द्विगोः समाहारे – अविशेषेण गोष्टज् भवति इत्युक्त्वा द्विगोस्समाहारे इति वक्ष्यामि, तिन्नयमार्थं भविष्यति, समाहार एव नान्यत्र इति This difficulty will not arise. $Dvig\bar{o}h$ is read as a general $s\bar{u}tra$, by which dvigu takes $n\bar{\imath}p$ without any restriction and then the $s\bar{u}tra$ is modified into $Aparim\bar{a}na$ -bist $\bar{a}cita$ -kambaly $\bar{\imath}bhyah$ sam $\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}e$, so that it will serve as a niyama-s $\bar{\imath}tra$ restricting the application only when there is $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ and nowhere else. Similarly $G\bar{o}h$ is read as the general $s\bar{u}tra$ and then $Dvig\bar{o}h$ sam $\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}e$ is read. The former means that tac comes after $g\bar{o}$ without any restriction. Then $Dvig\bar{o}h$ sam $\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}e$ serves as a niyama-s $\bar{u}tra$ restricting the application only when there is $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ and nowhere else.

अभिधानार्थं तु For samāhāra to be vācya.

अभिधानार्थं तु समाहारमहणं कर्तव्यम्, समाहारेण अभिधानं यथा स्यात्, तिद्धितार्थेन मा भृदृ इति ।

The word $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ is to be read in the $s\bar{u}tra$ so that it may be $v\bar{a}cya$ and so that it may not be suggested by $taddhit\bar{a}rtha$.

ार्के च स्यात् What if it is suggested by taddhitārtha?

तद्धितोत्पत्तिः प्रसज्येत Taddhita may appear.

उत्पद्यताम् । छुग् भविष्यति Let it appear. It will be elided.

ल्लक्कृतानि प्राप्नुवन्ति

There is chance for the effects of luk to remain.

सर्वाणि परिहृतानि All those have been met.

न सर्वाणि परिहृतानि । पश्चकुमारि, दशकुमारि, छक् तद्धितलुकि इति ङीपो छुक् प्रसज्येत

All have not been met. There will be chance for the elision of $n\bar{i}p$ in $pa\tilde{n}cakum\bar{a}ri$ and $da\hat{s}akum\bar{a}ri$ on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra\ Luk\ taddhitaluki\ (4, 2, 49)$.

IV

द्वन्द्वतत्पुरुषयोरुत्तरपदे नित्यसमासवचनम्

If dvandva and tatpurusa are followed by another word, the nityatva of the former is to be enjoined.

द्वन्द्रतत्पुरुपयोरुत्तर गरे नित्यसमासी वक्तव्यः, वाग्द्वदिभयः, छत्रोपानहिषयः, पञ्चगविषयः, दशगविषयः

If dvandva and tatpuruṣa are followed by another word (to form a tri-pada-bahuvrīhi) as in vāg-dṛṣada-priyah, chatrōpā-nahapriyaḥ, pañca-gava-priyaḥ and daśagava-priyaḥ the dvandva and tatpuruṣa must be enjoined nitya.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why?

समुदायवृत्ती अवयवानां मा कदाचिदवृत्तिर्भूद् इति ।

So that when there is vriti for the samudāya (whole), the avayava (part) should not be rid of vriti under any circumstances.

तत्तिई वक्तव्यम् It must then be enjoined.

न वक्तन्यम् । इह द्वौ पक्षौ वृत्तिपक्षश्च अवृत्तिपक्षश्च । यदा वृत्तिपक्षः तदा सर्वेषामेव वृत्तिः, यदा अवृत्तिः पक्षः तदा सर्वेषामवृत्तिः

No, it need not be enjoined. There are two pakṣas here:-vṛttipakṣa and avṛttipakṣa. If vṛtti-pakṣa is resorted to, it should be adopted throughout and if avṛtti-pakṣa is resorted to, it, too, should be adopted throughout.

Note:—Vrtti refers to ēkārthībhāvasāmarthya and avrtti to vyapēkṣālakṣaṇasāmarthya.

\mathbf{V}

उत्तरपदेन परिमाणिना द्विगोः समासवचनम्

Need to enjoin that dvigu- $sam\bar{a}sa$ appears with $parim\bar{a}ni$ - $v\bar{a}caka$ -pada following it (to form tatpurusa- $sam\bar{a}sa$.)

उत्तरपदेन परिमाणिना द्विगोः समासो वक्तव्यः - द्वौ मासौ जातस्य यस्य सः द्विमासजातः, त्रिमास्जातः

It must be enjoined that dvigu-samāsa appears with parimāni- $v\bar{a}caka$ -pada following it, as in dvi- $m\bar{a}sa$ - $j\bar{a}tah$ and $trim\bar{a}sa$ - $j\bar{a}tah$, where the former is explained thus: $-dv\bar{a}u$ $m\bar{a}s\bar{a}u$ $j\bar{a}tasya$ yasya sah.

Note:—In dvi- $m\bar{a}sa$ - $j\bar{a}tah$, $m\bar{a}sah$ is $parim\bar{a}nav\bar{a}cakapada$ and $j\bar{a}tah$ is $parim\bar{a}niv\bar{a}cakapada$. In the expressison $dv\bar{a}u$ $m\bar{a}s\bar{a}u$ $j\bar{a}tasya$ yasya sah, yasya sah is added to show that there is $pr\bar{a}dh\bar{a}nya$ to $j\bar{a}tasya$ in the vrtti even though it is in the sixth case. Hence $vigrahav\bar{a}kya$ is only $dv\bar{a}u$ $m\bar{a}s\bar{a}u$ $j\bar{a}tasya$. Then the whole compound is tri-pada-tatpurusa.

किं पुन कारणं न तिध्यति ? Why could it not appear? सुप् सुपेति वर्तते

There is anuvrtti for $\sup \sup \bar{a}$, by which it is clear that only one $\sup anta$ can compound with another $\sup anta$.

एवं तहींदं स्यात् द्वी मासी द्विमासं, द्विमासं जातस्य इति
If so, let the compound be formed this way:—dvāu and māsāu compound into dvimāsam (samāhāra-dvandva) and then dvimā-sam and jātasya compound into dvimāsa-jātaḥ.

नैवं शक्यम् । स्वरे हि दोषः स्यात् द्विमासजातः इति प्राप्नोति ; द्विमासजातः इति चेप्यते । द्यह्वजातश्च न सिध्यति ; द्यह्जात इति प्राप्नोति ।
No, it cannot be so. There will be difficulty in the svara. According to it the final syllable in dvimāsajātaḥ will be udātta; but it has it in the initial syllable. Besides the form dvyahna-jātaḥ cannot be secured; and the form dvyahnjātaḥ will take its place.

न चैवं भवितव्यम्? Is not it (dvyahajātaḥ) to be had? भवितव्यं च यदा समाहारे द्विगः

It is possible if it is a case of $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra\ dvigu$ (by $Na\ sa\dot{n}khy\bar{a}-d\bar{e}h\ sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ 5, 4, 89).

द्यह्नजातस्तु न सिध्यति Dvyahnajātaḥ will not be secured.

किमुच्यते परिमाणिनेति? न पुनरन्यत्रापि पञ्चगविषयः दशगविषयः ?

Why is $parim\bar{a}nin\bar{a}$ alone read? Does it not appear elsewhere as in $pa\tilde{n}cagavapriyah$ and $da\acute{s}agavapriyah$?

अन्यत्र समुदायबहुत्रीहित्वादुत्तरपद्प्रसिद्धिः

On account of other samudāyas being bahuvrīhi, there is the prasiddhi of uttarapada.

अन्यत्र समुदायो बहुत्रीहिसंज्ञः । अन्यत्र समुदायबहुत्रीहित्वाद् उत्तरपदं प्रसिद्धम् । उत्तरपदे प्रसिद्धे उत्तरपदे इति द्विगुर्भविष्यति

The samudāya elsewhere is bahuvrīhi. Since the samudāya elsewhere is bahuvrīhi, ultarapada is prasiddha. When ultarapada is prasiddha, dvigu sets in on the strength of ultarapadē (i.e. the vārtlika Dvandvatatpuruṣayōr ultarapadē....).

VI

सर्वत मत्वर्थे प्रतिषधः

Prohibition (of dvigu- $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$) in all cases when matvartha follows.

सर्वेषु पक्षेषु द्विगुसंज्ञायाः मत्वर्थे प्रतिषेघो वक्तव्यः

Dvigu-sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is to be prohibited in all cases when matvartha follows.

किं प्रयोजनम्? Why?

पञ्चखद्वा, दशखद्वा, द्विगोः इतीकारो मा भूत्, पञ्चगुः, दशगुः, गोरतद्धितलुकि इति टज् मा भूद् इति ।

To prevent $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}p$ in $pa\tilde{n}cakhatv\bar{a}$ and $da\hat{s}akhatv\bar{a}$ by $Dvig\bar{o}h$ and tac in $pa\tilde{n}caguh$ and $da\hat{s}aguh$ by $G\bar{o}r$ atadhitaluki (5, 4, 92).

सङ्ख्यापूर्वी द्विगुः (2, 1, 52)

किमनन्तरे योगे यः सङ्ख्यापूर्वः सः द्विगुसंज्ञः, आहोस्वित् पूर्वमात्रे ? Is dvigu- $samij\tilde{n}a$ enjoined to the compound whose former member is a number, mentioned in the immediately previous $s\bar{u}tra$ or in the previous $s\bar{u}tras$?

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the previous $s\bar{u}tras$ refer to only three $s\bar{u}tras$ which precede this.

किं चातः ?

What does it matter if it refers to this or the other?

¹ यद्यनन्तरे योगे - एकशाटी इति द्विगोः इति ईकारो न प्राम्नोति

If the previous $s\bar{u}tra$ alone is taken into account, $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}p$ cannot appear in $\bar{E}ka\dot{s}\bar{a}t\bar{\imath}$ by $Dvig\bar{o}h$ (4, 1, 21), since it is not a dvigu.

अथ पूर्वमात्रे, एकभिक्षा - अत्रापि प्राप्तोति

If all the three $s\bar{u}tras$ that precede are taken into account, $\dot{n}\bar{\iota}p$ may appear in $\bar{\epsilon}ka$ -bhik $\bar{s}\bar{a}$ too.

अस्त्वनन्तरे

Let the immediately preceding sūtra be taken into account.

कथम् एकशाटी? How is the form ēkaśāṭī secured?

ईकारान्तेन समासो भविष्यति - एका शाटी एकशाटी

 $\bar{E}ka-\hat{s}\bar{a}t\bar{\imath}$ is taken to be the compound of $\bar{e}k\bar{a}$ and $\hat{s}\bar{a}t\bar{\imath}$.

1. Yadā is another reading.

इह तर्हि, एकापूपी, द्विगोः इति ईकारो न प्रामोति

Here then, in the word $\bar{e}k\bar{a}p\bar{u}p\bar{\imath}$, $n\bar{\imath}p$ cannot appear by $Dvig\bar{e}h$. अस्त तिहैं पूर्वमात्रे

Let all the preceding three be taken into account.

कथमेकभिक्षा ? How is ēkabhikṣa secured?

टावन्तेन सह समासो भविष्यति, एका भिक्षा एकभिक्षा

 $\bar{E}k\bar{a}$ and $bhiks\bar{a}$ compound together into $\bar{e}kabiks\bar{a}$.

इदं तर्हि सप्तर्षयः, इगन्ते द्विगौ इत्येष खरः प्रामोति

In that case the compound saptarṣayaḥ will chance to receive $ud\bar{a}tta$ in sapta by $Iganta..dvig\bar{a}u$ (6, 2, 29) though sa is the $ud\bar{a}tta$ syllable there.

अस्तु तर्हि अनन्तरे

Let, then, the immediately previous sūtra be taken into account.

कथम् एकापूर्श ? How is it to arrive at the form $\bar{e}k\bar{a}p\bar{u}p\bar{\imath}$? समाहार इत्येव सिद्धम्

It is secured thro' $sam\bar{a}h\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ in the previous $s\bar{u}tra$.

कः पुनरत्न समाहारः ? How can there be samāhāra here? यत्तद्दानं सम्भ्रमो वा

Since the giver may consider it to be many though it is one, or the receiver may consider it so.

इह तर्हि पञ्चहोतारः, दशहोतारः, इगन्ते द्विगो इत्येष स्वरो न प्राप्नोति Here, then, in $pa\tilde{n}ca-h\bar{o}t\bar{a}rah$ and $da\acute{s}a-h\bar{o}t\bar{a}rah$, pa and da cannot be $ud\bar{a}tta$ by $Iganta....dvig\bar{a}u$ (6, 2, 29).

अस्तु तर्हि पूर्वमात्रे

Let it, then, refer to all the three preceding sūtras.

कथं सप्तर्षयः ? What about the svara in saptarṣayaḥ? अन्तोदात्तपकरणे त्रिचकादीनां छन्दसि इत्येवमेतितसद्भम्

It is secured by the vārttika Antōdāttaprakaraṇē tricakrādīnām chandasyupasankhyānam (under Parādiśchandasi bahulaṃ 6, 2, 199).

अथवा पुनरस्त्वनन्तरे

Or let only the preceding sūtra be taken into account.

कथं पञ्चहोतारः, दशहोतारः?

How is ādyudātta in the forms pañcahōtāraḥ and daśahōtāraḥ secured?

आद्युदात्तप्रकरणे दिवोदासादीनां छन्दसीत्येव सिद्धम्

It is secured by the vārttika Ādyudāttaprakaraņē divēdāsādīnām chandasyupasankhyānam (under Na bhūtādhika...6, 2, 91.)

कुत्सितानि कुत्सनैः (2, 1, 52)

किमदाहरणम्? What is the example?

वैयाकरणखसूचिः The word Vāiyākaraṇakhasūciḥ.

किं व्याकरणं कुत्सितम्, आहोस्विद् वैयाकरणः?

Is Vyākarana the object of derision or vāiyākarana?

वैयाकरणः कुत्सितः; तस्मिन् कुत्सितं तत्स्थमि कुत्सितं भवति $V\bar{a}iy\bar{a}karaṇa$ is the object of derision; when he is the object of derision, his learning too becomes kutsita.

उपमानानि सामान्यवचनैः (2, 1, 55)

The nature of $upam\bar{a}na$ and $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya$ is discussed here.

I

उपमानानि इत्युच्यते ; कानि पुनरुपमानानि ? किं यदेवोपमानं तदेवोपमेयम् , आहोस्विद् अन्यदेवोपमानम् अन्यद् उपमेयम् ?

The word upamānāni is read. What are upamāna's? Is upamāna identical with upamēya or different from it?

किं चात: ! What does it matter if it is either?

यदि यदेवोपमानं तुदेवोपमेयं, क इहोपमार्थः गौरिव गौः इति ? अथ अन्य-देवोपमानम् अन्यद् उपमेयं क इहोपमार्थः गौरिव अश्वः इति ?

If $upam\bar{a}na$ and $upam\bar{e}ya$ are identical, what is the purpose served by the $upam\bar{a}$ of the form $Gaur\ iva\ g\bar{a}uh$? If $upam\bar{a}na$ is, on the other hand, entirely different from $upam\bar{e}ya$, what is the purpose served by the $upam\bar{a}$ of the form $Gaur\ iva\ a\acute{s}vah$?

एवं तर्हि यत्र किञ्चित् सामान्यं कश्चिच विशेषः तत्रोपमानोपमेये भवतः । If so, one is upomāna and the other, upamēya, if they are partly similar and partly different.

किं वक्तन्यमेतत् ? Is this to be so explained?

न हि No, it need not.

कथमनुच्यमानं गंस्यते ?

How is it understood without its being so explained?

मानं हि नामानिर्ज्ञातज्ञानार्थमुपादीयते अनिर्ज्ञातमर्थं ज्ञास्यामि इति । तत्समीपे यन्नात्यन्ताय मिमीते तदुपमानं गौरिव गवयः इति । गौर्निज्ञातो, गवयोऽनिर्ज्ञातः । For $m\bar{a}na$, the means of discernment, is taken recourse to by one for enabling another to discern what is not already known. He feels, 'I shall make him understand this which is not known to him.' $Upam\bar{a}na$ is one which approximates to $m\bar{a}na$ as in the sentence $G\bar{a}ur$ iva gavayah (gavayah is like $g\bar{a}uh$). Here $g\bar{a}uh$ is known and gavayah is not known.

कामं तर्हि अनेनैव हेतुना यस्य गवयो निर्ज्ञातः स्याद् गौरनिर्ज्ञातः तेन कर्तव्यं स्याद् गवय इव गौरिति

If so, I hope that, following the same line of argument, if gavaya is known to one and $g\bar{o}$ is not known, he should be informed 'Gavaya iva $g\bar{a}uh$.'

बाढं कर्तव्यम् Certainly; he should be so informed.

II

किं पुनिरेह उदाहरणम्? What is the example here? शस्त्रीश्यामा The word śastrī-śyāmā (a lady brown as knife). क पुनरयं श्यामाशब्दो वर्तते?

Where does the connotation of $\dot{s}y\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ rest?

शस्त्र्याम् इत्याह 'In the knife,' says he.

केन तहींदानीं देवदत्ता अभिधीयते ?

By which in śastrī-śyāmā is the lady Dēvadattā denoted then ?¹ समासेन Through samāsa.

यद्येवं शस्त्रीरयामो देवदत्त इति न सिध्यति

If so, it is not possible to secure the form śastrī-śyāmah with short a at the end in the expression Śastrīśyāmō Dēvadattah.

Note:—This arises since $\pm y\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ which is considered pradh $\bar{a}na$ is feminine.

1. This question arises from three points:—Sastrīśyāmā refers to a lady, śyāmatva rests in śastrī and śastrī means knife.

उपसर्जनस्य इति ह्रस्वत्वं भविष्यति

The final \bar{a} in $\pm y\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ is shortened on the strength of upasarjanasya (in Göstriyör upasarjanasya 1, 2, 48).

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyaţa$ reads: $-Sam\bar{a}s v\bar{a}cyatv\bar{a}d$ upamēyam hi pradh $\bar{a}nam$.

यदि तर्हि उपसर्जनान्यप्येवंजातीयकानि भवन्ति तित्तिरिकल्माषी, कुम्भकपाल-लोहिनी, अनुपसर्जनलक्षण ईकारो न प्रामोति ।

If such words are taken as upasarjanas, long $\bar{\imath}$ based on un-upasarjanatva in $tittirikalm\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}$ and $kumbhakap\bar{a}lal\bar{o}hin\bar{\imath}$ may not set in.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that in hrasvavidhi only $\pm \bar{a}str\bar{\imath}ya-upasarjanam$ is taken into account and not $l\bar{a}ukika-upasarjanam$.

एवं तर्हि शस्त्र्यामेव शस्त्रीशब्दो वर्तते, देवदत्तायां श्यामाशब्दः । If so, the word $\pm sastr\bar{\imath}$ denotes knife and the word $\pm sastr\bar{\imath}$ refers to $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}$ of brown colour.

एवमपि गुणोऽनिर्दिष्टो । भवति । बहवः शस्त्रयां गुणाः, तीक्ष्णा सूक्ष्मा पृथुरिति The particular guṇa in śastrī to which there is reference here is not then specified. Many are the qualities found in a knife sharpness, fineness and bigness.

अनिर्दिश्यमानस्यापि गुणस्य भवति लोके सम्प्रत्ययः। तद्यथा - चन्द्रमुखी देवदत्ता इति । बहवश्चन्द्रे गुणाः, या चासौ पियदर्शनता सा गम्यते Meaning is comprehended in the world, even though the quality is not specified. For instance in the expression Candramukhī Dēvadattā (Dēvadattā is moon-faced), the quality of pleasant look among the many qualities of the moon is comprehended though it is not expressed.

एवमपि समानाधिकरणेन इति वर्तते, व्यधिकरणत्वात् समासो न प्राप्तोति Even then there is no chance for samāsa on account of śyāmātva being in Dēvadattā and śāstrītva being in śastrī; for there must be samānādhikaranatva between both, since there is anuvṛtti for the word samānādhikaranēna in this sūtra from 2, 1, 49.

किं हि वचनान्न भवति?

Will it not take place on the strength of this sūlra?

1. Na nirdiṣṭō bhavati is another reading.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyața$ quotes here the $P\bar{u}rvam\bar{\imath}m\bar{a}\dot{m}s\bar{a}$ - $ny\bar{a}ya$ that prakaraṇa, being durbala, is set at naught by $\acute{s}ruti$.

यद्यपि तावद् वचनात् समासः स्यात्, इह खळु मृगीव चपला मृगचपला समानाधिकरणलक्षणः पुंबद्धावो न प्राप्नोति ।

Tho' samāsa may set in on the strength of the vacana, pumvad-bhāva based on sāmānādhikaranya may not appear in mṛga-capalā (mṛgī iva capalā) by Pumvat karmadhāraya ... 6, 3, 42.)

एवं तर्हि तस्यामेवोभयं वर्तते

If so, both $(\pm y\bar{a}m\bar{a}tva \text{ and } \pm astr\bar{\iota}tva)$ are taken to exist there (in $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}$.)

Note: - Śastrītva here refers to śastrīsādrśya.

एतचात्र युक्तं यत् तस्यामेवोभयं वर्तत इति । इतरथा हि बह्वपेक्ष्यं स्यात् । It is but proper to take that both exist there (in Dēvadattā.) Otherwise there will be a wide lacuna.

यदि तावदेवं विम्रहः किथते शस्त्रीव श्यामा देवदत्ता इति, शस्त्र्यां श्यामेत्येत-दपेक्ष्यं स्थात्

If, on the other hand, the vigraha is done this way-śastr \bar{i} iva śyāmā ($D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}$), śyāmātva in śastr \bar{i} has to be taken into account.

अथाप्येवं विश्रहः कियते यथा शस्त्री श्यामा तद्वदियं देवदत्ता इति, एवमपि देवदत्तायां श्यामेत्येतद् अपेक्ष्यं स्यात्

Or if the vigraha is done this way $yath\bar{a}$ śastrī śyāmā tadvad iyam $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}$, even then śyāmātva in $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}$ has to be taken into account.

एवमि गुणोऽनिर्दिष्टो भवति । बहवश्च शस्त्र्यां गुणाः तीक्ष्णा सूक्ष्मा पृथुरिति

Even then the quality is not specified. Many are the qualities in $\dot{s}astr\bar{\imath}$ since it is $t\bar{\imath}k\dot{s}n\bar{a}$, $s\bar{\imath}k\dot{s}m\bar{a}$ and prthuh.

अनिर्दिश्यमानस्यापि गुणस्य भवति लोके सम्प्रत्ययः। तद्यथा चन्द्रमुखी देवद्त्ता इति । बहवश्चन्द्रे गुणाः - या चासौ प्रियद्र्शनता सा गम्यते । Meaning is comprehended in the world, even though the quality is not specified. For instance, in the expression Candramukh Dēvadattā, the quality of pleasant look among

the many qualities of the moon is comprehended though it is not expressed.

उपमानसमासे गुगवचनस्य विशेषभाक्त्वात् सामान्यवचनाप्रसिद्धिः

Impossibility of securing $sam\bar{a}sa$ from $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavacan\bar{a}ih$ on account of the quality in $upam\bar{a}nasam\bar{a}sa$ referring to its peculiar state in the $upam\bar{a}na$.

उपमानसमासे गुणवचनस्य विशेषणमाकृत्वात् सामान्यवचनस्य अप्रसिद्धिः स्यात् - शस्त्रीश्यामा इति - श्यामाशव्दोऽयं शस्त्रीशव्देनाभिसम्बध्यमानो विशेषवचनः सम्पद्यते । तत्र सामान्यवचनैः इति समासो न प्राप्नोति ।

 $S\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya\acute{s}abda$ has no chance to exist in $upam\bar{a}na$ - $sam\bar{a}sa$, since only the specific quality in the $upam\bar{a}na$ is read. In the word $\acute{s}astr\bar{\imath}-\acute{s}y\bar{a}ma$, the word $\acute{s}y\bar{a}ma$ in its association with $\acute{s}astr\bar{\imath}$ specifies the quality. Hence there will be no $sam\bar{a}sa$ on the authority of $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavacan\bar{a}ih$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$.

न वा श्यामत्वस्थोभयत्र भावात्तद्वाच शब्दस्य सामान्यवचनत्वस्य प्रसिद्धिः No; samāsa can be secured thro' sāmānyavacanāiḥ, since śyāmatva exists in both and the word is its vācaka.

न वैष दोषः No, this difficulty does not arise.

कि कारणम् ? Why?

र्यामत्वस्योभयत्र भावात् - उभयत्रैवात्र स्यामत्वमस्ति, शस्त्र्यां, देवदत्तायां च Since $\dot{s}y\bar{a}matva$ exists in both. $Sy\bar{a}matva$ exists in both - $\dot{s}astr\bar{i}$ and $D\bar{e}vadatt\bar{a}$.

तद्वाचकत्वाच शब्दस्य - तद्वाचकश्चात्र श्यामाशब्दः प्रयुज्यते On account of the $\acute{s}abda$ being its $v\bar{a}caka$. The word $\acute{s}y\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ is its $i\bar{a}ca|_{\dot{c}a}$.

किंवाचकः? Whose vācaka is it?

डभयवाचकः The vācaka of śyāmatva found in both.

रयामत्वस्योभयत्र भावात् तद्वाचकत्वाच शव्दस्य सामान्यवचनत्वं प्रसिद्धम् । सामान्यवचने प्रसिद्धे सामान्यवचनैः इति समासो भविष्यति ।

Since $\pm y\bar{a}matva$ exists in both and the word is its $v\bar{a}caka$, $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya \pm abdatva$ is established. On account of its prasiddhi $sam\bar{a}sa$ takes place thro' $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavacan\bar{a}ih$.

न चावर्यं स एव सामान्यवचनो यो बहूनां सामान्यमाह, द्वयोरिप यः सामान्यमाह सोऽपि सामान्यवचन एव ।

A word need not be considered $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya\cdot v\bar{a}caka$ only if the quality exists in many. Even if it exists in two, it may be called $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya\dot{s}abda$.

अथ वा सामान्यवचनैरित्युच्यते । सर्वश्च शब्दोऽन्येन शब्देन अभिसम्बध्यमाने। विशेषवचनः संपद्यते । त एवं विज्ञास्यामः - प्रागिभसम्बन्धाद्यः सामान्यवचन इति ॥ Or $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavacan\bar{a}ih$ is read. All $\dot{s}abdas$ in association with other $\dot{s}abdas$ become $vi\dot{s}\bar{e}\dot{s}avacana$. Hence we interpret that it refers to the word which is $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavacana$ before it is associated with another.

उपितं च्याघ्रादिभिः सामान्याप्रयोगे (2, 1, 56)

सामान्याप्रयोग इति किमर्थम्? Why is $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}ny\bar{a}pray\bar{o}g\bar{e}$ read?

इह मा भूत, पुरुषोऽयं व्याघ्र इव शूरः, पुरुषोऽयं व्याघ्र इव बलवान्
To prevent samāsa here in:—Puruśōsyam vyāghra iva śūraḥ
and Puruṣōsyam vyāghra iva balavān (where śūra and balavān
are read).

सामान्याप्रयोग इति शक्यमवक्तुम् It is possible to dispense with sāmānyāprayōgē.

इह कसान्न भवति - पुरुषोऽयं व्याघ्र इव शूरः, पुरुषोऽयं व्याघ्र इव बलवान् Which will prevent the samāsa in Puruṣōsyam vyāghra iva śūraḥ and Puruṣōsyam vyāghra iva balavān?

असामध्यति On account of the absence of sāmarthya.

कथमसामर्थ्यम्? Why is it that there is no sāmarthya? सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति

By the dictum Sāpēkṣam asamartham bhavati.

एवं तर्हि सिद्धे सित यत् सामान्याप्रयोगे इति प्रतिषेधं शास्ति तद् ज्ञापयत्या-चार्यो भवति वै प्रधानस्य सापेक्षस्यापि समासः इति ।

Since $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ prohibits $sam\bar{a}sa$ when $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya$ is mentioned even though it is otherwise secured, he suggests that there is $sam\bar{a}sa$ with reference to $pradh\bar{a}na$ even though it is $s\bar{a}p\bar{e}ksa$.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit of this $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}pana$?

राजपुरुषोऽभिरूपः, राजपुरुषो दर्शनीयः - अत्र वृत्तिः सिद्धा भवति Samāsa of puruṣa with rājñaḥ is found in the following cases :- Rājapuruṣō abhirūpaḥ and Rājapuruṣō darśanīyaḥ though puruṣa expects the predicate abhirūpaḥ or darśanīyaḥ.

विशेषणं विशेष्येण बहुलम् (2, 1, 58)

विशेषणविशेष्ययोरुभयविशेषणत्वादुभयोश्च विशेष्यत्वादुपसर्जनाप्रसिद्धिः

It is difficult to decide which is upasarjana, since each may be taken as $vi\acute{s}\bar{e}$ sana since it restricts the application of the other and each may be taken as $vi\acute{s}\bar{e}$ sya, since its application is restricted by the other.

विशेषणिवशेष्ययोः उभयविशेषणत्वाद् उभयोश्च विशेष्यत्वाद् उपसर्जनस्य अपसिद्धिः - कृष्णतिलाः इति । कृष्णशब्दोऽयं तिलशब्देन अभिसम्बध्यमानो विशेषण-वचनः सम्पद्यते, तथा तिलशब्दः कृष्णशब्देन अभिसम्बध्यमानो विशेषणवचनः सम्पद्यते । तदुभयं विशेषणं भवति, उभयं च विशेष्यम् । विशेषणविशेष्ययोः उभयविशेषणत्वाद् उभयोश्च विशेष्यत्वाद् उपसर्जनस्य ² अप्रसिद्धिः ।

There is difficulty in deciding which is upasarjana, since each may be taken as viśēṣaṇa as it restricts the application of the other and each may be taken as viśēṣya as its application is restricted by the other. Viz. Kṛṣṇatilāḥ. The word kṛṣṇa when associated with tila restricts its application and becomes its viśēṣaṇa and similarly the word tila when associated with kṛṣṇa restricts its application and becomes its viśēṣaṇa. Hence both become viśēṣaṇa and both become viśēṣaṇa. Since both may be taken as viśēṣaṇa and viṣēṣaṇa in turn, it is not possible to decide which is upasarjana.

न वान्यतरस्य प्रधानभावात् तद्विशेषकत्वाचापरस्रोपसर्जनप्रसिद्धिः

No; upasarjanatva may be secured on account of either of the two being pradhāna and the other being viśēṣaṇa.

न वैष दोषः This difficulty does not arise.

किं कारणम् ? Why?

अन्यतरस्य प्रधानभावात् - अन्यतरद् अत्र प्रधानम् । तद्विशेषकत्वाचापरस्य -तद्विशेषकं चापरम् । अन्यतरस्य प्रधानभावात् तद्विशेषकत्वाच अपरस्य उपसर्जनसंज्ञा

1. Ubhaya is another reading. 2. Upasarjanatvasya is another reading.

भविष्यति । यदा अस्य तिलाः प्राधान्येन विवक्षिता भवन्ति कृष्णो विशेषकत्वेन, तदा तिलाः प्रधानं कृष्णो विशेषणम् ।

On account of either being $pradh\bar{a}na$. Either of the two, here, is $pradh\bar{a}na$. On account of other being its restricting element. The other is its $vyavacch\bar{e}daka$. $Upasarjana-sanijn\bar{a}$ sets in since one is $pradh\bar{a}na$ and the other is its $viś\bar{e}ṣana$. When tila is intended by him to be $pradh\bar{a}na$ and krṣna to be $viś\bar{e}ṣana$, tila is $pradh\bar{a}na$ and krṣna is $viś\bar{e}ṣana$.

कामं तर्हि अनेनैव हेतुना यस्य कृष्णाः प्राधान्येन विवक्षिता भवन्ति तिला विशेषणत्वेन, तेन कर्तव्यं तिलकृष्णा इति ।

If, then, one, following the same line of argument, intends krsna to be $pradh\bar{a}na$ and tila to be $vis\bar{e}san\iota$, he may read the form tilakrsnah.

न कर्तव्यम् । न ह्यां द्वन्द्वः, तिलाश्च कृष्णाश्चेतिः; न खल्विष षष्टीसमासः तिलानां कृष्णा इति ।

No, he should not read it; for it is not dvandva of $til\bar{a}h$ and $krsn\bar{a}h$; nor is it $sasth\bar{s}sam\bar{a}sa$ of $til\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ and $krsn\bar{a}h$.

किं तर्हि ? What then?

द्वाविमौ प्रधानशब्दावेकसिन्नर्थे युगपदवरुध्येते, न च द्वयोः प्रधानशब्दयोरेक-सिन्नर्थे युगपदवरुध्यमानयोः किञ्चिद्वि प्रयोजनमस्ति, तत्र प्रयोगाद् एतद् गन्तव्यम् -नूतमत्र अन्यतरत् प्रधानं तद्विशेषकं चापरम् इति

These two words (in the nominative case) which are $pradh\bar{a}nas$ have to operate simultaneously upon one object; but there is no use of two $pradh\bar{a}na$ -śabdas operating upon the same object at the same time. Hence it is be decided from usage that one is certainly $pradh\bar{a}na$ and the other $viś\bar{e}sana$.

तत्र त्वेतावान सन्देहः कि प्रधानं किं विशेषणमिति?
But this doubt arises there, which of the two pradhāna and which is viśēṣaṇa?

स चापि कापि सन्देह: ! Where does that doubt arise ? यत्रोभौ गुणशब्दौ । तद्यथा, खञ्जः कुब्जः खञ्जकुब्ज इति Where both are guṇavācakas as in the compound khañjakubjuḥ formed of khañjaḥ and kubjaḥ.

1. Khañjakubjah Kubjakhañjah is another reading.

यत्र ह्यान्यतरद् द्रव्यम् अन्यतरो गुणः, तत्र यद् द्रव्यं तत् प्रधानम् । तद्यथा शुक्कमालभेत, कृष्णमालभेत, न पिष्टपिण्डीमालभ्य कृती भवति । अवस्यं तद्गुणं द्व्यमाकाङ्क्षति ।

Where one is dravya- $v\bar{a}caka$ and the other is $gunav\bar{a}caka$, that which is dravya- $v\bar{a}caka$ is $pradh\bar{a}na$. If one, on hearing the sentences $\dot{s}uklam$ $\bar{a}labh\bar{e}ta$ and krsnam $\bar{a}labh\bar{e}ta$, ties to the stake a ball of flour of that colour, he does not achieve his object. Hence he necessarily is eager to know the dravya having that colour.

कथं तर्हि इमो द्वी प्रधानशब्दावेकसिन्नेथं युगपदवरुध्येते वृक्षः शिंशपेति ? What is to be done here – vrk, ah simisapā - where both, being $pradh\bar{a}na$, operate on the same object at the same time?

नैतयोरावरयकः समावेशः । न ह्यवृक्षः शिंशपास्ति

There is no need for the association of these two; for there is no $si\dot{m} \dot{s} ap\bar{a}$ which is not a vrksa.

पूर्वापरप्रथमचरमजघन्यसमानमध्यमध्यमवीराश्च (2, 1, 58)

अथ किमर्थमुत्तरत्र एवमाचनुक्रमणं कियते १ न विशेषणं विशेष्येण बहुलम् इत्येव सिद्धम् ?

Why are this sūtra and the following ones read after Visēṣaṇam visēṣyēṇa bahulam? Is not their purpose served by itself?

बहुलवचन्स्याकृत्स्नत्वादुत्तरत्रानुक्रमणसामर्थ्यम्

This sūtra and the following ones are read, since many may not grasp the full import of bahulam.

अकृत्स्नं बहुलवचनिति उत्तरत्रानुक्रमणं कियते

This and the later sūtras are read, since it is not easy to grasp the full import of bahulam.

यद्यकृत्स्नं, यद्नेन कृतमकृतं तत्

If it is not comprehensive, what is done by it is nothing.

Note:—This question arises on taking krtsnam to mean $vy\bar{a}pakam$ and not krtsna-pratipattr-visayam.

्र एवं तर्हि न ब्रूमोऽकृत्स्नमिति, कृत्स्नं च कारकं च साधकं च निर्वर्त्तकं च । यचानेन कृतं सुकृतं तत्

If so, we do not read akrtsnam, but read krtsnam, $k\bar{a}rakam$, $s\bar{a}dhakam$ and nirvarttakam. What is comprehended by it is full.

Note:—Krisnam and the following three convey the same sense of capable of accomplishing. Sometimes Mahā-bhāṣyakāra takes delight in giving out such expressions: Cf. Dharmāya niyamaḥ, dharmārthō vā niyamaḥ, dharmapra-yōjanō vā niyamaḥ; Vritayē samavāyaḥ, vrityarthō vā samavāyaḥ, vritiprayōjanō vā samavāyaḥ (Āhnika 1). But Kāiyaṭa adds that, in the opinion of some, four kinds of meanings of bahulam are denoted.

किमर्थं तहें वमाचनुक्रमणं कियते ?

Why is then this sūtra read and the later ones?

उदाहरणभ्यस्त्वात् On account of the immensity of examples.

एते खरुबिप विधयः सुपरिगृहीता भवन्ति येषु लक्षणं प्रपञ्चश्च । केवलं लक्षणं केवलः प्रपञ्चो वा न तथा कारकं भवति

These vidhis are well understood, thro' enunciation and elaboration. Neither the former alone nor the latter alone produces such a comprehension.

अवश्यं खल्बप्यस्माभिरिदं वक्तव्यम् - बहुलम्, अन्यतरस्याम्, उभयथा, वा, एकेषाम् इति । सर्ववेदपारिषदं हीदं शास्त्रम् । तत्र नैकः पन्थाः शक्य आस्थातुम् This, the different ways of expression - bahulam, anyatarasyām ubhayathā, $v\bar{a}$, ēkēṣām must be adopted by us. For this śāstra is common to all $V\bar{e}das$ and it is not possible to adhere to one way of expression.

श्रेण्यादयः कृतादिभिः (2, 1, 59)

श्रेण्याद्यः पठ्यन्ते, कृतादिराकृतिगणः

The group starting with $\dot{s}r\bar{e}ni$ in the $ganap\bar{a}tha$ is comprehensive, while that with krta allows further addition.

श्रेण्यादिषु च्व्यर्थवचनम् Need to read cvyartha in śrēṇyādi.

श्रेण्यादिषु च्व्यर्थग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्, अश्रेणयः श्रेणयः कृताः श्रेणीकृताः । यदा हि श्रेणय एव किञ्चित् कियन्ते तदा मा भूदिति ।

It is necessary to read that $sam\bar{a}sa$ takes place in $sr\bar{e}ni$, etc. only where there is the sense of cvi there, so that $sr\bar{e}n\bar{i}krt\bar{a}h$

1. Śrēni means a company of artisans doing the same kind of work or a company of men trading in the same article.

means aśrēṇayaḥ śrēṇayaḥ kṛtāḥ (those who did not belong to śrēṇi are now made to belong to it). It should not appear when associations are formed.

अन्यत्रायं च्व्यर्थप्रहणेषु च्व्यन्तस्य प्रतिषेधं शास्ति, तदिह न तथा । किं कारणम् ?

Prohibition is made of cvy anta elsewhere $(s\bar{a}k\bar{s}atkrtya)$ where there is the meaning of cvi; here it is not so done. Why?

अन्यत्र पूर्व च्व्यन्तकार्य परं च्व्यर्थकार्यम्, इह पुनः पूर्व च्व्यर्थकार्य परं च्व्यन्तकार्यमिति

Cvyantakārya precedes cvyarthakārya elsewhere; but here cvyarthakārya precedes cvyantakārya.

क्तेन निञ्चिशिष्टेनानञ (2, 1, 60)

निञ्जिशिष्टे समानप्रकृतिग्रहणम्

Need to read samāna-prakṛtinā to qualify nañ-viśiṣṭēna ktēna.

निविशिष्टे समानप्रकृतिप्रहणं कर्तव्यम्, इह मा भूत् - सिद्धं च अभुक्तं च Samānaprakṛtinā should be read as an adjunct to nañ-viśiṣṭēna ktēna so that siddham may not compound with abhuktam.

Note: -Prakṛti refers to root and meaning.

अनिवित च $Ana\tilde{n}$, as is read in the $s\bar{u}tra$, is also necessary.

अनिजिति च प्रतिषेघो वक्तव्यः, इह मा भूत् कर्तव्यमकृतम् इति
The pratisēdha anañ read in the sūtra is also necessary, to prevent kartavyam from compounding with akrtam.

Note:— $Ana\tilde{n}$ is $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ and qualifies $kt\bar{a}nta$ through sannidhi.

नुडिडिधिकेन च Nud-id-adhikēna too must be read.

नुडिडिधिकेन च समासो कक्तव्यः, इहापि यथा स्यात् - अशितानशितेन जीवति, क्किष्टाक्किशितेन इति

Samāsa must be enjoined between $kt\bar{a}nta$ and $akt\bar{a}nta$ having nut and it also to secure $a\pm it\bar{a}na\pm it\bar{e}na$ in the sentence $a\pm it\bar{a}na\pm it\bar{e}na$ jīvati and $kli\pm it\bar{e}na$.

Note:—Some think that nudgrahanam is upalakṣaṇa to āgamas and others think that it is so to all vikāras having no difference in meaning.

किमुच्यते समानप्रकृतिग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् इति, यदा निव्वशिष्टेनेत्युच्यते १ न चात्र नञ्कृत एव विशेषः

What is the need for saying $Sam\bar{a}na$ -prakṛti-grahaṇam kartavyam, when there is already $na\tilde{n}vi\acute{s}i\dot{s}t\bar{e}na$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$? Here $vi\acute{s}\bar{e}sa$ is secured not only by $na\tilde{n}$.

किं तर्हि ? What then?

प्रकृतिकृतोऽपि । अयं विशिष्टश्रक्शेऽस्त्येवावधारणे वर्तते । तद्यथा - देवदत्तयज्ञदत्तौ आढ्यो अभिरूपो दर्शनीयो पक्षवन्तौ । देवदत्तस्तु यज्ञदत्तात् स्वाध्यायेन
विशिष्टः । स्वाध्यायेनैव इति गम्यते । अन्ये गुणाः समा भवन्ति । अस्त्याधिक्ये
वर्तते । तद्यथा देवदत्तयज्ञदत्तौ आढ्यो अभिरूपो दर्शनीयो पक्षवन्तौ । देवदत्तस्तु
यज्ञदत्तात् स्वाध्यायेन विशिष्टः । स्वाध्यायेनाधिकः । अन्ये गुणा अविवक्षिता भवन्ति ।
तद्यदा तावदवधारणे विशिष्टश्रक्तः तदा नैवार्थः समानप्रकृतिग्रहणेन, नेह भविष्यति
सिद्धं चामुक्तं चेति; नाप्यनिञ्चित प्रतिषेधेन, नेह भविष्यति कर्तव्यमकृतमिति; नुष्डिडिधिकेनापि तु तदा समासो न प्रामोति । यदाधिक्ये विशिष्टश्रक्तदा समासप्रकृतिग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् इह मा भूत् - सिद्धं चामुक्तं चेति; अनिञिति च प्रतिपेधो वक्तव्यः ।
इह मा भूत्, कर्तव्यमकृतमिति; नुडिडिधिकेनापि तु तदा समासः सिद्धो भवति ।
तत्राधिक्ये विशिष्टश्रकः मत्वा समानप्रकृतिग्रहणं चोद्यते

The viśēṣa has reference to stem also. This word - viśiṣṭa has the sense of emphasis. This is seen in the following instance: Dēvadatta and Yajñadatta are rich, beautiful, comely appearance and kind. But Dēvadatta excels Yajñadatta in the study of the Vēdas. It is suggested that it is, through the study of the Vēdas alone, that he excels him, all other qualities being common. It has the sense of excelling. is seen in the following instance: -Dēvadatta and Yajñadatta are rich, beautiful, of comely appearance and kind. Dēvadatta is superior to Yajñadatta in the study of the Vēdas. It is suggested that he is superior to the other through the study of the Vēdas, other qualities being left out of account. Hence if the word visista is taken in the sense of avadhāraņa, the vārttika Samānaprakrtigrahanam need not be read, since there is no chance for siddham and abhuktam to be compounded, nor the vārttika Anañiti ca, since there is no chance

for kartavyam and akṛlam to be compounded. The vārttika Nuḍiḍadhikēna ca is necessary since otherwise the samāsas having them will not be secured. If, on the other hand, viśiṣṭa is taken in the sense of ādhikya, the vārttika Samāsa-prakṛtigrahaṇam kartavyam has to be read to prevent the samāsa between siddham and abhuktam and the vārttika Anañiti ca to prevent the samāsa between kartavyam and akṛtam; but the vārttika Nuḍiḍadhikēna is not necessary, since samāsa is siddha. Taking viśiṣṭa in the sense of ādhikya, the above discussion was started.

The same idea is expressed in the following verse:-

अवधारणं नजा चेन्नुडिड्विशिष्टेन न प्रकल्पेत । अथ चेदिधिकविवक्षा कार्य तुल्यप्रकृतिकेन ॥

कृतापकृतादीनां चोपसङ्ख्यानम् $Krt\bar{a}pakrta$ etc. has to be added.

कृतापकृतादीनां चोपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - कृतापकृतम्, भुक्तविभुक्तम्, पीतविपीतम्।

The following krtāpakrtam, bhuktavibhuktam and pītavipītam should be added.

सिद्धं तु क्तेन विसमाप्तावनञ्

It is achieved that $ana\tilde{n}anta$ which denotes incompletion may compound with $kt\bar{a}nta$.

सिद्धमेतत् This (the object) is achieved.

कथम् ! How ?

क्तान्तेन कियाविसमाप्तौ अनञ्कान्तं समस्यत इति वक्तव्यम्

It must be said that $kt\bar{a}nta$ and $ana\tilde{n}-kt\bar{a}nta$ compound with each other, if the latter denotes the non-completion of the action.

गतप्रत्यागतादीनां चोपसङ्ख्यानम्

Gata-pratyāgata etc. has to be added.

गतप्रत्यागतादीनां चोपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् - गतप्रत्यागतं, यातानुयातं, पुटापुटिका, क्रयाक्रयिका, फलाफलिका, मानोन्मानिका ।

Gata-praty $\bar{a}gata$ etc. must be added. They are gata-praty $\bar{a}gatam$, $y\bar{a}ta$ -anuy $\bar{a}tam$, puta-aputik \bar{a} , kraya-akrayik \bar{a} , phala-aphalik \bar{a} and $m\bar{a}na$ -unm $\bar{a}nik\bar{a}$.

युवा खलतिपलितवलिनजरतीभिः (2, 1, 67)

अयुक्तोऽयं निर्देशः । समानाधिकरणेन इति वर्तते, क प्रसङ्गो यद् व्यधिकर-णानां समासः स्यात् ?

This $s\bar{u}tra$ is unnecessary. There is the anuvitti for $Sam\bar{a}-n\bar{a}dhikaran\bar{e}na$. Where is the chance for those which are not $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikarana$ to compound themselves?

एवं तर्हि ज्ञापयत्याचार्यो यथाजातीयकमुत्तरपदं तथाजातीयकेन पूर्वपदेन समासो भवति इति

If so, $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ suggests that the latter member compounds with the former member of the same class.

Note:— $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\hat{s}abhatta$ says that $yath\bar{a}j\bar{a}t\bar{\imath}yakam$ refers to $str\bar{\imath}linga\hat{s}abdasvar\bar{\imath}pam$.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम् श What is the benefit of this jñāpana? प्रातिपदिकमहणे लिङ्गविशिष्टस्यापि महणं भवति इत्येषा परिभाषा न कर्तन्या भवति

There is no need to read the paribhāṣā Prātipadikagrahaṇē liṅgaviśiṣṭasyāpi grahaṇam.

वर्णी वर्णेन (2, 1, 68)

There are six topics here:—(1) Is the $sam\bar{a}sa$ between two $varna-v\bar{a}caka-padas\ trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}sam\bar{a}sa$ or $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikarana-sam\bar{a}sa$? What are their relative advantages? (2) In the $sam\bar{a}sa$ between two words which have a chance to be operated upon by $s\bar{u}tras$ in $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaran\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$, the use of $trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ or $pratham\bar{a}$ in the members is to be decided by the latter of the two sets of $s\bar{u}tras$. (3) Generally $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ is formed from $karma-dh\bar{a}raya$ stems and sometimes $matvarth\bar{\imath}yapratyayas$ are used after them. (4) $Bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ after $\bar{a}tis\bar{a}yikapratyaya$ of the $p\bar{u}rvapada$ of a compound has to be admitted. (5) $Bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ after $\bar{a}tis\bar{a}yikapratyaya$ of the uttarapada of a compound has to be admitted. (6) There is need to add $s\bar{a}kap\bar{a}rthiv\bar{a}dis$ in the $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaran\bar{a}dhikaran$

I

इदं विचार्यते - वर्णेन तृतीयसमासो वा स्यात् कृष्णेन सारङ्गः कृष्णसारङ्गः इति, समानाधिकरणो वा कृष्णः सारङ्गः कृष्णसारङ्ग इति This is discussed here whether $krsnas\bar{a}rangah$ is the $sam\bar{a}sa$ of $krsn\bar{e}na$ and $s\bar{a}rangah$ or that of krsnah and $s\bar{a}rangah$.

Note:—1. Since $s\bar{a}ranga$ colour cannot exist without krsna colour and consequently the latter may be taken as the $h\bar{e}tu$ of the former, there is scope for $trt\bar{i}y\bar{a}$ - $sam\bar{a}sa$; and since krsna is avayava of $s\bar{a}ranga$, there is scope for $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ - $dhikaranasam\bar{a}sa$.

Note: -2. $N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\dot{s}abhatta$ says that the aim of the $vic\bar{a}ra$ is to determine whether this $s\bar{u}tra$ is to be read in the $trt\bar{i}y\bar{a}-sam\bar{a}saprakarana$ or in the $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaranasam\bar{a}saprakarana$.

कश्चात्र विशेष:? Which of the two is better?

वर्णेन तृतीयासमास एतत्प्रतिषेधे वर्णग्रहणम

If this sūtra Varņō varņēna refers to tṛtīyāsamāsa, there is need to read varņa (as it is now read) in the sūtra Varņō varņēṣva-nētē 1 (6, 2, 3.)

वर्णन तृतीयासमासे एतत्वितिषेधे वर्णग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । तृतीयापूर्वपदं प्रकृति-स्वरं भवति ; अनेते वर्णः इति वक्तव्यम् , इह मा भूत् , — हिमेन एतः हिमेतः If the sūtra Varņā varņēna refers to tṛtāyāsamāsa, there is need to read varṇa in the ētapratiṣēdhasūtra. It should be made to convey the idea that in tṛtāyāsamāsa, pūrapada takes the prakṛtisvara except when ēta is the second member, so that pūrvapadaprakṛtisvara may not appear in the compound himāitaḥ made up of himēna and ētaḥ.

अथ द्वितीयेन वर्णप्रहणेनैतविशेषणेनार्थः ?

Will it not serve the purpose if the second word $varn\bar{e}su$ is made the $vis\bar{e}sana$ of $\bar{e}ta$ (by changing it into $varn\bar{e}$)?

बादमर्थः, यद्मवर्ण एतशब्दोऽस्ति Certainly will it serve the purpose if there is a word ēta which does not signify colour.

ननु चायमस्ति, आ इतः एतः कृष्णेतः लोहितेतः Oh there is this $\bar{e}tah$ which is formed by the combination of \bar{a} and itah and it is used in the words $krsn\bar{e}tah$ and $l\bar{o}hit\bar{e}tah$.

नार्थ एवमर्थेन वर्णग्रहणेन । यदि तावद्यं कर्मणि कः, तृतीया कर्मणि इत्यनेन स्वरेण भवितव्यम् । अथापि कर्तरि, परत्वात् कृतस्वरेण भवितव्यम्

1. The word ētaḥ means variegated colour.

This $s\bar{u}tra$ ($Varn\bar{o}$ $varn\bar{e}svan\bar{e}t\bar{e}$) is not necessary in the above cases where $varna-v\bar{a}caka$ is used. If tah in $\bar{e}tah$ ($\bar{a}+itah$) is karmani, $p\bar{u}rvapadaprakrtisvara$ appears in $krsn\bar{e}tah$ by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Trt\bar{i}y\bar{a}$ karmani (6, 2, 48); and if it is kartari, it is bound to take krtsvara on account of its being para.

अथ समानाधिकरणः Let it be samānādhikaraņa-samāsa.

समानाधिकरणे द्विर्वर्णग्रहणम्

If it is samānādhikaraņa, there should be two words having the stem varna.

समानाधिकरणे द्विर्वणग्रहणं कर्तव्यम् । वर्णो वर्णेष्वनेते इति वक्तव्यम् । एकं वर्णश्रहणं कर्तव्यम्, इह मा भूत् – परमशुक्कः, परमकृष्णः इति । द्वितीय-वर्णश्रहणं कर्तव्यम् - इह मा भूत् कृष्णतिला इति ।

If it refers to samānādhikaraṇa-samāsa, both the words varṇaḥ and varṇēna have to be read; the sūtra Varṇō varṇēṣvanētē too has to be read. Varṇēna has to be read so that the sūtra Varṇō varṇēṣu may not operate in the words paramaśuklaḥ and paramakṛṣṇaḥ where pradhāna alone denotes colour and varṇaḥ has to be read so that the same sūtra may not operate in kṛṣṇatilāḥ where the upasarjana alone denotes colour.

Note:—If varṇaḥ alone is read, only the upasarjana member of the compound denotes varṇa and if varṇēna alone is read, only the pradhāna member denotes it.

एकं वर्णश्रहणमनर्थकम्

One varņa - stemmed word (i. e. varņēsu) is not necessary.

अवर्णस्य वर्णे वर्णस्य चावर्णे अन्यतस्त्र कस्मान्न भवति ?

Which will prevent the sūtra from operating if varņa follows avarņa or vice-versa?

लक्षणप्रतिपदोक्तयोः प्रतिपदोक्तस्यव इति

The dictum Lakṣaṇapratipadōktayōḥ pratipadōktasyāiva,

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that the $sam\bar{a}sa$ secured by $Varn\bar{o}$ $varn\bar{e}na$ alone is taken in svaravidhi and hence $varn\bar{e}su$ is not necessary.

एवं सित तान्येतानि त्रीणि वर्णग्रहणानि भवन्ति, समासिवधौ द्वे, स्वरिवधौ चैकम्

In that case three varna-stemmed words will do, two in samāsavidhi and one in svaravidhi.

यस्यापि तृतीयासमासः तस्यापि तान्येव त्रीणि वर्णग्रहणानि भवनित, समास-विधो हे, स्वरविधो चैकम्

Three varṇa - stemmed words will do even to him who holds $trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}sam\bar{a}satva$, two in $sam\bar{a}savidhi$ and one in svaravidhi.

सामान्येन मम तृतीयासमासो भविष्यति तृतीया तत्कृतार्थेन गुणवचनेन इति $Trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}sam\bar{a}sa$ is secured by me by the general rule $Trt\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}tatkrt\bar{a}rth\bar{e}na$ guṇavacanēna (2, 1, 30).

अवश्यं वर्णेन प्रतिपदं समासो वक्तव्यो यत्न तेन न सिध्यति तदर्थम् । The sūtra Varņō varņēna is necessary to secure the samāsa which is not secured by that sūtra.

क च तेन न सिध्यति ?

What are the instances which are not accomplished by it?

् शुक्रवभुः हरितवभुः Śukababhruḥ and harita-babhruḥ.

तथा च सित तान्येतानि त्रीणि वर्णप्रहणानि भवन्ति, समासिवधौ हे, स्वरिवधौ चैकम् ।

If so, the same three varṇa-stemmed words are necessary, two in samāsavidhi and one in svaravidhi.

अथेदानीं समानाधिकरणः सामान्येन सिद्धः स्यात्?

If it is taken as $sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaraṇa-sam\bar{a}sa$, will the forms be secured by any general rule?

बाढं सिद्धः Certainly can they be secured.

कथम ? On what authority?

विशेषणं विशेष्येण बहुलम् इति

By the sūtra Viśēṣaṇam viśēṣyēṇa bahulam (2, 1, 57).

एवमपि द्वे वर्णग्रहणे कर्तन्ये, स्वरिवधावेव प्रतिपदोक्तस्याभावात् Even then two varna-stemmed words are necessary in svara-vidhi alone on account of the absence of pratipadokta.

तसात् समानाधिकरण इत्येष पक्षो ज्यायान्

Hence samānādhikarana-samāsa-pakṣa is better.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that this $s\bar{u}tra$ is not needed then.

H

समानाधिकरणाधिकारे प्रधानोपसर्जनानां परं परं विप्रतिपेधेन

In the samāsa between two words stated to be pradhānas in two different sūtras in the samānādhikaraṇādhikāra, the latter is pradhāna through para-vipratiṣēdha and in that between two upasarjanas, the latter is upasarjana.

समानाधिकरणाधिकारे प्रधानोपसर्जनानां परं परं भवति विश्रतिषेधेन - प्रधानानां प्रधानम्, उपसर्जनानामुपसर्जनम् । प्रधानानां तावत् प्रधानं - बृन्दारकनागकुञ्जरेः पूज्यमानम् इत्यस्य अवकाशः गोबृन्दारकः, अश्वबृन्दारकः; पोटायुवतीनामवकाशः इभ्ययुवतिः, आळ्ययुवतिः । इहोभयं प्राप्तोति - नागयुवतिः, बृन्दारकयुवतिः, प्रधानानां परं प्रधानं भवति विश्रतिषेधेन । उपसर्जनानां परमुपसर्जनम् – सन्महत्परमोत्तमो-तकृष्टा इत्यस्य अवकाशः सद्भवः, सदश्वः; कृत्यतुल्याच्या अजात्या इत्यस्य अवकाशः तुल्यश्वेतः, तुल्यकृष्णः; इहोभयं प्राप्तोति - तुल्यसन्, तुल्यमहान्; उपसर्जनानां परमुपसर्जनं भवति विश्रतिषेधेन ।

In the samāsas of two words which are pradhāna and upasarjana in two different sūtras having the anuvrtti of samānādhikaraņa, the latter among pradhāna and the latter among upasarjuna is respectively taken to be pradhāna and upasarjana through vipratisēdha. Firstly with reference to the pradhāna among pradhānas:-The sūtra Vrndāraka-nāga-kunjarāih pūjyamānam (2, 1, 62) operates in gō-vrndārakah and aśvavrndārakah, the sūtra Pōṭā-yuvati.. (2, 1, 65) in ibhya-yuvatih and āḍhya-yuvatih and both the sūtras have a chance to operate in nāga-yuvatih and vrndāraka-yuvatih. The pradhāna in the latter should be considered pradhāna here by para-vipratisēdha. With reference to upasarjana among upasarjanas the sūtra San-mahat-paramōtkṛṣṭāḥ.... (2, 1, 61) operates in sad-gavaḥ and sad-aṣraḥ, the $s\bar{u}tra\ Krtya-tulyar{a}khyar{a}\ ajar{a}tyar{a}\ (2,\ 1,\ 68)\ in\ tulya-śvēlah\ and$ tulya-kṛṣṇaḥ and both have a chance to operate in tulya-san and tulya-mahān. The upasarjana in the latter should be considered upasarjana here through para-vipratiṣēdha.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that, in $sam\bar{a}sa$, $pradh\bar{a}na$ and upasariana denote $tr\bar{t}iy\bar{a}nta$ and $pratham\bar{a}nta$ by $laksan\bar{a}$.

III

समानाधिकरणसमासाद् बहुव्रीहिः

Bahuvrīhi overrides samānādhikaraņasamāsa.

समानाधिकरणसमासाद् बहुत्रीहिभैवति विप्रतिषेधेन । समानाधिकरणसमासस्य अवकाशः वीरः पुरुषो वीरपुरुषः; बहुत्रीहेरवकाशः कण्ठेकालः । इहोभयं प्राप्नोति वीरपुरुषको ग्रामः, बहुत्रीहिभैवति विप्रतिषेधेन ।

Bahuvrīhi overrides samānādhikaraņasamāsa through vipratiṣēdha. Samānādhikaraṇasamāsa takes place when vīraḥ and puruṣaḥ compound into vīrapuruṣaḥ and bahuvrīhi takes place in kaṇṭhēkālaḥ. In vīrapuruṣaka of the phrase Vīrapuruṣakō grāmaḥ, bahuvrīhi overrides samānādhikaraṇasamāsa through vipratiṣēdha, when both have a chance to operate.

कदाचित् कर्मधारयः सर्वधनाद्यर्थः

Sometimes karmadhāraya overrides for the sake of securing the forms sarvadhanī etc.

कदाचित् कर्मधारयो भवति बहुत्रीहेः

Sometimes karmadhāraya overrides bahuvrīhi.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why?

सर्वधनाद्यर्थः । सर्वधनी, सर्वबीजी, सर्वकेशी नटः, गौरखरवदरण्यम्, गौरमृग-वदरण्यम्, कृष्णसर्पवान् वल्मीकः, लोहितशालिमान् य्रामः

For the sake of sarvadhanādi. (i.e.) To secure the forms sarvadhanī, sarvabījī, sarvakēśī (in sarvakēśī naṭaḥ), gāurakharavad araṇyam, gāuramrgavad araṇyam, kṛṣṇasarpavān valmīkaḥ and löhitaśālimān grāmaḥ.

किं प्रयोजनम्? Why should they be secured?

कर्मधारयप्रकृतिभिर्मत्वर्थीयैरभिधानं यथा स्यात्

So that matvarthapratyayas may be suffixed to the prakṛti which is karmadhāraya.

किं च कारणं न स्यात्?

Why cannot they appear (in the absence of this vacana)? बहुत्रीहिणोक्तत्वान्मत्वर्थस्य

Since matvartha is conveyed by bahuvrīhi.

यद्यक्तत्वं हेतुः कर्मधारयेणाप्युक्तत्वान्न प्रामोति

If uktatva is hētu, it has no chance to come since it is ukta by karmadhāraya too.

न खल्वपि संज्ञाश्रयो मत्वर्थीयः

. $Matvarth\bar{\imath}ya$ is not based on $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$.

किं तर्हि? Upon what then?

अर्थाश्रयः । स यथैव बहुत्रीहिणा उक्तत्वान्न भवत्येवं कर्मधारयेणोक्तत्वान्न भविष्यन्ति ।

It is based upon meaning. $Matvarth\bar{\imath}ya$ does not appear since it is ukta by $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ in the same way as it is does appear when its meaning is conveyed by $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$.

एवं तर्हि इदं स्यात् - सर्वाणि धनानि, सर्वधनानि, सर्वधनानि अस्य सन्ति सर्वधनी ।

If so, let it be in this manner:— $Sarv\bar{a}ni$ and $dhan\bar{a}ni$ compound into the $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ $sarva-dhan\bar{a}ni$ and $sarvadhan\bar{\imath}$ is formed in the sense $sarvadhan\bar{a}ni$ asya santi.

नैवं शक्यम् । नित्यमेवं सित कर्मधारयः स्यात् । तत्र यदुक्तं कदाचित् कर्मधारयः इति एतदयुक्तम्

No, it is not possible. It can be so if $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ is nitya. The word $kad\bar{a}cit$ in the statement $kad\bar{a}cit$ $karmadh\bar{a}rayah$ mentioned above cannot fit in.

एवं तर्हि भवति वै किञ्चिदाचार्याः कार्यवद्बुद्धिं कृत्वा पठिन्तं कार्याः शब्दा इति, तद्वदिदं पठितं समानाधिकरणसमासाद् बहुवीहिः कर्तव्यः कदाचित् कर्मधार्यः सर्वधनाद्यर्थे इति

If so, $karmadh\bar{a}rayah$ in the statement $Sam\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhikaransam\bar{a}-s\bar{a}d$ $bahuvr\bar{i}hih$ kartavyah $kad\bar{a}cit$ $karmadh\bar{a}rayah$ $sarvadhan\bar{a}d-yarthah$ is used in the sense of $karmadh\bar{a}rayav\bar{a}n$ in the same way as $k\bar{a}ry\bar{a}h$ in the statement $k\bar{a}ry\bar{a}h$ $sabd\bar{a}h$ which $\bar{a}c\bar{a}ryas$ have used in the sense of $k\bar{a}ryavantah$.

यद् उच्यते समानाधिकरणसमासाद् बहुत्रीहिर्भवति विशतिषेधेनेति, नैष युक्तो विशतिषेधः अन्तरङ्गः कर्मधारयः ।

The statement that bahuvrīhi overrides samānādhikaraņa-samāsa through para-vipratiṣēdha does not hold good, since vipratiṣēdhaśāstra does not operate on account of karma-dhāraya being antaraṅga.

कान्तरङ्गता ? How is antarangatva be explained ? स्वपदार्थे कर्मधारयः, अन्यपदार्थे बहुत्रीहिः

The component parts of the $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ convey their own meanings; but those of $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ convey that of another.

अस्तु । विभाषा कर्मधारयः ; यदा न कर्मधारयस्तदा बहुत्रीहिर्भविष्यति Let it be. $Karmadh\bar{a}raya$ is optional; $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ appears in the absence of $karmadh\bar{a}raya$.

एवमि यद्यत्र कदाचित् कर्मशारयो भगति, कर्मशारयपकृतिभिर्मत्वर्थीयैरभिधानं मा भृद् इति । प्राप्ताति । सर्वश्चायमेवमर्थी यतः कर्मशारयपकृतिभिर्मत्वर्थीयैरभिधानं मा भृद् इति । If so, when perhaps karmadhārayā is first formed (without the idea that the compound should refer to anyapadārtha), it may chance to take matvarthīyapratyaya after it; if, at second thought, it has to refer to anyapadārtha, this attempt is to prevent the use of matvarthīyas after karmadhāraya stems (on all occasions).

एवं तर्हि नेदं तस्य योगस्य उदाहरणं विश्वतिषेधे परम् इति
If so, this sūtra is not the target for the sūtra Vipratiṣēdhē param kāryam.

किं तर्हि ? Of what then?

इष्टिरियं पठिता, समानाधिकरणसमासाद्वहुत्रीहिरिष्टः कदाचित् कर्मधारयः सर्वधनाद्यर्थः

This iṣṭi Samānādhikaraṇasamāsād bahu rīhir iṣṭaḥ kadācit karmadhārayaḥ sarvadhanādyarthaḥ is read (by Vārttikakāra). It means that generally bahuvrīhi is wished for from karmadhāraya stems and in certain cases like sarvadhanin matvarthapratyayas may be used after them.

यदीष्टिः पठिता नाथें। इह हि सर्वे मनुष्या अल्पेनाल्पेन महतो महतोऽर्था-नाकाङ्क्षन्ति; एकेन माषेण शतसहस्रम् । एकेन कुद्दालपदेन खारीसहस्रम् । तत्र कर्मधारयप्रकृतिभिर्मत्वर्थीयैरभिधानमस्तु बहुत्रीहिणेति । बहुत्रीहिणा भविष्यति लघुत्वात् ।

If isti is read, it serves no purpose. For all the people in this world wish to get the most from the least, as hundred thousand (cows or cloths) for a small piece of gold or 16000 dronas of corn at one dig of a spade. If the question arises whether

to add $matvarth\bar{\imath}ya$ -pratyayas to $karmadh\bar{\alpha}raya$ stems or to use $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$, the latter is to be chosen since it is lighter.

कथं सर्वधनी सर्वबीजी सर्वकेशी नट इति ?

How, then, is one to arrive at the forms sarvadhanī, sarvabījī and sarvakēśī in sarvakēśī noṭaḥ?

इनिप्रकरणे सर्वादेशित वक्ष्यामि । तच अवश्यं वक्तव्यं ठनो बाधनार्थम् I shall read that $karmadh\bar{a}raya$ compounds having sarva as the former member take ini by reading $sarv\bar{a}d\bar{e}h$ in iniprakarana (i.e.) under the $s\bar{u}tra$ $P\bar{u}rv\bar{a}d$ inih (5, 2, 56). It should necessarily be read to set at naught than.

कथम् गौरखरवद् अरण्यम्, गौरमृगवद् अरण्यम्, कृष्णसर्पवान् वर्नाकः, छोहितशालिमान् मामः ?

How are the forms gāurakharavad, gāuramrgavad, kṛṣṇasarpavān and lōhitaśālimān in the following expressions gāurakharavad araṇyam, gāuramrgavad araṇyam, kṛṣṇasarpavān valmīkah and lōhitaśālimān grāmah secured?

अस्त्यत्र विशेषः । जात्या अत्राभिसम्बन्धः क्रियते, कृष्णसर्पे नाम सर्पजातिः, सा अस्मिन् वरुमीके अस्ति । यदा ह्यन्तरेण जाति तद्वत्ताभिसम्बन्धः क्रियते, कृष्णसर्पे वरुमीक इत्येव तदा भवति ।

There is a speciality here. It is taken as a class; krsnasarpa is a species of serpents. Krsnasarpavān valmīkah means an anthill containing the $j\bar{a}ti$ of krsnasarpa. If their relation is to be expressed without krsnasarpa denoting the $j\bar{a}ti$, krsnasarpa valmīkah will do.

Note: $-N\bar{a}g\bar{e}\dot{s}abhatta$ says that the $bh\bar{a}sya$ " $Yadi\ istih...$ $ity\bar{e}va\ tad\bar{a}\ bhavati$ " expresses the opinion of $Ac\bar{a}ryad\bar{e}\dot{s}\bar{\imath}ya$.

IV

पूर्वपदातिशये आतिशायिकाद् बहुत्रीहिः स्रक्ष्मवस्त्रतराद्यर्थः

Bahuvrīhi after aliśāyana-pratyaya where the aliśaya of pūrvapada is intended.

पूर्वपदातिशयविवक्षायामातिशायिकाद् बहुत्रीहिभैवति विप्रतिषेधेन When the atisaya of pūrvapada (of a karmadhāraya) is intended, bahuvrīhi sets in after the atisāyana-pratyaya through vipratiṣēdha.

किं प्रयोजनम्? Why?

सूक्ष्मवस्रतराद्यर्थः For the sake of sūkṣmavastratara etc.

आतिशायिकस्यावकाशः - पटुतरः, पटुतमः । बहुत्रीहेरवकाशः - चित्रगुः, शबलगुः । इहोभयं प्राप्नोति - सूक्ष्मवस्त्रतरः, तीक्ष्णशृक्ततरः - बहुत्रीहिभविति विप्रतिषेधेन ।

The sūtras Dvivacana-vibhajyōpapadē tarab-īyasunāu (5, 3, 57) and Atiśāyanē-tamab-iṣṭhanāu (5, 3, 55) operate in paṭutaraḥ and paṭutamaḥ. The sūṭra enjoining bahuvrīhi operates in citraguḥ and śabalaguḥ. Here, in sūkṣmavastrataraḥ and tīṣkṇa-śrṅgataraḥ, both have a chance to operate. The bahuvrīhisūtra operates through vipratiṣēdha.

नैष युक्तो विप्रतिषेधः । विप्रतिषेधे परमित्युच्यते ; पूर्वश्च बहुत्रीहिः, पर आतिशायिकः

The dictum of vipratiṣēdha cannot hold here. Vipratiṣēdhē param kāryam is read; but bahuvrīhi-vidhi is $p\bar{u}rva$ and $\bar{a}tiṣāyana-pratyaya-vidhi$ is para.

इष्टवाची परशब्दः - विप्रतिषेधे परं यदिष्टं तदु भवतीति

The word para means $i \not = i a$. Hence the dictum means Viprati = i e dh e j a d i e

एवमप्ययुक्तः ; अन्तरङ्ग आतिशायिकः

Even then it is not correct; the rule enjoining $\bar{a}tis\bar{a}yana$ pratyaya is antaranga.

का अन्तरङ्गता? How does antarangatva exist there?

ङ्याप्पातिपदिकादातिशायिकः, सुबन्तानां बहुत्रीहिः

Ātiśāyana-pratyaya-vidhi is to nyanta, ābanta and prātipadika, while bahuvrīhi-vidhi is to subanta.

आतिशायिकोऽपि नान्तरङ्गः Atiśayika-vidhi too, is not antaranga. कथम्? How?

समर्थात्तद्भित उत्पद्यते, सामर्थ्यं च सुबन्तेन

Taddhita-pratyaya is added only to that which is samartha and there is $s\bar{a}marthya$ only with subanta.

एवमप्यन्तरङ्गः Even then, it is antaranga.

कथम्? How?

स्वपदार्थे आतिशायिकः, अन्यपदार्थे बहुत्रीहिः

Ātiśāyika refers to svapadārtha and bahuvrīhi to anyapadārtha.

एवमपि नान्तरङ्गः Even then it is not antaranga.

कथम्? How?

स्पर्धायामातिशायिको भवति, न चान्तरेण प्रतियोगिनं स्पर्धा भवति

 $\bar{A}ti\dot{s}\bar{a}yika$ operates only when there is comparison and there is no comparison in the absence of another with whom it is to be compared.

नैव वात्रातिशयिकः प्राप्तोति

Or, ātiśāyana-pratyaya-vidhi does not operate here.

किं कारणम्? Why?

असामध्यति On account of the absence of sāmarthya.

कथमसामर्थ्यम् । How is sāmarthya absent?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति । यावता वस्त्राणि तद्वन्तमपेक्षन्ते तद्वन्तं चापेक्ष्य वस्त्राणां वस्त्रेर्युगपत् स्पर्धा भवति ।

That which is $s\bar{a}p\bar{e}ksa$ is asamartha. There is comparison between cloths only after it is determined to whom they belong.

ननु चायमातिशायिक एवमात्मकः सत्यां व्यपेक्षायां विधीयते

Oh! $\bar{a}ti\dot{s}\bar{a}yana$ -pratyaya of this sort is enjoined when there is $vyap\bar{e}k\bar{s}\bar{a}$ -lak $\bar{s}ana$ -s $\bar{a}marthya$.

सत्यमेवमात्मकः, यां च नान्तरेण व्यवेक्षामातिशायिकस्य प्रवृत्तिः तस्यां सत्यां भवितव्यम्

True, $\bar{a}ti\dot{s}\bar{a}yana$ -pratyaya is of this nature, that it cannot be used unless there is the $vyap\bar{e}k\dot{s}\bar{a}$ -lak $\dot{s}ana$ -s $\bar{a}marthya$ which it needs.

कां च नान्तरेण व्यपेक्षामातिशायिकस्य प्रवृत्तिः ?

Without which vyapēkṣā is there no pravriti for ātiśāyika?

था हि प्रतियोगिनं प्रति न्यपेक्षा । या हि तद्वन्तं प्रति न तस्यां भवितन्यम् The $vyap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}$ relating to the cloths belonging to different persons. But where the $vyap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}$ relates to the cloths belonging to the same person, it does not hold good.

बहुत्रीहिरपि तर्हि न प्रामोति Bahuvrīhi, too, cannot set in there.

किं कारणम्? Why?

असामध्यदिव Evidently thro' a-sāmarthya.

कथमसामध्येम् ? How is sāmarthya absent?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति । यावता वस्त्राणि वस्त्रान्तराण्यपेक्षन्ते तद्वता चाभिसम्बन्धः $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ sa becomes asamartha, since cloths depend upon others for comparison and they are related to their owners.

एवं तर्हि नेदं तस्य योगस्योदाहरणं वित्रतिषेधे परमिति
This, then, is not the target to Vipratisedhe param kāryam.

किं तर्हि ? Of what then ?

इष्टिरियं पठिता पूर्वपदातिशये आतिशायिकाद् बहुत्रीहिरिष्टः सूक्ष्मवस्नतराद्यधेः This iṣṭi is read. "Pūrvapadātiśayē ātiśāyikād bahuvrīhir iṣṭaḥ sūkṣmavastratarādyarthaḥ."

यदि इष्टिरियं पठिता नाथों ऽनेन

If the isti is read, no purpose is served by it.

कथं येषा युक्तिरुक्ता - वस्त्रान्तराणां च वस्त्रान्तरेर्युगपत् स्पर्धा तद्वता च चाभिसम्बन्धः इति ?

How can the $i \not= i i$ serve no purpose if the yukti that there is $spardh\bar{a}$ simultaneously between one kind of cloths and another and they are then related to the owners is adduced?

यदा हि अन्तरेण वस्त्राणां वस्त्रान्तेरः युगपत्स्पर्धां तद्वता अभिसम्बन्धः क्रियते निष्प्रतिद्वन्द्वस्तदा बहुत्रीहिः, बहुत्रीहेरातिशायिकः

If the relation of one set of cloths with their owner and that of another set with their owner is first taken into account without comparing one set with another, bahuvrīhi is done without any opposing element and then the ātiśāyana-pratyaya is added.

न तर्हि इदानीमिदं भवति सूक्ष्मतरवस्त्र इति ? Will not then (iṣṭyārambhē) the form sūkṣmataravastraḥ be secured?

भवति, यदान्तरेण तद्वन्तं वस्त्राणां वस्त्रान्तरैर्युगपत् स्पर्धा भवति, निष्प्रति-द्वन्द्वस्तदा आतिशायिकः It is secured. If comparison takes place between one set of cloths with another without reference to their owners, $\bar{a}ti\dot{s}\bar{a}$ -yika-pratyaya is added without any opposition.

कथं पुनरन्यस्य प्रकर्षेणान्यस्य प्रकर्षः स्यात् ?

How can the superiority of one suggest that of another?

नैवान्यस्य प्रकर्षेण अन्यप्रकर्षेण भवितव्यम् । यथैवायं द्रव्येषु यतते वस्त्राणि मे स्युरिति, एवं गुणेष्विप यतते सूक्ष्मतराणि मे स्युः इति

The superiority of one does not suggest that of another. As one chooses cloths, so also he chooses quality to get for him cloths of finer quality.

नात्रातिशायिकः प्रामोति $Atiś\bar{a}yika-pratyaya$ may not set in then. किं कारणम्? Why?

गुणवचनादिच्यते । न च समासो गुणवचनः

It is enjoined after words denoting quality (in Ajādi guņavacanād ēva 5, 3, 58). The compound is not guṇavacana.

समासोऽपि गुणवचनः Samāsa, too, is guṇavacana.

कथम् ! How?

अजहत्स्वार्था वृत्तिरिति By taking to ajahatsvārthā-vṛtti.

अथ जहत्स्वार्थायां तु दोष एव

The difficulty will evidently exist in jahatsvārthā.

जहत्स्वार्थायां च न दोषः । भवति बहुत्रीहो तद्भुणसंविज्ञानमपि तद्यथा - शुक्कत्राससमानय, लोहितोष्णीषाः प्रचरन्ति इति तद्भुण आनीयते, तद्भुणाश्च प्रचरन्ति There is no difficulty even in jahatsvārthā. Among bahuvrīhis, there is tadguṇasamvijñāna-bahuvrīhi too. If one says 'Bring the white-clothed man,' the man who wears an white cloth alone is brought; if one says 'Red-turbaned persons go,' only those with red turbans go.

V

उत्तरपदातिशय आतिशायिको बहुत्रीहेर्बह्वाट्यतराद्यर्थः

Ātiśāyana-pratyaya is used after bahuvrīhi when the atiśaya of the latter member is intended to secure bahvāḍhyatara etc.

उत्तरपदातिशये आतिशायिको बहुवीहेभवति विश्रतिषेधेन

Atiśāyana-pratyaya is used after bahuvrīhi when the atiśaya of the latter member is intended through vipratiṣēdha.

किं प्रयोजनम् ? Why?

बह्वाट्यतराद्यर्थः - बह्वाट्यतरः, बहुसुकुमारतरः

For the sake of securing the forms like bahvāḍhyataraḥ and bahusukumāratarah.

कः पुनरत्र विशेषो, बहुत्रीहेर्वातिशायिकः स्याद्, आतिशायिकान्तेन वा बहुत्रीहिः ?

What is the difference whether $\bar{a}ti\dot{s}\bar{a}yika$ -pratyaya is used after $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ or $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ is formed with $\bar{a}ti\dot{s}\bar{a}yik\bar{a}nta$?

स्वरकपोर्विशेषः । यद्यत्र आतिशायिकाद् बहुत्रीहिः स्यात् बहुात्यत्रं, एवं स्वरः प्रसज्येत, बहुात्यतरः इति चेष्यते । बहुात्यकतर इति च प्राप्नोति, बहुात्यतरक इति चेष्यते

There will be difference in the position of $ud\bar{a}tta$ and kap. If $bahuvr\bar{\imath}hi$ is formed after the appearance of the $\bar{a}ti\dot{s}\bar{a}yika$ -pratyaya, the $ud\bar{a}tta$ will be on ya in $bahv\bar{a}dhyatara$, but it is desired to be on ra; besides the form of the finished word would be $bahv\bar{a}dhyakatara$ though the desired form is $bahv\bar{a}dhyataraka$.

VI

समानाधिकरणाधिकारे शाकपार्थिवादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानमुत्तरपद्लोपश्च

Need for the $upasankhy\bar{a}na$ of $s\bar{a}kap\bar{a}rthiva$ etc. in the $sam\bar{a}-n\bar{a}dhikaran\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$ and that of the elision of uttarapada.

समानाधिकरणाधिकारे शाकपार्थिवादीनामुपसङ्ख्यानं कर्तव्यम् ; उत्तरपदलोपश्च वक्तव्यः – शाकभोजी पार्थिवः शाकपार्थिवः, कुतपवासाः सौश्रुतः कुतपसौश्रुतः, अजा-पण्यस्तौलबलिः अजातौलविलः, यष्टिप्रधानो मौद्गल्यः यष्टिमौद्गलयः ।

Addition of Śākapārthiva etc. in samānādhikaraṇādhikāra has to be made and the elision of the latter member is to be enjoined. Viz. śākabhōjī and pārthivaḥ compound into śākapārthivaḥ, kutapavāsāḥ and sāuśrutaḥ into kutapasāuśrutaḥ, ajāpaṇyaḥ and taulvaliḥ into ajātāulvaliḥ and yaṣṭiprādhānaḥ and māudgalyaḥ into yaṣṭimāudgalyaḥ.

चतुष्पादो गर्भिण्या (2, 1, 71)

चतुष्पाज्जातिः Catuṣpājjātēḥ in place of catuṣpādaḥ.

चतुष्पाज्जातिरिति वक्तव्यम्; इह मा भूत् कालाक्षी गर्भिणी, स्वस्तिमती गर्भिणी

The word $catuṣp\bar{a}dah$ must be replaced by $catuṣp\bar{a}jj\bar{a}t\bar{e}h$ so that the $s\bar{u}tra$ may not operate here in $k\bar{a}l\bar{a}kṣ\bar{\imath}$ $garbhin\bar{\imath}$ and $svastimat\bar{\imath}$ $garbhin\bar{\imath}$.

मयूरव्यंसकाद्यश्च (2, 1, 72)

किमर्थश्चकारः ? What is the meaning of ca (in the sūtra)?

एवकारार्थः - मयूरव्यंसकादय एव

It means ēva, so that the sūtra means Mayūravyamsakādaya ēva.

क मा भ्त ? Where should it not be?

परमो मयूरव्यंसक इति In paramah mayūravyainsakah.

TWENTYFIRST ĀHNIKA ENDS (Second adhyāya, first pāda, ends)

Twentysecond Ahnika

(Second adhyāya, second pāda, first āhnika)

अर्द्ध नपुंसकम् (2, 2, 2)

इह कसान्न भवति - श्रामार्द्धः, नगरार्द्ध इति ?

Why does not this operate in $gr\bar{a}m\bar{a}rddhah$ and $nagar\bar{a}rddhah$?

अर्द्धशब्दस्य नपुंसकलिङ्गस्येदं ग्रहणं, पुँलिङ्गश्चात्रायमर्द्धशब्दः

Arddha in neuter gender is stated in the sūtra and arddha in masculine gender is used there.

क पुनरयं नपुंसकछिंङः, क पुँछिङ्गः?

In which meaning is it neuter in gender and in which is it masculine?

समप्रविभागे नपुंसकलिङ्गः, अवयववाची पुँछिङ्गः

It is neuter if it means half and masculine if it means a part.

इह कसान्न भवति, अर्द्ध पिप्पलीनाम् इति ?

Why does not the sūtra operate in Arddham pippalīnām?

न वा भवति अर्द्धपिप्पल्य इति ?

Does it not take the form arddhapippalyah?

भवति, यदा खण्डसमुच्चयः - अर्द्धपिप्पली च ति वा न भवितन्यम् It does take the form arddhapippalyah if it means collection of half pieces of pippalī and has the vigrahavākya - arddhapippalī ca arddhapippalī ca, arddhapippalī ca and it does not take the same form, if the vākya is arddham pippalīnām.

तदा कसान्न भवति? Why does not that form appear then? एकाधिकरण इति वर्तते

There is anuvrtti for the word ēkādhikaraņē (from 2, 2, 1)

न तहींदानीमिदं भवति अर्द्धराशिरिति?

If so, cannot the word arddharāsih be secured?

भवति, एकमेवेदमधिकरणं योऽसौ राशिर्नाम

It is secured; evidently those which constitute $r\bar{a}\dot{s}i$ have there only one adhikarana (the $j\bar{a}ti$ in each grain).

द्वितीयतृतीयचतुर्थतुर्याण्यन्यतरस्याम् (2, 2, 3)

अन्यतरस्यांत्रहणं किमर्थम् Why is anyalarasyām read? अन्यतरस्यां समासो यथा स्यात् - समासेन मुक्ते वाक्यमपि यथा स्याद् द्वितीयं भिक्षाया इति

To make the $sam\bar{a}sa$ optional and to allow the phrase $dvit\bar{\imath}yam\ bhik\bar{\imath}a\bar{\jmath}ah$ in the absence of the $sam\bar{a}sa$.

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम् । प्रकृता महाविभाषा, तया वाक्यमपि भविष्यति No, this is not the benefit. There is $mah\bar{a}vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ by $Vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ (2, 1, 11) and the phrase stands through it.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम् । एकदेशिसमासेन मुक्ते षष्ठीसमासो यथा स्याद् भिक्षा-द्वितीयमिति

If so, the benefit is that, in the absence of $\bar{e}kad\bar{e}\hat{s}i$ -sam $\bar{a}sa$, $sasth\bar{i}$ -tatpurusa of the form $bhiks\bar{a}$ -dvit $\bar{i}yam$ may appear.

एतद्पि नास्ति प्रयोजनम् । अयमपि विभाषा, षष्ठीसमासोऽपि । तावुभौ वचनाद्भविष्यतः ।

This, too, is not the $pray\bar{o}jana$. This is optional and $sasth\bar{i}$ - $sam\bar{a}sa$ too. Hence $sasth\bar{i}$ - $sam\bar{a}sa$ and the phrase both will appear.

अत उत्तरं पठित $ar{A}car{a}rya$ ($Var{a}rttikakar{a}ra$) answers thus.

द्वितीयादीनां विभाषाप्रकरणे विभाषावचनं ज्ञापकमवयवविधाने सामान्यविधा-नाभावस्य

Reading of the word anyatarasyām with reference to $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$ etc. in $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}prakarana$ suggests that $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavidhi$ does not operate when there is $vis\bar{e}savidhi$.

द्वितीयादीनां विभाषाप्रकरणे विभाषावचनं कियते, ज्ञापनार्थम् The word anyatarasyām is read in $vibh\bar{a}$ sā-prakaraṇa with reference to $dvit\bar{i}y\bar{a}$ etc. for the sake of $j\bar{n}\bar{a}pana$.

किं ज्ञाप्यते? What is suggested?

एतद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्यः अवयवविधौ सामान्यविधिर्न भवतीति $Ac\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) suggests that $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nyavidhi$ does not operate at the time when $viś\bar{e}savidhi$ operates.

1. Cf. Pp. 242 and 243.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम्?

What is the prayojana of this jñapana?

भिनत्ति, छिनत्ति इति, श्रमि कृते शम्न भवतीति

When the rule $Rudh\bar{a}dibhyah$ śnam (3, 1, 78) operates with reference to bhinatti and chinatti, the general rule Kartari śap (3, 1, 68) does not operate.

नैतदस्ति प्रयोजनम् ; शबादेशाः श्यन्नादयः करिष्यन्ते

This is not the benefit. Śyan etc. are read as the ādēśa of śap. तत्ति हैं श्रोग्रहणं कर्तव्यम्

Śapah is then to be read in Rudhādibhyah śnam.

न कर्तव्यम् । प्रकृतमनुवर्तते

No, it need not. It is taken by anuvrtti from the context.

क प्रकृतम्? What is it which is prakṛta?

कर्तरि शब् इति The sūtra Kartari śap.

तद्वे प्रथमानिर्दिष्टं, षष्ठीनिर्दिष्टेन चेहाथः

It is in the first case there and the sixth case is wanted here.

रुधादिभ्यः इत्येषा पञ्चमी राबिति प्रथमायाः षष्ठीं प्रकल्पयिप्यति तसादित्युत्तरस्येति The $pa\tilde{n}cam\bar{\imath}$ in the word $rudh\bar{a}dibhyah$ enables the $pratham\bar{a}$ sap change to $sasth\bar{\imath}$ by the $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ $Tasm\bar{a}d$ ityuttarasya.

प्रत्यविधिरयं, न च प्रत्यविधो पञ्चम्यः प्रकल्पिका भवन्ति This is pratyaya-vidhi and $pa\tilde{n}cam\bar{\imath}$ in pratyaya-vidhi cannot enable $pratham\bar{a}$ change to $sasth\bar{\imath}$.

नायं प्रत्ययविधिः । विहितः प्रत्ययः ¹ प्रकृतश्चानुवर्तते । This is not pratyaya-vidhi. The pratyaya enjoined elsewhere is taken here by anuvitti.

एवं तर्हि ज्ञापयत्याचार्यः यत्रोत्सर्गापवादं विभाषा तत्रापवादेन मुक्ते उत्सर्गो न भवति इति

If so, $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ suggests that, where there is $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ between a general rule and its exception, the general rule cannot operate in the absence of the exception.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit of this jñāpana? दिक्पूर्वपदान्डीष् - प्राङ्मुखी, प्राङ्मुखा; प्रत्यङ्मुखी, प्रत्यङ्मुखी, प्रत्यङ्मुखी, प्रत्यङ्मुखी । ङीपा मुक्ते डीष् न भवति ।

1. Prakṛta ēva is another reading.

 $\dot{N}\bar{\imath}p$ takes the place of $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}s$ so that $pr\bar{a}\dot{n}mukh\bar{\imath}$ and $pratya\dot{n}-mukh\bar{\imath}$ are secured. Since it is optional (by the anuvrtti of $v\bar{a}$ from $Asv\bar{a}\dot{n}gap\bar{\imath}rvapad\bar{a}d$ $v\bar{a}$ 4, 1, 53), we get the forms $pr\bar{a}\dot{n}-mukh\bar{a}$ and $pratya\dot{n}mukh\bar{a}$ and not the forms with $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}s$.

नैतदस्ति प्रयोजनम् । वक्ष्यत्येतद् दिक्पूर्वपदान्ङीषोऽनुदात्तत्वम् । ङीब्विधाने द्यान्यत्रापि ङीप्विषयाद् ङीप्पसङ्ग इति ।

This is not the prayōjana. Vārttikakāra is going to read (under $Dik...\dot{n}\bar{\imath}p$ 4, 1, 60) the vārttikas $Dikp\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}n$ $\dot{n}\bar{\imath}s\bar{\imath}s$ nudāttatvam, Nībvidhānē hyanyatrāpi hīsvisayād nīp-prasangah.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम् - अर्द्धिपिपली, अर्द्धकोशातकी, एकदेशिसमासेन मुक्ते षष्ठीसमासो न भवति । उन्मत्तगङ्गं, लोहितगङ्गम्, अन्ययीभावेन मुक्ते बहुत्रीहिर्न भवति । दाक्षिः प्राक्षिः, इञा मुक्तेऽण् न भवति

If so, this is the $pray\bar{o}jana$:—There is no $sasih\bar{i}sam\bar{a}sa$ in the absence of $\bar{e}kad\bar{e}sisam\bar{a}sa$, $arddhapippal\bar{i}$ and $arddhak\bar{o}s\bar{a}tak\bar{i}$; there is no bahuvihi in the absence of $avyay\bar{i}bh\bar{a}va$ unmatta-gangam and $l\bar{o}hitagangam$; and there is no an in the absence of $i\tilde{n}$ in $D\bar{a}ksih$ and $Pl\bar{a}ksih$.

यद्येतद् ज्ञाप्यते, उपगोरपत्यम् औपगवः, ताद्धितेन मुक्ते उपग्वपत्यम् इति न सिध्यति

If it is so suggested, Upagvapatyam cannot be secured in the absence of $taddhit\bar{a}nta$ $\bar{A}upagavah$ from $Upag\bar{o}h$ apatyam.

अस्त्यत्र विशेषः - द्वे ह्यत्र विभाषे, दैवयज्ञिशौचिवृक्षिसात्यमुग्रिकाण्ठेविद्धिभ्योऽ-न्यतरस्याम्, समर्थानां प्रथमाद्वा इति । तत्र एकया वृत्तिर्विभाषा, अपरया वृत्तिविषये विभाषापवादः

There is a special feature here:—Here are two $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}s:-D\bar{a}ivayaj\tilde{n}i-\bar{s}\bar{a}ucivrksi-s\bar{a}tyamugri-k\bar{a}nth\bar{e}viddhibhy\bar{o}snyataras-y\bar{a}m$ 4, 1, 81) and $Samarth\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ pratham $\bar{a}d$ $v\bar{a}$ (4, 1, 82). Through one there is $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ of vrtti and through another there is the $apav\bar{a}da$ of $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$ with reference to vrtti ($\bar{e}k\bar{a}rth\bar{v}bh\bar{a}va$).

क्रियमाणेऽपि वै अन्यतरस्यांग्रहणे षष्ठीसमासो न प्राप्नोति Even on reading anyatarasyām şaṣṭhīsamāsa may not set in.

किं कारणम्? Why?

¹ पूरणगुणेन इति पतिषेधात्

Through the pratisēdha Pūraņa-guṇa...(2, 2, 11).

नैतद् पूरणान्तम्, अनैतत्पर्यवपन्नम्

This $(dvit\bar{\imath}yah)$ etc.) is not $p\bar{u}ranapratvay\bar{a}nta$, since $t\bar{\imath}ya$ is separated from anta by an (by $P\bar{u}ran\bar{a}d$ $bh\bar{a}g\bar{e}$ $t\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}d$ an 5, 3, 48.)

एतदिष पूरणान्तमेव This, too, is certainly pūranapratyayānta.

कथम्! How?

पूरणं नामार्थः; तमाह तीयशब्दः; अतः पूरणम्; योऽसौ पूरणान्तात् स्वार्थे भागेऽन्, सोऽपि पूरणमेव

 $P\bar{u}rana$ stands for $p\bar{u}ran\bar{a}rtha$. The suffix $t\bar{\imath}ya$ conveys it; hence it is $p\bar{u}rana$; the an coming after $p\bar{u}ran\bar{a}nta$ in $sv\bar{a}rtha$ is also $p\bar{u}rana$.

एवं तर्हि अन्यतरस्यांग्रहणसामध्यति षष्ठीसमासो भविष्यति । If so, $sasth\bar{s}sam\bar{a}sa$ sets in on account of the $s\bar{a}marthya$ of the reading of $anyatarasy\bar{a}m$.

प्राप्तापने च द्वितीयया (2, 2, 4)

किमधेश्वकारः Why is cakāra read?

चकारोऽनुकर्षणार्थः Cakāra is to attract another.

किमनुकृष्यते ? What is attracted ?

अन्यतरस्यामित्येतद्नुकृष्यते Anyatarasyam is attracted.

किं प्रयोजनम्? What is the benefit?

अन्यतरस्यां समासो यथा स्यात्, समासेन मुक्ते वाक्यमपि यथा स्याद् जीविकां प्राप्तः इति

So that $sam\bar{a}sa$ may set in optionally and so that the phrase $j\bar{\imath}vik\bar{a}m$ $pr\bar{a}ptah$ too may stand in its absence.

नैतद्स्ति प्रयोजनम् । प्रकृता महाविभाषा, तया वाक्यमपि भविष्यति । This is not the benefit. There is the adhikāra for mahāvibhāṣā, which may enable the phrase too stand.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम्, द्वितीयासमासोऽपि यथा स्यात् जीविकापाप्त इति If so, this is the $pray\bar{o}jana$ that there may be the $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}sam\bar{a}sajivik\bar{a}pr\bar{a}ptah$ too.

1. Pūraņēna is another reading.

एतद्पि नास्ति प्रयोजनम् । अयमप्युच्यते, द्वितीयासमासोऽपि, तदुभयं वचनाद् भविष्यति

This too is not the $pray\bar{o}jana$. The use of the phrase is stated and also that of $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}sam\bar{a}sa$; both are secured by $s\bar{\imath}utras$ already read.

एवं तर्हि नायमनुकर्षणार्थश्चकारः

Cakāra is not then to attract another.

किं तर्हि? What for then?

अत्यमनेन विधीयते – प्राप्तापन्ने द्वितीयान्तेन सह समस्येते, अत्वं च भवति प्राप्तापन्नयोः इति - प्राप्ता जीविकां, प्राप्तजीविकां; आपन्ना जीविकाम्, आपन्नजीविका Atva is enjoined by this. The $s\bar{u}tra$ means that the words $pr\bar{a}pt\bar{a}$ and $\bar{u}pann\bar{a}$ compound with a noun in the second case and the final \bar{a} of $pr\bar{a}pt\bar{a}$ and $\bar{a}pann\bar{a}$ is changed to a. Hence $pr\bar{a}pt\bar{a}$ and $j\bar{v}ik\bar{a}m$ compound into $pr\bar{a}ptaj\bar{v}ik\bar{a}$ and $\bar{a}pann\bar{a}$ and $j\bar{v}ik\bar{a}m$ into $\bar{a}pannaj\bar{v}ik\bar{a}$.

Note:—If so, the $s\bar{u}tra$ has to be read $Pr\bar{a}pt\bar{a}pann\bar{e}sca$ $dvit\bar{\imath}y\bar{a}$. But $Bhatt\bar{o}jid\bar{\imath}ksita$ reads $dvit\bar{\imath}yay\bar{a}$ into $dv\bar{\imath}tayay\bar{a}$ a.

कालाः परिमाणिना (2, 2, 5)

There are four topics here:—(1) Which member in $m\bar{a}saj\bar{a}tali$ is $pradh\bar{a}na$? (2) How is it that $j\bar{a}tasya$ is $sasih\bar{i}$ in $v\bar{a}kya$ and it changes to $pratham\bar{a}$ in $sam\bar{a}sa$? (3) Is $m\bar{a}sa$ $parim\bar{a}na$ of $j\bar{a}ta$? (4) Need for the $upasankhy\bar{a}na$ of $\bar{e}kavacana-dvigu$.

I

किंपधानोऽयं समासः ! Which is pradhāna in the samāsa ? उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः The latter member is pradhāna.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that this question arises on this standpoint:—In the vigraha- $v\bar{a}kya$ $m\bar{a}s\bar{o}$ $j\bar{a}tasya$, $j\bar{a}tasya$, being $sasth\bar{i}$, is $apradh\bar{a}na$ and in $sam\bar{a}sa$ $M\bar{a}saj\bar{a}t\bar{o}$ $dr\acute{s}yat\bar{a}m$ it is $pradh\bar{a}na$, since it has $k\bar{a}ryasambandha$.

TI

यदि उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः सधर्मणानेन अन्येरुत्तरपदार्थप्रधानिर्भवितव्यम् । अन्येषु चोत्तरपदार्थप्रधानेषु यैवासावन्तर्वर्तिनी विभक्तिः तस्याः समासेऽपि श्रवणं भवति । तद्यथा, राज्ञः पुरुषो राजपुरुष इति । इह पुनर्वाक्ये षष्ठी, समासे प्रथमा, केनैतदेवं भवति ?

If it is $uttarapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$, it should be on a par with other $uttarapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$ -samāsas. The case-suffix of the latter member of the $vigrahav\bar{a}kya$ there remains the same as in the $sam\bar{a}sa$. Cf. $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ puruṣah and $r\bar{a}japuruṣah$. But here $j\bar{a}tasya$ is ṣaṣṭhī in $v\bar{a}kya$ and it changes to $pratham\bar{a}$ in $sam\bar{a}sa$. On what basis is it so?

योऽसो मासजातयोरभिसम्बन्धः समासे स निवर्तते । अभिहितः सोऽर्थोऽन्तर्भूतः प्रातिपदिकार्थः सम्पन्नः । तत्र प्रातिपदिकार्थे प्रथमा इति प्रथमा भविष्यति The relation which is seen between $m\bar{a}sa$ and $j\bar{a}ta$ in the $vigrahav\bar{a}kya$ disappears in the $sam\bar{a}sa$. Though it is stated in the $v\bar{a}kya$, it disappears and merges into $pr\bar{a}tipadik\bar{a}rtha$. It, then, takes the first case on the strength of the $s\bar{u}tra$ $Pr\bar{a}dipadik\bar{a}rtha...pratham\bar{a}$ (2, 3, 46).

न तहींदानीमिदं भवति मासजातस्येति?

Is not, then, possible to secure the form māsajātasya?

भवति बाह्यमर्थम् अभिसमीक्ष्य षष्ठी

Sixth case comes after $m\bar{a}saj\bar{a}ta$ to show its sixth-case-relation with an external object.

III

कालस्य येन समासस्तस्यापरिमाणित्वादनिर्देशः

Since that with which $k\bar{a}la$ - $v\bar{a}caka$ -sabda compounds is not $parim\bar{a}nin$, the expression is not sound.

कालस्य येन समासः सोऽपरिमाणी । तस्यापरिमाणित्वाद् अनिर्देशः । अगमको निर्देशः अनिर्देशः । न हि जातस्य मासः परिमाणम् ।

That with which $k\bar{a}la$ - $v\bar{a}caka$ - $\pm abda$ compounds is not parimanin (i.e.) it does not have the $k\bar{a}la$ for its measure. Since it is not parimanin, the expression is not sound. The derivation of the word $anird\bar{e}\pm ah$ is $agamak\bar{o}$ $nird\bar{e}\pm ah$; for $m\bar{a}sa$ is not the measure of the $j\bar{a}ta$ (child).

कस्य तर्हि? Of whom then?

त्रिंशद्रात्रस्य । तद्यथा द्रोणो बद्राणां देवदत्तस्य इति न देवदत्तस्य द्रोणः .परिमाणम् ।

Of thirty days. For instance, drona is not parimāņa of Dēvadatta in Drono badarāņām Dēvadattasya.

कस्य तर्हि ? Of whom then? बदराणाम् Of jujubes.

सिद्धं तु कालपरिमाणं यस्य स कालस्तेन

The soundness of the expression is achieved, since the $k\bar{a}la$ - $v\bar{a}caka$ - $\pm sabda$ compounds with that which is related to the time restricted by the $k\bar{a}la$ - $\pm v\bar{a}caka$ - $\pm sabda$.

सिद्धमेतत् The desired object is achieved.

कथम्? How?

कालपरिमाणं यस्य स कालः तेन समस्यत इति वक्तव्यम्

 $K\bar{a}la$ is that which becomes $paricch\bar{e}da$ to the $k\bar{a}la$ - $s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya$ which is related to it and it must be stated that it compounds with it $(j\bar{a}ta)$.

सिध्यति । सूत्रं तर्हि भिद्यते

The object is achieved; but the $s\bar{u}tra$ is modified.

यथान्यासमेवास्तु Let the sūtra be as it is.

ननु चोक्तं कालस्य येन समासस्तस्यापरिमाणित्वादनिर्देश इति ।

Oh, it was said that the expression is unsound on account of its being aparimānin with which $k\bar{a}la$ - $v\bar{a}caka$ -sabda compounds.

कं पुनर्भवान् कालं मत्वाह - कालस्य येन समासस्तस्यापरिमाणित्वादिनिर्देश इति ? What does, in your opinion, the word kāla denote when you say kālasya yēna samāsah tasya aparimānitvād anirdēśah ?

येन मूर्तीनामुपचयाश्चापचयाश्च लक्ष्यन्ते तं कालमित्याहुः । तस्यवं कयाचित् क्रियया युक्तस्य अहरिति च भवति रात्रिरिति च ।

They say that $k\bar{a}la$ is that when the growth or the decay of bodies is seen. The same, in association with some action, gets the name of the day and the night.

कया कियया? With which action?

आदित्यगत्या With the movement of the sun.

तयैवासकृदावृत्तया मास इति भवति, संवत्सर इति च भवति ।

The same being associated with it when repeated gets the name of month and year.

यद्येवं भवति जातस्य मासः परिमाणम्

If so, $m\hat{a}sa$ becomes the $parim\bar{a}na$ of $j\bar{a}ta$.

TV

एकवचनद्विगोश्चोपसङ्ख्यानम्

Need for the upasankhyāna of ēkavacana and dvigu too.

एकवचनान्तानामिति वक्तन्यम् । इह मा भूत्, मासौ जातस्य, मासा जातस्य इति । द्विगोश्चेति वक्तन्यम् - इहापि यथा स्यात्, द्विमासजातः, त्विमासजातः Ekavacanāntānām must be read to prevent samāsa between māsāu and jātasya and between māsāḥ and jātasya. The expression dvigōś ca should be read so that compounds dvimāsajātaḥ and trimāsajātaḥ too may be secured.

डक्तं वा It has been answered.

किमक्तम्? How has it been answered?

एकवचने तावदुक्तम् अनिभधानादिति

With reference to $\bar{e}kavacana$, it has already been answered by the statement $Anabhidh\bar{a}n\bar{a}t$.

Note:—Perhaps he refers to the $v\bar{a}rttika$ Sanghātasya $\bar{a}ik\bar{a}rthy\bar{a}d$ $n\bar{a}vayavasankhy\bar{a}tah$ subutpattih in the sūtra Samarthah padavidhih (2, 1, 1).

द्विगोः किमुक्तम् ? What has been said with reference to dvigu? उत्तरपदेन परिमाणिना द्विगोः समासवचनम्

Uttarapadēna parimāninā dvigāh samāsavacanam (under 2, 1, 51)

नञ् (2, 2, 6)

Whether $na\tilde{n}sam\bar{a}sa$ is $utlarapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$, $anyapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$ or $p\bar{u}rvapad\bar{a}rthapradh\bar{a}na$ is discussed here.

किंप्रधानोऽयं समासः?

Whose member's meaning in this compound is pradhana? उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः

It has the meaning of the latter member pradhana.

यद्युत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः, अब्राह्मणमानय इत्युक्ते ब्राह्मणमात्रस्यानयनं प्राप्नोति । If it is uttarapadārthapradhāna, there is chance to bring only a brahman on hearing the expression Abrāhmaņam ānaya.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that $vi\hat{s}\bar{e}sana$ cannot affect the connotation of $vi\hat{s}\bar{e}sya$.

अन्यपदार्थप्रधानस्तर्हि भविष्यति

If so, let it be anyapadārthapradhāna.

यदि अन्यपदार्थप्रधानः " अवर्षा हेमन्तः" इति हेमन्तस्य यिक्षक्तं वचनं च तत् समासस्यापि प्रामोति

If it is anyapadārthapradhāna, the word avarṣāḥ in Avarṣā hēmantaḥ may have to agree with hēmanta in gender and number.

पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानस्तर्हि भविष्यति

If so, let it be pūrvapadārthapradhāna.

यदि पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानः, अञ्ययसंज्ञा प्राप्तोति ; अञ्ययं ह्यस्य पूर्वपदम् इति If it is pūrvapadārthapradhāna, it may get avyayasanijāā; for its pūrvapada is avyaya.

नैष दोष: । पाठेनान्ययसंज्ञा कियते, न च नन्समासस्तल पठ्यते । No, this difficulty will not arise. $Avyaya-saninjn\bar{u}$ reaches those read in the $ganap\bar{a}tha$ and $na\tilde{n}sam\bar{a}sa$ is not read there.

यद्यपि नञ्समासो न तत्र पठ्यते, नञ् तु पठ्यते । पाठेनापि अव्ययसंज्ञायां सत्यामभिषेयविष्ठङ्गवचनानि भवन्ति । यश्चेह अर्थोऽभिषीयते न तस्य छिङ्गसङ्ख्याभ्यां योगोऽस्ति

 $Na\tilde{n}$ is read there even though $na\tilde{n}$ -sam \bar{a} sa is not. When it gets avyaya-sa $\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ through $p\tilde{a}$ tha, the gender and number are used in consonance with those of the $pradh\bar{a}n\bar{a}r$ tha- $v\bar{a}$ caka. The $pradh\bar{a}n\bar{a}r$ tha here is not connected with any gender or number.

नेदं वाचनिकमलिङ्गता असङ्ख्यता वा

This alingatva or asankhyātva is not based on verbal testimony.

ाकें तर्हि ? On what then?

स्वाभाविकमेतत् It is natural.

त्यथा, समानमीहमानानाञ्च अधीयानानां च केचिद्र्थेयुज्यन्ते, अपरे न । न चेदानीं कश्चिद् अर्थवानिति कृत्वा सर्वेर्थवद्भिः शक्यं मवितुं, कश्चिद्वा अनर्थक इति सर्वेरनर्थकैः । तत्र किमसाभिः शक्यं कर्तुम् । यन्न । शक् समासाद् लिङ्गसङ्ख्याभ्यां योगो नास्ति, समासे च भवति, स्वाभाविकमेतत् ।

For instance, of those persons who study equally with the same hope (of getting money), some get it and others do not.

1. Cf. Vol. i p. 155.

Because one gets money, it is not necessary that all have got it. Or because one does not get it, it is not necessary that all have not got it. What can be done by us there? It is in the nature of $na\tilde{n}$ to be devoid of gender and number before it becomes a member of the $sam\bar{a}sa$ and to have them after it becomes so.

अथ वा आश्रयतो लिङ्गवचनानि भविष्यन्ति । गुणवचनानां हि शब्दाना-माश्रयतो लिङ्गवचनानि भवन्ति । तद्यथा शुक्कं वस्त्रं, शुक्का शाटी, शुक्कः कम्बलः, शुक्को कम्बलो, शुक्काः कम्बला इति । यदसो द्रव्यं श्रितो भवति गुणः, तस्य यिल्ठिङ्गं वचनं च तद् गुणस्यापि भवति । एविमहापि यदसौ द्रव्यं श्रितो भवति समासः, तस्य यिल्ठिङ्गं वचनं च तत् समासस्यापि भविष्यति ।

Or gender and number are decided from the words that are qualified. Words denoting quality take the gender and the number of the guṇins. Viz. Śuklam vastram, śuklā śāṭī, śuklaḥ kambalaḥ, śuklāu kambalāu and śuklāḥ kambalāḥ. If the guṇa-vācaka qualifies guṇi-vācaka, the former takes the gender and the number of the latter. So also the samāsa takes the gender and the number of the dravya to which it refers.

अथ वा पुनरस्तु उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः

Or let it be, as was said before, uttarapadārthapradhāna.

ननु चोक्तम् - अब्राह्मणमानय इत्युक्ते ब्राह्मणमात्रस्यानयनं प्राप्तोति इति । Oh, it was said that there is chance for a brahman alone to be brought when Abrāhmaṇam ānaya is said.

नैष दोषः । इदं तावदयं प्रष्टव्यः - अथेह राजपुरुषमानय, इत्युक्ते पुरुषमात्र-स्यानयनं कस्मान्न भवति ?

This difficulty cannot arise. He is to be put this question, "Why is not purusa alone brought when one is ordered to fetch rājapurusa here?"

अस्त्यत्न विशेषः – राजा विशेषकः प्रयुज्यते, तेन विशिष्टस्य आनयनं भवति There is a speciality here:—The word $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ becomes upalakṣaka and hence $r\bar{a}japuruṣa$ is fetched.

इहापि तर्हि नञ् विशेषकः प्रयुज्यते, तेन नञ्बिशिष्टस्यानयनं भविष्यति Here too, then, does $na\tilde{n}$ become $viś\bar{e}saka$, so that there is chance to fetch $na\tilde{n}$ -viśisṭa- $br\bar{a}hmaṇa$.

कः पुनरसा ? Who is he?

निवृत्तपदार्थकः

One from whom the $pad\bar{a}rtha$ of $br\bar{a}hmana$ (i. e. mukhyam $br\bar{a}hmanyam$) is with held.

Note:-Abrāhmaņah means adhyārēpitabrāhmaņyah kṣatriyādih.

यदा पुनरस्य अर्थे। निवर्तते, किं स्वामाविकी निवृत्तिः आहोस्विद् वाचिनिकी ? If the meaning of the uttarapada is withheld, is it so by its very nature or is it made by the word $na\tilde{n}$?

किञ्चातः ? What does it matter if it is this or that?

यदि स्वाभाविकी, किं नञ् प्रयुज्यमानः करोति ? अथ वाचिनिकी, तद्वक्तव्यं नञ् प्रयुज्यमानः पदार्थं निवर्तयति इति

If it is by its very nature, what purpose is served by the use of $na\tilde{n}$? If, on the other hand, it is made by the word $na\tilde{n}$, it should be so stated that $na\tilde{n}$ in association with a noun withholds from it the comprehension of its meaning.

एवं तर्हि स्वामाविकी निवृत्तिः If so, nivṛtti is by its very nature.

ननु चोक्तं किं नञ् प्रयुज्यमानः करोति इति

Oh, it was said, "What purpose does the use of nañ serve?" नञ् प्रयुज्यमानः पदार्थ निवर्तयति

 $Na\tilde{n}$, in association with the word $br\bar{a}hmana$, enables it to have its meaning withheld from it.

कथम्? How?

कीलप्रतिकीलवत् । तद्यथा, कील आहन्यमानः प्रतिकीलं निर्हन्ति । Like wedge and counter-wedge. When the wedge is beaten, it makes the counter-wedge fall down.

यद्येतन्त्रजो माहात्म्यं स्यात्, न जातु चिद् राजानो हस्त्यश्वं बिभृयुः, नेत्येव राजानो ब्रुयुः ।

If this is the greatness of $na\tilde{n}$, never will kings maintain an army of elephants and horses. They will simply pronounce the word na.

Note:—The humour of Mahābhāṣyakāra here deserves to be noted.

एवं तर्हि स्वाभाविकी निवृत्तिः If so, nivṛtti is by its very nature. ननु चोक्तम्, किं नञ् प्रयुज्यमानः करोति इति

Oh, it was said, "What purpose does the use of nañ serve?"

तित्रिमित्ता तूपलिव्धः । तद्यथा - समन्धकारे द्रव्याणां समवस्थितानां प्रदीप-निमित्तं द्रीनम् ; न च तेषां प्रदीपो निर्वर्तको भवति ।

The comprehension of the meaning (that $br\bar{a}hmana$ in $abr\bar{a}h-mana$ means not the $mukhyabr\bar{a}hmana$ but $\bar{a}r\bar{o}pita-br\bar{a}hmanya-vad-br\bar{a}hmana$) is with the help of $na\tilde{n}$. It may be illustrated thus:-The sight of objects in dense darkness is with the help of light; but the light does not create them.

यदि पुनरयं निवृत्तपदार्थकः, ब्राह्मणशब्दः किमर्थं प्रयुज्यते ? एवं यथा विज्ञायेत अस्य पदार्थो निवर्तते इति, नेत्युक्ते सन्देहः स्यात् कस्य पदार्थो निवर्तते इति । तत्र असन्देहार्थः ब्राह्मणशब्दः प्रयुज्यते । एवं चैतत् । If nañ is nivṛtta-padārthaka, what for is the word brāhmaṇa

used? If 'na' is used to signify that the meaning of a word is withheld, it cannot be clearly understood without an iota of doubt whose meaning is withheld. Hence the word brāhmaṇa is used to clear the doubt. It has evidently this purpose.

अथ वा सर्व एते शब्दा गुणसमुदायेषु वर्तन्ते - ब्राह्मणः, क्षत्रियः, वैश्यः शूद्ध इति Or all these words - brāhmaṇaḥ, kṣatriyaḥ, vāiśyaḥ and śūdraḥ are used where the group of peculiar qualities is found.

तपः श्रुतं च योनिश्चेत्येतद्ब्राह्मणकारकम् । तपःश्रुताभ्यां यो हीनो जातिब्राह्मण एव सः ॥

तथा गौरः ग्रुच्याचारः पिङ्गलः कपिलकेशः इत्येतानप्यभ्यन्तरान् ब्राह्मण्ये गुणान् कुर्वन्ति ।

Austerities, study of Vēdas and birth are the *nimittas* to call one a brahman. He who is devoid of tapas and śruta is called jāti-brāhmaṇa. These proximate qualities too—red complexion, clean conduct and possessing reddish brown or tawny hair - are ascribed to brāhmaṇya.

मसुद्रियेषु च वृत्ताः शब्दा अवयवेष्विप वर्तन्ते । तद्यथा पूर्वे पञ्चालाः, उत्तरे पञ्चालाः ; तैलं भुक्तं, घृतं भुक्तम् ; शुक्को नीलः किपलः कृष्ण इति । एवमयं समुद्रिये प्रवृत्तो ब्राह्मणशब्दः अवयवेष्विप वर्तते जातिहीने गुणहीने च ।

1. Cf. Vol. I p. 77

Words denoting wholes are used to denote parts also:-Viz. East $Pa\tilde{n}c\bar{a}la$, North $Pa\tilde{n}c\bar{a}la$; $t\bar{a}ila$ is taken in, ghrta is taken in; white, blue, brown and black, object. So also the word $br\bar{a}hmana$ used to denote one possessing all the qualities is used to denote one who possesses them excluding $j\bar{a}ti$ and guna.

गुणहीने तावत् - अब्राह्मणोऽयं यस्तिष्ठनमूत्रयति, अब्राह्मणोऽयं यस्तिष्ठन् भक्षयति

Firstly with reference to $gunah\bar{\imath}na:$ -He who passes urine standing is $abr\bar{a}hmana$; he who eats standing is $abr\bar{a}hmana$.

जातिहीने सन्देहाद् दुरुपदेशाच ब्राह्मणशब्दो वर्तते । सन्देहात्तावत् - गौरं शुच्याचारं पिङ्गलकपिलकेशं दृष्ट्वा अध्यवस्यति, ब्राह्मणोऽयमिति । ततः उपलभते नायं ब्राह्मणः, अब्राह्मणोऽयमिति । तत्र सन्देहाद् ब्राह्मणशब्दो वर्तते; जातिकृता चार्थस्य निवृत्तिः

The word brāhmaṇa is used towards one who is not born a brahman through causes for doubting and wrong direction. Firstly through sandēha-nimitta:—On seeing one who is red in complexion, of pure conduct and having reddish brown or tawny hair, a man decides that he is a brahman. Then he learns that he is not a brāhmaṇa but an abrāhmaṇa. He uses, there, the word brāhmaṇa since there are causes for doubting it. Its meaning is withheld on knowing his true jāti.

दुरुपदेशात् - दुरुपदिष्टमस्य भवति - अमुष्मिन्नवकाशे ब्राह्मणः, तमानय इति । स तत्र गत्वा यं पश्यति तमध्यवस्यति, ब्राह्मणोऽयम् इति । ततः पश्चाद् उपलभते नायं ब्राह्मणः अब्राह्मणोऽयम् इति । तत्र दुरुपदेशाच्च ब्राह्मणशब्दो वर्तते । जातिकृता चार्थस्य निवृत्तिः । आतश्च सन्देहाद्दुरुपदेशाद्वा । न ह्ययं कालं माषराशिवर्णमापणे आसीनं दृष्ट्वाऽध्यवस्यति ब्राह्मणोऽयम् इति ; निर्ज्ञातं तस्य भवति ।

Through wrong direction:—He is given this wrong direction:—"There is a $br\bar{a}hmana$ in that place. Take him here." He goes there and decides that the person he sees is a $br\bar{a}hmana$. He then learns that he is not a $br\bar{a}hmana$ but an $abr\bar{a}hmana$. He uses there the word $br\bar{a}hmana$ through wrong direction. Its meaning is withheld through his true $j\bar{a}ti$. Hence the chance to use the word $br\bar{a}hmana$ arises from $sand\bar{e}hanimitta$ and $durupad\bar{e}sa$. He never decides one to be a $br\bar{a}hmana$ who is as

black as black gram and who is seated in a bazaar, since he knows him well (that he is not a $br\bar{a}hmana$).

इदं खल्विप, भूय उत्तरपदार्थप्राधान्ये सित संगृहीतं भवित

This too is achieved, in addition, if the $uttarapad\bar{a}rtha$ is considered $pradh\bar{a}na$.

किम्? What?

अनेकम् इति The word anēkam.

किमत्र संगृहीतम्? What is achieved here?

एकवचनम् Singular number.

कथं पुनरेकस्य प्रतिषेधेन द्विबहूनां संप्रत्ययः स्थात्?

How is it possible for two or more to be comprehended by the $pratis\bar{e}dha$ of one?

प्रसज्यायं कियागुणौ ततः पश्चान्तिवृत्तिं करोति । तद्यथा आसय शायय भोजय अनेकम् इति

Having enjoined kriyā and guṇa, he, then, prohibits one from having them, as in "Seat, provide with bed and feed anēka."

Note: $-K\bar{a}iyata$ says that since $kriy\bar{a}$ and guna have $\bar{a}sraya$ and since one is prohibited, many have them.

यद्यपि तावदत्र एतत् शक्यते वक्तुं यत्र क्रियागुणौ शसज्येते, यत्र तु खळु पुनर्न शसज्येते तत्र कथम्, अनेकं तिष्ठति इति?

Even though it is possible to say so where $kriy\bar{a}$ and guṇ a have already been enjoined, how will it be possible, in instances like $An\bar{e}kam\ tiṣṭhati$, where they have not already been enjoined?

भवति चैवंजातीयकानामप्येकस्य प्रतिषेधेन बहूनां सम्प्रत्ययः । तद्यथा - न न एकं प्रियम्, न न एकं सुखम् इति

Comprehension of many takes place through the pratisēdha of one in similar instances too; Viz. Na na ēkam priyam and Na na ēkam sukham.

Note:—The doubling of na is by the $s\bar{u}tra$ $\bar{A}b\bar{a}dh\bar{e}$ ca (8, 1, 10). Na na $\bar{e}kam$ means $an\bar{e}kam$.

इह अब्राह्मणत्वम् अब्राह्मणता परत्वात् त्वतली प्रामुतः

Here in the words $abr\bar{a}hmanatvam$ and $a\bar{b}r\bar{a}hmanat\bar{a}$ $tv\bar{a}$ and tal, being para may appear.

तत्र को दोष:? What harm is there?

स्वरे हि दोषः स्यात् । अब्राह्मणत्वम् इत्येवं स्वरः प्रसज्येत । अब्राह्मणत्वम् इति चेष्यते

There will be difficulty in svara. The initial syllable, will chance to be $ud\bar{a}tta$, though it is desired that the final syllable should be so.

नञ्समासे भाववचने उक्तम

It has been said with reference to the word denoting $bh\bar{a}va$ in $na\tilde{n}$ -sam $\bar{a}sa$ (in Tasya $bh\bar{a}vas$ $tvatal\bar{a}u$ (5, 1, 119).

त्वतलभ्यां नञ्समासः पूर्वविप्रतिषिद्धं त्वतलाः स्वरसिध्यर्थम् इति

 $Na\tilde{n}sam\bar{a}sa$ takes place with words ending in tva and tal through $p\bar{u}rvavipratis\bar{e}dha$ so that $ud\bar{a}tta$ may fall on tva and tal.

ईषदकुता (2, 2, 7)

ईषद्गुणवचनेनेति वक्तव्यम् । अक्नतेति ह्युच्यमाने इह च प्रसज्येत, ईषद्गार्थः, इह च न स्थात् ईषत्कडार इति

Guṇavacanēna should replace akṛtā. If akṛtā is read, it may operate here in īṣad-gārgyaḥ and not here in īṣat-kaḍāraḥ.

पष्टी (2, 2, 8)

कृद्योगा च Need to add kṛdyōgā.

कृद्योगा च षष्ठी समस्यत इति वक्तन्यम् - इध्मप्रत्रश्चनः, पलाशशातनः It must be read that a noun in the sixth case compounds with krdanta which it qualifies.

किमर्थमिदमुच्यते ? What is the need for reading this?

प्रतिपद्विधाना च षष्ठी न समस्यते इति वक्ष्यति । तस्यायं पुरस्ताद्पकर्षः । He (Vārttikakāra) is going to read that pratipadavidhāna-ṣaṣṭhī does not compound with what it qualifies (in 2, 2, 10). Exception to it is made here in advance.

का पुनः षष्ठी प्रतिपद्विधाना, का च कृद्योगा ?

Which $sasth\bar{i}$ is $pratipadavidh\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ and which is $krdy\bar{o}g\bar{a}$?

सर्वा षष्ठी प्रतिपद्विधाना शेषलक्षणां वर्जियत्वा । कर्तृकर्मणोः कृति इति तु या षष्ठी सा कृद्योगा । Any saṣṭhī not within the purview of Ṣaṣṭhī śēṣē (2, 3, 50) is $pratipadavidh\bar{a}n\bar{a}$. The ṣaṣṭhī within the purview of $Karir-karmanōh\ krti\ (2, 3, 65)$ is $krdy\bar{o}g\bar{a}$.

तत्स्थैश्र गुणैः

Along with words denoting qualities which its stem denotes.

तत्स्थेश्च गुणैः षष्ठी समस्यत इति वक्तव्यम् - ब्राह्मणवर्णः, चन्दनगन्धः, पटहशब्दः, नदीघोषः

It must be read that the word in the sixth case compounds with words denoting qualities which are denoted by its stem. Viz. Brāhmaṇavarṇaḥ, candanagandhaḥ, paṭah śabdaḥ and nadīghōṣaḥ.

Note:—When varņa is classified into brāhmaņavarņa kṣatriyavarņa etc., the words brāhmaṇa, kṣatriya etc. refer to varṇa and not to the guṇin. Similarly if gandha is classified into candanagandha, puṣpagandha etc., the words candana, puṣpa etc. refer to the gandha and not to the gandhin. If śabda is similarly classified into paṭaha-śabda, bhērīśabda etc., the words paṭaha, bhērī etc. refer only to śabda and not to śabdin. If ghōṣa is similarly classified into nadīghōṣa, samudra-ghōṣa etc., the words nadī, samudra etc. refer to the ghōṣa and not to the ghōṣin. In such cases, Vārttikakāra says, there is ṣaṣṭhī-tatpuruṣa.

न तु तिद्वशेषणैः But not with their viśēṣaṇas.

न तु तद्विशेषणैरिति वक्तव्यम् । इह गा भूत् - घृतस्य तीत्रो गन्धः, चन्दनस्य मृदः इति

It must be stated that they do not compound with their viśēṣaṇas, so that there may be no samāsa in ghṛtasya tīvrō gandhaḥ, candanasya mṛduḥ (sparśaḥ) etc.

किमर्थमिद्मुच्यते ? What for is this read?

Note:—Idam refers to the vārttika Tasthāiś ca guṇāiḥ.

गुणेन न इति प्रतिषेधं वक्ष्यति, तस्यायं पुरस्तादपकर्षः

 $Ac\bar{a}rya$ is going to read the $pratis\bar{e}dha$ Guṇ $\bar{e}na$ na and this is its $apav\bar{a}da$ in advance.

किं पुनः कारणं गुणेन न इत्युच्यते, न पुनर्गुणवचनेन न इत्युच्येत? Why is it read Guṇēna na and not Guṇavacanēna na?

नेवं शक्यम् । इह हि न स्थात् काकस्य काष्ण्यं, कण्टकस्य तैक्षण्यं, बलाकायाः शौकल्यम् इति । एतदेव खल्विप तस्मिन् योगे उदाहरणम् ।

It is not possible. In that case p_l at $i s \bar{e} dha$ cannot operate in the following:— $K \bar{c}$ kasya $k \bar{a} r s n y a m$, kantakasya $t \bar{a} i k s n y a m$ and $b a l \bar{a} k \bar{a} y \bar{c} h s \bar{a} u k l y a m$. These are the examples given in the $s \bar{u} t r a$.

यद्धीदं ब्राह्मणस्य शुक्काः वृपलस्य कृष्णा इति?

What about brāhmaņasya śuklāḥ (in brāhmaṇasya śuklā dantāḥ), and Vrṣalasya kṛṣṇāḥ (in vṛṣalasya kṛṣṇā dantāḥ)?

असामध्यदित्र न भविष्यति

They do not compound with each other on account of $as\bar{a}marthya$.

कथमसामर्थ्यम्? What is the basis for asāmarthya? सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति

The dictum $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ sam asamartham bhavati.

द्रव्यमत्र अपेक्ष्यते - दन्ताः

The gunin (of śuklāḥ etc.) (i.e.) dantāḥ is anticipated.

तसाद् गुणेन न इति वक्तन्यम् । गुणेन न इत्युच्यमाने तत्स्थैश्च गुणैः इति वक्तन्यम् । तत्स्थैश्च गुणैः इत्युच्यमाने न तु तिद्विशेषणैः इति वक्तन्यम् । Hence is the necessity to enjoin Guṇēna na. If Guṇēna na is read, Tatsthāiś ca guṇāiḥ has to be read. If Tatsthāiś ca guṇāih is read, Na tu tadviśēṣaṇāiḥ has to be read.

न निर्घारणे (2, 2, 10)

प्रतिपद्विधाना च Need to read Pratipadavidhānā ca.

प्रतिपद्विधाना च षष्ठी न समस्यत इति वक्तव्यम् - इह मा भूत् सर्पिषो ज्ञानं, मधुनो ज्ञानम् ।

It has to be read that the $\bar{sasth}\bar{i}$ which is $pratipadavidh\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ does not compound with that which it qualifies, to prevent sarpisah and $j\bar{n}\bar{a}nam$, and madhunah and $j\bar{n}\bar{a}nam$ from compounding with each other.

पूरणगुणसहितार्थसद्व्ययतव्यसमानाधिकरणेन (2, 2, 11)

गुणे किमुदाहरणम्? What is the example regarding guṇa? ब्राह्मणस्य शुक्काः, वृषलस्य कृष्णाः इति

Brāhmaņasya suklāh and vrsalasya krsnāh.

नैतदस्ति प्रयोजनम् । असामर्थ्यादत्र न भविष्यति

They cannot serve as examples. They do not compound with each other thro' $as\bar{a}marthya$.

क्थमसामध्येम What is the basis for asāmarthya?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति, द्रव्यमत्रापेक्ष्यते दन्ताः

The dictum $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ sam asamartham bhavati; the gunin-dantan is necessary to complete the idea.

इदं तर्हि - काकस्य कार्ण्यं, कण्टकस्य तैक्ण्यं, बलाकायाः शौक्ल्यम् इति This (each of the following) is then the example:— $K\bar{a}kasya$ $k\bar{a}rsnyam$, kantakasya $t\bar{a}iksnyam$ and $bal\bar{a}k\bar{a}y\bar{a}h$ $s\bar{a}uklyam$.

इदं चाप्युदाहरणं, ब्राह्मणस्य शुक्काः, वृषलस्य कृष्णाः इति
This too may be the udāharaṇa:—Brāhmaṇasya śuklāḥ and vṛṣalasya kṛṣṇāḥ.

ननु चोक्तम् - असामध्यी्दत्र न भविष्यति

Oh, it was said that they do not form samāsa thro' asāmarthya.

कथमसामर्थ्यम्? What is the basis for asāmarthya?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवतीति, द्रव्यमत्रापेक्ष्यते दन्ताः इति

The dictum $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ ṣam asamartham bhavati; there is, here, the $ap\bar{e}k$ ṣ \bar{a} of the dravya-dant $\bar{a}h$.

भवति वै कस्यचिदर्थात् प्रकरणाद्वा अपेक्ष्यं निर्ज्ञातं भवति तदा वृत्तिः प्राप्तोति There is chance for $sam\bar{a}sa$ if that, for which there is $ap\bar{e}ks\bar{a}$, is well understood through the capacity of the word or the context.

Note:—The word artha, above, possibly means linga (śabdasāmarthyam) of the $P\bar{u}rvam\bar{\imath}m\bar{a}msakas$.

सति किमुदाहरणम्?

What is the example regarding sat in the sūtra?

Note:—Śatr and śānac are designated as sat in 3, 2, 127. ब्राह्मणस्य पक्ष्यन्, ब्राह्मणस्य पक्ष्यमाणः

Brāhmaņasya pakṣyan and brāhmaṇasya pakṣyamāṇaḥ.

नैतद्स्ति । प्रतिषिध्यतेऽत्र पष्टी लप्रयोगे न इति

No, it cannot be; for $sasth\bar{\imath}$ is prohibited in $lapray\bar{\imath}ga$ (in Na $l\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}vyayanisth\bar{a}khalarthatrn\bar{a}m$ 2, 3, 69).

या च श्रूयते, एषा बाह्यमर्थमपेक्ष्य भवति । तत्रासामर्थ्याद् न भविष्यति । That which is current in usage depends upon some external element. $Sam\bar{a}sa$ there does not take place through $as\bar{a}marthya$.

कथमसामर्थ्यम् ? What is the basis for asāmarthya? सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति । द्रव्यमत्र अपेक्ष्यते ओद्नः

The dictum $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ ṣam asamartham bhavati. There is $ap\bar{e}k$ ṣā here for the dravya $\bar{o}dana$.

इदं तर्हि चोरस्य द्विषन्, वृषलस्य द्विषन्

If so, the udāharana is Cōrasya dviṣan and vṛṣalasya dviṣan.

ननु चालापि प्रतिषिध्यते पष्ठी Oh, saṣṭhī is prohibited here too. वक्ष्यत्येतत् द्विषः शतुर्वावचनम् इति

Vārttikakāra is going to read Dvisah saturvāvacanam (under 2, 3, 69).

अन्यये किमुदाहरणम् ? What is the example regarding avyaya? ब्राह्मणस्य उच्चैः, वृषलस्य नीचैः इति

Brāhmaņasya uccāih and vṛṣalasya nīcāih.

नैतद्स्ति । असामध्यदित्र न भविष्यति

No, it is not. There will be no samāsa here thro' asāmarthya.

कथमसामध्यम्? What is the basis for asāmarthya?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति । द्रव्यमत्रापेक्ष्यते आसनम्

The dictum $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ ṣam asamartham bhavati. There is $ap\bar{e}k$ ṣ \bar{a} for the dravya $\bar{a}sana$.

इदं तर्हि ब्राह्मणस्य कृत्वा, वृषलस्य कृत्वा इति

These, then, serve as examples:— $Br\bar{a}hmanasya$ $krtv\bar{a}$ and vrsalasya $krtv\bar{a}$.

एतदिप नास्ति, प्रतिषिध्यते तत्र षष्ठी अन्ययप्रयोगे न इति These, too, cannot be; Ṣaṣṭhī is prohibited with reference to avyaya (in Na lōkāvyaya...2, 3, 69).

या च श्र्यते एषा बाह्यार्थमपेक्ष्य भवति, तत्रासामध्यन्ति भवति
That which is current in usage depends upon some external element. Samāsa there does not take place through asāmarthya.

कथमसामध्यम् What is the basis for asāmarthya? सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति इति । द्रव्यमत्रापेक्ष्यते कटः

The dictum $S\bar{a}p\bar{e}k$ ṣam asamartham bhavati. There is $ap\bar{e}k$ ṣā for the dravya kaṭa here.

इदं तर्हि पुरा सूर्यस्योदेतोराधयः, पुरा वत्सानामपाकर्तीः

These then are the examples:— $Pur\bar{a}$ $s\bar{u}ryasya$ $ud\bar{e}t\bar{o}h$ $\bar{a}dh\bar{e}yah$ and $pur\bar{a}$ $vats\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ $ap\bar{a}kart\bar{o}h$.

ननु चात्रापि प्रतिषिध्यते अञ्ययमिति कृत्वा

Oh, there is prohibition here too since it is avyaya.

वक्ष्यत्येतत् - अव्ययप्रतिषेधे तोसुन्कसुनोरप्रतिषेधः इति

(Vārttikakāra) is going to read Avyayapratiṣēdhē tōsunkasunōr-apratiṣēdhaḥ (under 2, 3, 69).

समानाधिकरणे किमुदाहरणम् ?

What is the example regarding samānādhikaraņa?

राज्ञः पाटलिपुत्रकस्य, शुकस्य माराविकस्य, पाणिनेः सूत्रकारस्य $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}ah$ $p\bar{a}taliputrakasya$, śukasya $m\bar{a}r\bar{a}vikasya$ and $P\bar{a}nin\bar{e}h$ sūtrak $\bar{a}rasya$.

नैतद्स्ति । असामध्यदित्र न भविष्यति

This cannot be. They do not compound thro' asāmarthya.

कथमसामध्येम्? What is the basis for asāmarthya? समानाधिकरणमसमर्थवद्भवति

The dictum Samānādhikaraṇam asamarthavad bhavati.

इह तर्हि - सर्पिषः पीयमानस्य, यजुषः क्रियमाणस्य इति

If so, let these be $ud\bar{a}haranas:$ —Sarpiṣah pīyamānasya and Yajuṣah kriyamānasya.

ननु चात्रापि असामध्यदिव न भविष्यति

Oh, even here they do not compound thro' asāmarthya.

कथमसामध्येम्? What is the basis for asāmarthya? समानाधिकरणमसमर्थवद् भवतीति

That which has the same adhikarana is asamartha.

अधात्वभिहितमित्येवं तत्

It refers to that which is not abhihita by dhātu.

1. मा रावीत्याहेति माराविक: ... संज्ञैषा ग्रुकविशेषस्य । क्वचित्तु माराविदस्य इति पाठः । तत्र माराविशब्दं ददातीति माराविदेः (रघुनाथशास्त्री).

कमिणि च (2, 2, 14)

It is decided here that karmani should be qualified by $ubhaya-pr\bar{a}pt\bar{a}u$ and it should qualify $sasth\bar{i}$.

कथितं विज्ञायते, कर्मणि या षष्ठी सा न समस्यते इति, आहोस्वित् कर्मणि यः क्तः इति?

How is this to be interpreted, whether karmani ṣaṣṭhī does not compound with the following word or karmani ktali?

कुतः सन्देहः ? What is the source of the doubt?

उभयं प्रकृतं, तत्रान्यतरत् शक्यं विशेषितुम् ।

Both are in the context and hence either of them can be taken as $vi\hat{s}\bar{e}sya$.

कश्चात्र विशेषः ? What is the difference here?

कर्मणीति पष्टीनिर्देशश्रेद् अकर्तरि कृता समासवचनम्

If karmani qualifies sasthī, samīsa between karmani sasthī and akartari krdanta has to be enjoined.

कर्भणीति षष्ठीनिर्देशश्चेद् अकर्तरि कृता समासो वक्तव्यः - इध्मप्रत्रश्चनः, पलाशशातनः

If karm iņi qualifies ṣaṣṭhī, samāsa has to be enjoined between karmaņi ṣaṣṭhī and akartari kṛdanta, to secure the forms idhma-pravraścanaḥ and palāśa-sātanaḥ.

तुजकाभ्यां चानर्थकः प्रतिषेधः

The $pratis\bar{e}dha$ of $sam\bar{a}sa$ of karmani $sasth\bar{\imath}$ with words ending in trc and aka is unnecessary.

तृजकाभ्यां चानर्थकः कर्तरि प्रतिषेघो भवति - अपां स्रष्टा, पुरां भेता, यवानां लावकः, कर्मणि इत्येव सिद्धम्

The $s\bar{u}tra$ $Trjak\bar{a}bhy\bar{a}m$ kartari (2, 2, 15) to prevent $sam\bar{a}sa$ between $ap\bar{a}m$ and $srast\bar{a}$, between $pur\bar{a}m$ and $bh\bar{e}tt\bar{a}$ and between $yav\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ and $l\bar{a}vakah$ is unnecessary, since it will be secured by this $s\bar{u}tra$ Karmani ca.

अस्तु तर्हि कर्मणि यः क्तः इति Let karmani, then, qualify ktah. किमुदाहरणम्? What is the udāharana?

ब्राह्मणस्य भुक्तम् , वृषलस्य पीतम् इति Brāhmaṇasya bhuktam and vṛṣalasya pītam.

क्तनिर्देशेऽसमर्थत्वादप्रतिषेधः

No need for pratiṣēdha on account of asāmarthya if karmaņi qualifies kta.

क्तनिर्देशेऽसमर्थत्वाद्वतिषेधः । अनर्थकः प्रतिषेधः अप्रतिषेधः

Pratiṣēdha is of no use on account of asāmarthya if karmaṇi qualifies kta. Apratiṣēdhaḥ means anarthakaḥ pratiṣēdhaḥ.

समासः कस्मान्न भवति ? Why does not samāsa set in?

असामध्यति On account of the absence of sāmarthya.

कथमसामर्थ्यम् What is the basis for asāmarthya?

सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति । द्रव्यमत्रापेक्ष्यते ओदनः

The dictum Sāpēkṣam asamartham bhavati. The dravya ōdana is wanted here.

प्रतिपेध्यमिति चेत् कर्तर्यपि प्रतिपेधः

If the pratisēdha is considered necessary there, the same is needed in kartari also.

अथैवं सित प्रतिषेधः कर्तव्य इति मन्यसे, कर्तर्यपि प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः स्यात् -ब्राह्मणस्य गतः, ब्राह्मणस्य ज्ञातः इति

If even, as it is, you consider that there is need for pratisēdha (in karmaņi), pratisēdha in kartari too has to be enjoined to prevent samāsa in brāhmaņasya gatah and brāhmaņasya jñātah.

पूजायां च प्रतिपेधानर्थक्यम्

Non-need of the pratisēdhasūtra Ktēna ca pūjāyām.

पूजायां च मितपेधोऽनर्थकः । राज्ञां पूजितः, राज्ञाम् अर्चित इति कर्मणि इत्येव सिद्धम्

The $s\bar{u}tra$ $Kt\bar{e}na$ ca $p\bar{u}j\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ is unnecessary. $R\bar{a}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}m$ and $p\bar{u}jitah$ and $r\bar{a}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}m$ and arcitah will not compound by this $s\bar{u}tra$ Karmani ca.

तस्मादुभयप्राप्तौ कर्मणि पष्ट्याः प्रतिपेधः

Hence the pratisēdha refers to the sasthī qualified by ubhaya-prāptāu karmani.

तसाद उभयपासौ कर्मणीत्येवं या षष्ठी तस्याः प्रतिषेघो वक्तव्यः

Hence there is need to prohibit the samāsa between the ṣaṣthī qualified by ubhayaprāptāu karmaņi and the following word.

स तर्हि वक्तन्यः It, then, should be read.

न वक्तन्यः । इत्यर्थेऽयं चः पठितः । कर्मणि च, कर्मणि इत्येवं या पष्ठीति No, it need not be read. Ca here is read in the sense of iti. $Karmani\ ca\ means\ karmani\ ityēvam\ yā ṣaṣṭhī$.

नित्यं क्रीडाजीविकयोः (2, 2, 17)

किमिह नित्यप्रहणेनाभिसम्बध्यते विधिः आहोस्वित् प्रतिपेधः ?

Does nitya here go with vidhi or pratisēdha?

विधिरित्याह "It goes with vidhi", says he.

कुत एतत्? Why is it so?

विधिहिं विभाषा, नित्यः प्रतिषेधः

For vidhi is optional and pratisēdha is nitya.

कुगतिप्राद्यः (2, 2, 18)

 $V\bar{a}rttikak\bar{a}ra$ finds out two defects in the $s\bar{u}tra$ and suggests a $v\bar{a}rttika$ in its place followed by another. They are explained in detail by $S\bar{a}un\bar{a}gas$.

प्रादिप्रसङ्गे कर्मप्रवचनीयप्रतिषेधः

Pratisēdha of pra etc. if they are karmapravacanīyas.

प्रादिपसङ्गे कर्मप्रवचनीयानां प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः - वृक्षं प्रति विद्योतते विद्युत् ; साधुर्देवदत्तो मातरं प्रति ।

There is need to prohibit the samāsa of pra etc. if they are karmapravacanīyas. Cf. Vṛkṣam prati vidyōtatē vidyut and Sādhur Dēvadattō mātaram prati.

च्यवेतप्रतिषेधश्च Prohibition of samāsa between vyavahitas.

व्यवेतानां च प्रतिषेधो वक्तव्यः - आम्-द्रैरिंन्द्र हरिंभियांहि मयूररोमभिः। (R. V. 3, 45, 1.)

 $Sam\bar{a}sa$ between intercepted words is to be prohibited, as between \bar{a} and $y\bar{a}hi$ in \bar{A} mandr $\bar{a}ir$ indra haribhir $y\bar{a}hi$ may $\bar{u}ra$ -r $\bar{o}mabhih$.

सिद्धं तु काङ्खतिदुर्गतिवचनात्

The object is achieved replacing the $s\bar{u}tra$ by $Kv\bar{a}\dot{n}$ -svalidurgatayah.

सिद्धमेतत् This (the object) is achieved.

कथम्? How?

काङ्खितदुर्गतयः समस्यन्ते इति वक्तत्र्यम् - कु - कुब्राह्मगः, कुवृपलः, आङ् - आकडारः, आपिङ्गलः; सु - सुब्राह्मगः, सुवृपलः; अति - अतिब्राह्मगः, अतिवृपलः; दुर् - दुर्ब्राह्मगः, दुर्वृपलः; गिति - प्रकारकः, प्रणायकः, प्रसेवकः, उरिकृत्य। दिर् - दुर्ब्राह्मणः,, दुर्वृपलः; गिति - प्रकारकः, प्रणायकः, प्रसेवकः, उरिकृत्य। दिर — दुर्ब्राह्मणः,, दुर्वृपलः; गिति - प्रकारकः, प्रणायकः, प्रसेवकः, उरिकृत्य। दिर — दुर्ब्राह्मणः,, दुर्वृपलः; गिति - प्रकारकः, प्रणायकः, प्रसेवकः, उरिकृत्य। दिर — प्रकारकः, प्रकारकः, प्रसेवकः, प्रकारकः, प्रकारकः, प्रसेवकः, विद्यानिः, दिर्व्यकः, विद्यानिः, दिर्व्यकः, विद्यानिः, दिर्व्यकः, विद्यानिः, विद्यानिः,

प्राद्यः कार्थे Pra etc. in the sense of kta.

प्राद्यः कार्थे समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम् प्रगत आचार्यः - प्राचार्यः, प्रान्तेवासी, प्रितामहः

It must be enjoined that pra etc. in the sense of kta compound with samartha. Cf $Pr\bar{a}c\bar{a}ryah$ (= pragatah $\bar{a}c\bar{a}ryah$), $pr\bar{a}nt\bar{e}v\bar{a}s\bar{i}$ and $prapit\bar{a}mahah$.

एतदेव च सानागैर्विस्तरतरकेण पठितम्

The same is read in great detail by Sāunāgas.

स्वती पूजायाम् Su and ati to suggest veneration.

स्वती पूजायामिति वक्तव्यम् - सुराजा, अतिराजा

It must be read that su and ati compound with the samartha to suggest veneration; Cf. $sur\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ and $atir\bar{a}j\bar{a}$.

दुर्निन्दायाम् Dur to suggest contempt.

दुर्निन्दायामिति वक्तव्यम् - दुप्कुलं, दुर्गवः

It must be read that dur compounds with the samartha to suggest contempt. Cf. duṣkulam and durgavaḥ.

आङीपदर्थे An to suggest slightly.

आङीपदर्थ इति वक्तव्यम् - आकडारः, आपिङ्गलः

It must be read that $\bar{a}\dot{n}$ compounds with the samartha in $\bar{\imath}$ sadartha. Cf. \bar{a} kad \bar{a} rah and \bar{a} pingalah.

कु: पापार्थे Ku to suggest disdain.

कुः पापार्थ इति वक्तव्यम् - कुब्राह्मणः, कुवृषलः

It must be read that ku compounds with samartha to suggest disdain. Cf. kubrāhmaṇaḥ and kuvṛṣalaḥ.

प्रादयो गताद्यर्थे प्रथमया

Pra etc. meaning gata etc. compound with noun in the nominative case.

प्रादयो गताद्यर्थे प्रथमया समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम् - प्रगत आचार्यः - प्राचार्यः, प्रान्तेवासी, प्रपितामहः

It must be read that pra etc. meaning gala etc. compound with noun in the nominative case. Cf. prācāryaļi (pragataļi ācāryaḥ), prāntēvāsī and prapitāmahaḥ.

अत्याद्यः क्रान्ताद्यर्थे द्वितीयया

Ati etc. meaning $kr\bar{a}nta$ etc. with noun in the accusative case.

अत्यादयः क्रान्ताद्यर्थे द्वितीयया समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम्, अतिकान्तः खट्टाम् अतिखट्टः, अतिमालः

It must be read that ati etc. meaning $kr\bar{a}nta$ etc. compound with noun in the second case. Cf. atikhatvah (atikr $\bar{a}ntah$ khatv $\bar{a}m$) and atim $\bar{a}lah$.

अवाद्यः क्रुष्टाद्यर्थे तृतीयया

Ava etc. meaning krusta etc. with noun in the third case.

अवादयः कुष्टाद्यर्थे तृतीयया समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम् - अवकुष्टः कोकिलया अवकोकिलो वसन्तः

It must be read that ava etc. meaning krusta etc. compound with noun in the third case. Cf. avakōkilaḥ (=avakrustaḥ $k\bar{o}kilay\bar{a}$) in the expression $avak\bar{o}kil\bar{o}$ vasantaḥ.

पर्यादयो ग्लानाद्यर्थे चतुरुयी

Pari etc. meaning $gl\bar{a}na$ etc. compound with noun in the fourth case.

पर्यादयो ग्लानाद्यर्थे चतुर्थ्या समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम् - परिग्लानो अध्ययनाय पर्यध्ययनः

It must be read that pari etc. meaning pariglana etc. compound with noun in the fourth case. Cf. paryadhyayanah (=pariglano adhyayanāya).

निरादयः क्रान्ताद्यर्थे पश्चम्या

Nir etc. meaning $kr\bar{a}nta$ etc. compound with noun in the fifth case.

निरादयः क्रान्ताचर्थे पञ्चम्या समस्यन्त इति वक्तव्यम् - निष्क्रान्तः क्रीशाम्ब्याः निष्केशाम्बः, निर्वाराणसिः

It must be read that nir etc. meaning $kr\bar{a}nta$ etc. compound with noun in the fifth case. Cf. $Niṣk\bar{a}uś\bar{a}mbih$ (= $niṣkr\bar{a}ntah$ $k\bar{a}uś\bar{a}mby\bar{a}h$) and $nirv\bar{a}r\bar{a}nasih$.

अन्ययं प्रदृद्धादिभिः Avyaya with pravrddha etc.

अव्ययं प्रशृद्धादिभिः समस्यत इति वक्तव्यम् - पुनःप्रवृद्धं बहिर्भवति, पुनर्गवः, पुनःसुखम् ।

It must be read that avyaya compounds with pravrddha etc. Cf. punahpravrddham (in the expression punahpravrddham bahirbhavati), punargavah and punahsukham.

इवेन विभक्त्यलोपः पूर्वपदप्रकृतिस्वरं च

Noun with iva without the loss of case-suffix, having pūrva-padaprakrtisvara.

इवेन सह समासो विभक्त्यलोपः पूर्वपद्वकृतिस्वरं च वक्तव्यं, दाससी इव कन्ये इव It must be read that noun compounds with iva, when there is no elision of case-suffix and there is pūrvapadaprakṛṭisvara.

अन्ययमन्ययेन Avyaya with avyaya.

अञ्ययमञ्ययेन समस्यत इति वक्तञ्यम् - प्रपयज्ञपतिम्

It must be read that avyaya compounds with avyaya as in prapra in prapra yajñapatim.

¹ उदात्तगतिमता च तिङा

Avyoya with the tin which has udatta and which is gatimat.

उदात्तवता तिङा गतिमता च तिङा अव्ययं समस्यत इति वक्तव्यम् -अनुव्यचलत्, अनुव्याकरोति, यत्परियन्ति

It must be read that avyaya compounds with the finite verb which has udātta and which is gatimān, as in anuvyacalat, anuvyākarōti and yat-pariyanti.

उपपद्मतिङ (2, 2, 19)

There are four topics here:-(1) Need for atin in the $s\bar{u}tra$ (2) To which does it become $j\bar{n}\bar{a}paka$ and what is its $pray\bar{o}jana$?

- (3) With which does upapada compound itself? (4) Is there vipratisēdha between saṣṭhīsamāsa and upapadasamāsa?
 - 1. $Ud\bar{a}ttavat\bar{a}$ gatimath \bar{a} ca $tij\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ is another reading.

T

अतिङ् इति किमर्थम्? Why is atin read? कारको त्रजति, हारको त्रजति

The prevent samāsa between kārakaḥ and vrajati and hārakaḥ and vrajati.

अतिङ् इति शक्यमवक्तुम् Atin may be dispensed with.

कस्मान भवति, कारको त्रजति, हारको त्रजति इति?

By which is samāsa between kārakah and vrajati and between hārakah and vrajati prevented?

सुप्सुपेति वर्तते Sup supā is taken here by anuvriti.

अत उत्तरं पठति He reads the answer to this.

उपपद्मतिङ्गित तद्र्थप्रतिषेधः

 $Ati\dot{n}$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ prohibits the $sam\bar{a}sa$ of the upapada with that whose meaning is $ti\dot{n}artha$.

उपपद्मतिङिति तद्रथस्यायं प्रतिषेधः

Atin in the sūtra prohibits the samāsa of upapadam with tadartha.

कस्य? Whom does tad refer to?

तिङ्धेस्य To tinartha.

कः पुनस्तिङ्धः ? What is tinartha?

किया Action.

Note:—Of many meanings of tinanta, $kriy\bar{a}$ which is mukhya is taken here as artha by $laksan\bar{a}$.

क्रियाप्रतिषेधो वा Or the sūtra may be read Upapadam akriyā.

अथ वा व्यक्तमेवेदं पठितव्यम् - उपपदमिक्रयेति

Or the sūtra has to be plainly read upapadam akriyā.

अथ अकियेति किं प्रत्युदाह्वियते ?

If the word atin in the $s\bar{u}tra$ is replaced by $akriy\bar{a}$, what is the $pratyud\bar{a}harana$?

कारको, गतः, कारको यातः Kārakō gatah and kārakō yātah.

नैतत्कियावाचि This (gataḥ or yātaḥ) does not mean kriyā.

किं तर्हि ? What then?

द्रव्यवाचि It means dravya.

Note:— $K\bar{a}iyata$ says that gatah is $dravyav\bar{a}c\bar{\imath}$, since its $pratyay\bar{a}rtha$ which is mukhya is $kart\bar{a}$ and $kriy\bar{a}$ which is prakrtyartha is upasarjana to it.

इदं तर्हि, कारकस्य गतिः, कारकस्य त्रज्या इति

This, then, serves as $pratyud\bar{a}harana:-k\bar{a}rakasya$ gatih and $k\bar{a}rakasya$ $vrajy\bar{a}$.

एतदपि द्रव्यवाचि This too is dravyavāci.

कथम्? How?

कृदीभहितो भावो द्रव्यवद् भवति इति

On the accepted $atid\bar{e}\dot{s}a$ that the $bh\bar{a}va$ denoted by krt may be treated like dravya.

II

एवं तर्हि सिद्धे सित यदितिङिति प्रतिषेधं शास्ति तद् ज्ञापयत्याचार्यः अनयो-यागयोर्निवृत्तं सुप्सुपेति

Since $\bar{A}c\bar{a}rya$ ($S\bar{u}trak\bar{a}ra$) reads the word $ati\dot{n}$ in the $s\bar{u}tra$ even though its purpose is otherwise accomplished, he suggests that there is no anuviti for $\sup \sup \bar{a}$ in this $s\bar{u}tra$ and in the former one.

किमेतस्य ज्ञापने प्रयोजनम् ?

What is the the advantage gained from this $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}pana$?

गतिकारकोपपदार्ना कृद्धिः सह समासो भवति इत्येषा परिभाषा न कर्तव्या भवति ।

There will be no need to read the paribhāṣā Gati-kāraka-upa-padānām krdbhiḥ saha samāsō bhavati.

III

यद्येतत् ज्ञाप्यते केनेदानीं समासो भविष्यति ?

If this is suggested, with which will upapada compound itself? समर्थेन With that which is samartha.

यद्येवं घातूपसर्गयोरिप समासः श्राप्तोति - पूर्वं घातुरुपसर्गेण पश्चात्साघनेन इति If so, $sam\bar{a}sa$ may take place between $dh\bar{a}tu$ and upasarga too. $Dh\bar{a}tu$ may first compound with upasarga and then take the termination after it.

नैतदस्ति । पूर्वं धातुः साधनेन युज्यते, पश्चादुपसर्गेण । साधनं हिं कियां निर्वर्तयति ; तामुपसर्गो विशिनष्टि । अभिनिर्वृत्तस्य चार्थस्योपसर्गेण विशेषः शक्यो वक्तुम् ।

No, it is not so. $Dh\bar{a}tu$ first takes termination after it and then combines with upasarga. It is the termination that determines the nature of $kriy\bar{a}$, (with reference to time, doer etc.). Upasarga restricts its application. Upasarga can restrict its application only after its nature is determined.

IV

षष्टीसमासादुपपदसमासो विप्रतिषेधेन

Upapadašamāsa supersedes ṣaṣṭhīsamāsa through vipratiṣēdha.

षष्ठीसमासाद् उपपदसमासो भवति विप्रतिपेधेन । षष्ठीसमासस्यावकाशः -राज्ञः पुरुषो राजपुरुषः । उपपदसमासस्यावकाशः स्तम्बेरमः कर्णजपः । इहोभयं प्राम्नोति - कुम्भकारः, नगरकारः । उपपदसमासो भवति विप्रतिषेधेन ।

Upapadasamāsa will supersede ṣaṣṭhīsamāsa through vipratiṣēdha. The room for ṣaṣṭhīsamāsa to operate is:-rājñaḥ puruṣaḥ = rājapuruṣaḥ. The room for upapadasamāsa to operate is
this - sthambēramaḥ and karṇējapaḥ. Here both have a chance
to operate in kumbhakāraḥ and nagarakāraḥ. Upapadasamāsa
takes place superseding ṣaṣṭhīsamāsa through vipratiṣēdha.

न वा षष्ठीसमासाभावादुपपदसमासः

No. Upapadasamāsa sets in on account of the absence of saṣṭhīsamāsa.

न वार्थी विप्रतिषेधेन Vipratiṣēdha does not play its part.

किं कारणम्? Why?

षष्ठीसमासस्याभावादुपपदसमासो भविष्यति गतिकारकोपपदानां कृद्धिः सह समासवचनं प्राक् सुबुत्पत्तेः इति समासवचनात्

Upapadasamāsa appears in the absence of sasthīsamāsa on the authority of the statement that gati, kāraka and upapada compound with kṛdantas before case-suffixes are added.

अथ वा विभाषा षष्ठीसमासः । यदा न षष्ठीसमासस्तदोपपदसमासो भविष्यति . Or, sasthīsamāsa is optional. In its absence does upapada-samāsa appear.

अनेनैव यथा स्यात्तेन मा भूदिति

So that it may set in by this $s\bar{u}tra$ itself and not by it.

कश्चात्र विशेषः, तेन वा स्याद्नेन वा ?

What does it matter if it sets in by this or that?

अयमस्ति विशेषः - उपपदसमासो नित्यसमासः, षषीसमासः पुनर्विभाषा There is this difference :- $Upapadasam\bar{a}sa$ is $nityasam\bar{a}sa$, but $sasth\bar{s}sam\bar{a}sa$ is $vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$.

ननु च नित्यं यः समासः स नित्यसमासो यस्य विश्रहो नास्ति Oh! nityasamāsa is it which has no viyrahavākya.

नेत्याह । नित्याधिकारे यः समासः स नित्यसमासः

"No", says he. The samāsa read under the adhikāra of nitya is nityasamāsa.

नवं शक्यम् । अन्ययीभावस्य ह्यानित्यसगासता प्रसज्येत । तसानित्यः समासो नित्यसमासो यस्य विश्रहो नास्ति ।

No, it cannot be. Avyayībhāva may, then, come under anitya-samāsa. Hence nityasamāsa is it which has no vigrahavākya.

अमैवान्ययेन (2, 2, 20)

एवकारः किमर्थः ! Why is ēva read?

नियमार्थः For the sake of niyama.

नैतद्दित प्रयोजनम् । सिद्धे विधिरारभ्यमाणोऽन्तरेणवकारं नियमार्थो भविष्यति This is not the benefit. Vidhi without ēva enjoined to those already siddha is niyama-vidhi.

इष्टतोऽवधारणार्थस्तर्हि भविष्यति, यथैवं विज्ञायेत अमैवाव्ययेन इति, मैवं विज्ञायि अमाव्ययेनैवेति

It is then read for emphasis on the desired one, so that emphasis may be on $am\bar{a}$ and not on $avyay\bar{e}na$.

अस्ति चेदानीं कश्चिदनव्ययम् अम्शब्दो यदर्थो विधिः स्यात्? Is there a word am which is not avyaya, to avoid which the vidhi is intended?

अस्तीत्याह - खशयं ब्राह्मणकुरुम् इति

"This is," says he - khasayam brāhmanakulam.

Note:—Kāiyaṭa reads here:—Khaśayam iti - Adhikaraṇē śētēḥ iti ac. Atōm iti sōr ambhāvaḥ.

नैतदस्ति प्रयोजनम् । अन्तरङ्गत्वादत्र समासो भविष्यति This is not the prayojana. Samāsa takes place there, since it is antaranga.

इदं तर्हि प्रयोजनम्, अमैव यत्तुल्यविधानमुपपदं तत्र यथा स्याद्, अमा चान्येन च यत् तुल्यविधानं तत्र मा भृद् इति । अभे भोजम्, अभे भुक्ता । This, then, is the prayōjana, that samāsa takes place between upapada and avyaya only when the former is read with am alone and it does not take place when it is read with am and others, as in agrē bhōjam and agrē bhuktvā.

Note:—Am denotes namul and khamuñ. The upapada is read with namul alone (as in $Sv\bar{a}dumi$ namul 3, 4, 26) forms the compound ($sv\bar{a}dunk\bar{a}ram$); but if it is read with $krtv\bar{a}$ also (as in $Vibh\bar{a}s\bar{a}gr\bar{e}prathamap\bar{u}rv\bar{e}su$ 3, 4, 24) there is no $sam\bar{a}sa$.

अग्रादिष्वपाप्तविधेः समासप्रतिषेधं चोदियिष्यति । स न वक्तव्यो भवति He is going to read the vārttika Agrādiṣvaprāptavidhēḥ samāsapratiṣēdhaḥ under the sūtra 3, 4, 24 enjoining the samāsapratiṣēdha. It need not be read.

शेषो बहुत्रीहिः (2, 2, 23)

शेष इत्युच्यते ; कश्च शेषो नाम?

The word śēṣaḥ is read. What does it denote?

येषां पदानामनुक्तः समासः स शेषः

 $\hat{S}\bar{e}$ sa is the samāsa among words not yet read.

शेषवचनं पदतश्चेन्नाभावात्

If śēṣa denotes the samāsa among words not yet read, it is inappropriate, since it does not exist.

शेषवचनं पदतश्चेत् तन्न

If \dot{se} a denotes the samāsa among words not yet read, it is inappropriate.

किं कारणम्? Why?

अभावात् । न हि सन्ति तानि पदानि येषां पदानामनुक्तः समासः Since it does not exist. For there are no words whose samāsa has not yet been enjoined.

अर्थतस्तर्हि Let it then be in relation to artha.

अर्थतस्तर्हि शेषग्रहणं, येष्वर्थेषु अनुक्तः समासः स शेषः

Let, then, the word śēṣa have its relation to artha, so that it may denote the samāsa whose meaning has not yet been stated. अर्थतश्चेद्विशिष्टम् If it is related to artha, it is in the same boat.

अर्थतश्चेद्विशिष्टमेतद् भवति

If it is related to artha, it is in the same boat.

क्तः! With what?

पदतः । न हि सन्ति तेऽर्था येप्वर्थेप्वनुक्तः समासः

With pada. There is no artha with reference to which samāsa has not been enjoined.

¹ त्रिकतस्तर्हि शेषप्रहणम् । यस्य त्रिकस्य अनुक्तः समासः स शेषः Sēṣa, then, is related to the case having three numbers. Sēṣa is the samāsa of the word in that case which was not enjoined.

कस्य चानुक्तः? To which case was it not enjoined?

प्रथमायाः To the word in the first case.

TWENTYSECOND ĀHNIKA ENDS. (Second adhyāya, second pāda, first āhnika).

VOLUME V ENDS.



1. There may have been the vārttika Trikatas tarhi before this.

CORRIGENDA

Page	Linc	For	Read
7	30	pravacana	puravacan i
34	9	अन्तोदात्तर्थं	- अन्तोदात्तार्थं
47	3	रु ज्याख्या	स्त्र्याख्या
53	20	$brar{a}manar{a}ya$	brāhmaṇāya
70	4	sarvanāmathāna	$sarvanar{a}masthar{a}na$
88	17	-शिषकौ	-विदेापको
	19	$Dar{e}vadattvam$	$D ilde{e}vadattatvam$
92	24	चोरभ्या-	चेंारेभ्य-
99	24	निर्भज्यये	निर्भज्यते
113	5	ह्मतद्	ह्येतद्
114	1	mean	meant
123	9	क	किं
129	Take the	e first two lines at the t	op to the top of p. 128.
140	2	thy	they
150	32	$Maar{a}bhar{a}$ şhy a	$Mahar{a}bhar{a}sya$
155	3	auuvṛtti	anuvrtii
160		संप्रयुज्यावहै	संप्रयुज्यावहे
199	8	s mbaddh-	sambaddh-
209	2 2	$Samartkal_l$	Samarthaḥ
215	14	अधिकरणम्	अधिकरणम्
232	11	vispast-	vispasta-
239	22	ए तैश्चे	पतैश्च
251	19	गुण्वचन्नेन	गुणवचनेन
264	2	ग्रह णेणार्थः	ग्रहणेनार्थः
280	5, 7	ēkabhikṣa, ēkabikṣā	$ec{e}kabhik$ ṣ $ec{a}$
2 88	13	tilakrsnah	tilakṛṣṇāḥ
	28	Add "is" after too	
291	26	कक्तव्यः	वक्तव्यः
295	12, 16	प्तत्-	प्त-

(i) INDEX OF SŪTRAS

Number refer to page

अक्षरालाकाः...238 अक्रियंत च *109* अत्यन्तसंयोगे च 249 अधिपरी अनर्थको 147अधिरीश्वरे 150 अन्तर्द्धी येन...95 अन्तरपरिग्रह 188 अञ्चन व्यञ्जनम् 255 अनुकरणं च... 137 अनुर्रुक्षणे 143 अमैवान्ययेन ३३१ अयस्मयादीनि...120 अर्द्ध **नपुं**सकम् 300 अन्ययीभावः 235अस्मद्युत्तमः 155 आ अडाराद्...1 आख्यातीपयागे 96 आङ् मर्यादावचन 146 आदरानाद्रयोः.... 137 ईषद्कता 324 उपपद्मतिङ् ३३५ उपमितं व्याघादिभिः २८८ उपमानानि 281 ऊर्यादिचिय....135 क्तेन नञ्....291 कुचद्रह... 10 1 क्षेप २७७ कर्तुरीप्सिततमं 105 कर्मणा यम्...99कर्माण च 330 कर्मप्रवचनीयाः 148

कारके 79 कालाः परिमाणिना 314 कुगतिप्राद्यः ३३२ कुत्सितानि कुत्सनैः 281 कृत्यैर्ऋणे २५८ कृत्येरधिकार्थ...254खट्टा क्षेपे *249* गतिश्च 131 गतिवुद्धि...118 चतुर्थी तद्रथं...258 चतुष्पादो...308 चादयोऽसत्त्वे 120 जनिकर्तुः... 98 तत्प्रयोजको ... 125 तथा युक्तं ... 108 तिद्धतार्थ...209 तसौ मत्वर्थे 120 तिङस्त्रीणि $\dots 154$ तिष्टद्ध...241 तृतीया तत्कृत .. 250 ते प्राग्धातोः 140द्विगुश्च 245 द्वितीय...310 द्वितीया थितः...*245* ध्वमपाये...89 ध्वाङ्क्षेण .. २७७ **नः** क्ये ⁶⁸ नज़ 317 नदीभिश्च 243 न निर्धारणे ३३८ निस्यं क्रीडा...332

प्राक्कडारात् ...231 प्राग्रीश्वरात् ... 127 प्राद्यः 130 प्राप्तापन्ने च ...313पश्चमी भयेन २६५ परः सन्निकर्षः... 165 पराजः ...93 पात्रसमित ...267 पारे मध्ये ...242 पूर्वसदश....253 पूर्वापर...289 बहुषु बहुवचनम् 73 भक्षेण मिश्री ...255 भीत्रार्थानां ...92भुवः प्रभवः 98मयूरव्वंसकादयश्च 308 यचि भम् 70 यथासाददये 237 यसात् प्रत्यय...58 यस्य चायामः 240 युवा खलति...294 युष्मद्यपपदे ... 155 यू... 47 ळक्षणेत्थंभूत ...147 **छः परसौ** ...150

वर्णों वर्णेन 294 वारणार्थानाम् .. 93 विप्रतिषेधे $\dots 24$ विभक्तिश्च 154विभाषाप ... 259विरामो ... 170 विशेषणं ...287 श्रेण्याद्यः ...290 शेपे प्रथमः 155 रेाषो बहुवीहिः *३40* षष्ट्री 324 षष्टीयुक्तः ...56 **खतन्त्रः**123 स्वादिषु ...69 सङ्ख्यापूर्वो270 सप्तमी शोण्डेः 205 समर्थः पद्दविधिः 175 सह सुपा 233 **साक्षात्** ...138 साधकतमं ... 102 सुप्तिङन्तं67 सुप्प्रतिना ...238 सुबामन्त्रिते 226 हकोरन्यतरस्याम् 122

(ii) INDEX OF VĀRTTIKAS

अक्षादयः ...238 अकर्मकग्रहणे ...121 अकारकमिति ...97 अकारकं हि ..113 अगुरुपुत्रादीनाम् ...183 अङ्गसंज्ञया3 अत्यन्तसंयोगे249 अत्यादयः 334

अदिखादि....120
अध्युपसृष्टं —22
अधिकरणं कर्ता 22
अधिकरणं कर्म 21
अधिअयण . 83
अन्तरङ्गं च 30
अन्तःशब्दस्य—138
अन्नेन....255

अन्यकर्मेति 116 अन्यत्र समुदाय 278 अन्यत्र संज्ञा ... 1 अन्वर्थमिति४३ अनङानङ्भ्यां च 39अन्ञिति च291अनत्यन्तसंयोगार्थं तु 249 अनवयव20 अनुकरणस्थेति 137 अनुर्रुक्षण...114 अप्रतिपत्तिः26 अप्रधाने ... 114 अप्राप्तर्चा एश अपत्यं वृद्धं युवा 19 अपादानं च ...81 अपादानमुत्तराणि 20 अपादानादीनां ...85 अभाव ... 17() अभावेऽवसान.... 172 अभिधानार्थं तु 270 अर्थतस्रेद् ...341 अर्थतस्तर्हि ३४० अर्थनियम ...?? अर्थवत्यातिपदिकम् २२ अर्थे चेत्तदित ... अर्थेन नित्यसमास....259 अव्ययप्रतिषेधश्च २२० अव्ययं प्रवृद्ध.... ४४५ अञ्चयमञ्चयेन ३३० अवचने हि ४२८ अ**वयव...**54 अवयव ... सिद्धम् 54 अवादयः ... 384 अविशोषण...250 'आख्यातं ...*203* आख्यातानुपयोगे...।? आङ्गीबद्र्ये ३३३

आङ् मर्यादाभिविध्योः 146 आत्त्व...37 आनुपूर्व्यवचनम् 152 आमन्त्रितस्य ...227 आश्रयाभावात् ... 15 **इतरथा** ...80 इतरथा हि ...85 इयङादाशो...39इवेन ...235 इवेन विभक्ति...335 इह वचने हि ... 151 ईंप्सितस्य कर्म...107 **इंप्** ...35 उक्त वा *16*7 उत्तरपदातिशये...306 उत्तरपदेन277 उद्यमन ...84उदात्तगतिमता च ...335 उपपदमतिङ् ...386 उपमानसमासे२८० उपरि 171 उपसर्जनसन्निपाते 141उभयसंज्ञान्यपि 72 उभयोरनर्थकं \cdots 141 उवङादेशश्च *40* उसि पररूपाच ⁴5 **ऊङापोः** ...३७ एकत्वे....258 पकतिङ् 204 एकद्रव्य....23 एकयंगि हि 131 एकवचनद्विगोश्च317 एकशितिपात् 222 **एक**दोष ...210 एकस्मिन्25 एकसंज्ञाधिकारे ... 18 एकसंज्ञाधिकारे 17

एकेकवर्ण ... 169 पधाः पक्ष्यन्ति...84 क्तनिर्देशे 331 कियाग्रहणम् 100 कियाप्रतिषेधो वा ३३६ क्रियापृथक्रवे.... 160 कुधद्रहोः३1 क्षेपे सगतिक....267 कथितेऽभिहिते.... 113 कथित लादयः112 कदाचित्कर्मधारयः कर्तृकरणे कृता....253 कर्मणः करणसंज्ञा.... 101 कर्मणीति षष्टी....330 कमेधारयत्वे...5 कमेसंशाप्रसङ्गः80 करणं पराणि 21कारक इति \dots 79 कारकं येद् ...113कारिकाशब्दस्य 131 कालभावाध्व...116 कालस्य येन...315 कितवब्यवहारे च 238 कुः पापार्थे ३३३ कृत्यैर्नियागे...266 कृत्यैरधिकार्थ...254कृतापकृतादी**नां**...293 कृद्योगा च 324कृत्मेजन्तः ...127 क्रभ्वस्तियोगे 135 कुछोपे च 17 खलेयवादिभिः ...241 गत्युपसर्ग...132 गत्युपसर्गसंज्ञे...22 गतप्रत्यागतीनां...293 गतिदिवःकर्म...? गतिबुद्धि...13

गतियुक्तेषु ...90 गुनवचनं च 23 गुरुलघुसंज्ञे... 10 घि नदी 19चतुर्थी तदर्थ...258 चतुष्पाज्जातिः ३०८ छन्दांस पर...140जातिस्वर...246 जुगुप्सा...89 डु च 23 तत्र च च्विप्रतिषेधः 130 तत्र जातादिषु...246 तत्र नानाकारकात् 199 तत्र प्रत्ययानियमे...?? तत्र प्रतिपत्त्यर्थः...26 तत्र युष्मद ... 157 तव वचनप्रामाण्यात् ...15 तत्र रोपत्ववचनात् 10 तत्र खरसमासान्त...220 तत्रैकसंज्ञाधिकारे...2 तत्पर इति वा 174तत्पुरुष...42 तत्पुरुषः...18 तत्पुरुषे...6 तत्स्थेश्च गुणैः 325 तद्रथगतेर्वा 217 तदेकदेश...७1 तित्रिमित्तग्रहणं वा 227 तव्यदादीनां ... 27 तसादुभयप्राप्ती...331 तस्य दोषः ...44 तस्यान्तोदात्तत्वं...221 निङ्सार्वधातुकं...*19* तुग्यण् ...३८ तुल्यः सन्निकर्षः 116 तृजकाभ्यां...330 तृजादिभि+तुल्यम् 25

तृतीयासमासे...*252* द्रतायां ... *160* द्रोणं पचति...84 इन्द्रतत्पृरुपयोः...276 द्वयोईयोः ...४18 द्विगुसंज्ञा प्रत्यय...271 द्विगार्वा...274 द्वितीयादी**नां** ...310 हों: ...2/ दुर्निन्दायाम् ३३३ देशश्चाकर्मणात् 116 ध्वचेष्टित...114 ध्वाङ्क्षेणेति...२७८ नज्विशिष्ट...291 न तु तद्विशेषणेः *325* नद्याश्रयत्वात् ...15 नदीभिः ...243 नदीसंशायां ... 52 नभोऽङ्गिरामनुपां...71 न वा $\dots 30$ न वा अपायस्य...81न वा \cdots अविप्रतिपेधः $I\tilde{\circ}9$ न वाघोव्यस्य ११ न वान्यतरस्य...287 न वा पूर्वेण \dots 189न वा वचन...216 न वावयव \dots 221न वा इयामत्वस्य 🕻 🛭 🗗 न वा पष्टीसमास...338 न वा खतन्त्र...85न वा खातन्त्र्यात् 124 न बा सम्भवात् 14 न वा समासे ... 256 न वा सुबन्त ...280 न वैकशेष... 152 न वोभय....107, नाकुर्वतीति 124

नाप्रतिषेधात् 69 निपातसंज्ञार्थः *131* निमित्तिखर.. 222 नियमः प्रकृतेषु 78 निरादयः...334 नुडिडिधकेन च 201 प्रत्ययग्रहणं पदादा . 63 प्रत्ययविधिरेकादेशात् 41 प्रतिपदाविधाना च 320 प्रतिषेध्यमिति.. 331 प्रथममध्यम ... 151 प्रथमलिङ्गग्रहणं च 53 प्रथमे समासप्रतिपेधः 201 प्रधानकर्मणि $\dots 114$ प्रयोजनं किव्...53 प्रयोजनं घञ्...132प्रयोजनं \cdots द(र्घ \dots 1<math>)प्रयोजनं धातु...64 प्रयोजनं \cdots स्वरेभ्यः 30प्रयोजनं समास*ः (6*6 प्रयोजनमध्ययीभाव $\dots 10$ प्रसिद्धों नियमः \cdots 78प्राग्धातुवचनं...140प्राग्वचनं....231 प्राग्वचनं...129प्रातिपदिक...230 प्रादय इति...130 प्रादयः कार्थे ³³³ प्रादयो गताद्यर्थे...334प्रातिप्रसङ्गे...*332* प्रेषे ऽस्वतन्त्र*ः 125* पदाविधौ...209 पदसंशायां...७७ पदं भम् *19* परमपि...229 पर्यादयः...334 परवचने सिति...18

परस्परव्यपेक्षां... 197 **लुप्ता**ख्यातेषु च 216 परसौपदम्...22 **छौकिकं चातिवर्तते** 128 परसौपदसंज्ञा...12 व्यवेतप्रतिषेधश्च ३३३ परः सन्निकर्षः...165 वचनप्रामाण्यात् ...255 परिमाणार्थं च 63 वद्विधि...133 पुनश्चनसा...132 वद्विधि...134 पूजायां च...331 वर्णकाळ...166 पूर्वपदातिशये...302 वर्णे**न**...295 पूर्वादिषु 253 वर्णोऽन्त्यः 174 पृथगर्थानां... 186 वसेरइयर्थस्य 104 पौर्वापर्य....169 वहेः... 120 बलिराक्षिताभ्यां....259 वारणार्थेषु ...95 बहुलवचनस्य...२८२ वावचन...*192* बहुवीह्मर्थे तु 16 विप्रतिषेधे...28 भक्षेरहिंसार्थस्य 121 विभाषेति...239 भसंज्ञायां...70 विरामे...*171* भावविराम... 173 विशेषण...287 भुवद्धः ...70 वृद्धिविधौ च ...133 मकारान्तत्वम् 139 वृषण्वस्वश्वयोः 71 मरुच्छन्दत्य...131 अच्छन्दस्य ... 131 मित्सुटो:....60 श्रितादिभिः ...246 यणादेशाच 41 श्रेण्यादिषु...290 यणादेशादियुवी 45 श्वे:...40 यत्तन्न तृणेन...84 शब्दकर्मणः ...119 युक्तार्थसंप्रत्ययाचः...257 शब्दकर्मनिर्देशे...118 युष्मदस्मत् ... 156 शब्दाविरामे...167 युष्मादि...। 58 श्य**णोति**...119 योगविभागः ४६ रोषवचनं...340योगविभागाद्वा 69 रेाषवचनं च $\dots 14$ योगे प्रतिषेधश्च 205 शौण्डादिभिः 265 यौगपद्यासम्भवात् 26 षष्ठीसमासश्च 256 राजगवीक्षीरे...206 षष्टीसमासाद ... ३३८ रीश्वराद् ...127स्ततन्त्रत्वात् ... 123 **लघु गुरु** 19 खतुन्त्रत्वात् सिद्धम् 123 **लादशे... 150** खतन्त्रस्य...123 लादेशो वर्णविधः 41 खती पूजायाम् ३३३ **छुक् ...46** खरेऽवधार**णं**...230

खरो...41 सिक्याविशेषणं...204 सङ्ख्या 23 सङ्गातस्य ... 197 सम्प्रत्ययाच ... 257 संप्रतीयमानार्थ...257 समर्थतराणां वा 202 समर्थतराणां वा ...202 समर्थेतराणां वा201 समर्थनियांत 205 समर्थाधिकारस्य 209 समानाधिकरणसमासात्...209 समानाधिकरणाधिकारे...307 समानाधिकरणाधिकोर...२१८ समानाधिकरणे....296 समानाधिकरणेषु...211 समानवाक्ये...204 समास....23 समासतद्धित....273 समाससंबाध 22 समासान्तप्रतिपेधश्च 219 समासेपु...128समासा ह्याः...218 समाहारसमृहयाः...275 समुदाय...202 सर्वत मत्वर्ध... १७११ सर्वत्र हरोः 120 सर्वेलिङ्गता च १८० सविशेषणानां... 183

साक्षात्प्रभृतिषु...138 साधनं कृतेति ... 254 सिद्धं तु केन...293 सिद्धं तु काङ्...332 सिद्धं तु काल...316 सिद्धं तु प्रत्यय...273 सिद्धमचः...71 सिद्धं त्वङ्ग...54 सिद्धं तु काल...121 सिद्धं तु...तत्पुरुष...18 सिद्धं तु...तद्नत...64 सिद्धं तु...तदादि...61 सिद्धं तु प्रतिकारकं...83 सिद्धं तु प्रतिषेधात् 158 सिद्धं तु राज ... 207 सिद्धं तु समर्थानां...209 सिद्धं तु समान...255 सिचि वृद्धौ 151 सिद्धं वापि...115 स्रित्रहां...76 **सुपां...**78 सुवन्तस्य 228 संज्ञानियमे... 140 संहिता... 174 हस्वलक्षणा...15 हस्व...55,56 हादाविराम...168 ह्न आकारलोपात् 40 हकोर्वावचने...122

सहवचनं \dots 233

(iii) INDEX OF PARIBHĀŞAS, NYĀYAS ETC.

अन्यत्रसंज्ञाविधौ प्रत्ययप्रहणे...67
अभिधेयवद् लिङ्गवचनानि 318, 319
अर्थगत्यर्थः शब्द्ययोगः 214
अव्यतिरेकाद् द्रव्याकृत्योः 271
असिद्धं वहिरङ्गलक्षणं...42, 60
आ वनान्ताद् ... अनुवजेत् 128
आश्रयतो लिङ्गवचनानि 319
इतरेतराश्रयाणि ...272
उक्तार्थानामप्रयोगः 149, 264
उपपद्विभक्तेः...150
कारकसंज्ञायां तरतमयोगो न 103, 104.
कीलः आहन्यमानः प्रतिकीलं...320

कालः आहम्यमानः प्रातकाल... 320 कृत्रिमाकृत्रिमयोः... 100 कृद्धहणे गतिकारक... 66, 142, 267 गौणमुख्ययोः... 165 छन्दोवत्कवयः... 51 तदेकदेशभूतं... 61, 62 ताद्रध्यत् ताच्छाच्यं... 105 तुस्यवलप्रेप्यविधिः 26 नात्र गतेः प्राकृ ... 142

नोत्सहते प्रतिपिद्धा...69 प्रत्येकं वाक्यपरिसमातिः 210, 231 प्रधानस्य सापक्षस्यापि...203 प्रातिपदिकग्रहणे...294पर्याप्तो हि एकः पुरुाकः ...86 पुनःप्रसङ्गविज्ञानात् ...27, 28 भवति विशेषः खिसन्भातरि...202 **लक्षण**प्रतिपदोक्तयोः...296 लघ्यर्थे हि संज्ञाकरणम् 82 लिङ्गमिशाप्यं लोकाश्रयत्वात् *205* विशेषणविशेष्ययोरुभयविशेषत्वम् २८७ वर्णाश्रये शास्त्र...209 सकृद्रता...27, 28 समानाधिकरणं...226, 329समानार्थं ... 2.17 समुदाय वाक्यपरिसमाप्तिः 231 समुदायेषु राद्दाः अवयवेष्वपि 331 सविशेषणानां वृत्तिन ... 183 सापेक्षमसमर्थं भवति 181, 183, 208, 250, 286, 305, 326, 328, 33<u>1</u>

(iv) INDEX OF IMPORTANT SANSKRIT WORDS

अक्षिनिकोचैः 192, 257

अकथितः 🛭 🕹 🕹

असीपोमीयः 165, 271

अजहत्स्वार्था वृत्तिः 193, 196, 306

अजाक्तपाणीयम् 232 अजातौ स्विक्टः 307

अतितन्त्रये 53

अतिभ्रुवे *55,5***6**

अतिशकस्ये 55, 56

अध्यवसायः 101

अधिकरणम् 20-22, 83, 104, 110

अधिकारः 175 अधिश्रयणः 83 अन्तर्हत्य 138 अन्तरङ्गम् 30

अन्तरङ्गता ३०१, ३०३ अन्तोदात्तत्वम् २२१

अन्यत्र 1

अन्यपदार्थप्रधानः २३७, २४४,२७८, 134, 317, 318 अन्यार्थे 70 अन्योन्यविद्यापकी ८८ अन्वर्थमंज्ञा *82, 143, 235* अनन्तर याग २७१ अनर्थकम् 145 अनर्थका 148, 14D अनवकाशम् 36अनवकाशा 8, 22, 27, 45, 129, 134, 151 अनवकाश 🞖 अनवस्था 257 अनादर 137, 138 अनिर्देशः 315 आनेवृत्तः १८० अनि<u>प्रा</u>व्दता 137, 140 अनुकर्पणार्थः ३/३, ३/४ अनुकरणस्य 137 अन्द्यचलत् ३३५ अनुवर्तत 104, 155 अनुद्वत्तिः 🗗 अनकत्वम् ३२३ अप्रधानः 81 अपशब्दः 184 अपाकर्ताः ३२१ अपादानम् £0, 21, 80, 81, 94,102, 105, 110, 111 अपायः ८८ अपूनरीयाः 185 अपूर्वविधिः 49अब्राह्मणम् 317,319अब्राह्मणः ३२२ अभ्यन्तरः २१२, २१३ $^\circ$ अभिघानात् 317आभिधेयवत् 318

अभिरूपः 287

अभिरूपतराः १०, १०३ अभिरूपाय 103 अभिविधिः 146 अयुक्तः २०४ अजनम् 270 अर्थकृतः 248अर्थगतिः २५२ अर्थनियमः 77 अर्थाद्शनानि 190-192 अर्थाश्रयः 165, 300 अर्द्ध: 300 अर्द्धम् ३०० अर्द्धापप्यकी ३१३ अर्द्धराशिः ३०० अन्ययीभावः 16, 128, 235, 236, 211, 245, 267**,** 268 अवकाकिलः ३३४ अवतप्तनकुलस्थितम् 267 अवयवकार्यम् 232 अवयवविधा 310 अवसानसञ्चा 167, 168 अवहननाय 258 अविविध्नितत्वात् 82,91अवृत्तिपक्षः *192, 2*77 अश्राद्धभोजी 185 अश्वघासः 250अखपदाविग्रहः 263 असङ्गीर्तितः 81असत्कृत्य 157 असहायवाची 7 🗸 असामर्थ्यम् ३०५, ३२६-३२१ असूर्यपदयानि 185 अहर्गताः 249 अहरतिसृताः 250 आहिहतः 254आकुमारम् 146 आकृतिः 271

उदाहरणम् 251, 281, 282, 301, आकृतिगणः 290 आचार्यः २७, ७२, ७७, ७५, १७३, 305, 326, 329, 330 104, 142, 151, 155, 222, उदेतोः 329 294, 310, 311 उपन्यासः 62उपपद्म् 162 आचार्यप्रवृत्ति: 14, 43, 44, 47, 66, 142, 260, 261, 264 **उपपद्नियमः** 156, 157 आचार्याः ३०० उपमानम् 281 आचार्येण 2 उपमेयम् 281 उपयुक्ताः 96 आत्मनेपदच्यत्ययः 57 उपयोगः 96, 97 आत्मनेपद्संज्ञा 22 उपसङ्ख्यानम् 90, 120, 131, 215, आद्रे 137, 138 आदित्यगत्या 3.16 216उपसर्जनम् 208 आमन्त्र्यमाणः 106 आरम्भसामर्थ्यात् 4, 5, 6, 8-14, उपसर्जनसमिपाते 141, 142245, 268 उपादानविकलः 178 आराज्ञस्त्रीयम् 37 उपाध्यायः 99 आलभेत 289 उभयपदार्थप्रधानः *236, 214, 268* उलूखलम् ३५८ आलम्**भनम्** 271 आश्रयतः ३/१ उप्रमुखः 61 इतरेतराश्रयत्वात् 273 ऋणः 266 इतरेतराश्रयता 272 एकदेशविज्ञानात् 61 एकदेशिपधाने 242 इध्मप्रवश्चनः ३२४ एकनदी 267 इन्द्रः 1 एकनद्वीतरे 208 इप्रवाची 29, 303 पक्रिक्सा 279, 280 इप्टि: 51, 301, 305 ईषद्धें 333 एकशब्दः 76 ईहमानानाम् 318 एकशाटी 279 उञ्छेन 51, 52पकसंज्ञाधिकारः 2, 4-7, 9-12, 17, 18 पकापूपी 280 उत्तमसंज्ञा 152, 153 **उत्तरकम्** 257 एकार्थाः 101, 102 पकार्थीभावः 176, 177, 186, 187, 197 ं उत्तरपद्छोपः 256 उत्तरपदार्थप्रधानः 236, 244, 268, पतः 295 314, 317, 319 पधाः 84 उत्सर्गः 164 पेतिकायनः 45 उद्यमन....84ओदनम् 89 उदकान्तात् 128 ओदनः *328* औत्तराधर्यम् 270 उदात्तानिवृत्तिखरः 33

क्रयणम् 270 क्रिया 330 क्षत्रियार्थम् २५२, २८। **करः** ३२८ कन्दुः 1 कन्या 103 कन्ये इव ५३५ कमण्डत्त्रपाणिः 148 कर्ता 10, 14, 21, 83 कर्म 9, 14, 20, 22, 80, 94, 97, 99, 100, 101, 105-108, 116 कर्मधारयः ५, ७; २०१-३)1 कर्मप्रवचनीयसंज्ञा 22, 129, 143, 144, 145, 147, 149, 150, 2 10, 332 कर्मसाधनः *52, 17 /, 269* करणम् १, २०, २1, ८३, ४२, १०1, 102, 103 करोतिना 250 कल्म 117 कवयः 51 काकतालीयम् 😂 🏖 काद्रवेयः 31कार्षापणम् 120 कारकम् 280 कारकः ३३८ कारिकाक्टल 131 कालपरिमाणम् 316 कालभूयस्त्वम् १५५ कालब्यत्ययः 🗗 कालाक्षी 308 कीलप्रतिकीलवत् ३२० कुण्डिका 92कुतपसीश्रतः 807 कुद्दालपदेन 301 कुम्भकारः 177 कुस्*लः 1*

कृत्स्नम् 289 क्तापकृतम् ^{२१)3} कृष्णसर्पवान् २००, ३०२ कृष्णसारङ्गः 294 कैमर्थक्यात् 48 कोष्टः 1 खद्वारूढः २४१ खलेयवम् २४२ **खा**ड् *136* त्रामगमी 246 गङ्गा ८८ गतप्रत्यागतम् २१३ गलेचोपकः 25 / गवयः २८२ गार्गीबन्धः 10 गुड: 257 गुडघानाः 256 गुणकर्मणि 114 गुणप्रधानः 74, 75 गुणवचनसंज्ञा 23 गुणवचनः *250, 306* गुणराब्दाः 62 गुणहीने ३२२ गुणविशेषकः 孔 गुरुसंज्ञा 19 गोणिकापुतः 118 गोहितम् 46, 178 गी: 281, 282 गौरितरा 68 च्ह्यर्थ: *139* चन्द्रमुखी २८४, २८४ चराचराः 249 चित्रगुः 272 छन्दः 50 छन्दोवत् *51* ভার: 146 छात्राय 263

ज्ञानानि 98ज्ञापकम् 44, 124_, 128, 142, 261, 262, 264 ज्ञापने 286 ज्ञापयति 274, 294, 310, 311 ज्योतिर्वत् *9*8 जहत्स्वार्था वृत्तिः 193, 306 जातिब्राह्मणः 821 जातिशब्दाः 62 जातिहीने 322 जाल्मः 249 जीविकाप्राप्तः 313 डुसंज्ञ*। 23* त्रिपुरी 270 त्रिरात्रम् 104 त्रैमातुरः 272 त्वत्करुपः 164 त्वमतिः 113, 114 तण्डुलविकारम् 106 तत्युरुषः 5-7, 18, 233, 236, 247, 268 तत्पुरुषान्तोदान्तस्वस् 42 तद्भुणसंविज्ञानम् ३०४ तन्त्रम् 128 तिलेषु 104 तिलोदकम् 44 तिष्ठद्भ 241 तिर्थिकाकः 263 **तुल्यसन्** 298 तुरुथबलत्वात् ²⁶ त्रेशब्द्यम् 139 तितिरिकल्माषी 🕬 द्रव्यम् 271 द्रव्यवचनः २५० द्रोणम् 84, 815 द्यह्नजातः 278 द्रन्द्रः 236, 238

द्विकर्मकाः 112, 115 हिगु: 6, 7, 16, 274, 275, 278 द्विमासजातः *277, 278, 317* द्वीरावतीकः 17, 243 द्वेमातुरः २७२ द्धि 104 दध्योदन: 25% दर्शनीयः 287 दर्शनीयामाता 214 दात्रल्नम् 25 / दाराः २७४ दुरुपदेशात् ३२२ दूर्वा १८ **द्याविप्रयोगः** 76 देशान्तरप्रापणे 270 ्धर्मम् *112* धर्मात् 80 घान्यार्थः २५२ धानुष्कः 🖇 **न्यासे** 135 नभस्वत् 71 नागयुवतिः *२९*८ नानार्थाः 101, 102 निमित्तखरात् २२३ नित्यसमासः २५७, २७०, २७४, २७४ **निन्दायाम् ३**३३ निमित्तखरः 222 नियमविधिः 49नियमार्थम् 2 निर्देशः 294 निवर्तकम् २८८१ निर्वर्तकः 821 निर्वृत्तिः 101 निकीनकम् 257 निवृत्तपदार्थकः 320,321निवृत्तिः 320, 321निश्रयण्या *२५५* निष्कौशाभ्यः 216, 335

प्रक्षालनम् 87 प्रोक्षणम् 271 प्रकर्षः ३०४ पक्ष्यन् ३२८ प्रकृत्या २५१-२५1 पचादीनाम् 83 प्रकृतिः 202 पञ्चकुमारी 276 प्रत्ययानियमः 77 पञ्चयामी २७० प्रत्ययविधिः *41, 311* पश्चपूली 271, 275 प्रत्याख्यायते २३५ पञ्चहोतारः 281 प्रतिकारकम 🖓 पञ्चारत्निः 273 प्रतिपदम् 20 पञ्चालाः ३२ १ प्रतिपद्विधाना पष्टी ३३४, ३३४ पदसंज्ञा 19, 20 प्रतिपदोक्तस्य 207 पयः 200 प्रतिपेधः *17, 18, 33, 70, 158*, पर्यध्ययनः 334 £00, 201, 219, 330-332, 336 पर्यायः 4, 6, 8-11, 13, 28, 233 प्रथमसंज्ञा 152, 153 पर्युदासः 109, 130 परङ्कार्थत्वम् 5, 7-9, 11, 12 प्रदीपः ३३/ **प्रधानम् २८०, २०८** परङ्कार्थत्वात् *4-6*, 8-14, 245, 268 प्रधानकर्मणि 114 परमत्वम 163 प्रधाने ३१ परशब्दः 20 परसौपद्द्यत्ययः 57 प्रनायकः 188 परसैपदसंज्ञा 12, 13, 22, 151 प्रप्र 335 प्रपञ्चः २११० पराङ्गवद्भावः 227 प्रपर्णकः 17, 18 परार्थाभिधानम् 193 प्रपलाशकः 17, 18 परिगणनम् 97 परिभाषा 42-44, 64-66, 175 प्रितामहः ३३३, ३३ / प्रयोगनियमः 137, 140 परिमाणार्थं ७३ प्रयोजनम् 30, 33, 36, 39, 41, 67, परिमाणिना २७७, २७८ *75, 82, 102, 127, 135, 137,* परिवर्तनम् 87 140, 147, 148, 185, 192, 230, पलाशशातनः ३८% 232, 250, 242, 247, 254, 277, पाञ्चनापितिः २७२ 279, 291, 299, 807, 310, 313, पाञ्चालः 1 314, 327 पाटलिपुत्रकाः 90, 103प्रयोजनानि 19, 42, 64, 66, 245 पाणिना 89 प्रसज्यप्रतिषेधः ७२, १०२, १४० पाणिनेः 146, 329 पाणिविहारै: 192, 257 प्राचार्यः *३३३, ३३*./ पादहारकः 25 / ्रपान्तेवासी 333,334प्रियद्दीनता 283, 28 F पापार्थे ३३३ प्रेक्षापूर्वकारी 92, 93, 101, 170पारतन्त्रयम् 85-87

पिप्टिपण्डीम 289 भिद्यत 29 पुरन्दरः 1 मत्करुप: 164 पुरस्तादपकर्षः 325 मध्यमसंज्ञा 152, 153 पुरुपनियमः 156 मन्त्रम् 31 पुरुषव्यत्ययः 57 मन्दकम् 257 पुरुषसंज्ञा 12, 151 मयूररोमाभेः ५३३ पुरुहृतः 1 मर्यादा 146 पूजायाम् ३३1 महती संज्ञा 143, 235 पूर्वपदार्थप्रधानः २३७, २४४, २७८, ३४८ महाकष्टिश्रितः 179 पूर्वपद्रप्रकृतिस्वरः 42, 221, 247 महाराजाय 259 पूर्वविप्रतिपेधाः 29 महाविभाषा 242 पूर्वा हो गयम् २६६ माण्डच्यः ३ पूरणम् 313 मानम् 282 पौर्वापर्यम् 170 माराविकस्य ३२१ फळावातिः 101 माषराशिवर्णम् ३२२ ब्राह्मणवर्णः ३२५ मापेण *301* ब्राह्मणार्थम् 259, 260, 204 मासजातः *315* बद्रिपटकः 169 मासप्रमितः २५० बदराणाम् ३१५, ३१६ मृगचपला 284बिलः 259 यजुष: 329 बळीय: 30 यत्परियन्ति 335 बळीवदीः 121 यथान्यासः 23 वह्नर्थः *29,76* यथान्यासम् 29, 316 बह्वाख्यतरः 307 यवागूः २६० बह्वाट्यतरकः 307 यप्रिमोद्गल्यः ३०७ बहव: 73 याजुष्कः ३ बहवोऽर्थाः 257 युक्तार्थता 257 युक्तिः 305 बहुवीहिः 16-18, 52, 138, 222, 236, 245, 247, 202, 263, 268, युवसंज्ञा 19यू 47 299-305, 307 बहुराकिः 48 यूपदारु 264 बाभ्रव्यः 🕄 योग: 92, 93, 95, 97, 98, 225 बार्हिष्कः 3 योगविभागः 49, 56, 69, 130, 131, बुसोपेन्ध्यम् 254 239, 252, 263 भसंज्ञा 19, 20 योगस्य 19, 301, 305 भावसाधनः २६९ योगाङ्गम् 234, 252भाविनीसंज्ञा 273 योगे 148

योगेन 114 यौगपद्येन 4, 6-11, 13, 26, 233 रन्धनाय 258राजगोक्षीरम् 207 राजपुरुष: 287, 319 राज्यतिसृताः 250रात्रिगताः 249रुचितम् 263 **छक्षण** । 145, 146, 241, 290 लघ्वर्धम् ८८ लघुसंशा 19 लवणंकुत्य ळाक्षणिकस्य ३३ ळिङ्गव्यत्ययः *5*7 लिङ्गवचनानि *319* लोकतः *128, 132, 153* ळोकाश्रयात् 265लोके 1 ळोहितोष्णीयाः ३०७ व्यत्ययः 57 व्यतिपङ्गः 199 ह्यपेक्षा *176, 177, 199, 200, 304* व्यवधानम् 187 व्यवस्थायाम् ²⁹ व्यवेतानाम् 332 व्याकरणम् 281 ब्याकरण 1, 79 वचनप्रामाण्यात् 15, 216, 217, 255वचनात् 151, 265, 283, 284, 314 वपावान् 250 वर्णन्यत्ययः 57 वर्धितकम् 92वर्धाकः 299 वहद्र 241. वाक्यसंज्ञम् 203, 204वाचनिकम् 190, 318

वाचिनकी 320

वाचिकः 71 वार्तिककारः 217 वाराणसी 241वाहीकः 165विक्षिप्तेषु 270 विकृतिः *259-261* विग्रहः *260, 262, 263, 284* विद्योतते 147 विधिः *332* विधेयम् 49विनध्यः 92 विप्रतिपिद्धम् 25 विप्रतिपेधः *21*, *28*, *221* विप्रतिषेधात् 17, 18 विप्रतिपेधे 3 विप्रतिपेधेन 158, 159, 245, 268, 298, 299 विभक्तिः 34विशेष्यम् 287 विशेषः 2, 259, 281, 285. 286, 295 विशेषकम् १८७, 288 विशेषणम् 287, 288 विपभक्षणम् 108 वीरपुरुषकः 17,299वीवधः *204* वृत्तिः 193, 202, 243, 312 ्बृत्तिपक्षः 192, 277वृत्तिषु 166 वृत्तिसूत्रवचनप्रामाण्यात् २/७ वृश्चिकः 98वदर्भः 1 वेदेहः 1 वैयाकरणखसूचिः 281 वैयाकरणः 45 आहाय 100 श्रोषट् *136*

श्वश्रुसुरम् २५५

शकः 1

शकटिवन्धुः 48

शङ्कुलाखण्डः २५०, २५१

राङ्के 1·19

रातसहस्रम् 301 रात्रोदेवीयम् 174

शब्दकृतः २४८

शब्दप्रमाणकाः 201

शब्दविरामः 167

शब्दाश्रयः 165

शस्त्रीश्यामा 283, 285

शाकपार्थिवः 307

शाकल्यस्य संहिता 144, 145

शास्त्रम् 27

शास्त्राणि 180

शिशपा 289

शिष्याः 2

शुक्कवाससम् ३०६

शुकवभ्रः ²⁹⁷

श्रु बेरम् 192, 257

शैली 232

षट्संशा 23

पण्णगरी 270

षण्मुहूर्ताः २४२)

स्थाली 22, 258

स्थालीपिटरम् 153

स्पर्धा 305

स्पर्धायाम् ३०४

खतन्त्र: 123-125

स्वातन्त्र्यम् 85-87, 124

स्वाध्यायेन 292

स्वाभाविकम् 190, 318

स्वाभाविकी 320, 321

सङ्ख्या 23, 187

सङ्ख्याम् 225

सङ्ख्यातानुदेशः 37, 152

सङ्ख्यावाची 76

सङ्घयेये 225

सङ्घातस्य 65

सन्ततत्वात् १४

सन्देह: 2, 321, 330

सन्देहात् 322

सन्नियोगः 59

सम्प्रदानम् २२, २९, १०१, १०१, ११२

सप्तर्पयः 280

सम्बन्धः 83

समभ्याशीकरणम् ३७०

समर्थतराणि २०१, २०२

समसङ्ख्यार्थं 152

समानाधिकरणः 5, 297

समावेशः 1, 2, 3

समासः २५८, २५०, २५३

समासान्तः अ

समाहार: 269, 270, 275

समीपम् 80

समुद्रः १३

समुदायकार्यम् २३८

समूहः 275

सर्विपः ३२१

सर्पिंकः ३

सर्वधनी २००, ३०२

सर्वलिङ्गता २५०, २५३-२५५

सर्ववेदपारिपदम् २११०

सहविवक्ष(150

साकस्य 230

साङ्काश्यकेभ्यः १०, १०३

साहर्य 270

साधकम् ३८८

सामर्थम् २५७

सामान्यम् २८१, २८५, २८४

सामान्यविधिः 810

सामान्वशब्दाः 191

सामान्येन 145

सावकाशा ⁸, 13, 22, 151

खक्तम् २८८

सुस्क्मजटकेशेन ३२०, २२३

मृक्ष्मतरवस्त्रः ३०५

स्क्ष्मवस्त्रतरः २०३

सुत्रम् २, २०

स्वकारस्य ३२१

सूत्रविपर्यासः 7 🖟

स्त्राणि 51

सुप: 260

संवानियमः 137, 140, 141

संशाध्यः है।।।।

संज्ञासमावेशः 1 संप्रत्ययात् 257 संहिता 169

सौनागैः ३३३

सीबश्वः 45

ह्वादाविरामः 168

ह्रयत्यादयः 118

हस्तद्क्षिणः 9190

हस्तिविधा 259

हिमचतः 98

हेतु: 10

(v) INDEX OF IMPORTANT ENGLISH WORDS

Arthäntarasańkramita 55, 56

Astādhyāyī 4, 18, 58, 79, 150, 235

Ācārya Pāṇini 110, 217, 271

Bhaṭṭōji Dīkṣita 314

Connotation 24, 96, 212

Denotation 24, 212

Guruprasāda Śāstri 40, 54, 66, 109, 116, 117, 157, 160, 186, 190

Kāiyaṭa 6, 11, 15-17, 19, 34, 41, 42, 45, 53, 58, 60, 64, 67, 70, 72, 78, 70, 82, 92, 95, 104, 109, 111, 115

79, 83, 93–95, 104, 109, 111, 115, 117, 120, 129, 130, 132, 135, 138, 144–146, 154, 156, 161, 168, 171, 174, 175, 177, 180, 184, 185, 186,

188, 199, 200, 202 204, 206, 208, 210, 212, 213, 221 223, 227, 232, 236, 237, 239, 241, 257, 271, 273,

279, 283, 284, 290, 296, 297, 298.

314, 323

Kāsakytsua 271

Kātyāyana 265

Linga 327

Mahābhāṣyakāra 1, 30, 34, 39, 40,
67, 147, 165, 217, 240, 253, 290,
320

114, 115, 118, 155, 160, 168, 171,

195, 205, 208, 212, 217, 218, 228,

248, 294, 295, 302

Pāṇinīyan 45

Paspašāhnika 113 Pitch accent 229

Prakarana 284

Pravrttinimitta 1, 91, 212, 227, 232

Predecessors 258

Pūrvamīmāsakas 327

Rgvēdasamhitā 110, 160

Sruti 284

Sütrakāraka 43, 44, 47, 62, 66, 74, 155, 190, 216, 240, 255, 258, 275,

310

Vārttikakāra 6, 27, 30, 56, 60, 67, 71, 147, 148, 174, 185, 205, 217, 242, 251, 253, 310, 324, 328, 329,

332

A Vārttikakāra 265

Vyavacchēdaka 288

Yajurvēdasamhitā 13

Yathöddēśapakşa 21

BOOKS BY THE AUTHOR

- 1. (a) Lectures on Patanjali's Mahābhāṣya Vol. I (ānhikas 1-3) Ist Edn.
 - (b) Do. 2nd edition (in press)
 - 2 Do. Vol II (āhnikas 4-6)
 - 2-5 Do. Vol. III (7-9); Vol. IV (10-14) & Vol. V (15-22)
- c—12 Do. Vols. VI to XII (23-85) to go to press.
 - 13 Mahābhāṣyaprakāśikā—āhnikatrayam (in Sanskrit) Do.
 - 14 Gäirvänigranthänucaritam (in Sanskrit) Do.
 - 15 History of Sanskrit Literature (in Tamil)
 - 16 History of Sanskrit Language (in Tamil)
 - 17 Toni-vilakků (Tamil rendering of Dhvanyālōka)
 - 18 A study of Kālidāsa's Kumārasambhava (Canto i)
 - 19 Knots in Vālmīki-Rāmāyaņa (in press)
 - 20 Comparative Grammar of the Tamil Language.
 - 21 Tolkāppiyam—Eļuttatikāram with Tamil Commentary.
 - 22 Do. with English Commentary.
 - 23 Tolkāppiyaccollatikāra-k-kurippit.
 - 24 Tolkāppiyam—Collatikāram with English Commentary.
- 25—27 Do. Poruļatikāram Do. (3 parts)
 - 28 Tirukkural—Arattuppāl with Bālar-urai.
 - 29 Do. Poruțpâl & Kâmattuppâl Do.
 - 30 Tamil-moli-nūl (in Tamil)
 - 31 Historical Tamil Reader.
 - 32 An Enquiry into the Relationship of Sanskrit and Tamil.
 - 33 History of Grammatical Theories in Tamil and their relation to Grammatical Literatue in Sanskrit.
 - 34 Sanganūlhalum Vaidikamārggamum (in Tamil)
 - 35 Vāsukivaļļuvam (in Tamil)
 - 36 Synopsis of the Indian Systems of Philosophy (to go press)
 - 37 Hindu-mata-viņā-vidai (in Tamil)



- Nos. 1 (a), 2, 15, 16, 19, 24, 31 are Annamalai University Publications.
- No. 32 is Travancore University Publication.
- Nos. 22, 25, 26, 27, 33 Published in the Journal of Oriental Research, Madras.
- Nos. 17, 21, 28, 30, 34 Published under the patronage of the Mathādhipatis of Tiruppanandal Mutt.
- No. 29 Published by the Kāmakōţi Publishing House, Madrras.
- No. 35 Published in the Journal-Tiruvalluvar, Tiruchirapalli.
- No. 37 Published by Amarabhārati-parīkṣā-samiti, Tiruchirapalli-5.